Semi-inclusive Electroweak Interactions with Nuclei

T. W. Donnelly, M.I.T.

Study being done in collaboration with J. W. Van Orden (see Wally's talk later) and O. Moreno

... based on the paper by O. Moreno, TWD, J. W. Van Orden and W. P. Ford, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014) 013014 [MDVF]

Study being done in collaboration with J. W. Van Orden (see Wally's talk later) and O. Moreno

... based on the paper by O. Moreno, TWD, J. W. Van Orden and W. P. Ford, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014) 013014 [MDVF]

Outline:

- 1) Basics of kinematics for semi-inclusive electroweak reactions
- 2) General form of the nuclear response; dynamical variables
- 3) Trajectories in the missing energy missing momentum plane
- 4) Discussion of implications

Study being done in collaboration with J. W. Van Orden (see Wally's talk later) and O. Moreno

... based on the paper by O. Moreno, TWD, J. W. Van Orden and W. P. Ford, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014) 013014 [MDVF]

Outline:

- 1) Basics of kinematics for semi-inclusive electroweak reactions
- 2) General form of the nuclear response; dynamical variables
- 3) Trajectories in the missing energy missing momentum plane
- 4) Discussion of implications

Note: Only very basic assumptions here (Lorentz covariance, parity properties, etc.), that is, no detailed model assumptions



Next, we change variables to the q-system where coordinates are specified with respect to the direction of the 3-momentum transfer: (p_N, θ_N, ϕ_N)



Next, we change variables to the q-system where coordinates are specified with respect to the direction of the 3-momentum transfer: (p_N, θ_N, ϕ_N)

Note that as the neutrino momentum k changes the direction of q also changes, and thus (θ_N, ϕ_N) change

Next, we change variables to the q-system where coordinates are specified with respect to the direction of the 3-momentum transfer: (p_N, θ_N, ϕ_N)

Note that as the neutrino momentum k changes the direction of q also changes, and thus (θ_N, ϕ_N) change

... however, these variables are better suited to treating the general form of the semi-inclusive cross section

The general form of the cross section involves the contraction of the leptonic and hadronic tensors:

$$\sigma \sim \eta_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu} = \eta^s_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}_s + \chi \ \eta^a_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}_a,$$

where $\chi = 1$ for incident neutrinos and $\chi = -1$ for antineutrinos.

The general form of the cross section involves the contraction of the leptonic and hadronic tensors:

$$\sigma \sim \eta_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu} = \eta^s_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}_s + \chi \ \eta^a_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}_a,$$

where $\chi = 1$ for incident neutrinos and $\chi = -1$ for antineutrinos.

For inclusive scattering one has

$$\begin{split} \eta^s_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}_s &\sim \quad \widehat{V}_{CC} W^{CC}_{incl} + \widehat{V}_{CL} W^{CL}_{incl} + \widehat{V}_{LL} W^{LL}_{incl} + \widehat{V}_T W^T_{incl} \\ \eta^a_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}_a &\sim \quad \widehat{V}_{T'} W^{T'}_{incl}, \end{split}$$

where each of the 5 responses is a function of the neutrino momentum k and 2 other variables, for instance (k', θ) , the muon momentum and the lepton scattering angle, or (q, ω) , the 3-momentum transfer and energy transfer:

$$W_{incl}^{K} = W_{incl}^{K}(k; k', \theta)$$
$$= W_{incl}^{K}(k; q, \omega),$$

where K = CC, CL, LL, T and T'. The factors V_K are the leptonic kinematic factors ("Rosenbluth factors") which can be found, for instance, in MDVF.

The general form of the cross section involves the contraction of the leptonic and hadronic tensors:

$$\sigma \sim \eta_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu} = \eta^s_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}_s + \chi \ \eta^a_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}_a,$$

where $\chi = 1$ for incident neutrinos and $\chi = -1$ for antineutrinos.

In contrast, for semi-inclusive reactions one has more terms. Specifically, for $CC\nu$ reactions (see MDVF), one has the following completely general structure:

$$\begin{split} \eta^s_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}_s &\sim \quad \widehat{V}_{CC} W^{CC}_{semi} + \widehat{V}_{CL} W^{CL}_{semi} + \widehat{V}_{LL} W^{LL}_{semi} \\ &\quad + \widehat{V}_T W^T_{semi} + \widehat{V}_{TT} W^{TT}_{semi} + \widehat{V}_{TC} W^{TC}_{semi} + \widehat{V}_{TL} W^{TL}_{semi} \\ &\quad \eta^a_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}_a \sim \widehat{V}_{T'} W^{T'}_{semi} + \widehat{V}_{TC'} W^{TC'}_{semi} + \widehat{V}_{TL'} W^{TL'}_{semi} \end{split}$$

$$W_{semi}^{K} = W_{semi}^{K}(k;k',\theta;p_{N},\theta_{N}^{L},\phi_{N}^{L})$$
$$= W_{semi}^{K}(k;q,\omega;p,\mathcal{E},\phi_{N}).$$

Total: 10 response functions, each a function of 6 variables. (Actually, in general there are 16 classes of response for electroweak reactions of all types; for $CC\nu$ reactions 6 cases do not have the corresponding leptonic factors, labeled $\underline{TT}, \underline{TC}.\underline{TL}, \underline{CL}', \underline{TC}', \underline{TL}'.$)

The ϕ_N dependence can be made explicit, leaving 6 individual responses, each a function of 5 variables, say $(k; q, \omega; p, \mathcal{E})$:

$$\begin{split} W^{CC}_{semi} &= \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left\{ \rho^2 X_1 + \rho \nu^2 X_2 + X_3 + 2\sqrt{\rho}\nu X_4 \\ &+ H^2 X_5 + 2\sqrt{\rho}\nu H X_6 + 2H X_7 \right\} \\ W^{CL}_{semi} &= \frac{\nu}{\rho^2} \left\{ \rho X_2 + X_3 + \sqrt{\rho} (\frac{1}{\nu} + \nu) X_4 \\ &+ H^2 X_5 + \sqrt{\rho} (\frac{1}{\nu} + \nu) H X_6 + 2H X_7 \right\} \\ W^{LL}_{semi} &= \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left\{ -\rho^2 X_1 + \rho X_2 + \nu^2 X_3 + 2\sqrt{\rho}\nu X_4 \\ &+ \nu^2 H^2 X_5 + 2\sqrt{\rho}\nu H X_6 + 2\nu^2 H X_7 \right\} \\ W^{TT}_{semi} &= -2X_1 + X_5 \eta^2_T \\ W^{TT}_{semi} &= -X_5 \eta^2_T \cos 2\phi_N \\ W^{TC}_{semi} &= \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\rho} \eta_T \left\{ H X_5 + \sqrt{\rho}\nu X_6 + X_7 \right\} \cos \phi_N \\ W^{TL}_{semi} &= \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\rho} \eta_T \left\{ \nu H X_5 + \sqrt{\rho}\lambda_6 + \nu X_7 \right\} \cos \phi_N \\ W^{TC}_{semi} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} \left\{ Z_1 + H Z_2 \right\} \\ W^{TC'}_{semi} &= \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\rho} \eta_T \left\{ - (\sqrt{\rho}\nu Y_2 + Y_3) \sin \phi_N + (\sqrt{\rho}Z_2 + \nu Z_3) \cos \phi_N \right\} , \\ W^{TL'}_{semi} &= \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\rho} \eta_T \left\{ - (\sqrt{\rho}Y_2 + \nu Y_3) \sin \phi_N + (\sqrt{\rho}\nu Z_2 + Z_3) \cos \phi_N \right\} , \end{split}$$

The ϕ_N dependence can be made explicit, leaving 6 individual responses, each a function of 5 variables, say $(k; q, \omega; p, \mathcal{E})$:

$$\begin{split} W^{CC}_{semi} &= \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left\{ \rho^2 X_1 + \rho \nu^2 X_2 + X_3 + 2\sqrt{\rho}\nu X_4 \\ &+ H^2 X_5 + 2\sqrt{\rho}\nu H X_6 + 2H X_7 \right\} \\ W^{CL}_{semi} &= \frac{\nu}{\rho^2} \left\{ \rho X_2 + X_3 + \sqrt{\rho} (\frac{1}{\nu} + \nu) X_4 \\ &+ H^2 X_5 + \sqrt{\rho} (\frac{1}{\nu} + \nu) H X_6 + 2H X_7 \right\} \\ W^{LL}_{semi} &= \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left\{ -\rho^2 X_1 + \rho X_2 + \nu^2 X_3 + 2\sqrt{\rho}\nu X_4 \\ &+ \nu^2 H^2 X_5 + 2\sqrt{\rho}\nu H X_6 + 2\nu^2 H X_7 \right\} \\ W^{T}_{semi} &= -2X_1 + X_5 \eta^2_T \\ W^{TT}_{semi} &= -X_5 \eta^2_T \cos 2\phi_N \\ W^{TC}_{semi} &= \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\rho} \eta_T \left\{ H X_5 + \sqrt{\rho}\nu X_6 + X_7 \right\} \cos \phi_N \\ W^{TL}_{semi} &= \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\rho} \eta_T \left\{ \nu H X_5 + \sqrt{\rho} X_6 + \nu X_7 \right\} \cos \phi_N \\ W^{TC}_{semi} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} \left\{ Z_1 + H Z_2 \right\} \\ W^{TC'}_{semi} &= \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\rho} \eta_T \left\{ -(\sqrt{\rho}\nu Y_2 + Y_3) \sin \phi_N + (\sqrt{\rho} Z_2 + \nu Z_3) \cos \phi_N \right\} , \\ W^{TL'}_{semi} &= \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\rho} \eta_T \left\{ -(\sqrt{\rho}Y_2 + \nu Y_3) \sin \phi_N + (\sqrt{\rho}\nu Z_2 + Z_3) \cos \phi_N \right\} , \end{split}$$

where

$$\eta_T \equiv \frac{p_N}{m_N} \sin \theta_N$$
$$H \equiv \frac{1}{m_N} \left[E_N - \nu p_N \cos \theta_N \right],$$

and where the Xs, Ys and Zs are functions of $(k; q, \omega; p, \mathcal{E})$, that is, are responses in 5-dimensional space.

All of this is fine; however, it does not capture where the nuclear response is large or small. To do this, it is better to change variables yet again to the missing energy and missing momentum, E_m and p_m , as is well known from studies of (e,e'p) reactions.

All of this is fine; however, it does not capture where the nuclear response is large or small. To do this, it is better to change variables yet again to the missing energy and missing momentum, E_m and p_m , as is well known from studies of (e,e'p) reactions.

Actually I will use "scriptE" and $\mathbf{p} = -\mathbf{p}_m$, as is traditional in scaling analyses (scriptE is approximately $E_m - E_s$)

All of this is fine; however, it does not capture where the nuclear response is large or small. To do this, it is better to change variables yet again to the missing energy and missing momentum, E_m and p_m , as is well known from studies of (e,e'p) reactions.

Actually I will use "scriptE" and $\mathbf{p} = -\mathbf{p}_m$, as is traditional in scaling analyses (scriptE is approximately $E_m - E_s$)

See the Appendix for a summary of all of these coordinate transformations and definitions of all variables



all of which will be kept fixed for this example

Example: ¹⁶O(v_{μ} , μ -p) with k' = 1 GeV/c for the muon $\theta = 10 \text{ deg.}$ $p_N = 50 \text{ MeV/c}$ for the proton $q_N^L = 10 \text{ deg.}$ $\phi_N^L = 180 \text{ deg.}$

all of which will be kept fixed for this example

... starting with neutrino momentum k = 1020 MeV/c



TWD

Missing energy – Separation energy



22





24



TWD

25

Discussion of the **landscape** and **trajectories** in the missing energy – missing momentum plane: this is where modeling comes into play









Generic landscape



30

FOUNDATIONS OF NUCLEAR AND PARTICLE PHYSICS

T. W. Donnelly J. A. Formaggio B. R. Holstein R. G. Milner B. Surrow New advanced graduate textbook in nuclear and particle physics to be published by Cambridge University Press

Strongly influenced by MIT graduate courses

675 pages21 chaptersMore than 120 exercisesSolutions manual being completed

Estimated availability date: January, 2017

http://www.cambridge.org/mh/academic/ subjects/physics/particle-physics-andnuclear-physics/ foundations-nuclear-and-particle-physics

APPENDIX: NOTES ON SEMI-INCLUSIVE TRAJECTORIES

The following is a summary of the material in O. Moreno, TWD, J. W. Van Orden and W. P. Ford, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014) 013014 [MDVF]. For these developments we begin in the "laboratory system" defined by the incident neutrino beam and the final-state charged lepton momentum. We assume a given neutrino momentum k and hence energy $\epsilon = \sqrt{k^2 + m^2}$, where m is the neutrino mass (usually taken to be zero). In the laboratory system we take the 3' axis to be along the incident neutrino momentum, the final-state charged lepton to lie in the 1' - 3' plane, and the normal to that plane to be in the 2' direction (see Fig. 1). The final-state charged lepton then has 3-momentum

$$\mathbf{k}' = k'(\sin\theta \mathbf{u}_{1'} + \cos\theta \mathbf{u}_{3'}),\tag{1}$$

where θ is the neutrino-charged lepton scattering angle. Defining m' to be the final-state charged lepton's mass we have for its energy $\epsilon' = \sqrt{k'^2 + m'^2}$. Then, with $M^2 \equiv (m^2 + m'^2)/2$, we have for the energy transfer, the 4-momentum transfer squared, and the 3-momentum transfer, respectively, the following:

$$\omega = \epsilon - \epsilon' \tag{2}$$

$$-Q^{2} = q^{2} - \omega^{2} = 2(\epsilon\epsilon' - kk'\cos\theta - M^{2})$$
(3)

$$q = \sqrt{-Q^2 + \omega^2}. \tag{4}$$

Of course, one must have

$$-Q^2 > 0 \text{ (spacelike)}. \tag{5}$$

If we define

$$E_f \equiv M_A^0 + \omega \tag{6}$$

the final hadronic energy, where M^0_A is the target rest mass, and note that the final total hadronic 3-momentum is

$$\mathbf{p}_f = \mathbf{q} \tag{7}$$

in the laboratory system where the target is at rest, then the invariant mass of the final hadronic state is given by

$$W_A = \sqrt{E_f^2 - p_f^2} = \sqrt{(M_A^0 + \omega)^2 - q^2}.$$
 (8)

Since this must at least be greater than or equal to the breakup threshold, we have

$$W_A \ge W_{A-1}^0 + m_N = M_A^0 + E_s, \tag{9}$$

where W_{A-1}^0 is the ground state invariant mass of the A-1 system, m_N is the nucleon mass and E_s is the separation energy. This leads to the constraint

$$\omega \ge \omega_T \equiv E_s + \frac{(-Q^2) + E_s^2}{2M_A^0}.$$
(10)

Next let us rotate to the coordinate system oriented along the direction of the momentum transfer; this system is denoted the "q-system". The q-axis is oriented in the 1' - 3' plane at an angle θ_q , where

$$\cos\theta_q = \frac{1}{q} \left(k - k' \cos\theta \right) \tag{11}$$

$$\sin\theta_q = \frac{1}{q}k'\sin\theta. \tag{12}$$

Letting the rotated system have axes 3 (along \mathbf{q}), 2 (normal to the lepton scattering plane and equal to the 2' direction), together with 1 (forming a right-handed coordinate system 123; see Fig. 2), we have the following relating the unit vectors:

$$\mathbf{u}_{1'} = \cos\theta_q \mathbf{u}_1 - \sin\theta_q \mathbf{u}_3 \tag{13}$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{2'} = \mathbf{u}_2 \tag{14}$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{3'} = \sin \theta_q \mathbf{u}_1 + \cos \theta_q \mathbf{u}_3. \tag{15}$$

.

We now assume that a nucleon is detected in the laboratory system with 4-momentum $p_N^{\mu} = (E_N, p_N)$, where

$$E_N = \sqrt{p_N^2 + m_N^2};$$
 (16)

that is, in the laboratory system

$$\mathbf{p}_N = p_N (\sin \theta_N^L \cos \phi_N^L \mathbf{u}_{1'} + \sin \theta_N^L \sin \phi_N^L \mathbf{u}_{2'} + \cos \theta_N^L \mathbf{u}_{3'}), \qquad (17)$$

where the magnitude of the nucleon's 3-momentum is denoted $p_N \equiv |\mathbf{p}_N|$ and the direction in the laboratory system (the neutrino-charged lepton system) is specified by the angles (θ_N^L, ϕ_N^L) . Of course the 3-momentum may also be written in terms of the q-system unit vectors

$$\mathbf{p}_N = p_N(\sin\theta_N\cos\phi_N\mathbf{u}_1 + \sin\theta_N\sin\phi_N\mathbf{u}_2 + \cos\theta_N\mathbf{u}_3),\tag{18}$$

where now the angles (θ_N, ϕ_N) are employed (see Fig. 2). Upon equating these two expressions and using Eqs. (13-15) that relate the unit vectors we have the following relationships:

$$\sin\theta_N \cos\phi_N = \sin\theta_N^L \cos\phi_N^L \cos\theta_q + \cos\theta_N^L \sin\theta_q \tag{19}$$

$$\sin \theta_N \sin \phi_N = \sin \theta_N^L \sin \phi_N^L \tag{20}$$

$$\cos\theta_N = -\sin\theta_N \cos\phi_N \sin\theta_q + \cos\theta_N^L \cos\theta_q. \tag{21}$$

Since the polar angle θ_N is assumed to be in the range $[0^0, 180^0]$, the sine is non-negative and so

$$\sin\theta_N = \sqrt{1 - \cos^2\theta_N},\tag{22}$$

where $\cos \theta_N$ is given by Eq. (21), and hence the azimuthal angle in the q-system is determined by

$$\cos\phi_N = \frac{1}{\sin\theta_N} \left(\sin\theta_N^L \cos\phi_N^L \cos\theta_q + \cos\theta_N^L \sin\theta_q\right)$$
(23)

$$\sin \phi_N = \frac{1}{\sin \theta_N} \sin \theta_N^L \sin \phi_N^L \tag{24}$$

using Eqs. (19,20,22). Knowing when the sines and cosines here are positive or negative we can determine the quadrant in which the angle ϕ_N lies. This fixes all of the variables in the q-system in terms of the laboratory variables.

Next it is useful to transform to variables that are well-suited to modeling and where the nuclear dynamics come into play. One can define the missing 3-momentum by

$$\mathbf{p}_m \equiv -\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{p}_N \tag{25}$$

and immediately have

$$p = \sqrt{p_N^2 + q^2 - 2p_N q \cos \theta_N},\tag{26}$$

namely, given in terms of the variables above. The energy of the recoiling (in general excited) A-1 system is given by

$$E_{A-1} = E_f - E_N \equiv \sqrt{W_{A-1}^2 + p^2},$$
(27)

that is, in terms of the results given in Eqs. (6,16). Here W_{A-1} is the invariant mass of the A-1 system, again, in general in an excited state. It is convenient, as usual, to define the following effective excitation energy (the "daughter energy difference")

$$\mathcal{E} \equiv E_{A-1} - E_{A-1}^0 \ge 0, \tag{28}$$

where

$$E_{A-1}^{0} = \sqrt{\left(W_{A-1}^{0}\right)^{2} + p^{2}}.$$
(29)

This definition is such that $\mathcal{E} = 0$ when the final hadronic state is the ground state of the A-1 system. Typically one has the approximation

$$\mathcal{E} = (E_m - E_s) \left[1 - \frac{p^2}{2W_{A-1}W_{A-1}^0} + \cdots \right] \simeq E_m - E_s, \tag{30}$$

where E_m is the traditional definition of the missing energy, $E_m \equiv W_{A-1} + m_N - M_A^0$.

Finally, we have the variables that characterize the allowed region in the $(\mathcal{E},p)\text{-plane:}$

$$y = \frac{1}{W_A^2} [Z_1 - Z_2] \tag{31}$$

$$Y = \frac{1}{W_A^2} \left[Z_1 + Z_2 \right], \tag{32}$$

where W_A is given in Eq. (8) and

$$Z_{1} = (M_{A}^{0} + \omega) \times \sqrt{\Lambda - W_{A-1}^{0}(W_{A-1}^{0} + m_{N})} \sqrt{\Lambda - W_{A-1}^{0}(W_{A-1}^{0} - m_{N})}$$
(33)
$$Z_{2} = q\Lambda$$
(34)

with

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{2} \left[W_A^2 + \left(W_{A-1}^0 \right)^2 - m_N^2 \right].$$
(35)

Two curves in the (\mathcal{E}, p) -plane may be defined:

$$\mathcal{E}^{\pm}(q,y;p) \equiv \sqrt{m_N^2 + (q+y)^2} - \sqrt{m_N^2 + (q\pm p)^2} + \sqrt{\left(W_{A-1}^0\right)^2 + y^2} - \sqrt{\left(W_{A-1}^0\right)^2 + p^2}$$
(36)

and, since

$$\mathcal{E}^{-}(q,y;p) - \mathcal{E}^{+}(q,y;p) = \frac{4qp}{\sqrt{m_{N}^{2} + (q+p)^{2}} + \sqrt{m_{N}^{2} + (q-p)^{2}}} \ge 0, \quad (37)$$

one has $\mathcal{E}^{-}(q, y; p) \geq \mathcal{E}^{+}(q, y; p)$ (and equal to zero only when p = 0). From the original derivation of these results we have that the following constraints must be satisfied, defining the physical region:

$$\max(0, \mathcal{E}^+(q, y; p)) \le \mathcal{E} \le \mathcal{E}^-(q, y; p).$$
(38)

Thus, as long as the constraints in Eqs. (5,10,38) are all satisfied, one has a physical point in the (\mathcal{E}, p) -plane for fixed final-state charged lepton kinematics together with fixed laboratory system nucleon kinematics, all for a given neutrino energy. As the neutrino energy changes (for fixed final-state charged lepton kinematics and fixed laboratory system nucleon kinematics) the other variables defined above may also change (some must) and accordingly a different point will be found in the (\mathcal{E}, p) -plane. When the entire range of neutrino energies is spanned from threshold to very large values, a trajectory will the emerge as the line of solutions for given charged lepton and nucleon kinematics.