Consider Lorentz transformations, for which the defining representation is 4-dimensional. This is the group of real matrices Λ which satisfy

$$\Lambda^{\alpha}_{\ \rho}\Lambda^{\beta}_{\ \sigma}\eta_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\rho\sigma} , \qquad \eta_{\alpha\beta} = \eta^{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{\alpha\beta} , \qquad \det \Lambda = 1 .$$
(1)

The metric is used to raise and lower indices, from which it follows that $\eta^{\alpha}_{\ \beta}$ and $\eta^{\ \beta}_{\alpha}$ are the unit matrix. With this definition, the inner product between two 4-vectors, $v^{\alpha}\eta_{\alpha\beta}w^{\beta}$, is preserved under the Lorentz transformations $v \to \Lambda v$ and $w \to \Lambda w$, where Λ is a real, 4×4 matrix.

We can write

$$\Lambda = e^{i\theta_{\mu\nu}X^{\mu\nu}} , \qquad X^{\mu\nu} = -X^{\nu\mu} = -i\frac{\partial\Lambda}{\partial\theta_{\mu\nu}}\Big|_{\theta=0}$$
(2)

where the $\theta_{\mu\nu} = -\theta_{\nu\mu}$ is an antisymmetric 4 × 4 matrix containing the six real parameters for 3 rotations and 3 boosts. Since Λ is a real transformation matrix, the six independent $X^{\mu\nu}$ are all imaginary 4 × 4 matrices. Expanding eq. (1) to linear order in the $\theta_{\mu\nu}$, one finds the $X^{\mu\nu}$ must satisfy

$$0 = i\theta_{\mu\nu} \left[(X^{\mu\nu})^{\alpha}{}_{\rho}\eta^{\beta}{}_{\sigma} + \eta^{\alpha}{}_{\rho}(X_a)^{\beta}{}_{\sigma} \right] \eta_{\alpha\beta} = i\theta_{\mu\nu} \left[(X^{\mu\nu})_{\sigma\rho} + (X^{\mu\nu})_{\rho\sigma} \right] , \qquad (3)$$

or:

$$(X^{\mu\nu})_{\rho\sigma} + (X^{\mu\nu})_{\sigma\rho} = 0.$$
(4)

In other words, with both indices lowered, the $X^{\mu\nu}$ matrices are antisymmetric.

A simple basis for the 4×4 matrices satisfying eq. (4) (imaginary and antisymmetric in both $\{\mu, \nu\}$ and $\{\alpha, \beta\}$) is

$$(X^{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta} = -i\left(\eta^{\mu}_{\alpha}\eta^{\nu}_{\beta} - \eta^{\mu}_{\beta}\eta^{\nu}_{\alpha}\right) \tag{5}$$

so that the matrices we want with an upper and lower index are

$$(X^{\mu\nu})^{\alpha}_{\ \beta} = -i\left(\eta^{\mu\alpha}\eta^{\nu}_{\ \beta} - \eta^{\mu}_{\ \beta}\eta^{\nu\alpha}\right) \tag{6}$$

This provides our defining representation; we can now compute the commutation relations for these matrices in eq. (6) and arrive at the abstract algebra for the Lorentz group:

$$[X^{\mu\nu}, X^{\rho\sigma}] = i \left(\eta^{\mu\rho} X^{\nu\sigma} - \eta^{\nu\rho} X^{\mu\sigma} - \eta^{\mu\sigma} X^{\nu\rho} + \eta^{\nu\sigma} X^{\mu\rho}\right) .$$
⁽⁷⁾

It is convenient to identify the three rotations and three boosts, so we define

$$J_i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} X^{jk} , \qquad K_i = X^{i0} , \qquad \Lambda = e^{i\vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{J} + i\vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{K}}$$
(8)

analogous to how the B_i and E_i fields are defined in terms of $F^{\mu\nu}$ in electromagnetism. In the representation eq. (5) this yields

en exponentiated we get the familiar rotation and boost matrices, such as

$$e^{i\theta J_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \cos\theta & \sin\theta & 0\\ 0 & -\sin\theta & \cos\theta & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad e^{i\omega K_3} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\omega & 0 & 0 & \sinh\omega\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ \sinh\omega & 0 & 0 & \cosh\omega \end{pmatrix},$$
(10)

where θ is the rotation angle, and ω is the boost rapidity, with $\gamma = \cosh \omega$, $\beta = \tanh \omega$.

With these definitions of J_i and K_i our abstract algebra eq. (7) implies the commutation relations

$$[J_i, J_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}J_k , \quad [J_i, K_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}K_k , \quad [K_i, K_j] = -i\epsilon_{ijk}J_k .$$
(11)

If we can find a d-dimensional matrix representation of this algebra (call the representation "R"), then a Lorentz transformation will be given by the d-dimensional matrix

$$D_R(\vec{\theta},\vec{\omega})^{\mu}_{\ \nu} = \left[e^{i\left(\vec{\theta}\cdot\vec{J}+\vec{\omega}\cdot\vec{K}\right)} \right]^{\mu}_{\ \nu} . \tag{12}$$

The goal is to find all the finite dimensional representations.

This algebra eq. (11) should look reminiscent of SU(2). Next we define six generators \vec{A} and \vec{B} with less physical meaning but a simpler algebra¹:

$$A_i \equiv \frac{1}{2}(J_i - iK_i) \qquad B_i \equiv \frac{1}{2}(J_i + iK_i)$$
 (13)

From eq. (11) it follows that \vec{A} and \vec{B} satisfy

$$[A_i, A_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}A_k , \quad [B_i, B_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}B_k , \quad [A_i, B_j] = 0$$
(14)

...in other words this is the group $SU(2) \times SU(2)$. Luckily we know all the irreducible representations of this group, as they are just labelled by two j quantum numbers:

$$R = (j_A, j_B) \tag{15}$$

a representation with dimension $(2j_A + 1)(2j_B + 1)$. You should think of where $A_i = a_i \otimes 1_B$ where a_i is a nontrivial $(2j_A + 1)$ matrix acting on the m_A indices, and 1_B is the trivial $(2j_B + 1)$ dimensional unit matrix

¹The A_i and B_i I define are called N_i and N_i^{\dagger} respectively by Srednicki in Ch. 33. This is misleading, since in general \vec{A} and \vec{B} are not hermitian conjugates of each other; obviously that can only happen when one has a hermitian representation for the K_i generators, which is manifestly not the case for the defining representation in eq. (9) – or for any finite dimensional representation. It is the case for the infinite dimensional representation in the Hilbert space, where Lorentz transformations have to be unitary (they conserve probability) and the generators hermitian.

acting on the m_B indices, and conversely $B_i = 1_A \otimes b_i$. The corresponding Lorentz transformation, from eq. (13) and eq. (12) is given by

$$D_{\{j_A, j_B\}} = e^{i(\vec{\theta} + i\vec{\omega}) \cdot \vec{A} + i(\vec{\theta} - i\vec{\omega}) \cdot \vec{B}}$$
(16)

Note the interesting feature that for the finite dimensional, hermitian representations of SU(2) for A_i and B_i we have

$$D^*_{\{j_A, j_B\}}(\vec{\theta}, \vec{\omega}) = e^{i(\vec{\theta} - i\vec{\omega}) \cdot \vec{(-A^*)} + i(\vec{\theta} + i\vec{\omega}) \cdot \vec{(-B^*)}} = D_{\{\bar{j}_B, \bar{j}_A\}} = D_{\{j_B, j_A\}}$$
(17)

where I used the facts that

- (i) in general, if X_a is a representation R of a Lie algebra, then $-X_a^*$ is also a representation, and is called the conjugate representation (here denoted as \bar{R});
- (ii) the group SU(2) only has real representations, so j and \overline{j} are the same (up to a similarity transformation)...for example, for spin $\frac{1}{2}$ we have $-\sigma_i^* = \sigma_2 \sigma_i \sigma_2$;
- (iii) since conjugation flipped the relative sign between θ and ω , the roles of A and B are flipped.

Thus if ψ transforms according to the (j_A, j_B) representation, ψ^* is in the (j_B, j_A) representation.

A related observation which is useful is that for hermitian representations or \vec{A} and \vec{B} , possible to define for all finite dimensional representations of SU(2),

$$D^{\dagger}_{\{j_A, j_B\}}(\vec{\theta}, \vec{\omega}) = e^{-i(\vec{\theta} - i\vec{\omega}) \cdot \vec{A} - i(\vec{\theta} + i\vec{\omega}) \cdot \vec{B}} = D^{-1}_{\{j_B, j_A\}}(\vec{\theta}, \vec{\omega}).$$
(18)

We now know all of the irreducible, finite dimensional representations of the Lorentz group. For example, assume we have a field ψ transforming as the $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ representation. Thus we have $\vec{a} = \frac{1}{2}\vec{\sigma}$ and $\vec{b} = 0$ and

$$D_L \equiv D_{(\frac{1}{2},0)}(\vec{\theta},\vec{\omega}) = e^{i(\vec{\theta}+i\vec{\omega})\cdot\vec{\sigma}/2} .$$
(19)

A rotation by angle θ_3 about the z axis corresponds to the matrix

$$D_{(\frac{1}{2},0)}(\theta_3) = e^{i\theta_3\sigma_3/2} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_3/2} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-i\theta_3/2} \end{pmatrix} .$$
(20)

A boost in the z direction with velocity parameter ω_3 corresponds to

$$D_{(\frac{1}{2},0)}(\omega_3) = e^{-\omega_3\sigma_3/2} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\omega_3/2} & 0\\ 0 & e^{\omega_3/2} \end{pmatrix}$$
(21)

 \hat{z} rotations multiply the components of ψ by phases (a factor of -1 for a rotation by 2π), while a boost makes the upper component exponentially large, and the lower component exponentially small.

Similarly, if χ transforms according to the $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ representation, then $\chi \to D_R \chi$ where

$$D_R \equiv D_{(0,\frac{1}{2})} = e^{i(\vec{\theta} - i\vec{\omega}) \cdot \vec{\sigma}/2} .$$
(22)

Rotations are the same as for ψ , but boosts have $\omega \to -\omega$.