

CITATION



SOME NUCLEAR ASPECTS OF THE SAKHAROV CONDITIONS

U. van Kolck

Institut de Physique Nucléaire d'Orsay and University of Arizona

Supported by CNRS and US DOE

Outline

- Matter vs Antimatter
- □ EFT and the Role of Nuclear Physics
- T Violation
- B Violation
- Conclusion

$$\eta \equiv \frac{N_B - N_{\overline{B}}}{N_B + N_{\overline{B}}} \bigg|_{T \ge 1 \text{ GeV}} \sim \frac{N_B - N_{\overline{B}}}{N_{\gamma}} \bigg|_{T \simeq 3 \text{ K}} \sim \frac{N_B}{N_{\gamma}} \bigg|_{T \simeq 3 \text{ K}} \simeq 6 \cdot 10^{-10}$$

$$\sum_{T \ge 3 \text{ K}} \frac{N_{\overline{B}}}{N_B} \bigg|_{T \simeq 3 \text{ K}} \lesssim 10^{-6}$$

- **I**. $B \neq 0$ as an initial condition. Difficulty: washed out by inflation
- II. B = O as initial condition but B ≠ O from "baryogenesis" (after inflation) Requirements? Sakharov '67

	1
R violation	I
	Second nart
$\Delta B \neq 0$ processes	
	I

□ deviation from thermal (and chemical) equilibrium: $\Delta B > 0$ and $\Delta B < 0$ processes not to occur at the same rate

C and CP violation: B = 0 (B ≠ 0) state (not) invariant under C and CP

First part

In Standard Model, all conditions fulfilled but not sufficient:

B violation:

violated by non-perturbative quantum effects from non-Abelian electroweak gauge group --- sphaleron processes, efficient only at temperatures above $M_{\rm EW} \sim 100$ GeV.



- deviation from thermal (and chemical) equilibrium: provided by expansion of the universe, but much too slow above M_{EW} ~ 100 GeV.
- C and CP violation:

both violated by weak interaction, but \mathcal{P} too small.

Wolfenstein '83

ttp://www-

l.gov/~jmuelmen,

Kobayashi + Maskawa '73

$$d_{j}$$

$$U_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda^{2}/2 & \lambda & \lambda^{3}A(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \lambda^{2}/2 & \lambda^{2}A \\ \lambda^{3}A(1 - \rho - i\eta) & -\lambda^{2}A & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{4})$$

$$J_{CP} = A^{2}\lambda^{6}(\eta) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{8}) \approx 3 \cdot 10^{-5}$$
Jarlskog '85

$$\lambda \approx 0.23 \quad A \approx 0.8 \quad \rho \approx 0.1 \quad (\eta) \approx 0.3$$

In Standard Model, all conditions fulfilled but not sufficient:

> B violation:

violated by non-perturbative quantum effects from non-Abelian electroweak gauge group --- sphaleron processes, efficient only at temperatures above $M_{\rm EW} \sim 100$ GeV.



.gov/~jmuelmen/

- deviation from thermal (and chemical) equilibrium: provided by expansion of the universe, but much too slow above M_{EW} ~ 100 GeV.
- C and CP violation:

both violated by weak interaction, but $\mathscr{C}P$ too small.

$$\begin{split} J_{CP} \left(m_t^2 - m_b^2 \right) & \left(m_t^2 - m_u^2 \right) \left(m_c^2 - m_u^2 \right) \left(m_b^2 - m_s^2 \right) & \left(m_b^2 - m_d^2 \right) \left(m_s^2 - m_d^2 \right) \\ & \sim 10^{-20} \ll \eta \end{split} \qquad \qquad \textit{e.g. Canetti, Drewes + Shaposhnikov '12} \end{split}$$

"Every disadvantage has its advantage." J. Cruijff (b. 1947), Dutch soccer player philosopher



Opportunity: any new signal of 7, B is likely to represent new physics

Issue: once a signal is observed, how many/which observables do we need to identify the new source(s) of 7, 8?

> Strategy: use Effective Field Theory to study various 7, 8 effects

$$Q$$

$$M_{T}, M_{|\Delta B|=1}, M_{|\Delta B|=2}, \dots \sim ?$$

$$M_{EW} \sim \langle \varphi \rangle, m_{Z}, m_{W}$$

$$\sim 100 \text{ GeV}$$

$$M_{QCD} \sim m_{N}, m_{p}, 4\pi f_{\pi}, \dots$$

$$\sim 1 \text{ GeV}$$

$$M_{muc} \sim f_{\pi}, 1/r_{NN}, m_{\pi}, \dots$$

$$\sim 100 \text{ MeV}$$

$$M_{dt} \sim \alpha m_{e}$$

$$\sim 3 \text{ keV}$$

$$M_{dt} \sim \alpha m_{e}$$

$$\sim 3 \text{ keV}$$

$$M_{T}, M_{|\Delta B|=2}, \dots \sim ?$$

$$M_{L}, M_{|\Delta B|=2}, \dots \sim ?$$

$$M_{L}, M_{D}, M_{T}, M_{T}, M_{T}, \dots$$

$$\sim 100 \text{ MeV}$$

$$M_{muc} \sim m_{e}$$

$$\sim 3 \text{ keV}$$

$$M_{dt} \sim \alpha m_{e}$$

$$\sim 3 \text{ keV}$$

Chiral Nuclear Filter



BSM models

source of Sviolation

> low-energy symmetry (violation) chiral symmetry

T VIOLATION

Effective Field Theory and Time-Reversal Violation in Light Nuclei

E. Mereghetti¹ and U. van Kolck^{2,3}

 ¹Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545
 ²Institut de Physique Nucléaire, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris Sud, 91406
 Orsay, France
 ³Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721

following

Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 2015. 65:1-31

This article's doi: 10.1146/10.1146/annurev-nucl-102014-022344

Keywords

fundamental symmetries, electric dipole moments, Standard Model and beyond, strong interactions, few-nucleon systems

Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics 71 (2013) 21-74



Review

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ppnp



for much more ...

Electric dipole moments of nucleons, nuclei, and atoms: The Standard Model and beyond



Jonathan Engel^a, Michael J. Ramsey-Musolf^{b,c,*}, U. van Kolck^{d,e}

Electromagnetic Form Factors Electric Magnetic Toroidal polarity 0 P,TS = 0(charge, mono) $\not P, T$ P,TS = 1/2(di, ana) P,T $\not P,T$ P,T2 S = 1(quadru) etc. \vec{S} \vec{E} (Permanent) Electric X Dipole Moment (EDM) $\stackrel{P}{\rightarrow} - d \vec{S} \cdot \left(-\vec{E}\right) = -H_{edm}$ $\stackrel{T}{\rightarrow} - d \left(-\vec{S}\right) \cdot \vec{E} = -H_{edm}$ ÷ $\vec{S} \vec{E}$ $H_{edm} = -d \vec{S} \cdot \vec{E}$ ·

inside.hlrs.de/_old/htm/Edition_01_11/article_11.ht

Weak interactions:
$$d_n \sim e \frac{G_F^2}{(4\pi)^4} \left(\frac{m_t}{M_W}\right)^2 J_{CP} \left(4\pi f_\pi\right)^3 \approx 10^{-19} e \,\mathrm{fm}$$

e.g. Donoghue, Golowich + Holstein '92

Experiment:

$$d_n = (0.2 \pm 1.5(\text{stat}) \pm 0.7(\text{syst})) \cdot 10^{-13} e \text{ fm} \qquad \text{Baker et al '06 (ILL)}$$
$$\sim > 10^{-15} e \text{ fm (UCN, proposed)} \qquad \begin{cases} \text{Bodek et al (ILL+PSI)} \\ \text{Budker et al (SNS)} \end{cases}$$

 $\begin{vmatrix} d_{Hg} \end{vmatrix} < 3.1 \cdot 10^{-16} e \text{ fm } (95\% \text{ c.l.}) \\ \text{Griffith et al '09 (UW)} \\ \text{Nuclear Schiff moment from RPA, ...} \\ \text{Dmitriev + Sen'kov '03} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} d_p \end{vmatrix} < 7.9 \cdot 10^{-12} e \text{ fm} \end{aligned}$

 $|d_d| \sim > 10^{-16} e \text{ fm} \text{ (storage ring, proposed)}$ Orlov *et al* (BNL? COSY?)

Proton and 3He as well? How about 3H?

$$Q \cdot M_{T} - ?$$

$$M_{EW} - \langle \varphi \rangle, m_{Z}, m_{W}$$

$$-100 \text{ GeV}$$

$$M_{QCD} - m_{N}, m_{\rho}, 4\pi f_{\pi}, \dots$$

$$-1 \text{ GeV}$$

$$M_{nuc} - f_{\pi}, 1/r_{NN}, m_{\pi}, \dots$$

$$-100 \text{ MeV}$$

$$M_{at} - \alpha m_{e}$$

$$-3 \text{ keV}$$

The Way of EFT

unknown physics

Standard Model (incl higher dim ops) quarks, gluons, leptons, photon, weak bosons, Higgs (+dark matter)

 $SO(3,1), SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$

neglected here

$$\begin{split} M_{EW} \sim \langle \varphi \rangle, m_{\chi}, m_{W} \sim 100 \text{ GeV} \\ \mathcal{L}_{SM} = \overline{q}_{L} \gamma^{\mu} \Big[\dots - g_{2} \tau_{\pm} W_{\pm \mu} \widehat{U}_{q} \Big] q_{L} \\ + \overline{q}_{L} \Big[f_{u} \varphi_{u} u_{R} + f_{d} \varphi_{d} d_{R} \Big] + \text{H.c.} + \frac{g_{s}^{2} \overline{\theta}}{16\pi^{2}} \text{Tr} G^{\mu\nu} \widetilde{G}_{\mu\nu} + \dots \\ e.g. \text{ single Higgs } \varphi_{u}^{i} = \varepsilon^{ij} \varphi_{dj}^{*} \\ \overline{G}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} G^{\rho\sigma} \\ - \frac{1}{M_{\gamma'}^{2}} \overline{q}_{L} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \Big[\widetilde{G}_{\mu\nu} \left(\widehat{g}_{u} \varphi_{u} u_{R} + \widehat{g}_{d} \varphi_{d} d_{R} \right) \\ + \left(\widehat{g}_{Ru} \widetilde{B}_{\mu\nu} + \overline{g}_{Wu} \widetilde{W}_{\mu\nu} \tau_{3} \right) \varphi_{u} u_{R} + \left(\overline{g}_{Bd} \widetilde{B}_{\mu\nu} + \overline{g}_{Wd} \widetilde{W}_{\mu\nu} \tau_{3} \right) \varphi_{d} d_{R} \Big] + \text{H.c.} \\ + \frac{W}{M_{\gamma'}^{2}} f^{abc} G_{\mu\nu}^{a} \widetilde{G}^{b\nu\rho} G_{\rho}^{c\mu} \\ + \frac{W}{M_{\gamma'}^{2}} f^{abc} G_{\mu\nu}^{a} \widetilde{G}^{b\nu\rho} G_{\rho}^{c\mu} \\ + \frac{(4\pi)^{2}}{M_{\gamma'}^{2}} i \varepsilon_{ij} \left(\sigma_{1} \overline{q}_{L}^{i} u_{R} \overline{q}_{L}^{j} d_{R} + \sigma_{8} \overline{q}_{L}^{i} \lambda^{a} u_{R} \overline{q}_{L}^{j} \lambda^{a} d_{R} \right) + \text{H.c.} \\ + \frac{(4\pi)^{2}}{M_{\gamma'}^{2}} i \varepsilon_{ij} \left(\sigma_{1} \overline{q}_{L}^{i} u_{R} \overline{q}_{L}^{j} d_{R} + \sigma_{8} \overline{q}_{L}^{i} \lambda^{a} u_{R} \overline{q}_{L}^{j} \lambda^{a} d_{R} \right) + \text{H.c.} \\ + \frac{(4\pi)^{2}}{M_{\gamma'}^{2}} i \varepsilon_{ij} \left(\sigma_{1} \overline{q}_{L}^{i} u_{R} \overline{q}_{L}^{j} d_{R} + \sigma_{8} \overline{q}_{L}^{i} \lambda^{a} u_{R} \overline{q}_{L}^{j} \lambda^{a} d_{R} \right) + \text{H.c.} \\ + \frac{(4\pi)^{2}}{M_{\gamma'}^{2}} i \varepsilon_{ij} \left(\sigma_{1} \overline{q}_{L}^{i} u_{R} \overline{q}_{L}^{i} d_{R} + \sigma_{8} \overline{q}_{L}^{i} \lambda^{a} u_{R} \overline{q}_{L}^{j} \lambda^{a} d_{R} \right) + \text{H.c.} \\ + \frac{(4\pi)^{2}}{M_{\gamma'}^{2}} i \varepsilon_{ij} \left(\sigma_{1} \overline{q}_{L}^{i} u_{R} \overline{q}_{L}^{i} d_{R} + \sigma_{8} \overline{q}_{L}^{i} \lambda^{a} u_{R} \overline{q}_{L}^{j} \lambda^{a} d_{R} \right) + \text{H.c.} \\ + \frac{(4\pi)^{2}}{M_{\gamma'}^{2}} i \varepsilon_{ij} \left(\sigma_{1} \overline{q}_{L}^{i} u_{R} \overline{q}_{L}^{i} d_{R} + \sigma_{8} \overline{q}_{L}^{i} \lambda^{a} u_{R} \overline{q}_{L}^{j} \lambda^{a} d_{R} \right) + \text{H.c.} \\ + \frac{(4\pi)^{2}}{M_{\gamma'}^{2}} i \varepsilon_{ij} \left(\sigma_{1} \overline{q}_{L}^{i} u_{R} \overline{q}_{L}^{i} d_{R} + \sigma_{8} \overline{q}_{L}^{i} \lambda^{a} u_{R} \overline{q}_{L}^{j} \lambda^{a} d_{R} \right) + \text{H.c.} \\ + \frac{(4\pi)^{2}}{M_{\gamma'}^{2}} i \varepsilon_{ij} \left(\sigma_{1} \overline{q}_{L}^{i} u_{R} \overline{q}_{L}^{i} d_{R} + \sigma_{8} \overline{q}_{L}^{i} \lambda^{a} u_{R} \overline{q}_{L}^{j} \lambda^{a} d_{R} \right) + \text{H.c.} \\ + \frac{(4\pi)^{2}}{M_{\gamma'}^{2}} i \varepsilon_{ij} \left(\sigma_{1} \overline{q}_{L} \overline{q}_{R} + \sigma_{1} \overline{q}_{L} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \frac{$$

 $M_{QCD} \sim m_N, m_\rho, 4\pi f_\pi, \dots \sim 1 \,\mathrm{GeV}$



Dekens + De Vries '13



$\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = \overline{q} \left(i\partial + g_s G \right) q - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} G^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}$	two
$-\overline{m}\overline{q}q + \varepsilon\overline{m}\overline{q}\tau_{3}q + \frac{\overline{m}}{2}\left(1 - \varepsilon^{2}\right)\overline{\theta}\overline{q}i\gamma_{5}q$	
$-\frac{1}{2}\overline{q}\left(c_{q}^{(0)}+c_{q}^{(1)}\tau_{3}\right)\sigma_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^{\mu\nu}q$ $q CEDM$	$C_q^{(i)}$
$-\frac{1}{2}\overline{q}\Big(d_{q}^{(0)} + d_{q}^{(1)}\tau_{3}\Big)\sigma_{\mu\nu}q\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \qquad \text{qEDM}$	$d_q^{(i)}$
$+\frac{c_G}{6} f^{abc} G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{b\nu\rho} G^{c\mu}_{\rho} \qquad \qquad$	0
$+\frac{C_1}{4} \left(\overline{q}q \ \overline{q}i\gamma_5 q - \overline{q}\mathbf{\tau}q \cdot \overline{q}i\gamma_5 \mathbf{\tau}q \right) $ CIC	C _C
$+\frac{C_8}{4}\left(\overline{q}\lambda^a q \ \overline{q}i\gamma_5\lambda^a q - \overline{q}\mathbf{\tau}\lambda^a q \cdot \overline{q}i\gamma_5\mathbf{\tau}\lambda^a q\right)$	$C_i =$
$+\frac{D_{1}}{4}\varepsilon_{3ij} \overline{q}\tau_{i}\gamma^{\mu}q\overline{q}\tau_{j}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}q \qquad \qquad \text{LRC}$	$D_i =$
$+\frac{D_8}{4}\varepsilon_{3ij}\overline{q}\tau_i\gamma^\mu\lambda^a q\overline{q}\tau_j\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\lambda^a q$	
\mathcal{I} N.B. To this order, \mathcal{I}	$f \to \not P$

flavors $q = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}$



 $=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{e\breve{g}}{f}\frac{\overline{m}}{M_{\varUpsilon}^{2}}\right)$



 $= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{(4\pi)^2 \sigma_i}{M_{\chi}^2}\right)$

 $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\left(4\pi\right)^2\xi}{M_{\tau}^2}\right)$

$$Q$$

$$M_{\tau} \sim ?$$

$$M_{EW} \sim \langle \varphi \rangle, m_{Z}, m_{W}$$

$$\sim 100 \text{ GeV}$$

$$M_{QCD} \sim m_{N}, m_{\rho}, 4\pi f_{\pi}, \dots$$

$$\sim 1 \text{ GeV}$$

$$M_{muc} \sim f_{\pi}, 1/r_{NN}, m_{\pi}, \dots$$

$$\sim 100 \text{ MeV}$$

$$M_{uc} \sim \alpha m_{e}$$

$$\sim 3 \text{ keV}$$
The Way of EFT
$$mucleons, pions, electron, \dots, photon so(3, 1), U(1)_{em}, sU_{L}(2) \times SU_{R}(2)$$

Mereghetti, Hockings + v.K. '10 De Vries *et al*, '13

 $M_{nuc} \sim f_{\pi}, 1/r_{NN}, m_{\pi}, \dots \sim 100 \text{ MeV}$



$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{QCD} &= \overline{q} \left(i \widehat{\vartheta} + g_s \mathcal{G} \right) q - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} G^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu} & \text{two flavors } q = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix} \\ &-\overline{m} \, \overline{q} q + \varepsilon \overline{m} \, \overline{q} \tau_3 q + \frac{\overline{m}}{2} \left(1 - \varepsilon^2 \right) \overline{\theta} \, \overline{q} i \gamma_5 q & \text{sU}_L(2) \times SU_R(2) \sim SO(4) \\ &-\frac{1}{2} \, \overline{q} \left(c_q^{(0)} + c_q^{(1)} \tau_3 \right) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{G}^{\mu\nu} q & q \\ &-\frac{1}{2} \, \overline{q} \left(d_q^{(0)} + d_q^{(1)} \tau_3 \right) \sigma_{\mu\nu} q \, \widetilde{F}^{\mu\nu} & q \\ &-\frac{1}{2} \, \overline{q} \left(d_q^{(0)} + d_q^{(1)} \tau_3 \right) \sigma_{\mu\nu} q \, \widetilde{F}^{\mu\nu} & q \\ &+ \frac{C_G}{6} \, f^{abc} \, G_{\mu\nu}^a \widetilde{G}^{b\nu\rho} G_{\rho}^{c\mu} & g \\ &+ \frac{C_1}{4} \left(\overline{q} q \, \overline{q} i \gamma_5 q - \overline{q} \tau q \cdot \overline{q} i \gamma_5 \tau q \right) & \text{CIC} \\ &+ \frac{C_8}{4} \left(\overline{q} \lambda^a q \, \overline{q} i \gamma_5 \lambda^a q - \overline{q} \tau \lambda^a q \cdot \overline{q} i \gamma_5 \tau \lambda^a q \right) & CIC \\ &+ \frac{D_1}{4} \, \varepsilon_{3ij} \, \overline{q} \tau_i \gamma^{\mu} q \, \overline{q} \tau_j \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 q \\ &+ \frac{D_8}{4} \, \varepsilon_{3ij} \, \overline{q} \tau_i \gamma^{\mu} \lambda^a q \, \overline{q} \tau_j \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 \lambda^a q \\ &+ \dots \end{aligned}$$
 N.B. To this order, $\mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{I}$

Mereghetti, Hockings + v.K. '10 De Vries *et al*, '13

Key to disentangle TV sources: each breaks chiral symmetry in a particular way, and thus produces *different* hadronic interactions

∂ a chiral pseudo-vector: same as quark mass difference
 → link to P,T-conserving charge symmetry breaking

qCEDM a chiral vector

CI

gCEDM

CIC

LRC a rank-2 chiral tensor

gEDM another rank-2 chiral tensor

chiral invariants: cannot be separated at low energies, $\{w, \sigma_{1.8}\} \rightarrow w$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\chi PT} &= N^{\dagger} \Biggl(iv \cdot \mathcal{D} + \frac{\mathcal{D}^{2}}{2m_{N}} \Biggr) N + \dots \\ &\quad -2 N^{\dagger} \Biggl(\overline{d}_{0} + \overline{d}_{1}\tau_{3} \Biggr) S_{\mu} N v_{\nu} F^{\mu\nu} & \text{short-range EDM} \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2f_{\pi}} N^{\dagger} \Biggl(\overline{g}_{0} \mathbf{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{\pi} + \overline{g}_{1}\pi_{3} \Biggr) N & \text{PV, TV} \\ &\quad \text{pion-nucleon coupling} \\ &\quad + \overline{C}_{1} N^{\dagger} N \partial_{\mu} \Biggl(N^{\dagger} S^{\mu} N \Biggr) + \overline{C}_{2} N^{\dagger} \mathbf{\tau} N \cdot \partial_{\mu} \Biggl(N^{\dagger} S^{\mu} \mathbf{\tau} N \Biggr) \\ &\quad - \frac{\overline{\Delta}}{2f_{\pi}} \mathbf{\pi}^{2} \pi_{3} & \text{PV, TV two-nucleon contact} \\ &\quad \text{three-pion coupling} \\ &\quad + \dots \\ \swarrow \\ &\quad \mathbf{L} \\ \text{terms related by} \\ \text{chiral symmetry} \\ &\quad + \text{higher orders} \\ v^{\mu} &= \Biggl(0, \frac{\overline{\sigma}}{2} \Biggr) \text{ spin} \end{aligned}$$
 Where are the differences? \\ \end{aligned}

There are differences! For example,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T},\pi N} = -\frac{1}{2f_{\pi}D} \overline{N} \left[\overline{g}_0 \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} + \overline{g}_1 \pi_3 \right] N + \dots$$

$$\overline{g}_{0} = \mathcal{O}\left(\overline{\theta} | \frac{m_{\pi}^{2}}{M_{QCD}}, \frac{\widehat{g}}{f} \frac{m_{\pi}^{2}M_{QCD}}{M_{\gamma}^{2}}, \frac{\widetilde{g}}{f} | \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \frac{m_{\pi}^{2}M_{QCD}}{M_{\gamma}^{2}}, w \frac{m_{\pi}^{2}M_{QCD}}{M_{\gamma}^{2}}, \varepsilon\xi \frac{M_{QCD}^{3}}{M_{\gamma}^{2}}\right)$$

$$\overline{g}_{1} = \mathcal{O}\left(\overline{\theta} | \frac{m_{\pi}^{4}}{M_{QCD}^{3}}, \frac{\widehat{g}}{f} \frac{m_{\pi}^{2}M_{QCD}}{M_{\gamma}^{2}}, \frac{\widetilde{g}}{f} \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \frac{m_{\pi}^{2}M_{QCD}}{M_{\gamma}^{2}}, \frac{\widetilde{g}}{f} \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \frac{m_{\pi}^{2}M_{QCD}}{M_{\gamma}^{2}}, \varepsilonw \frac{m_{\pi}^{2}M_{QCD}}{M_{\gamma}^{2}}, \xi \frac{M_{QCD}^{3}}{M_{\gamma}^{2}}\right)$$

different orders; two-derivative interactions important at higher order

pion physics suppressed comparable to two-derivative interactions

N.B.
1)
$$\overline{g}_2 \overline{N} \pi_3 \tau_3 N$$
 in high orders for *all* sources up to dim 6
2) for θ , link to CSB, *e.g.*
 $\overline{g}_0 \approx \frac{\overline{\theta}}{2\varepsilon} (m_n - m_p)_{qm}$
 $\approx 3 \overline{\theta} \text{ MeV}$

Mereghetti,
Hockings + v.K. '10
using lattice QCD
(Beane et al '06)



$$d^{(1)} = \overline{d}^{(1)} + \frac{eg_A \overline{g}_0}{(4\pi f_\pi)^2} \left[L + 2\ln\frac{\mu}{m_\pi} + \frac{5\pi}{4} \frac{m_\pi}{m_N} \left(1 + \frac{\overline{g}_1}{5\overline{g}_0} \right) - \frac{\left(m_{\pi^\pm}^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2\right)_{em}}{m_\pi^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m_\pi^2}{M_{QCD}^2}\right) \right]$$

$$L = \frac{2}{4-d} - \gamma_E + \ln 4\pi$$

$$\theta + |d_n| \ge \frac{2eg_A \delta m_N}{(4\pi f_\pi)^2} \frac{1-\varepsilon^2}{2\varepsilon} \overline{\theta} \ln \frac{m_N}{m_\pi} \approx 2.0 \cdot 10^{-3} \overline{\theta} e \text{ fm}$$
renormalization
$$d^{(0)} = \overline{d}^{(0)} + \frac{\pi eg_A \overline{g}_0}{(4\pi f_\pi)^2} \left[0 + \frac{3m_\pi}{4m_N} \left(1 + \frac{\overline{g}_1}{3\overline{g}_0} \right) - \frac{\left(m_n - m_p\right)_{em}}{m_\pi} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m_\pi^2}{M_{QCD}^2}\right) \right]$$

$$\theta + |d^{(0)}| \ge \frac{eg_A \delta m_N}{(4\pi f_\pi)^2} \frac{1-\varepsilon^2}{2\varepsilon} \overline{\theta} \left[\frac{3\pi}{4} \frac{m_\pi}{m_N} - \frac{\delta m_N}{m_\pi} \right] \approx 1.4 \cdot 10^{-4} \overline{\theta} e \text{ fm}$$
Example from lattice Mereghetti + vK '15
no sign of chiral loop but



no sign of chiral loop, but consistent with naïve estimate

$$\bar{d}_0(\mu) = (-0.04 \pm 0.45) \frac{m_\pi^2}{(2\pi F_\pi)^3} e \sin \bar{\theta}$$
$$\bar{d}_1(\mu) = (0.05 \pm 0.45) \frac{m_\pi^2}{(2\pi F_\pi)^3} e \sin \bar{\theta}$$

$$S^{(1)} = \frac{eg_{A}\overline{g}_{0}}{6(4\pi f_{\pi})^{2} m_{\pi}^{2}} \left[1 - \frac{5\pi}{4} \frac{m_{\pi}}{m_{N}} - \frac{(m_{\pi^{\pm}}^{2} - m_{\pi^{0}}^{2})_{em}}{m_{\pi}^{2}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m_{\pi}^{2}}{M_{QCD}^{2}}\right) \right]$$

only parameter!
$$\theta \\\approx 6.8 \cdot 10^{-5} \overline{\theta} \ e \ fm^{3}$$
$$S^{(0)} = -\frac{eg_{A}\overline{g}_{0}}{6(4\pi f_{\pi})^{2} m_{\pi}^{2}} \left[0 + \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{(m_{n} - m_{p})_{qm}}{m_{\pi}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m_{\pi}^{2}}{M_{QCD}^{2}}\right) \right]$$
$$\theta \\\approx -5.0 \cdot 10^{-6} \overline{\theta} \ e \ fm^{3}$$

momentum dependence of EDFF from lattice would isolate \overline{g}_0

Nuclear EDMs, MQMs, ...





generic LO, but effect vanishes for θ when N=Z



Maekawa, Mereghetti, De Vries + v.K. '11 De Vries, Mereghetti, Timmermans + v.K. '13

> Weinberg '90, '91 Ordónez + v.K. '92



from solution of the Schrödinger equation

introduces dependence on binding energy B_{A}

Crewther *et al.* '79 Thomas '95

De Vries et al. '10'11

Light-Nuclear EDMs (LO)

De Vries *et al* '11 '13 Bsaisou *et al* '13 '14 '15

qCEDM gCEDM, CIC θ term LRC qEDM $\mathcal{O}\left(\xi \frac{M_{QCD}^2}{M_{\chi}^2}\right)$ $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\breve{g}}{f}\frac{M_{nuc}^2}{M_{\varkappa}^2}\right)$ $\mathcal{O}\left(w\frac{M_{QCD}^2}{M_{\chi}^2}\right)$ $m_n \frac{d_n}{\rho} \quad \mathcal{O}\left(\overline{\theta} \frac{M_{nuc}^2}{M_{OCD}^2}\right) \quad \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\widehat{g}}{f} \frac{M_{nuc}^2}{M_{T}^2}\right)$ $\frac{d_p}{d_p}$ $\mathcal{O}(1)$ $\mathcal{O}(1)$ $\mathcal{O}(1)$ $\mathcal{O}(1)$ $\mathcal{O}(1)$ $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M_{QCD}^2}{M_{nuc}^2}\right)$ $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M_{QCD}^2}{M_{nuc}^2}\right)$ $\frac{d_d}{d_n}$ $\mathcal{O}(1)$ $\mathcal{O}(1)$ $\mathcal{O}(1)$ $\frac{d_h}{d_n}$ $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M_{QCD}^2}{M_{nuc}^2}\right)$ $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M_{QCD}^2}{M_{pwc}^2}\right)$ $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M_{QCD}^2}{M_{muc}^2}\right)$ $\mathcal{O}(1)$ $\mathcal{O}(1)$ $\frac{d_t}{d_h}$ $\mathcal{O}(1)$ $\mathcal{O}(1)$ $\mathcal{O}(1)$ $\mathcal{O}(1)$ $\mathcal{O}(1)$

Mereghetti + vK '15

	Potential (references)	d_n	d_p	\bar{g}_0/F_{π}	\bar{g}_1/F_{π}	$\bar{C}_1 F_\pi^3$	$\bar{C}_2 F_\pi^3$	$\bar{\Delta}/F_{\pi}m_N$			
	Perturbative pion [135, 147]	1	1		-0.23						
d_d	Av18 [87, 131, 136–138]	0.91	0.91		-0.19						
	$N^{2}LO$ [87,137]	0.94	0.94		-0.18						
	Av18 [132, 136, 138]	-0.05	0.90	0.15	-0.28	0.01	-0.02	n/a			
d_t	Av18+UIX [87, 134]	-0.05	0.90	0.07	-0.14	0.002	-0.005	0.02			
d_h	$N^{2}LO$ [87]	-0.03	0.92	0.11	-0.14	0.05	-0.10	0.02			
	Av18 [132, 136, 138]	0.88	-0.05	-0.15	-0.28	-0.01	0.02	n/a			
	Av18+UIX [87, 134]	0.88	-0.05	-0.07	-0.14	-0.002	0.005	0.02			
	$N^{2}LO$ [87]	0.90	-0.03	-0.11	-0.14	-0.05	0.11	0.02			
[135] De Vries <i>et al</i> '11 [147] Khriplovich + Korkin '00 [87] Bsaisou <i>et al</i> '15											
[131] Liu + Timmermans '04 [136] De Vries <i>et al</i> '11 [137] Bsaisou <i>et al</i> '13						<i>et al</i> '13					
[138] Yamanaka + Hiyama '15 [132] Stetcu <i>et al</i> '08 [134] Song <i>et al</i> '13						<i>a</i> /'13					
all garge to 10% or better											
> $d_d \simeq d_n + d_p$ for θ term, qEDM, gCEDM, CIC											
$\left(d_{h}+d_{t} \simeq 0.84\left(d_{n}+d_{p}\right)\right)$ for θ term and qEDM some separation of											
> $\left\langle d_{h} - d_{t} \simeq 0.94 \left(d_{n} - d_{n} \right) \right\rangle$ for qEDM sources possible											
$d_1 + d_2 \approx 3d_1$ for a CEDM											

B VIOLATION

in progress, with Bingwei Long (Sichuan) and Jan Bakker (Groningen), Rob Timmermans (Groningen), Jordy de Vries (NIKHEF)

$$\begin{split} & \underset{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{M}}}{\overset{\text{Weinberg '79}}{\overset{\text{Wiczek + Zee '79}}{\overset{\text{Musczek + Zee '80}}{\overset{\text{Musczek + Zee '80}}{\overset{\text{Musczek + Zee '82}}{\overset{\text{Musczek + Zee '82}}{\overset{\text{Musczek + Zee '80}}{\overset{\text{Musczek + Zee '82}}{\overset{\text{Musczek + Zee '72}}{\overset{\text{Musczek + Zee '72}}{\overset{\text{Musczek$$

interesting only if B - L not exact (why would it be?) $M_{|\Delta B|=2} \ll M_{|\Delta B|=1}$ (true in some models)

Abbott + Wise '82

RG

Özer '82 Caswell, Milutinović + Senjanović '83

Buchoff + Wagman '15

Conclusion

- Baryon asymmetry in the universe suggests that new sources of B, T might be important, but they must exist anyway because B, T broken already in SM
- EFT provides a model-independent framework for B, T in the SM and beyond
- Chiral symmetry provides a handle to (partially) distinguish B, T sources at low energies
- Light-nuclear EDM measurements could provide the needed data to isolate X sources
- B in progress

Stronger conclusions possible with [lattice QCD calculations for various B, X sources] heavy nuclear EFT formulation.