CP violation in kaons: BSM B-parameters and precision tests of ε_K

Weonjong Lee (SWME)

Lattice Gauge Theory Research Center Department of Physics and Astronomy Seoul National University

INT, University of Washington, Seattle, 2015/10/07

Contents

- [Project: 1998 Present](#page-2-0)
- 2 [Testing the Standard Model](#page-7-0)
	- [Indirect CP violation and](#page-7-0) B_K
- $\left(3\right)$ ε_K
	- **o** [Input Parameters](#page-16-0)
	- Calculation of ϵ_K [from Standard Model](#page-23-0)
	- [BSM Corrections to](#page-28-0) ε_K
		- [BSM Corrections](#page-28-0)
- \bullet V_{cb} V_{cb}
	- \bullet V_{cb} [on the lattice](#page-51-0)
	- \bullet B_s [meson mass](#page-54-0)
- 6 [Description](#page-59-0)
	- [Conclusion and Future Plan](#page-70-0)
	- **[Bibliography](#page-87-0)**

4 B K 4 B

FNAL/MILC/SWME Collaboration 2012 — Present

Weonjong Lee (SWME) (SNU) The CONSENSE OF DWINT CONSENSE INT, UW 3/76

- 4 重 8 - 4 重 8

∢ ロ ▶ ィ 何

FNAL/MILC/SWME Collaboration I

- Seoul National University (SWME): Prof. Weonjong Lee Dr. Jon Bailey (RA Prof.), Dr. Nigel Cundy (RA Prof.) 10 graduate students.
- University of Washington (SWME): Prof. Stephen Sharpe
- Brookhaven National Laboratory (SWME): Dr. Chulwoo Jung

→ 伊 ▶ → 君 ▶ → 君 ▶

 QQ

FNAL/MILC/SWME Collaboration II

- Los Alamos National Laboratory (SWME): Dr. Boram Yoon
- Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory (FNAL): Dr. Andreas S. Kronfeld.
- University of Utah (MILC): Prof. Carleton Detar, Prof. Mehmet B. Oktay.
- KISTI Supercomputing Center (SWME): Dr. Jangho Kim (Postdoc)

 Ω

医单位 医单位

Lattice Gauge Theory Research Center (SNU)

- **Center Leader: Prof. Weonjong Lee.**
- Research Assistant Prof.: Dr. Jon Bailey
- Research Assitant Prof.: Dr. Nigel Cundy
- \bullet 10+1 graduate students
- Secretary: Mrs. Sora Park.
- more details on http://lgt.snu.ac.kr/.

Group Photo (2014)

Weonjong Lee (SWME) (SNU) [UW INT](#page-0-0) THE INT, UW 7 / 76

造

 2990

④重→

CP Violation and B_K

イロト イ母 トイヨ トイヨト

 299

Kaon Eigenstates and ε

Flavor eigenstates, $K^0=(\bar{s}d)$ and $\bar{K}^0=(s\bar{d})$ mix via box diagrams.

 \leftarrow

Kaon Eigenstates and ε

Flavor eigenstates, $K^0=(\bar{s}d)$ and $\bar{K}^0=(s\bar{d})$ mix via box diagrams.

• CP eigenstates K_1 (even) and K_2 (odd).

$$
K_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(K^0 - \bar{K}^0) \qquad K_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(K^0 + \bar{K}^0)
$$

Kaon Eigenstates and ε

Flavor eigenstates, $K^0=(\bar{s}d)$ and $\bar{K}^0=(s\bar{d})$ mix via box diagrams.

• CP eigenstates K_1 (even) and K_2 (odd).

$$
K_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(K^0 - \bar{K}^0) \qquad K_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(K^0 + \bar{K}^0)
$$

• Neutral Kaon eigenstates K_S and K_L .

$$
K_S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\bar{\varepsilon}|^2}}(K_1 + \bar{\varepsilon}K_2) \qquad K_L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\bar{\varepsilon}|^2}}(K_2 + \bar{\varepsilon}K_1)
$$

つひひ

Weonjong Lee (SWME) (SNU) The CONSTRUCT OF THE [UW INT](#page-0-0) THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE UNIT, UW 9/76

Indirect CP violation and direct CP violation

Weonjong Lee (SWME) (SNU) The CONSTRUCT OF THE [UW INT](#page-0-0) INT, UW 10 / 76

4 0 8

 ε_K and \hat{B}_K , V_{cb} I

• Definition of ε_K

$$
\varepsilon_K = \frac{A[K_L \to (\pi\pi)_{I=0}]}{A[K_S \to (\pi\pi)_{I=0}]}
$$

• Master formula for ε_K in the Standard Model.

$$
\varepsilon_K = \exp(i\theta) \sqrt{2} \sin(\theta) \left(C_{\varepsilon} X_{SD} \hat{B}_K + \frac{\xi_0}{\sqrt{2}} + \xi_{LD} \right)
$$

$$
+ \mathcal{O}(\omega \varepsilon') + \mathcal{O}(\xi_0 \Gamma_2 / \Gamma_1)
$$

$$
X_{SD} = \text{Im}\lambda_t \left[\text{Re}\,\lambda_c \,\eta_{cc} S_0(x_c) - \text{Re}\,\lambda_t \,\eta_{tt} S_0(x_t) - (\text{Re}\,\lambda_c - \text{Re}\,\lambda_t) \,\eta_{ct} S_0(x_c, x_t) \right]
$$

Weonjong Lee (SWME) (SNU) [UW INT](#page-0-0) THE INT, UW 11 / 76

 \equiv

 Ω

K ロ ⊁ K 個 ≯ K 君 ⊁ K 君 ≯

 ε_K and \hat{B}_K , V_{cb} II

$$
\lambda_i = V_{is}^* V_{id}, \qquad x_i = m_i^2 / M_W^2, \qquad C_{\varepsilon} = \frac{G_F^2 F_K^2 m_K M_W^2}{6\sqrt{2} \pi^2 \Delta M_K}
$$

$$
\frac{\xi_0}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\text{Im} A_0}{\text{Re} A_0} \approx -5\%
$$

$$
\xi_{\text{LD}} = \text{Long Distance Effect} \approx 2\% \qquad \longrightarrow \text{systematic error}
$$

• Inami-Lim functions:

$$
S_0(x_i) = x_i \left[\frac{1}{4} + \frac{9}{4(1-x_i)} - \frac{3}{2(1-x_i)^2} - \frac{3x_i^2 \ln x_i}{(1-x_i)^3} \right],
$$

\n
$$
S_0(x_i, x_j) = \left\{ \frac{x_i x_j}{x_i - x_j} \left[\frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{2(1-x_i)} - \frac{3}{4(1-x_i)^2} \right] \ln x_i - (i \leftrightarrow j) \right\} - \frac{3x_i x_j}{4(1-x_i)(1-x_j)}
$$

Weonjong Lee (SWME) (SNU) The COME [UW INT](#page-0-0) The COME INT, UW 12 / 76

 ε_K and \hat{B}_K , V_{cb} III

$$
S_0(x_t) \longrightarrow +70\%
$$

\n
$$
S_0(x_c, x_t) \longrightarrow +44\%
$$

\n
$$
S_0(x_c) \longrightarrow -14\%
$$

Dominant contribution (≈70%) comes with $|V_{cb}|^4$.

Im
$$
\lambda_t \cdot \text{Re}\lambda_t = \bar{\eta}\lambda^2 |V_{cb}|^4 (1 - \bar{\rho})
$$

\nRe $\lambda_c = -\lambda(1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^5)$
\nRe $\lambda_t = -(1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2})A^2\lambda^5 (1 - \bar{\rho}) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^7)$
\nIm $\lambda_t = \eta A^2 \lambda^5 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^7)$

一番 Weonjong Lee (SWME) (SNU) [UW INT](#page-0-0) THE UW INT THE INT, UW 13 / 76

 Ω

- 4 君 8 - 4 君 8

4 ロト 4 何 ト

 ε_K and \hat{B}_K , V_{cb} IV

• Definition of \hat{B}_K in standard model.

$$
B_K = \frac{\langle \bar{K}_0 | [\bar{s}\gamma_\mu (1-\gamma_5) d] [\bar{s}\gamma_\mu (1-\gamma_5) d] | K_0 \rangle}{\frac{8}{3} \langle \bar{K}_0 | \bar{s}\gamma_\mu \gamma_5 d | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \bar{s}\gamma_\mu \gamma_5 d | K_0 \rangle}
$$

$$
\hat{B}_K = C(\mu) B_K(\mu), \qquad C(\mu) = \alpha_s(\mu)^{-\frac{\gamma_0}{2b_0}} [1 + \alpha_s(\mu) J_3]
$$

• Experiment:

$$
\varepsilon_K = (2.228 \pm 0.011) \times 10^{-3} \times e^{i\phi_{\varepsilon}}
$$

$$
\phi_{\varepsilon} = 43.52(5)^{\circ}
$$

メロメ メ都 メメ きょくきょ

D. Ω

Weonjong Lee (SWME) (SNU) [UW INT](#page-0-0) THE UW INT THE INT, UW 14 / 76

 ε_K on the lattice

 ORO

イロト イ部 トメ ヨ トメ ヨト

Unitarity Triangle $\rightarrow (\bar{\rho}, \bar{\eta})$

 299

. p 目

メロメ メ都 メメ きょくき

Global UT Fit and Angle-Only-Fit (AOF)

Global UT Fit

- Input: $|V_{ub}|/|V_{cb}|$, Δm_d , $\Delta m_s/\Delta m_d$, ε_K , and $\sin(2\beta)$.
- Determine the UT apex $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\eta})$.
- **•** Take λ from

 $|V_{us}| = \lambda + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^7),$

which comes from K_{l3} and $K_{\mu2}$.

Disadvantage: unwanted correlation between $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\eta})$ and ε_K .

AOF

- Input: $\sin(2\beta)$, $\cos(2\beta)$, $\sin(\gamma)$, $\cos(\gamma)$, $\sin(2\beta + \gamma)$, $\cos(2\beta + \gamma)$, and $\sin(2\alpha)$.
- **•** Determine the UT apex $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\eta})$.
- Take λ from $|V_{us}| = \lambda + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^7)$, which comes from K_{l3} and K_{u2} .
- Use $|V_{cb}|$ to determine A.

 $|V_{cb}| = A\lambda^2 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^7)$

• Advantage: NO correlation between $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\eta})$ and ε_K .

イロト イ部 トメ ヨ トメ ヨト

 QQQ

Inputs of Angle-Only-Fit (AOF)

- $A_{\text{CP}}(J/\psi K_s) \rightarrow S_{\psi K_s} = \sin(2\beta)$ with assumption of $S_{\psi K_s} \ggg C_{\psi K_s}.$
- \bullet $(B \to DK) + (B \to [K\pi]_D K) +$ (Dalitz method) give $\sin(\gamma)$ and $\cos(\gamma)$.
- $S(D^-\pi^+)$ and $S(D^+\pi^-)$ give $\sin(2\beta + \gamma)$ and $\cos(2\beta + \gamma)$.
- $(B^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-) + (B^0 \to \rho^+ \rho^-) + (B^0 \to (\rho \pi)^0)$ give $\sin(2\alpha)$.
- Combining all of these gives β , γ , and α , which leads to the UT apex $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\eta}).$

K ロ ▶ K 個 ▶ K 로 ▶ K 로 ▶ 『로 』 ◇ Q Q @

Wolfenstein Parameters

Input Parameters for Angle-Only-Fit (AOF)

- ϵ_K , \hat{B}_K , and $|V_{cb}|$ are used as inputs to determine the UT angles in the global fit of UTfit and CKMfitter.
- Instead, we can use angle-only-fit result for the UT apex $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\eta})$.
- Then, we can take λ independently from

 $|V_{us}| = \lambda + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^7),$

which comes from K_{13} and K_{12} .

• Use $|V_{cb}|$ instead of A.

$$
|V_{cb}| = A\lambda^2 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^7)
$$

イロト イ母 トイヨ トイヨト

 QQQ

Input Parameters of B_K , V_{cb} and others

B_K

$$
V_{cb}
$$

Others

← ロ → → ← 何 →

目

化重新润滑

 299

 ξ_0 Input Parameters

$$
\xi_0 = \frac{\text{Re} A_0}{\text{Im} A_0} \qquad \qquad \frac{\overline{\xi_0 \mid -1.63(19)(20) \times 10^{-4} \mid [11]}}{\overline{\xi_0 \mid -1.63(19)(20) \times 10^{-4} \mid [11]}}
$$

イロト イ母 トイミト イミト ニヨー りんぴ

• RBC-UKQCD collaboration performs lattice calculation of $\text{Im}A_2$. From this result, ξ_0 can be obtained by the relation

$$
\mathrm{Re}\Big(\frac{\epsilon'_K}{\epsilon_K}\Big) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}|\epsilon_K|} \omega\Big(\frac{\mathrm{Im}A_2}{\mathrm{Re}A_2}-\xi_0\Big)\,.
$$

Other inputs ω , ϵ_K and ϵ_K'/ϵ_K are taken from the experimental values.

Here, we choose an approximation of $\cos(\phi_{\epsilon'}-\phi_{\epsilon})\approx 1.$

•
$$
\phi_{\epsilon} = 43.52(5), \ \phi_{\epsilon'} = 42.3(1.5)
$$

 ϵ_K : FLAG \hat{B}_K , AOF of $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\eta})$, V_{us}

Figure: Inclusive V_{cb}

Figure: Exclusive V_{cb}

 \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow

• With exclusive V_{cb} , it shows 3.4σ tension.

$$
\epsilon_K^{Exp} = 2.228(11) \times 10^{-3}
$$

$$
\epsilon_K^{SM} = 1.61(18) \times 10^{-3}
$$

Weonjong Lee (SWME) (SNU) [UW INT](#page-0-0) UW INT THE INT, UW 22 / 76

 QQ

 ϵ_K : SWME \hat{B}_K , AOF of $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\eta})$, V_{us}

• With exclusive V_{cb} , it shows 3.5σ tension.

$$
\epsilon_K^{Exp} = 2.228(11) \times 10^{-3}
$$

$$
\epsilon_K^{SM} = 1.55(19) \times 10^{-3}
$$

化重 经间

 QQ

Current Status of ε_K

FLAG 2014: (in units of 1.0×10^{-3} , AOF)

• Experiments:

$$
\varepsilon_K = 2.228 \pm 0.011
$$

- Hence, we observe 3.4 σ difference between the SM theory (Lattice QCD) and experiments.
- What does this mean? → Breakdown of SM?

 Ω

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

 \leftarrow

Time Evolution of $\Delta \varepsilon_K$ on the Lattice

$$
\bullet\;\, \Delta\varepsilon_K\equiv\varepsilon_K^{\sf exp}-\varepsilon_K^{\sf SM}
$$

Weonjong Lee (SWME) (SNU) [UW INT](#page-0-0) THE UW INT THE INT, UW 25 / 76

 QQ

Error Budget of Exclusive ε_K

Weonjong Lee (SWME) (SNU) The COMENT OW INT The COMENT OF THE COMENT

造

K ロ ⊁ K 個 ≯ K 君 ⊁ K 君 ≯

 -990

BSM Corrections to ε_K

イロト イ母 トイヨ トイヨト

目

 2980

BSM Four Fermion Operators I

• New $\Delta S = 2$ four-fermion operators that contribute to Kaon Mixing

$$
Q_1 = [\bar{s}\gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5)d][\bar{s}\gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5)d] \rightarrow B_K
$$

\n
$$
Q_2 = [\bar{s}^a (1 - \gamma_5)d^a][\bar{s}^b (1 - \gamma_5)d^b]
$$

\n
$$
Q_3 = [\bar{s}^a \sigma_{\mu\nu} (1 - \gamma_5)d^a][\bar{s}^b \sigma_{\mu\nu} (1 - \gamma_5)d^b]
$$

\n
$$
Q_4 = [\bar{s}^a (1 - \gamma_5)d^a][\bar{s}^b (1 + \gamma_5)d^b]
$$

\n
$$
Q_5 = [\bar{s}^a \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5)d^a][\bar{s}^b \gamma_\mu (1 + \gamma_5)d^b]
$$

メロト メ都 トメ ヨ トメ ヨ

BSM Four Fermion Operators II

• In general, there are additional operators that can be obtained from $Q_{1,2,3}$ by changing $L \to R$, but we do not consider. (Matrix elements for left- and right-handed operators are the same for Kaon mixing.)

$$
\mathcal{H}_{eff}^{\Delta S=2} = \sum_{i=1}^{5} C_i(\mu) Q_i(\mu)
$$

With the constraint from experiment, calculating corresponding hadronic matrix elements

$$
\langle \bar{K}_{0}|Q_{i}|K_{0}\rangle
$$

 Ω

can impose strong constraints on BSM models.

ο

Lattice Calculation : BSM B-parameters

B-parameters

$$
B_K = \frac{\langle \bar{K}_0 | Q_1 | K_0 \rangle}{8/3 \langle \bar{K}_0 | \bar{s} \gamma_0 \gamma_5 d | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \bar{s} \gamma_0 \gamma_5 d | K_0 \rangle}
$$
SM, BSM

$$
B_i = \frac{\langle \bar{K}_0 | Q_i | K_0 \rangle}{N_i \langle \bar{K}_0 | \bar{s} \gamma_5 d | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \bar{s} \gamma_5 d | K_0 \rangle}
$$
BSM

Where, $i = 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $(N_2, N_3, N_4, N_5) = (5/3, 4, -2, 4/3)$

• Golden Combinations : G_i

$$
G_{23} \equiv \frac{B_2}{B_3}
$$
\n
$$
G_{24} \equiv B_2 \cdot B_4
$$
\n
$$
G_{21} \equiv \frac{B_2}{B_K}
$$
\n
$$
G_{12} \equiv \frac{B_2}{B_K}
$$

 \bullet Advantage: no SU(2) chiral logs at NLO order in G_i (Golden Combinations) K ロ ▶ K 個 ▶ K 경 ▶ K 경 ▶ X 경

Weonjong Lee (SWME) (SNU) The CONSTRUCT OF THE [UW INT](#page-0-0) INT, UW 30 / 76

 $N_f = 2 + 1$ QCD: MILC asqtad lattices

Weonjong Lee (SWME) (SNU) [UW INT](#page-0-0) THE CONSERVATION OF A LOCAL CONSERVATION OF A

Data Analysis

Calculate raw data

Calculate B_K and G_i for different valence quark mass combinations for each gauge ensemble. (MS scheme with NDR.)

Chiral fitting (valence quarks)

X-fit: Fix valence strange quark mass and extrapolate the light quark mass m_x to physical down quark mass.

Y-fit: Extrapolate m_y to physical strange quark mass.

• RG Evolution

Obtain results at $\mu_f = 2$ GeV or 3GeV by running from $\mu_i = 1/a$.

Continuum-chiral extrapolation (sea quarks) Perform [1–3] for different lattices and extrapolate to $a = 0$ and to physical sea quark masses.

K ロ ▶ K 個 ▶ K 로 ▶ K 로 ▶ 『로 』 ◇ Q Q @

Raw Data of G_{23} and G_{45}

We compare three ensembles which have the same ratio of sea quark mass $m_\ell/m_s = 1/5$.

SchPT X-fit and Y-fit of B_K

• NNNLO X-fit

$$
B_K \quad (\text{NNNLO}) \\
= c_1 F_0 + c_2 X + c_3 X^2 \\
+ c_4 X^2 (\ln(X))^2 \\
+ c_5 X^2 \ln(X) + c_6 X^3
$$

Here, F_0 contains the chiral logs. Bayesian constraints on $c_{4-6}=0\pm 1.$

• Y-fit(U1 ensemble)

$$
B_K(\mathsf{Y}\text{-}\mathsf{fit}) = b_1 + b_2 Y_P
$$

SchPT X-fit and Y-fit of G_{23}

• NNNLO X-fit

$$
G_{23} \quad (NNNLO)
$$

= c₁ + c₂X + c₃X²
+ c₄X²(ln(X))²
+ c₅X²ln(X) + c₆X³

Bayesian constraints on $c_{4-6}=0\pm 1.$

• Y-fit(U1 ensemble)

$$
G_{23}(\mathsf{Y}\text{-}\mathsf{fit}) = b_1 + b_2 Y_P
$$

SchPT X-fit and Y-fit of G_{45}

• NNNLO X-fit

$$
G_{45} \quad (\text{NNNLO})
$$

= c₁ + c₂X + c₃X²
+ c₄X²(ln(X))²
+ c₅X²ln(X) + c₆X³

Bayesian constraints on $c_{4-6}=0\pm 1.$

• Y-fit(U1 ensemble)

$$
G_{45}(\mathsf{Y}\text{-}\mathsf{fit}) = b_1 + b_2 Y_P
$$

Chiral-Continuum Fit

- We use 14 data points from 14 MILC ensembles in the fitting. We extrapolate the results to physical point $a=0,~L_P=m_{\pi_0}^2,$ and $S_P = m_{s\bar{s},\textsf{phys}}^2.$
- Fitting functional forms come from the SU(2) SChPT theory.

•
$$
\Delta S_P \equiv S_P - m_{s\bar{s},\text{phys}}^2
$$

Weonjong Lee (SWME) (SNU) The CONSTRUCT OF THE [UW INT](#page-0-0) THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE CONSTRUCT OF THE UW 37 / 76

Chiral-Continuum Fit : Fitting quality

We can see that the χ^2 values for fitting functional forms get saturated as we add higher order terms in the fitting functional forms. We choose F^1_B -fit results as central values for B_K , G_{24} , and G_{21} . For G_{23} and G_{45} , we choose those of F^4_B as the central values.

 QQQ

Chiral-Continuum Fit of B_K

The result of Chiral-Continuum fit. The straight line in the plots represents the value of fitting function at fixed S_P and a^2 for fine $(a \approx 0.09$ fm), superfine $(a \approx 0.06$ fm), and ultrafine $(a \approx 0.045$ fm) gauge ensembles.

 Ω

Chiral-Continuum Fit of $G_{21} = B_2/B_K$

4.0.3

Э×

 299

Chiral-Continuum Fit of $G_{24} = B_2 \cdot B_4$

4 0 8

э

Э×

 QQQ

Chiral-Continuum Fit of $G_{45} = B_4/B_5$

- The fitting quality for F^1_B fit is terribly poor.
- Hence, we have to choose the F^4_B fit as our central value.

 QQQ

不重 医牙

Chiral-Continuum Fit of $G_{23} = B_2/B_3$

- The fitting quality for F^1_B fit is poor.
- Hence, we have to choose the F^4_B fit as our central value.

Historical Progress

- 2013(PRD)¹ \rightarrow 2014(ens) : Add more gauge ensembles.
- 2014(ens) \rightarrow 2014(A.D.) : Correct two-loop contribution to pseudoscalar anomalous dimension.
- 2014(A.D.) \rightarrow 2014(final) : Change fit type from F^1_B to F^4_B for G_{23} and G_{45} .

¹SWME Collaboration, Phys.ReV. D88,071503(2013) \equiv \cap α

Weoniong Lee (SWME) (SNU) [UW INT](#page-0-0) INT, UW INT, UW 44 / 76

Comparison in 2014

• We obtain B_i from results of G_i and B_K .

$$
\text{Domain error}\begin{cases} \text{Perturbative matching}: 4.4\% \\ \text{Chiral-continuum extrap}: 1.3 \sim 10.1\% \end{cases}
$$

• RBC-UKQCD and ETM use RI-MOM for matching.

 Ω

イロト イ母 トイヨ トイヨト

Comparison in 2015

- We obtain B_i from G_i and B_K using the perturbative matching.
- RBC-UKQCD: RI-MOM $(2012) \rightarrow$ RI-SMOM (2015)
- **ETM still uses RI-MOM for matching.**

※ RBC-UK15: the errors are preliminary (the error budget is incomplete).

 Ω

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

Comparison of B_4

- SWME agree with RBC-UKQCD (2015, RI-SMOM)
- SWME do NOT agree with RBC-UKQCD (2012, RI-MOM) and ETM.

Weonjong Lee (SWME) (SNU) [UW INT](#page-0-0) UW INT INT, UW 47 / 76

Comparison of B_5

- SWME agree with RBC-UKQCD (2015, RI-SMOM)
- SWME do NOT agree with RBC-UKQCD (2012, RI-MOM) and ETM.

Weonjong Lee (SWME) (SNU) The CONSTRUCT OF CONTROL [UW INT](#page-0-0) THE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF

 Ω

Conclusion

- Our results (SWME) agrees with those of RBC-UKQCD (2015, RI-SMOM).
- Our results of B_4 and B_5 do NOT agree with the results of RBC-UKQCD (2012, RI-MOM) and ETM collaborations.
- The large difference between RBC-UKQCD (2015, RI-SMOM) and RBC-UKQCD (2012, RI-MOM) indicates that the systematic uncertainty in non-perturbative renormalization might be underestimated for BSM B-parameters.
- We plan to use RI-MOM and RI-SMOM to obtain the matching factors in near future.

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

 QQ

 V_{cb} on the lattice

 ORO

造

メロメ メ都 メメ きょくきょ

How to obtain V_{ch}

- \bullet Exclusive V_{cb} determination.
- \bullet $\bar{B} \rightarrow D + \ell + \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$
- \bullet $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^* + \ell + \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$

 Ω

K ロ ⊁ K 個 ≯ K 君 ⊁ K 君 ≯

What to calculate on the lattice.

•
$$
\langle D|Q_1|\bar{B}\rangle
$$
 with $Q_1 = V_\mu$, *S*.
\n
$$
V_\mu = \bar{b}\gamma_\mu c
$$
\n
$$
S = \bar{b}c
$$
\n**6**
\n• $\langle D^*|Q_2|\bar{B}\rangle$ with $Q_2 = A_\mu$, *P*.
\n
$$
A_\mu = \bar{b}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 c
$$
\n
$$
P = \bar{b}\gamma_5 c
$$
\n**8**
\n**8**
\n**9**
\n**1**
\n**1**
\n**2**
\n**3**
\n**3**
\n**4**
\n**5**
\n**8**
\n**8**
\n**9**
\n**1**
\n**1**
\n**2**
\n**3**
\n**4**
\n**5**
\n**6**
\n**8**
\n**9**
\n**1**
\n**1**
\n**2**
\n**3**
\n**4**
\n**5**
\n**6**
\n**8**
\n**9**
\n**1**
\n**1**

Weonjong Lee (SWME) (SNU) [UW INT](#page-0-0) THE CONSERVATION OF THE CONSE

 V_{cb} V_{cb} V_{cb} B_s [meson mass](#page-54-0)

 B_s meson mass

Weonjong Lee (SWME) (SNU) The COMENT OW INT The COMENT OF THE COMENT

 $E = 990$

メロメ メ都 メメ きょくきょ

Motivation

- In heavy flavor physics, V_{cb} is of enormous interest.
- The dominant error in ϵ_K comes from V_{ch} .

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l} 39.3\% & \leftarrow V_{cb} \\ 1.5\% & \leftarrow \hat{B}_K \end{array}\right.
$$

 \bullet 3.4 σ tension is observed using most up to date input parameters.

$$
|\epsilon_K|^{\text{exp}} = 2.228(11) \times 10^{-3}
$$
 (PDG)
\n
$$
|\epsilon_K|^{5M} = 1.61(18) \times 10^{-3}
$$
 (FLAG \hat{B}_K , FNAL/MILC V_{cb})

- More precise determination of V_{cb} might lead to larger tension.
- Because the dominant error in V_{cb} comes from heavy quark discretization effect, we plan to use the OK action for the form factor calculation of the semi-leptonic decays

$$
\bar{B} \to D^* l \nu_l \,, \qquad \bar{B} \to D l \nu_l.
$$

• Here, we will verify the improve[me](#page-54-0)nt in B mes[on](#page-56-0) [sp](#page-55-0)[e](#page-56-0)[c](#page-53-0)[tr](#page-54-0)[u](#page-58-0)[m](#page-50-0)[.](#page-51-0)

 Ω

V_{ab} B_s [meson mass](#page-56-0)

OK Action (mass form)

$$
S_{\rm OK} = S_{\rm Fermilab} + S_{\rm new} , \qquad S_{\rm Fermilab} = S_0 + S_B + S_E
$$

\n
$$
S_0 = m_0 \sum_x \bar{\psi}(x)\psi(x) + \sum_x \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma_4 D_4 \psi(x) - \frac{1}{2}a \sum_x \bar{\psi}(x)\Delta_4 \psi(x)
$$

\n
$$
+ \zeta \sum_x \bar{\psi}(x)\vec{\gamma} \cdot \vec{D}\psi(x) - \frac{1}{2}r_s \zeta a \sum_x \bar{\psi}(x)\Delta^{(3)}\psi(x)
$$

\n
$$
= \mathcal{O}(1) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda) \qquad [\lambda \sim a\Lambda, \Lambda/m_Q]
$$

\n
$$
S_B = -\frac{1}{2}c_B \zeta a \sum_x \bar{\psi}(x)i\vec{\Sigma} \cdot \vec{B}\psi(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\lambda)
$$

\n
$$
S_E = -\frac{1}{2}c_E \zeta a \sum_x \bar{\psi}(x)\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{E}\psi(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2) \qquad (c_E \neq c_B \text{ : OK action})
$$

\n
$$
m_0 = \frac{1}{2\kappa_t} - (1 + 3r_s \zeta + 18c_4)
$$

[M. B. Oktay and A. S. Kronfeld, PRD 78, 014504 (2008)]

[A. El-Khadra, A. S. Kronfeld and P. B. Mackenzie, PRD [5](#page-55-0)5[,](#page-57-0) [39](#page-55-0)[33](#page-56-0) [\(](#page-57-0)[1](#page-53-0)[9](#page-58-0)9[7\)](#page-59-0)[\]](#page-50-0) $\frac{1}{2}$

Weonjong Lee (SWME) (SNU) [UW INT](#page-0-0) THE UW INT THE INT, UW 55 / 76

V_{cb} V_{cb} V_{cb} B_s [meson mass](#page-57-0)

OK Action (mass form)

$$
S_{\text{new}} = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3) = c_1 a^2 \sum_x \bar{\psi}(x) \sum_i \gamma_i D_i \Delta_i \psi(x)
$$

+ $c_2 a^2 \sum_x \bar{\psi}(x) \{ \overrightarrow{\gamma} \cdot \overrightarrow{D}, \Delta^{(3)} \} \psi(x)$
+ $c_3 a^2 \sum_x \bar{\psi}(x) \{ \overrightarrow{\gamma} \cdot \overrightarrow{D}, i \overrightarrow{\Sigma} \cdot \overrightarrow{B} \} \psi(x)$
+ $c_E E a^2 \sum_x \bar{\psi}(x) \{ \gamma_4 D_4, \overrightarrow{\alpha} \cdot \overrightarrow{E} \} \psi(x)$
+ $c_4 a^3 \sum_x \bar{\psi}(x) \sum_i \Delta_i^2 \psi(x)$
+ $c_5 a^3 \sum_x \bar{\psi}(x) \sum_i \sum_i \{i \Sigma_i B_i, \Delta_j\} \psi(x)$

Weonjong Lee (SWME) (SNU) The COMENT OW INT The COMENT OF THE COMENT

 \equiv 990

イロト イ部 トイヨ トイヨト

OK Action: Tadpole Improvement (hopping form)

$$
c_5 a^3 \bar{\psi}(x) \sum_i \sum_{j \neq i} \{i \Sigma_i B_{i \text{lat}}, \Delta_{j \text{lat}}\} \psi(x)
$$

= $i \frac{2 \tilde{c}_5 \tilde{\kappa}_t}{4 u_0^2} \bar{\psi}_x \sum_i \Sigma_i T_i^{(3)} \psi_x - i \frac{32 \tilde{c}_5 \tilde{\kappa}_t}{2 u_0^3} \bar{\psi}_x \vec{\Sigma} \cdot \vec{B} \psi_x$
+ $i \frac{2 \tilde{c}_5 \tilde{\kappa}_t}{u_0^4} \bar{\psi}_x \sum_i \left(-\frac{1}{4} \Sigma_i T_i^{(3)} + \sum_{j \neq i} \{\Sigma_i B_i, (T_j + T_{-j})\} \right) \psi_x$

$$
T_i^{(3)} \equiv \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \epsilon_{ijk} \Big(T_{-k} (T_j - T_{-j}) T_k - T_k (T_j - T_{-j}) T_{-k} \Big)
$$

Weonjong Lee (SWME) (SNU) [UW INT](#page-0-0) THE UW INT THE UNIT, UW 57 / 76

画

D

 Ω

メロト メ都 トメ ヨ トメ ヨ

Measurement

Gauge Ensemble, Heavy Quark κ , Meson Momentum

• MILC asqtad $N_f = 2 + 1$

• 11 momenta $|pa| = 0, 0.099, \dots, 1.26$

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

D. Ω

Measurement: Interpolating Operator

Meson correlator

$$
C(t,\boldsymbol{p})=\sum_{\boldsymbol{x}}e^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}}\langle\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}(t,\boldsymbol{x})\mathcal{O}(0,\boldsymbol{0})\rangle
$$

• Heavy-light meson interpolating operator

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\rm t}(x) = \bar{\psi}_{\alpha}(x) \Gamma_{\alpha\beta} \Omega_{\beta{\rm t}}(x) \chi(x)
$$

$$
\Gamma = \begin{cases} \gamma_5 & \text{(Pseudo-scalar)}\\ \gamma_{\mu} & \text{(Vector)} \end{cases}, \ \Omega(x) \equiv \gamma_1^{x_1} \gamma_2^{x_2} \gamma_3^{x_3} \gamma_4^{x_4}
$$

• Quarkonium interpolating operator

$$
\mathcal{O}(x)=\bar{\psi}_\alpha(x)\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}\psi_\beta(x)
$$

[Wingate et [al](#page-59-0)., [P](#page-61-0)[R](#page-59-0)[D](#page-60-0) 67, 054505 (2003), C. Bernard et al., PRD [8](#page-61-0)[3](#page-69-0)[,](#page-59-0) [0](#page-59-0)[34](#page-70-0)[5](#page-58-0)03 [\(](#page-70-0)[20](#page-0-0)[11\)](#page-88-0)]

[Description](#page-61-0)

Correlator Fit

o fit function

$$
f(t) = A\{e^{-Et} + e^{-E(T-t)}\} + (-1)^t A^p \{e^{-E^p t} + e^{-E^p(T-t)}\}
$$

o fit residual

$$
r(t) = \frac{C(t) - f(t)}{|C(t)|}
$$
, where $C(t)$ is data.

Correlator Fit: Effective Mass

$$
m_{\text{eff}}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\frac{C(t)}{C(t+2)}\right)
$$

For small t ,

$$
C(t) \cong A(e^{-Et} + \beta e^{-(E+\Delta E)t})
$$

= $Ae^{-Et}(1 + \beta e^{-(\Delta E)t}),$

 $\int \beta > 0$ (excited state) $\beta \sim -(-1)^t$ (time parity state)

$$
m_{\rm eff} \approx E + \beta (\Delta E) e^{-(\Delta E)t}
$$

Figure: $[\overline{Q}q, PS, \kappa = 0.041, p = 0]$

4 D F

 200

Dispersion Relation

Improvement Test: Inconsistency Parameter

$$
I \equiv \frac{2\delta M_{\overline{Q}q} - (\delta M_{\overline{Q}Q} + \delta M_{\overline{q}q})}{2M_{2\overline{Q}q}} = \frac{2\delta B_{\overline{Q}q} - (\delta B_{\overline{Q}Q} + \delta B_{\overline{q}q})}{2M_{2\overline{Q}q}}
$$

$$
M_{1\overline{Q}q} = m_{1\overline{Q}} + m_{1q} + B_{1\overline{Q}q} \qquad \delta M_{\overline{Q}q} = M_{2\overline{Q}q} - M_{1\overline{Q}q}
$$

$$
M_{2\overline{Q}q} = m_{2\overline{Q}} + m_{2q} + B_{2\overline{Q}q} \qquad \delta B_{\overline{Q}q} = B_{2\overline{Q}q} - B_{1\overline{Q}q}
$$

[S. Collins et al., NPB 47, 455 (1996) , A. S. Kronfeld, NPB 53, 401 (1997)]

- \bullet Inconsistency parameter I can be used to examine the improvements by $\mathcal{O}(\bm{p}^4)$ terms in the action. OK action is designed to improve these terms and matched at tree-level.
- Binding energies B_1 and B_2 are of order $\mathcal{O}(\bm{p}^2)$. Because the kinetic meson mass M_2 appears with a factor \boldsymbol{p}^2 , the leading contribution of binding energy B_2 generated by $\mathcal{O}(\bm{p}^4)$ terms in the action.

$$
E=M_1+\frac{\bm p^2}{2M_2}+\cdots=M_1+\frac{\bm p^2}{2(m_{2\overline{Q}}+m_{2q})}\Bigg[1-\frac{B_{2\overline{Q}q}}{(m_{2\overline{Q}}+m_{2q})}+\cdots\Bigg]+\cdots\hspace{0.1cm}\Bigg]+\\ \cdots
$$

Improvement Test: Inconsistency Parameter

$$
I \cong \frac{2\delta M_{\overline{Q}q} - \delta M_{\overline{Q}Q}}{2M_{2\overline{Q}q}} \cong \frac{2\delta B_{\overline{Q}q} - \delta B_{\overline{Q}Q}}{2M_{2\overline{Q}q}}
$$

Considering non-relativistic limit of quark and anti-quark system, for S-wave case $(\mu_2^{-1} = m_{2\overline{Q}}^{-1} + m_{2q}^{-1})$,

$$
\delta B_{\overline{Q}q} = \frac{5}{3} \frac{\langle \mathbf{p}^2 \rangle}{2\mu_2} \Big[\mu_2 \Big(\frac{m_{2\overline{Q}}^2}{m_{4\overline{Q}}^3} + \frac{m_{2q}^2}{m_{4q}^3} \Big) - 1 \Big] \quad (m_4 : c_1, c_3)
$$

+
$$
\frac{4}{3} a^3 \frac{\langle \mathbf{p}^2 \rangle}{2\mu_2} \mu_2 (w_{4\overline{Q}} m_{2\overline{Q}}^2 + w_{4q} m_{2q}^2) \quad (w_4 : c_2, c_4)
$$

+
$$
\mathcal{O}(p^4)
$$

[A. S. Kronfeld, NPB 53, 401 (1997), C. Bernard et al., PRD 83, 034503 (2011)]

Leading contribution of $\mathcal{O}(\bm{p}^2)$ in δB vanishes when $w_4 = 0$, $m_2 = m_4$, not only for S-wave states but also for higher harmonics. イロト イ団ト イミト イ QQQ

Weonjong Lee (SWME) (SNU) UW INT UW INT INT, UW 64 / 76

Improvement Test: Inconsistency Parameter

The coarse $(a=0.12 {\rm fm})$ ensemble data covers the B^0_s mass and shows significant improvement compared to the Fermilab action.

Improvement Test: Hyperfine Splitting Δ

$$
\Delta_1 = M_1^* - M_1 \, , \, \Delta_2 = M_2^* - M_2
$$

Recall,

$$
\begin{aligned} M_{1\overline{Q}q}^{(*)} &= m_{1\overline{Q}} + m_{1q} + B_{1\overline{Q}q}^{(*)} \\ M_{2\overline{Q}q}^{(*)} &= m_{2\overline{Q}} + m_{2q} + B_{2\overline{Q}q}^{(*)} \\ \delta B^{(*)} &= B_2^{(*)} - B_1^{(*)} \end{aligned}
$$

Then,

$$
\Delta_2=\Delta_1+\delta B^*-\delta B
$$

• The difference in hyperfine splittings $\Delta_2 - \Delta_1$ also can be used to examine [t](#page-59-0)[he](#page-67-0) improveme[n](#page-70-0)t from $\mathcal{O}(\bm{p}^4)$ $\mathcal{O}(\bm{p}^4)$ $\mathcal{O}(\bm{p}^4)$ ter[ms](#page-66-0) i[n](#page-68-0) the [a](#page-68-0)ct[io](#page-69-0)n[.](#page-58-0) Ω

Improvement Test: Hyperfine Splitting ∆

$$
\Delta_2 = \Delta_1 + \delta B^* - \delta B
$$

Conclusion and Outlook

- Inconsistency parameter shows that the OK action clearly improves $\mathcal{O}(\bm{p}^4)$ terms.
- Hyperfine splitting shows that the OK action clearly improves the higher dimension magnetic effects for the quarkonium.
- We plan to calculate V_{cb} with the highest precision possible.
- Improved current relevant to the decay $\bar B\to D^*l\nu$ at zero recoil is needed. (Jon A. Bailey and J. Leem)
- \bullet We plan to calculate the 1-loop coefficients for c_B and c_E in the OK action. (Y.C. Jang)
- Highly optimized inverter using QUDA will be available soon. (Y.C. Jang)

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$

 Ω

- 2

Grand Challenges in the front

← ロ ▶ → イ 同

化重 网络重

画

 QQ

Tentative Goals (1)

 \bullet We would like to determine B_K directly from the standard model with its systematic and statistical error $\leq 2\%$.

 Ω

イロト イ押 トイヨト イヨ
- \bullet We would like to determine B_K directly from the standard model with its systematic and statistical error $\leq 2\%$.
- 2 We expect to achieve this goal in a few years using the SNU GPU cluster: David 1, 2, 3 (\sim 100 Tera Flops), Jlab GPU cluster, and KISTI supercomputers.

 QQQ

14 E X 4 E

- \bullet We would like to determine B_K directly from the standard model with its systematic and statistical error $\leq 2\%$.
- 2 We expect to achieve this goal in a few years using the SNU GPU cluster: David 1, 2, 3 (\sim 100 Tera Flops), Jlab GPU cluster, and KISTI supercomputers.
- **3** Basically, we need to accumulate at least 9 times more statistics using the SNU GPU cluster machine. $*$ statistical error $< 0.5\%$

 Ω

- イヨト イヨト

- \bullet We would like to determine B_K directly from the standard model with its systematic and statistical error $\leq 2\%$.
- 2 We expect to achieve this goal in a few years using the SNU GPU cluster: David 1, 2, 3 (\sim 100 Tera Flops), Jlab GPU cluster, and KISTI supercomputers.
- **3** Basically, we need to accumulate at least 9 times more statistics using the SNU GPU cluster machine. $*$ statistical error $< 0.5\%$
- **4** In addition, we need to obtain the matching factor using NPR (Jangho Kim) and using the two-loop perturbation theory (\cdots) . $*$ matching error $< 1.0\%$

 QQQ

イロト イ部 トメ ヨ トメ ヨト

 \bullet V_{cb} , we need to calculate the following semi-leptonic form factors:

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\bar{B} &\rightarrow D\ell\nu & (1) \\
\bar{B} &\rightarrow D^*\ell\nu & (2)\n\end{aligned}
$$

メロメ メ都 メメ きょくきょ

造 Ω

 \bullet V_{cb} , we need to calculate the following semi-leptonic form factors:

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\bar{B} &\rightarrow D\ell\nu & (1) \\
\bar{B} &\rightarrow D^*\ell\nu & (2)\n\end{aligned}
$$

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

 Ω ÷

² We have already implemented a GPU version of the OK action inverter (Yong-Chull Jang).

 \bullet V_{cb} , we need to calculate the following semi-leptonic form factors:

$$
\bar{B} \to D\ell\nu \tag{1}
$$
\n
$$
\bar{B} \to D^*\ell\nu \tag{2}
$$

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

 Ω

- ² We have already implemented a GPU version of the OK action inverter (Yong-Chull Jang).
- ³ We need to improve the vector and axial current in the same level as the OK action (Jaehoon Leem and Jon Bailey).

 \bullet V_{cb} , we need to calculate the following semi-leptonic form factors:

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\bar{B} &\rightarrow D\ell\nu & (1) \\
\bar{B} &\rightarrow D^*\ell\nu & (2)\n\end{aligned}
$$

- ² We have already implemented a GPU version of the OK action inverter (Yong-Chull Jang).
- ³ We need to improve the vector and axial current in the same level as the OK action (Jaehoon Leem and Jon Bailey).
- \bullet Our goal is to determine V_{cb} with its statistical and systematic error $\leq 0.5\%$.

KED KAP KED KED E VOOR

1 Long-Distance Effect $\xi_{\text{LD}} \approx 2\%$:

イロト イ部 トメ ヨ トメ ヨト

 $E = 990$

1 Long-Distance Effect $\xi_{\text{LD}} \approx 2\%$:

2 Here, the precision goal is only $10 \sim 15\%$.

K ロ ▶ K 個 ▶ K 로 ▶ K 로 ▶ 『로 』 ◇ Q Q @

- **1** Long-Distance Effect $\xi_{\text{LD}} \approx 2\%$:
- **2** Here, the precision goal is only $10 \sim 15\%$.
- \bullet We need $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ calculation on the lattice. MILC provides HISQ ensembles with $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$.

- **1** Long-Distance Effect $\xi_{\text{LD}} \approx 2\%$:
- **2** Here, the precision goal is only $10 \sim 15\%$.
- \bullet We need $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ calculation on the lattice. MILC provides HISQ ensembles with $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$.
- ⁴ As a by-product, a substantial gain is that the charm quark mass dependence might be under control in this way. (Brod and Gorbahn)

KED KAP KED KED E VOOR

Ultimate Goals

4 As a result, we hope to discover a breakdown of the standard model for the ε_K channel in the level of 5σ or higher precision.

メロメ メ都 メメ 君 メメ 君 メ

 \equiv Ω

Ultimate Goals

- **1** As a result, we hope to discover a breakdown of the standard model for the ε_K channel in the level of 5σ or higher precision.
- 2 As a result, we would like to provide a crucial clue to the physics beyond the standard model.

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

 Ω - 28

Ultimate Goals

- **1** As a result, we hope to discover a breakdown of the standard model for the ε_K channel in the level of 5σ or higher precision.
- 2 As a result, we would like to provide a crucial clue to the physics beyond the standard model.
- **3** As a result, we would like to guide the whole particle physics community into a new world beyond the standard model.

 Ω

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

Thank God for your help !!!

イロト イ押 トイヨト イヨ

目

 Ω

References for the Input Parameters I

- [1] K.A. Olive et al. Review of Particle Physics. Chin.Phys., C38:090001, 2014.
- [2] <http://www.utfit.org/UTfit/ResultsSummer2014PostMoriondSM>.
- [3] Sinya Aoki, Yasumichi Aoki, Claude Bernard, Tom Blum, Gilberto Colangelo, et al. Review of lattice results concerning low energy particle physics. 2013.
- [4] Taegil Bae et al. Improved determination of B_K with staggered quarks. Phys.Rev., D89:074504, 2014.
- [5] Andrea Alberti, Paolo Gambino, Kristopher J. Healey, and Soumitra Nandi. Precision Determination of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa Element V_{cb} . Phys.Rev.Lett., 114(6):061802, 2015.
- [6] Jon A. Bailey, A. Bazavov, C. Bernard, et al. Phys.Rev., D89:114504, 2014.

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

 QQ

References for the Input Parameters II

[7] S. Alekhin, A. Djouadi, and S. Moch. The top quark and Higgs boson masses and the stability of the electroweak vacuum. Phys.Lett., B716:214–219, 2012.

- [8] Jon A. Bailey, Yong-Chull Jang, Weonjong Lee, and Sungwoo Park. Standard Model evaluation of ε_K using lattice QCD inputs for \hat{B}_K and V_{ch} . hep-lat/1503.05388, 2015.
- [9] Andrzej J. Buras and Diego Guadagnoli. Phys.Rev., D78:033005, 2008.
- [10] Joachim Brod and Martin Gorbahn. ϵ_K at Next-to-Next-to-Leading Order: The Charm-Top-Quark Contribution. Phys.Rev., D82:094026, 2010.
- [11] T. Blum, P.A. Boyle, N.H. Christ, N. Garron, E. Goode, et al. Phys.Rev.Lett., 108:141601, 2012.

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

 Ω