

Worldwide Search for a Neutron Electric Dipole Moment

Florian Piegsa ETH Zürich – Institute for Particle Physics

INT Workshop – QCD for New Physics at the Precision Frontier

SLIDES KINDLY PROVIDED BY:

- Brad Filippone (CalTech) SNS EDM
- Rüdiger Picker (TRIUMF)
- Anatolii Serebrov (PNPI)
- Maurits van der Grinten (RAL) CryoEDM
- Takeyasu Ito (Los Alamos)
- Peter Fierlinger (TUM / FRM2)
- Clark Griffith (Sussex) Hg EDM

A Worldwide Endeavor



TRIUMF (Vancouver) – LANL (Los Alamos) – SNS (Oak Ridge) – RAL (Oxford) – ILL (Grenoble) – PSI (Villigen) – FRM2/TUM (Munich) – PNPI/PIK (St. Petersburg) – RCNP (Osaka) – ...



Introduction & Motivation

- Ultracold Neutrons
- Ramsey's Method & nEDM
- nEDM Experiment at PSI



Introduction & Motivation

High Energy Frontier

Direct production of new particles





High Intensity/Precision Frontier

Search for a (neutron) EDM



Electric Dipole Moment (EDM)

$$\mathcal{H} = -\mu \cdot \frac{\vec{S}}{|\vec{S}|} \cdot \vec{B} - d \cdot \frac{\vec{S}}{|\vec{S}|} \cdot \vec{E}$$

(non-relativistic interaction Hamiltonian)



A non-zero EDM of a fundamental particle violates parity (P) and time-reversal symmetry (T).

With CPT conservation^{*}, it follows CP violation.

* Lüders, Ann. Phys. 2, 1 (1957)

Baryon Asymmetry in our Universe

Electroweak SM
expectation:Observed*: $n_B - n_{\overline{B}}$
 $n_{\gamma} \approx 10^{-18}$ vs. $\frac{n_B - n_{\overline{B}}}{n_{\gamma}} \approx 6 \times 10^{-10}$

Connection between Cosmology and SM of Particle Physics !



Sakharov criteria for Baryogenesis in the early universe:

- 1. Baryon number violation
- 2. C and CP violation
- **3. Thermal non-equilibrium** JETP Lett. 5, 24 (1967)



* e.g. WMAP, COBE, Planck



Electroweak SM:

CP violation is included in the SM via the phase in the CKM matrix. However, the SM CP violation is very small and accounts for a neutron EDM of only $10^{-31\pm1}$ e cm *,**,***.

$$\mathsf{CKM} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & -s_1c_3 & -s_1s_3\\ s_1c_2 & c_1c_2c_3 - s_2s_3e^{i\delta} & c_1c_2s_3 + s_2c_3e^{i\delta}\\ s_1s_2 & c_1s_2c_3 + c_2s_3e^{i\delta} & c_1s_2s_3 - c_2c_3e^{i\delta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{\mathrm{ud}} & V_{\mathrm{us}} & V_{\mathrm{ub}}\\ V_{\mathrm{cd}} & V_{\mathrm{cs}} & V_{\mathrm{cb}}\\ V_{\mathrm{td}} & V_{\mathrm{ts}} & V_{\mathrm{tb}} \end{pmatrix}$$



* Mannel, Uraltsev, Phys. Rev. D 85, 096002 (2012) ** He, McKellar, Pakvasa, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A4, 5011 (1989) *** Khriplovich, Zhitinitsky, Phys. Lett. B 109, 490 (1982)



QCD – Strong CP-Problem:

QCD includes a CP violating term. The strength of the CP violation is characterized by the angle θ_{QCD} , which is expected to be of order one.

$$\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = \mathcal{L}_{QCD}^{\theta_{QCD}=0} + \frac{g^2}{32\pi^2} \theta_{QCD} G\widetilde{G}$$

Lattice QCD*:
$$d_n^{QCD} = (-2.9 \pm 0.9) \cdot 10^{-16} \theta_{QCD}$$
 e cm
 $d_p^{QCD} = (+1.1 \pm 1.1) \cdot 10^{-16} \theta_{QCD}$ e cm
With current nEDM limit**: $\theta_{QCD} \leq 10^{-10}$
Axion's as a possible way out*** ?!?! * Guo, Meissner, JHEP 12, 097 (2012)
** Baker et al., PRL 97, 131801 (2006)
*** Peccei & Quinn, PRL 38, 1440 (1977)



SUSY CP-Problem:

Probing for new physics at very high energies, even beyond the reach of large accelerators/colliders !





with: $M_{SUSY} = 2$ TeV, tan $\beta = 3$

Combination of EDM constrains (e, n & Hg) to a constrain on CP violating SUSY phases

Pospelov, Ritz, Ann. Phys. 318, 119 (2005) updated: Ritz, Lepton Moments (2014)

EDM Searches

System	Upper Limit [e cm]	Ref.	Comment
Neutron	3.0 x 10 ⁻²⁶ (90%CL)	[1]	direct limit
Muon	1.9 x 10 ⁻¹⁹ (95% CL)	[2]	direct limit
¹⁹⁹ Hg	3.1 x 10 ⁻²⁹ (95% CL)	[3]	best dir. limit of any EDM & indir. limit for proton: $d_p < 7.9 \ge 10^{-25}$ e cm (also provides indir. limits for n & e)
²⁰⁵ TI	9 x 10 ⁻²⁵ (90% CL)	[4]	used to set a limit for the electron: d _e < 1.6 x 10 ⁻²⁷ ecm
YbF	1.1 x 10 ⁻²² (90% CL)	[5]	<i>d</i> _e < 1.05 x 10⁻² ⁷ ecm
ThO		[6]	<i>d</i> _e < 8.7 x 10 ^{−29} ecm
Xe, Ra, Rn, p, d, Molecules,			

[1] Baker et al., PRL 97, 131801 (2006), Pendlebury et al., arXiv:1509.04411

Diamagnetic atom

[2] Bennett et al., PRD 80, 052008 (2009)

[3] Griffith et al., PRL 102, 101601 (2009)

[4] Regan et al., PRL 88, 071805 (2002)

[5] Hudson et al., Nature 473, 493 (2011)

[6] ACME Collaboration, Science 343, 269 (2014)

Paramagnetic atom

Paramagnetic/Polar molecule



Neutrons in a Bottle (UCN)



- Ultracold Neutrons (UCN) behave similar to an ideal gas with temperatures in the mK range
- Velocities ≈ a few m/s
- Storable in material traps/bottles





Ultracold Neutrons – Production



Ultracold Neutrons – New Sources

Superthermal UCN Production



- SF He: Golub & Pendlebury, PL 62A, 337 (1977)
- SD₂: Golub & Böning, ZPB 51, 95 (1983) Yu, Malik & Golub, ZPB 62, 137 (1986)

SF He: small R, long τ SD₂: large R, short τ

Paul Scherrer Institute





Solid Deuterium UCN source at PSI





Solid Deuterium UCN source at PSI



Ramsey's Method & nEDM



Measurement Principle of the nEDM

Determine Larmor precession frequency of UCN in E//B fields:



$$\hbar \omega_{\uparrow\uparrow} = -2\mu_{\rm n}B_0 - 2d_{\rm n}E$$

$$\hbar \omega_{\uparrow\downarrow} = -2\mu_{\rm n}B_0 + 2d_{\rm n}E$$

$$\hbar \omega_{\uparrow\downarrow} = -2\mu_{\rm n}B_0 + 2d_{\rm n}E$$

$$for B_0 = {\rm const.}$$

Ideal technique: Ramsey's method of separated oscillating fields



Magnetic Field Stability



$$d_{n,false} = \frac{\hbar \gamma_n}{4E} \cdot \Delta B$$

$$d_{n,false} = 3 \times 10^{-27} \text{ cm}$$
with: $\Delta B = 1 \text{ fT}, E = 10 \text{ kV/cm}$

Is it necessary to stabalize the field on the below fT level ?



NO

for effects correlated with *E*-field direction,

e.g. leakage currents, magnetisation due to charging of electrodes (gradients), geom. phases etc. for random noise effects, which will average out over time.

First nEDM Experiment (Oak Ridge)



FIG. 1. Schematic diagram of the apparatus. A, the magnetized iron mirror polarizer. A', the magnetized iron transmission analyzer. B, the pole faces of the homogeneous field magnet. Note the horseshoe-like magnets bolted along the bottom. C, C', the coils for the radio-frequency magnetic field. D, the BF₃ neutron counter. The magnetic fields in the polarizing magnet and the homogeneous field magnet are at right angles, and two twisted iron strips were used between them to rotate the neutron spins adiabatically.



Smith, Purcell, Ramsey, Phys. Rev. 108, 120 (1957)



nEDM Experiment at PSI



nEDM Collaboration



- About 50 members from 8 countries and 14 institutions.
- Experiment is performed at the new UCN source at the Paul Scherrer Institute in Villigen (Switzerland).







nEDM Experiment at PSI



Thermal Insolation & Stabilization

I-PSC

nEDM PR'

Active Magnetic Shielding Coils (3D)

Improved apparatus of the RAL/Sussex/ILL experiment





nEDM Experiment at PSI



29

ned PR



Magnetic Field Mapping / Transverse Fields



- Automated field mapping using a 3-axis precision fluxgate
- Robot-arm made from non-magnetic materials
- Perform regular offset-calibration runs to compensate for drifts

Ramsey Cycle



Florian Piegsa – INT Workshop Seattle, WA – October 1st 2015

I-PSC

nEDM PR'

(pc

ΡĪΒ

Ramsey Cycle



Florian Piegsa – INT Workshop Seattle, WA – October 1st 2015

us

nedm yr

LPSC

UK

PB

Ramsey Method with UCN

 $f_{\rm rf}$ [Hz]



Ramsey Method with UCN



Physics results obtained with the same Ramsey apparatus:



* Afach et al., Phys. Lett. B 745, 58 (2015)

** Afach et al., Phys. Lett. B 739, 128 (2014)

Florian Piegsa – INT Workshop Seattle, WA – October 1st 2015

Planned n2EDM Experiment at PSI





New features/improvements:

- Two UCN precession chambers with opposite electric field directions (surpress *B*-field drift susceptibility, *E*-field correlated gradients remain important)
- Improved magnetic enviornment due to better shielding & compensation
- Higher neutron statistics due to better adaption to PSI UCN source
- Improved magnetometry (Hg, Vector-Cs, ³He)

Worldwide Neutron EDM Searches





BL1U downstream



Layout draft – Phase 2



Conceptual design guidelines

- high count rate UCN detector
- two larger EDM cells, central HV electrode
 - increase in total UCN number
 - simultaneous measurement of both E field directions
 - additional symmetry
- higher Fermi potential
 - DLC, NiMo + dPS, dPE, larger phase space
- dual co-magnetometer
 - cross check

R. Picker

- gradient determination
- simultaneous counting of both spin states
 - gain in sensitivity
- improved magnetic environment
 - magnetically shielded room for mag development and longer lasting flexibility

Many R&D items and simulations ongoing



nEDM @ SNS



- **2014-2017** Critical Component Demonstration is underway
- 2018-2020 Large Scale Integration etc.
- 2021 Begin Commissioning & Data-taking



nEDM @ SNS

Key Features of nEDM@SNS

- Sensitivity: ~2x10⁻²⁸ e-cm, 100 times better than existing limit
- In-situ Production of UCN in superfluid helium (no UCN transport)
- Polarized ³He co-magnetometer
 - Also functions as neutron spin precession monitor via spin-dependent n-³He capture cross section using wavelength-shifted scintillation light in the LHe
 - Ability to vary influence of external B-fields via "dressed spins"
 - Extra RF field allows synching of n & ³He relative precession frequency
- Superconducting Magnetic Shield
- Two cells with opposite E-field
- Control of central-volume temperature
 - Can vary ³He diffusion (mfp)- big change in geometric phase effect on ³He

Arguably the most ambitious of all neutron EDM experiments



Schematic of Area B with the proposed nEDM experiment at LANL



construction.

Engineering design of the new SD₂ source @ LANL

Near term schedule

- Present Dec 2015
 - Fabrication of source and guide components
 - Fabrication of a prototype nEDM cell, cell valve, and UCN switcher
- Oct 2015 Feb 2016
 - Test source and guide components with UCN
 - Test of the prototype nEDM cell, cell valve, and UCN switcher
- March August 2016
 - Installation of the new source and guides
- Sep Dec 2016
 - Test of the new UCN source





PNPI / ILL

Scheme of EDM spectrometer



Recent measurement: 5.5 x 10⁻²⁶ ecm (90% CL) Serebrov et al., JETP Lett. 99 (2014) 4

Already using a double chamber setup.

Prospects for an improved experiment at a better source at ILL and later with a new apparatus at the PIK reactor (SF He, in St. Petersburg).





EDM apparatus



P. Fierlinger

- Initially a ,conventional' Ramsey experiment
- UCN trapped at **room temperature**, **ultimately cryogenic trap**
- **Double chamber** with co-magnetometer option
- ^{- 199}Hg, Cs, ¹²⁹Xe, ³He, SQUID magnetometers
- **Portable setup**, including magnetically shielded room
- Extremely modular design



I. Altarev et al., Il Nuovo Cimento 35 C 122 (2012)

The magnetic shields / fields





UCN Tests at ILL:

- Adiabatic spin transport, spin-flipping, simultaneous spin detection tests
- Polarizer foils, guides, bends, shutters, plates, dummy electrodes …

dummy electrodes ... I. Altarev et al., arXiv:1501.07408 I. Altarev et al., arXiv:1501.07861



An (optimistic but possible) plan towards a physics result



P. Fierlinger



Cryogenic nEDM

M. van der Grinten

Super-thermal UCN source — higher electric fields in *l*He



 $\sigma(d_n) =$

CryoEDM developed a range of technologies for a future cryogenic nEDM measurement

- UCN transport and storage in *l*He
- In-situ UCN detection in a cryogenic environment
- High precision low temperature SQUID magnetometry
- Large scale cryogenic operations
- Electric and magnetic field in a cryogenic environment

Two-stage follow up

ħ

- Super-thermal source (SuperSUN) coupled to room temperature experiment
- Super-thermal UCN (SuperSUN) coupled to a cryogenic experiment

Cryogenic nEDM

Super-thermal source (SuperSUN) coupled to room temperature experiment at ILL

- Magnetic environment will be established prior to new 9A beam construction
- New source will be constructed in parallel
- Room temperature experiment will be coupled to super-thermal source

Super-thermal UCN (SuperSUN) coupled to a cryogenic experiment

- Cryogenic environment of experiment designed in parallel to room temperature data-taking
- Fully cryogenic experiment running after room temperature data taking completed

Possible move to different beam

• Letter of Intent for new super-thermal UCN source operating at ESS

M. van der Grinten





Main systematic in nEDM beam experiment caused by v×E - effect:

$$\hbar\Delta\omega = 4d_{\rm n}E + 4\mu_{\rm n}\frac{\nu E}{c^2}\sin\alpha$$

Idea: Measure change in Larmor frequency as a function of the neutron velocity at a pulsed spallation source:



Piegsa, PRC 88, 045502 (2013)



We have exciting times ahead of us !

¹⁹⁹Hg EDM



 $d_{\rm Hg}$ < 3.1 x 10⁻²⁹ ecm (95% CL) $d_{\rm p}$ < 7.9 x 10⁻²⁵ ecm (95% CL)

Griffith et al. PRL 102, 101601 (2009)



- no motional magnetic field effect, as in TI-atom experiment
- Electric field enhancement by a factor 10^6 (10 kV/cm \rightarrow 13 GV/cm)
- $d_{\rm e} < 1.05 \text{ x } 10^{-27} \text{ e cm}$ (90% CL)

```
Hudson et al., Nature 473, 493 (2011)
```

ThO – ACME Collaboration



- Electric field enhancement by a factor 10^9 (140 V/cm \rightarrow 84 GV/cm)
- Small magnetic moment reduces sensitivity to spurious magn. Fields
- $d_{\rm e} < 8.7 \text{ x } 10^{-29} \text{ e cm}$ (90% CL)

ACME Collaboration, Science 343, 269 (2014)