# Expected impact on neutrino signal by modified nucleon-nucleon bremsstrahlung rates

#### INT16-61w Flavor observations with SN Neutrinos 08/17/2016 Seattle, Washington, USA



Robert Bollig, with Alexander Bartl



Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik

#### Stellar structure prior to collapse



Iron core becomes gravitationally unstable to collapse



Core bounces and protoneutron star begins to form



- Shock from rebounding core stalls and turns into an accretion shock
- Gravitational energy is radiated away in the form of neutrinos.



- Behind the shock a cooling layer and gain layer forms, separated by the gain radius.
- A fraction of neutrinos emitted by cooling layer are reabsorbed in the gain layer.
- Heating by neutrinos and increased dwell time by convective overturn, as well as other instabilities like SASI lead to an eventual shock revival.
- Classical neutrino-driven shock revival scenario



- A successful explosion leads to the protoneutron star cooling phase with initial neutrino-driven wind outflow.
- The following neutrino signal and wind properties are mostly determined by neutrinos diffusing out of the dense core as well as convection inside the protoneutron star.



#### Prometheus-VERTEX

- Hydro module Prometheus
  - PPM method, Godunov-type exact solver
  - Newtonian self-gravity with effective GR potential corrections
  - Tabulated equations of state for HD and analytical eos for LD
- Neutrino transport module VERTEX
  - Implicit two-moment scheme with variable eddington factor closure
  - "Model Boltzmann equation" is solved using a tangent-ray angular discretization and the moment equations by the "rayby-ray plus" method
  - comprehensive set of neutrino interactions
- VERTEX is ideally suited for 1D simulations where an accurate transition from diffusion limited to free streaming conditions is critical.

#### Introduction to neutrino interactions

#### Included neutrino interaction rates in VERTEX

β-Processes	$\nu_e + n \leftrightarrows e^- + p$	Burrows&Sawyer(1999); Horowitz(2002)
	$\bar{\nu}_e + p \leftrightarrows e^+ + n$	Burrows&Sawyer(1999); Horowitz(2002)
	$\nu_e + A' \leftrightarrows e^- + A$	Langanke et al (2003)
Scattering	$\nu + A \leftrightarrows \nu + A$	Horowitz(1997); Bruenn&Mezzacappa(1997); Langanke et al.(2008)
	$\nu + N \leftrightarrows \nu + N$	Burrows&Sawyer(1998); Horowitz(2002)
	$\nu + e^{\pm} \leftrightarrows \nu + e^{\pm}$	Mezzacappa&Bruenn(1993b); Cernohorsky(1994)
	$ u_{\mu,\tau} + \nu_e \leftrightarrows  u_{\mu,\tau} + \nu_e$	Buras et al.(2003)
Pair production	$e^-e^+ \leftrightarrows \nu \bar{\nu}$	Bruenn(1985); Pons et al (1998)
	$ u_{e} ar{ u}_{e} \leftrightarrows  u_{\mu, au} ar{ u}_{\mu, au}$	Buras et al.(2003)
Bremsstrahlung	$NN \leftrightarrows NN + \nu\bar{\nu}$	Hannestad&Raffelt(1998)

- Nucleon-nucleon bremsstrahlung is caused by nucleons scattering against each other in conditions of extremely high density.
  - + Very efficient production process for  $\nu_{\mu/\tau}$
- One-pion-exchange (OPE) approximation (Hannestad&Raffelt 1998)
  - Nucleon-nucleon interactions mediated by a single pion
- T-Matrix approach (Bartl et al. 2014)
  - Nucleon interactions modeled by T-matrix, consistent with chiral EFT results, including N-N-correlations, and non-degenerate neutron-proton mixtures
  - See preceding talk by Achim Schwenk



- Fully consistent calculation of T-matrix rates computationally challenging "on the fly"
- Possible solution are tabulated rates, but would require interpolation in a 5 dimensional table,  $\rho, T, Y_e, \epsilon, \overline{\epsilon}, \Phi_1$
- For first approach tackle this complexity using a simple fit formula

- Fit method
  - Define T as a function of density with

$$T(\rho) = T_{\rm SN}(\rho) = 3 \text{MeV} \left(\frac{\rho}{10^{11} \text{g cm}^{-3}}\right)^{1/3}$$
 (Bacca et al 2012)

- Average mean free path over Boltzmann distributed neutrino and antineutrino spectra
- Define purely density dependent correction factors from HR results to T-matrix results

$$r_{Y_e}(\rho) = \frac{\langle \lambda^{-1} \rangle \left(\rho, Y_e, T(\rho)\right)}{\langle \lambda^{-1} \rangle_{\text{OPE}} \left(\rho, T(\rho)\right)}$$

• Fit function for fixed  $Y_e$  $r_{Y_e}(\rho) = a \ln(\rho) + 10^{10}/\rho^b + c$ 

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### PNS cooling simulations Numerical Setup

- Chosen progenitors
  - s27.0 (Woosley & Heger & Weaver 2002) with  $\rm M_{grav}$  = 1.59  $\rm M_{\odot}$

16

- z9.6 (Woosley & Heger 2015) with  $\rm M_{grav}$  = 1.25  $\rm M_{\odot}$
- Chosen EOS
  - s27.0 : LS220 EOS (Lattimer&Swesty 1991)
  - z9.6 : SFHo EOS (Hempel et al 2013)
- + 21 neutrino energy bins from 0.2 to 380 MeV with  $\nu_e,\,\nu_{\overline{e}},\,\nu_x$  and  $\nu_{\overline{x}}$
- 1D radially remapping grid to maintain high spatial resolution at protoneutron star mantle
- Protoneutron star convection included in 1D by mixinglength convection approach

### PNS cooling simulations Numerical Setup

- Transition from stalled accretion shock to shockrevival in 1D a well-known problem
- Several methods used to artificially induce an explosion
  - Push-method (Perego et al. 2015)
  - Increased heating by  $\nu_{e}$  and  $\overline{\nu}_{e}$  in gain layer (Fischer 2010)
  - Artificial quenching of the accretion flow by density reduction (Mirizzi 2015)
  - Defining a mass-cut (see Robert's talk)
  - Parametrized and calibrated neutrino flux models (Ertl et al. 2015)

#### PNS cooling simulations Numerical Setup

 s27.0 is artificially exploded at 500ms t<sub>pb</sub> by gradually decreasing matter density from 500km to 2500km by a factor of 1/30

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

 z9.6 is unique in that it self-consistently explodes nearly simultaneously in 1D, 2D and 3D (Melson 2015)

#### PNS cooling simulations Neutrino signal

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### PNS cooling simulations Neutrino signal

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### PNS cooling simulations Neutrino signal

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

### PNS cooling simulations T-Matrix fit dependability

Possible concerns:

- T-matrix fit assumes a fixed temperature along any density profile
- Variable degeneracy of nucleons not fully taken into account
- Caveats of the fit method are present and dependability of results is most affected at cold and degenerate conditions.
- Modified HR results are oversuppressed compared to realistic values at very late times → T-matrix fit gives an upper bound on effect.

![](_page_20_Figure_6.jpeg)

### Conclusions

- T-matrix results generally reduce annihilation and production opacity of neutrino pairs inside PNS
- Reduced emission of heavy-lepton neutrinos and therefore reduced cooling of the PNS
- Diffusion time scale of neutrinos remain unchanged, bremsstrahlung is not a dominant transport opacity source
- Reduction of bremsstrahlung is partly compensated by  $e^{\pm}$  and  $v_e v_e^{-}$ -pair annihilation for  $v_x v_x^{-}$  production
- Reduction of PNS cooling by  $v_x, \overline{v}_x$  is partly compensated by increased emission of  $v_e, \overline{v}_e$
- Direct influence of modified rates is small but time-integrated effect is measurable
- Results confirmed by an independent study made by Tobias Fischer (2016), but without convection treatment
- Paper about our results has been submitted to arXiv today!

Simulations in spherical symmetry are very efficient for long simulation times but lack crucial multi-dimensional effects.

- Convection inside the hot bubble between PNS and shock
- Hydrodynamical instabilities like SASI
- Convection inside the PNS (see also Robert's talk)

PNS convection is a quasi stationary state that can be very well represented by a mixing-length convection treatment

One can define a convective Flux of energy and lepton number by thermodynamic derivatives and radial gradients

$$F_{\rm conv}^{\rm lep} = \rho v_c \lambda_c \frac{\mathrm{d}Y_{\rm lep}}{\mathrm{d}r}$$

$$F_{\rm conv}^{\rm erg} = \rho v_c \lambda_c \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\epsilon}{\mathrm{d}r} + P \frac{\mathrm{d}(\rho^{-1})}{\mathrm{d}r}\right)$$
Pressure scale height:  $\lambda_c = \zeta P |\mathrm{d}P/\mathrm{d}r|^{-1}$ 
Convective velocity:  $v_c = \sqrt{2g\lambda_c C_{\rm Ledoux}\rho^{-1}\lambda_c}$ 

Convective instability is given by Ledoux-criterion

$$C_{\text{Ledoux}} = \left(\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial s}\right)_{\text{P},\text{Y}_{\text{lep}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{\mathrm{d}r} + \left(\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial Y_{\text{lep}}}\right)_{\text{P,s}} \frac{\mathrm{d}Y_{\text{lep}}}{\mathrm{d}r} > 0$$
$$C_{\text{Ledoux}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\rho}{\mathrm{d}r} - \frac{1}{c_s^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}r} > 0$$

With adiabatic sound speed  $c_s = \sqrt{(\partial P/\partial \rho)_{s,Y_{\text{lep}}}}$ 

Convectively unstable region in red

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

We find excellent agreement between PNS convection in 1D mixing-length and 2D simulations

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

. .

PNS becomes fully convective after 4 seconds

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### PNS cooling simulations 2<sup>nd</sup> conclusion

- Mixing-length convection gives accurate prediction for PNS cooling signals, if a reasonable explosion time can be defined
- Convection significantly decreases the PNS cooling timescale and strongly modifies the neutrino spectra
- Including neutrino contribution into  $Y_{lep}$  is necessary to give full strength of convection  $\rightarrow$  Treat neutrinos as fully trapped leptons inside convection region