## Isoscalar and Isovector Densities and Symmetry Energy

#### Pawel Danielewicz,<sup>1</sup> Pardeep Singh<sup>1,2</sup> and Jenny Lee<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Natl Superconducting Cyclotron Lab, Michigan State U, <sup>2</sup>Deenbandhu Chhotu Ram U Science & Techn, Murthal, India and <sup>3</sup>U of Hong Kong

#### INT-16-2b Program Workshop Laboratory and Astronomical Observations of Dense Matter

July 18-22, 2016, University of Washington, Seattle



A D N A B N A B N A

## Charge symmetry: invariance of nuclear interactions under $n \leftrightarrow p$ interchange

An isoscalar quantity *F* does not change under  $n \leftrightarrow p$ interchange. E.g. nuclear energy. Expansion in asymmetry  $\eta = (N - Z)/A$ , for smooth *F*, yields even terms only:  $F(\eta) = F_0 + F_2 \eta^2 + F_4 \eta^4 + ...$ 

An isovector quantity *G* changes sign. Example:  $\rho_{np}(r) = \rho_n(r) - \rho_p(r)$ . Expansion with odd terms only:  $G(\eta) = G_1 \eta + G_3 \eta^3 + \dots$ 

Note:  $G/\eta = G_1 + G_3 \eta^2 + \dots$ 

In nuclear practice, analyticity requires shell-effect averaging! Charge invariance: invariance of nuclear interactions under rotations in *n-p* space



イロト イヨト イヨト イ

## Charge symmetry: invariance of nuclear interactions under $n \leftrightarrow p$ interchange

An isoscalar quantity *F* does not change under  $n \leftrightarrow p$ interchange. E.g. nuclear energy. Expansion in asymmetry  $\eta = (N - Z)/A$ , for smooth *F*, yields even terms only:  $F(\eta) = F_0 + F_2 \eta^2 + F_4 \eta^4 + \dots$ 

An isovector quantity *G* changes sign. Example:  $\rho_{np}(r) = \rho_n(r) - \rho_p(r)$ . Expansion with odd terms only:  $G(\eta) = G_1 \eta + G_3 \eta^3 + \dots$ 

Note:  $G/\eta = G_1 + G_3 \eta^2 + ...$ 

In nuclear practice, analyticity requires shell-effect averaging! Charge invariance: invariance of nuclear interactions under rotations in *n-p* space



イロト イヨト イヨト イ

## Charge symmetry: invariance of nuclear interactions under $n \leftrightarrow p$ interchange

An isoscalar quantity *F* does not change under  $n \leftrightarrow p$ interchange. E.g. nuclear energy. Expansion in asymmetry  $\eta = (N - Z)/A$ , for smooth *F*, yields even terms only:  $F(\eta) = F_0 + F_2 \eta^2 + F_4 \eta^4 + \dots$ 

An isovector quantity *G* changes sign. Example:  $\rho_{np}(r) = \rho_n(r) - \rho_p(r)$ . Expansion with odd terms only:  $G(\eta) = G_1 \eta + G_3 \eta^3 + \dots$ 

Note:  $G/\eta = G_1 + G_3 \eta^2 + ...$ 

In nuclear practice, analyticity requires shell-effect averaging!

Charge invariance: invariance of nuclear interactions under rotations in *n-p* space



イロト イヨト イヨト イ

## Charge symmetry: invariance of nuclear interactions under $n \leftrightarrow p$ interchange

An isoscalar quantity *F* does not change under  $n \leftrightarrow p$ interchange. E.g. nuclear energy. Expansion in asymmetry  $\eta = (N - Z)/A$ , for smooth *F*, yields even terms only:  $F(\eta) = F_0 + F_2 \eta^2 + F_4 \eta^4 + \dots$ 

An isovector quantity *G* changes sign. Example:  $\rho_{np}(r) = \rho_n(r) - \rho_p(r)$ . Expansion with odd terms only:  $G(\eta) = G_1 \eta + G_3 \eta^3 + \dots$ 

Note:  $G/\eta = G_1 + G_3 \eta^2 + ...$ 

In nuclear practice, analyticity requires shell-effect averaging! Charge invariance: invariance of nuclear interactions under rotations in *n*-*p* space



(日)

- Charge symmetry:  $n \leftrightarrow p$  invariance
- Charge invariance: symmetry under rotations in n-p space
- Isospin doublets
- $p:(\tau,\tau_z) = (\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$  $p:(\tau,\tau_z) = (1,\frac{1}{2})$
- Net isospin

Isobars: Nuclei with the same A



- Charge symmetry:  $n \leftrightarrow p$  invariance
- Charge invariance: symmetry under *rotations* in *n-p* space
- Isospin doublets
- $p:(\tau,\tau_z) = (\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$  $n:(\tau,\tau_z) = (\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})$

Net isospin

Isobars: Nuclei with the same A



Danielewicz, Singh, Lee

## Charge Symmetry & Charge Invariance

- Charge symmetry:  $n \leftrightarrow p$  invariance
- Charge invariance: symmetry under rotations in n-p space

Isospin doublets

 $p:(\tau,\tau_z) = (\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$  $n:(\tau,\tau_z) = (\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})$ 

Net isospin

$$\vec{T} = \sum_{i=1}^{A} \vec{\tau}_i$$

Nuclear states:  $(T, T_z), T \ge |T_z| = \frac{1}{2}|N - Z|$ 





#### Energy in Uniform Matter



Conclusions

#### Importance of Slope



$$egin{split} rac{E}{A} &= rac{E_0}{A}(
ho) + S(
ho) \left(rac{
ho_n - 
ho_
ho}{
ho}
ight)^2 \ S &\simeq a_a^V + rac{L}{3}rac{
ho - 
ho_0}{
ho_0} \end{split}$$

In neutron matter:  $\rho_{\rho} \approx 0 \& \rho_{n} \approx \rho.$ Then,  $\frac{E}{A}(\rho) \approx \frac{E_{0}}{A}(\rho) + S(\rho)$ Pressure:  $P = \rho^{2} \frac{d}{d\rho} \frac{E}{A} \simeq \rho^{2} \frac{dS}{d\rho} \simeq \frac{L}{3\rho_{0}} \rho^{2}$ 



Danielewicz, Singh, Lee

< ≣ >

Conclusions

#### Importance of Slope



$$egin{split} rac{E}{A} &= rac{E_0}{A}(
ho) + S(
ho) \left(rac{
ho_n - 
ho_p}{
ho}
ight)^2 \ S &\simeq a_a^V + rac{L}{3}rac{
ho - 
ho_0}{
ho_0} \end{split}$$

In neutron matter:  $\rho_p \approx 0 \& \rho_n \approx \rho.$ Then,  $\frac{E}{A}(\rho) \approx \frac{E_0}{A}(\rho) + S(\rho)$ Pressure:

$$\mathbf{P} = \rho^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\rho} \frac{E}{A} \simeq \rho^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}S}{\mathrm{d}\rho} \simeq \frac{L}{3\rho_0} \rho^2$$

 $43 \lesssim L \lesssim 60 \, \mathrm{MeV}$  ??



Danielewicz, Singh, Lee

Net density  $\rho(r) = \rho_n(r) + \rho_p(r)$  is isoscalar  $\Rightarrow$  weakly depends on (N - Z) for given A. [Coulomb suppressed...]

 $\rho_{np}(r) = \rho_n(r) - \rho_p(r)$  isovector but  $A \rho_{np}(r)/(N-Z)$  isoscalar! A/(N-Z) normalizing factor global...Similar local normalizing factor, in terms of intense quantities,  $2a_a^V/\mu_a$ , where  $a_a^V \equiv S(\rho_0)$ Isoscalar formfactor for isovector density:

$$alpha_a(r) = rac{2a_a^V}{\mu_a} \left[ 
ho_n(r) - 
ho_p(r) 
ight]$$

Normal matter:  $\rho_a = \rho_0$ . Both  $\rho(r) \& \rho_a(r)$  weakly depend on  $\eta$ !

In any nucleus:

$$\rho_{n,p}(r) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \rho(r) \pm \frac{\mu_a}{2a_a^V} \rho_a(r) \right]$$

where  $\rho(r) \& \rho_a(r)$  have universal features! (subject to shell effects,  $\rho$ 's as dynamic vbles: Hohenberg-Kohn function



Net density  $\rho(r) = \rho_n(r) + \rho_p(r)$  is isoscalar  $\Rightarrow$  weakly depends on (N - Z) for given A. [Coulomb suppressed...]

 $\rho_{np}(r) = \rho_n(r) - \rho_p(r)$  isovector but  $A \rho_{np}(r)/(N-Z)$  isoscalar! A/(N-Z) normalizing factor global... Similar local normalizing factor, in terms of intense quantities,  $2a_a^V/\mu_a$ , where  $a_a^V \equiv S(\rho_0)$ 

Isoscalar formfactor for isovector density:

$$\rho_a(r) = \frac{2a_a^V}{\mu_a} \left[ \rho_n(r) - \rho_p(r) \right]$$

Normal matter:  $\rho_a = \rho_0$ . Both  $\rho(r) \& \rho_a(r)$  weakly depend on  $\eta$ !

In any nucleus:

$$\rho_{n,p}(r) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \rho(r) \pm \frac{\mu_a}{2a_a^V} \rho_a(r) \right]$$

where  $\rho(r) \& \rho_a(r)$  have universal features! (subject to shell effects,  $\rho$ 's as dynamic vbles: Hohenberg-Kohn function



Net density  $\rho(r) = \rho_n(r) + \rho_p(r)$  is isoscalar  $\Rightarrow$  weakly depends on (N - Z) for given A. [Coulomb suppressed...]

 $\rho_{np}(r) = \rho_n(r) - \rho_p(r)$  isovector but  $A \rho_{np}(r)/(N-Z)$  isoscalar! A/(N-Z) normalizing factor global... Similar local normalizing factor, in terms of intense quantities,  $2a_a^V/\mu_a$ , where  $a_a^V \equiv S(\rho_0)$ Isoscalar formfactor for isovector density:

Normal matter:  $\rho_a = \rho_0$ . Both  $\rho(r) \& \rho_a(r)$  weakly depend on  $\eta!$ 

In any nucleus:

$$\rho_{n,p}(r) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \rho(r) \pm \frac{\mu_a}{2a_a^V} \rho_a(r) \right]$$

where  $\rho(r) \& \rho_a(r)$  have universal features! (subject to shell effects,  $\rho$ 's as dynamic vbles: Hohenberg-Kohn function



Net density  $\rho(r) = \rho_n(r) + \rho_p(r)$  is isoscalar  $\Rightarrow$  weakly depends on (N - Z) for given A. [Coulomb suppressed...]

 $\rho_{np}(r) = \rho_n(r) - \rho_p(r)$  isovector but  $A \rho_{np}(r)/(N-Z)$  isoscalar! A/(N-Z) normalizing factor global... Similar local normalizing factor, in terms of intense quantities,  $2a_a^V/\mu_a$ , where  $a_a^V \equiv S(\rho_0)$ Isoscalar formfactor for isovector density:

$$\rho_a(r) = rac{2a_a^V}{\mu_a} \left[ 
ho_n(r) - 
ho_p(r) 
ight]$$

Normal matter:  $\rho_a = \rho_0$ . Both  $\rho(r) \& \rho_a(r)$  weakly depend on  $\eta!$ 

In any nucleus:

$$\rho_{n,p}(r) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \rho(r) \pm \frac{\mu_a}{2a_a^V} \rho_a(r) \right]$$

where  $\rho(r) \& \rho_a(r)$  have universal features! (subject to shell effects)

No shell-effects,  $\rho$ 's as dynamic vbles: Hohenberg-Kohn function

Net density  $\rho(r) = \rho_n(r) + \rho_p(r)$  is isoscalar  $\Rightarrow$  weakly depends on (N - Z) for given A. [Coulomb suppressed...]

 $\rho_{np}(r) = \rho_n(r) - \rho_p(r)$  isovector but  $A \rho_{np}(r)/(N-Z)$  isoscalar! A/(N-Z) normalizing factor global... Similar local normalizing factor, in terms of intense quantities,  $2a_a^V/\mu_a$ , where  $a_a^V \equiv S(\rho_0)$ Isoscalar formfactor for isovector density:

Normal matter:  $\rho_a = \rho_0$ . Both  $\rho(r) \& \rho_a(r)$  weakly depend on  $\eta!$ 

In any nucleus:

$$\rho_{n,p}(r) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \rho(r) \pm \frac{\mu_a}{2a_a^V} \rho_a(r) \right]$$

where  $\rho(r) \& \rho_a(r)$  have universal features! (subject to shell effects) No shell-effects,  $\rho$ 's as dynamic vbles: Hohenberg-Kohn function

$$\rho_{n,p}(r) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \rho(r) \pm \frac{\mu_a}{2a_a^V} \rho_a(r) \right]$$

Net density  $\rho$  usually parameterized w/Fermi function  $\rho(r) = \frac{\rho_0}{1 + \exp(\frac{r-R}{d})} \quad \text{with} \quad R = r_0 A^{1/3}$ 

**Isovector density**  $\rho_a$ ?? Related to  $S(\rho)$ !

In uniform matter

 $\mu_{a} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial (N-Z)} = \frac{\partial [S(\rho) \rho_{np}^{2}/\rho]}{\partial \rho_{np}} = \frac{2 S(\rho)}{\rho} \rho_{np}$ 

$$\Rightarrow \quad \rho_a = \frac{2a_a^V}{\mu_a} \, \rho_{np} = \frac{a_a^V \, \rho}{S(\rho)}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Hartree-Fock study of surface



$$\rho_{n,p}(r) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \rho(r) \pm \frac{\mu_a}{2a_a^V} \rho_a(r) \right]$$

Net density  $\rho$  usually parameterized w/Fermi function  $\rho(r) = \frac{\rho_0}{1 + \exp(\frac{r-R}{d})} \quad \text{with} \quad R = r_0 A^{1/3}$ 

Isovector density  $\rho_a$ ??

Related to  $S(\rho)$ !

In uniform matter

 $\mu_{a} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial (N - Z)} = \frac{\partial [S(\rho) \rho_{np}^{2} / \rho]}{\partial \rho_{np}} = \frac{2 S(\rho)}{\rho} \rho_{np}$ 

$$\Rightarrow 
ho_a = rac{2a_a^V}{\mu_a}
ho_{np} = rac{a_a^V
ho}{S(
ho)}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Hartree-Fock study of surface



$$\rho_{n,p}(r) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \rho(r) \pm \frac{\mu_a}{2a_a^V} \rho_a(r) \right]$$

Net density  $\rho$  usually parameterized w/Fermi function  $\rho(r) = \frac{\rho_0}{1 + \exp(\frac{r-R}{d})} \quad \text{with} \quad R = r_0 A^{1/3}$ 

Isovector density  $\rho_a$ ?? In uniform matter

 $\mu_{a} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial (N - Z)} = \frac{\partial [S(\rho) \rho_{np}^{2} / \rho]}{\partial \rho_{np}} = \frac{2 S(\rho)}{\rho} \rho_{np}$ 

Related to  $S(\rho)!$ 

$$\Rightarrow \quad \rho_a = \frac{2a_a^V}{\mu_a} \, \rho_{np} = \frac{a_a^V \, \rho}{S(\rho)}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Hartree-Fock study of surface

$$\rho_{n,p}(r) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \rho(r) \pm \frac{\mu_a}{2a_a^V} \rho_a(r) \right]$$

Net density  $\rho$  usually parameterized w/Fermi function  $\rho(r) = \frac{\rho_0}{1 + \exp(\frac{r-R}{d})} \quad \text{with} \quad R = r_0 A^{1/3}$ 

Isovector density  $\rho_a$ ?? Related to  $S(\rho)$ ! In uniform matter

 $\mu_{a} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial (N - Z)} = \frac{\partial [S(\rho) \rho_{np}^{2} / \rho]}{\partial \rho_{np}} = \frac{2 S(\rho)}{\rho} \rho_{np}$ 

$$\Rightarrow \quad \rho_a = \frac{2a_a^V}{\mu_a} \rho_{np} = \frac{a_a^V \rho}{S(\rho)}$$

 $\implies$  Hartree-Fock study of surface

#### Half-Infinite Matter in Skyrme-Hartree-Fock To one side infinite uniform matter & vacuum to the other



matter interior/exterior:  $\phi(z) \propto \sin(k_z z + \delta(\mathbf{k}))$ 

 $\phi(z) \propto e^{-\kappa(\pmb{k})z}$ 

Discretization in k-space. Set of 1D HF eqs solved using Numerov's method until self-consistency:

 $-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*(z)}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\phi(z) + \left(\frac{\hbar^2 k_{\perp}^2}{2m^*(z)} + U(z)\right)\phi(z) = \epsilon(\mathbf{k})\phi(z)$ 

PD&Lee, NPA818(09)36. Before: Farine et al, NPA338(80)86



#### Asymmetry Dependence of Net Density



Danielewicz, Singh, Lee





$$\rho_a = rac{2a_a^V}{\mu_a}\left(
ho_n - 
ho_p
ight)$$

Half- $\infty$  matter results for different Skyrme interactions and asymmetries

#### PD&Lee NP818(09)36



Isovector Skin

Danielewicz, Singh, Lee

#### Invariant Densities

Data Analysis



Results f/different Skyrme ints in half- $\infty$  matter.

Isoscalar ( $\rho = \rho_n + \rho_p$ ; blue) & isovector ( $\rho_n - \rho_p$ ; green) densities displaced relative to each other.

As  $S(\rho)$  changes, so does displacement.



Danielewicz, Singh, Lee



Results f/different Skyrme ints in half- $\infty$  matter.

Isoscalar ( $\rho = \rho_n + \rho_p$ ; blue) & isovector ( $\rho_n - \rho_p$ ; green) densities displaced relative to each other.

As  $S(\rho)$  changes, so does displacement.



Danielewicz, Singh, Lee

## Strategies for Independent Densities

Jefferson Lab Direct:  $\sim p$ Interference:  $\sim n$ 



PD elastic:  $\sim p + n$ charge exchange:  $\sim n - p$ 







Danielewicz, Singh, Lee

#### Why Isovector Rather than Neutron Skins Isovector skin: in no-curvature, no-shell-effect, no-Coulomb limit, the same for every nucleus!

Not suppressed by low (N - Z)/A!

Nucleon (Lane) optical potential in isospin space:

$$U = U_0 + \frac{4\tau T}{A} U_1$$

isoscalar potential  $U_0 \propto \rho$ , isovector potential  $U_1 \propto (\rho_n - \rho_p)$ In elastic scattering  $U = U_0 \pm \frac{N-Z}{A} U_1$ 

In quasielastic charge-exchange (p,n) to IAS:  $U = \frac{4\tau_- T_+}{A} U_1$ Elastic scattering dominated by  $U_0$ Quasielastic governed by  $U_4$ 

Geometry usually assumed the same for  $U_0$  and  $U_1$ 

e.g. Koning & Delaroche NPA713(03)231

?Isovector skin  $\Delta R$  from comparison of elastic and quasielastic



#### Why Isovector Rather than Neutron Skins Isovector skin: in no-curvature, no-shell-effect, no-Coulomb limit, the same for every nucleus! Not suppressed by low (N - Z)/A!

Nucleon (Lane) optical potential in isospin space:

$$U = U_0 + \frac{4\tau T}{A} U_1$$

isoscalar potential  $U_0 \propto \rho$ , isovector potential  $U_1 \propto (\rho_n - \rho_p)$ In elastic scattering  $U = U_0 \pm \frac{N-Z}{A} U_1$ 

In quasielastic charge-exchange (p,n) to IAS:  $U = \frac{4\tau_- T_+}{A} U_1$ Elastic scattering dominated by  $U_0$ Quasielastic governed by  $U_4$ 

Geometry usually assumed the same for  $U_0$  and  $U_1$ 

e.g. Koning & Delaroche NPA713(03)231

?Isovector skin  $\Delta R$  from comparison of elastic and quasielastic



Isovector skin: in no-curvature, no-shell-effect, no-Coulomb limit, the same for every nucleus! Not suppressed by low (N - Z)/A!

Nucleon (Lane) optical potential in isospin space:

$$U=U_0+\frac{4\tau T}{A} U_1$$

isoscalar potential  $U_0 \propto \rho$ , isovector potential  $U_1 \propto (\rho_n - \rho_p)$ In elastic scattering  $U = U_0 \pm \frac{N-Z}{A} U_1$ 

In quasielastic charge-exchange (p,n) to IAS:  $U = \frac{4\tau - I_+}{A} U_1$ Elastic scattering dominated by  $U_0$ Quasielastic governed by  $U_1$ 

Geometry usually assumed the same for  $U_0$  and  $U_1$ 

e.g. Koning & Delaroche NPA713(03)231

?Isovector skin  $\Delta R$  from comparison of elastic and quasielastic



Isovector skin: in no-curvature, no-shell-effect, no-Coulomb limit, the same for every nucleus! Not suppressed by low (N - Z)/A!

Nucleon (Lane) optical potential in isospin space:

$$U=U_0+\frac{4\tau T}{A} U_1$$

isoscalar potential  $U_0 \propto \rho$ , isovector potential  $U_1 \propto (\rho_n - \rho_p)$ In elastic scattering  $U = U_0 \pm \frac{N-Z}{A} U_1$ 

In quasielastic charge-exchange (p,n) to IAS:  $U = \frac{4\tau_- T_+}{A} U_1$ Elastic scattering dominated by  $U_0$ Quasielastic governed by  $U_1$ Geometry usually assumed the same for  $U_0$  and  $U_1$ e.g. Koning & Delaroche NPA713(03)231 ?Isovector skin  $\Delta R$  from comparison of elastic and quasiela (p.n)-to-IAS scattering?



Isovector skin: in no-curvature, no-shell-effect, no-Coulomb limit, the same for every nucleus! Not suppressed by low (N - Z)/A!

Nucleon (Lane) optical potential in isospin space:

$$U=U_0+\frac{4\tau T}{A} U_1$$

isoscalar potential  $U_0 \propto \rho$ , isovector potential  $U_1 \propto (\rho_n - \rho_p)$ In elastic scattering  $U = U_0 \pm \frac{N-Z}{A} U_1$ 

In quasielastic charge-exchange (p,n) to IAS:  $U = \frac{4\tau_- T_+}{A} U_1$ Elastic scattering dominated by  $U_0$ Quasielastic governed by  $U_1$ 

Geometry usually assumed the same for  $U_0$  and  $U_1$  e.g. Koning & Delaroche NPA713(03)231 ?Isovector skin  $\Delta R$  from comparison of elastic and quasielas (p,n)-to-IAS scattering?



Isovector skin: in no-curvature, no-shell-effect, no-Coulomb limit, the same for every nucleus! Not suppressed by low (N - Z)/A!

Nucleon (Lane) optical potential in isospin space:

$$U=U_0+\frac{4\tau T}{A} U_1$$

isoscalar potential  $U_0 \propto \rho$ , isovector potential  $U_1 \propto (\rho_n - \rho_p)$ In elastic scattering  $U = U_0 \pm \frac{N-Z}{A} U_1$ 

In quasielastic charge-exchange (p,n) to IAS:  $U = \frac{4\tau_- T_+}{A} U_1$ Elastic scattering dominated by  $U_0$ Quasielastic governed by  $U_1$ 

Geometry usually assumed the same for  $U_0$  and  $U_1$ 

e.g. Koning & Delaroche NPA713(03)231

?Isovector skin  $\Delta R$  from comparison of elastic and quasielastic (p,n)-to-IAS scattering?



Isovector skin: in no-curvature, no-shell-effect, no-Coulomb limit, the same for every nucleus! Not suppressed by low (N - Z)/A!

Nucleon (Lane) optical potential in isospin space:

$$U=U_0+\frac{4\tau T}{A} U_1$$

isoscalar potential  $U_0 \propto \rho$ , isovector potential  $U_1 \propto (\rho_n - \rho_p)$ In elastic scattering  $U = U_0 \pm \frac{N-Z}{A} U_1$ 

In quasielastic charge-exchange (p,n) to IAS:  $U = \frac{4\tau_- T_+}{A} U_1$ Elastic scattering dominated by  $U_0$ Quasielastic governed by  $U_1$ 

Geometry usually assumed the same for  $U_0$  and  $U_1$ 

e.g. Koning & Delaroche NPA713(03)231

?Isovector skin  $\Delta R$  from comparison of elastic and quasielastic (p,n)-to-IAS scattering?

#### Expectations on Isovector Skin?



Much Larger Than Neutron! Surface radius  $R \simeq \sqrt{\frac{5}{3}} \langle r^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ rms neutron skin  $\langle r^2 \rangle_{\rho_n}^{1/2} - \langle r^2 \rangle_{\rho_p}^{1/2}$   $\simeq 2 \frac{N-Z}{A} \left[ \langle r^2 \rangle_{\rho_n-\rho_p}^{1/2} - \langle r^2 \rangle_{\rho_n+\rho_p}^{1/2} \right]$ rms isovector skin

Estimated  $\Delta R \sim 3\left(\langle r^2 \rangle_{\rho_n}^{1/2} - \langle r^2 \rangle_{\rho_p}^{1/2}\right)$  for <sup>48</sup>Ca/<sup>208</sup>Pb! Even before consideration of Coulomb effects that further enhances difference!



#### **Direct Reaction Primer**



DWBA:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}\propto \Big|\int\mathrm{d}r\,\Psi_{f}^{*}\,U_{1}\,\Psi_{i}\Big|^{2}$$

- Oscillations: 2-side interference/source size
- Fall-off: softness of source
- Filling of minimae: imaginary/real contributions, spin-orbit



Danielewicz, Singh, Lee

### Potentials Fit to Elastic in Quasielastic

E.g. Koning-Delaroche NPA713(03)231 same radii for neutrons/protons, isoscalar/isovector, focus on p elastic



#### Effect of Changing Isovector Radius



#### Effect of Changing Isoscalar Radius



#### Impact of U-Radii on (p,n) Cross Section



#### DWBA

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega} \propto \Big| \int \mathrm{d}r \, \Psi_p^*(r) \, U_1(r) \, \Psi_n(i) \Big|^2$$

Isoscalar radius responsible for holes in wavefunctions  $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ 

Isovector radius responsible for region where (p,n) conversion can occur



# Modified Koning-Delaroche Fits: $^{48}Ca$ In Koning-Delaroche: $R_{0,1} = R + \Delta R_{0,1}$ $a_{0,1} = a + \Delta a_{0,1}$



Danielewicz, Singh, Lee

# Modified Koning-Delaroche Fits: $^{90}$ ZrIn Koning-Delaroche: $R_{0,1} = R + \Delta R_{0,1}$ $a_{0,1} = a + \Delta a_{0,1}$





# Modified Koning-Delaroche Fits: $^{120}$ SnIn Koning-Delaroche: $R_{0,1} = R + \Delta R_{0,1}$ $a_{0,1} = a + \Delta a_{0,1}$





# Modified Koning-Delaroche Fits: $^{208}$ PbIn Koning-Delaroche: $R_{0,1} = R + \Delta R_{0,1}$ $a_{0,1} = a + \Delta a_{0,1}$







#### Difference in Surface Diffuseness



Isovector Skin

Danielewicz, Singh, Lee

#### **Constraints on Symmetry-Energy Parameters**





### Constraints on $S(\rho)$





### Conclusions

- Symmetry-energy polarizes nuclear densities, pushing isovector density out to region of low isoscalar density
- For large A, displacement of isovector relative to isoscalar surface is expected to be roughly independent of nucleus and depend on slope of symmetry energy
- Surface displacement can be studied in comparative analysis of data on elastic scattering and quasielastic charge-exchange reactions
- Such an analysis produces large isovector skins  $\Delta R \sim 0.9 \text{ fm!}$

• Symmetry energy is stiff! L = (65-90) MeV,  $a_a^V = (33-36)$  MeV PD&Lee NPA818(09)36 NPA922(14)1: PD. Singh *et al* 





### Conclusions

- Symmetry-energy polarizes nuclear densities, pushing isovector density out to region of low isoscalar density
- For large *A*, displacement of isovector relative to isoscalar surface is expected to be roughly independent of nucleus and depend on slope of symmetry energy
- Surface displacement can be studied in comparative analysis of data on elastic scattering and quasielastic charge-exchange reactions
- Such an analysis produces large isovector skins  $\Delta R \sim 0.9 \text{ fm!}$

• Symmetry energy is stiff! L = (65 - 90) MeV,  $a_a^V = (33 - 36) \text{ MeV}$ PD&Lee NPA818(09)36 NPA922(14)1; PD, Singh *et al* US PHY-1403906 + Indo-US Grant



### Conclusions

- Symmetry-energy polarizes nuclear densities, pushing isovector density out to region of low isoscalar density
- For large *A*, displacement of isovector relative to isoscalar surface is expected to be roughly independent of nucleus and depend on slope of symmetry energy
- Surface displacement can be studied in comparative analysis of data on elastic scattering and quasielastic charge-exchange reactions
- Such an analysis produces large isovector skins  $\Delta R \sim 0.9 \, \text{fm!}$
- Symmetry energy is stiff! L = (65 90) MeV,  $a_a^V = (33 36)$  MeV
- US PHY-1403906 + Indo-US Grant



### Conclusions

- Symmetry-energy polarizes nuclear densities, pushing isovector density out to region of low isoscalar density
- For large *A*, displacement of isovector relative to isoscalar surface is expected to be roughly independent of nucleus and depend on slope of symmetry energy
- Surface displacement can be studied in comparative analysis of data on elastic scattering and quasielastic charge-exchange reactions
- Such an analysis produces large isovector skins  $\Delta R \sim 0.9 \, \text{fm!}$
- Symmetry energy is stiff! L = (65 90) MeV,  $a_a^V = (33 36) \text{ MeV}$ PD&Lee NPA818(09)36 NPA922(14)1; PD, Singh *et al* US PHY-1403906 + Indo-US Grant



### Conclusions

- Symmetry-energy polarizes nuclear densities, pushing isovector density out to region of low isoscalar density
- For large *A*, displacement of isovector relative to isoscalar surface is expected to be roughly independent of nucleus and depend on slope of symmetry energy
- Surface displacement can be studied in comparative analysis of data on elastic scattering and quasielastic charge-exchange reactions
- Such an analysis produces large isovector skins  $\Delta R \sim 0.9 \, \text{fm!}$
- Symmetry energy is stiff!  $L = (65-90) \text{ MeV}, a_a^V = (33-36) \text{ MeV}$

PD&Lee NPA818(09)36 NPA922(14)1; PD, Singh *et al* US PHY-1403906 + Indo-US Grant



イロト イポト イヨト

### Conclusions

- Symmetry-energy polarizes nuclear densities, pushing isovector density out to region of low isoscalar density
- For large *A*, displacement of isovector relative to isoscalar surface is expected to be roughly independent of nucleus and depend on slope of symmetry energy
- Surface displacement can be studied in comparative analysis of data on elastic scattering and quasielastic charge-exchange reactions
- Such an analysis produces large isovector skins  $\Delta R \sim 0.9 \, \text{fm!}$
- Symmetry energy is stiff! L = (65-90) MeV,  $a_a^V = (33-36) \text{ MeV}$ PD&Lee NPA818(09)36 NPA922(14)1; PD, Singh *et al*
- US PHY-1403906 + Indo-US Grant

