Electric Dipole Moments on the lattice with higher-dimensional operators

Tanmoy Bhattacharya

Los Alamos National Laboratory

Santa Fe Institute

Oct 1, 2015

Tanmoy Bhattacharya EDMs from $\mathcal{O}_{\dim>4}$

Introduction

Lattice Quark EDM Quark CEDM Conclusions Effective Field Theory BSM Operators Dimensions 3 and 4

Introduction Effective Field Theory



Effective Field Theory BSM Operators Dimensions 3 and 4

Introduction BSM Operators

Standard model CP violation in the weak sector. Anomalously small strong CP violation from dim 3 and 4.

- Dimension 3 and 4:
 - CP violating mass $\bar{\psi}\gamma_5\psi$.
 - Toplogical charge $G_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^{\mu\nu}$.
- Suppressed by $v_{\rm EW}/M_{\rm BSM}^2$:
 - Electric Dipole Moment $\bar{\psi} \Sigma_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \psi$.
 - Chromo-electric Dipole Moment $\overline{\psi}\Sigma_{\mu
 u} ilde{G}^{\mu
 u}\psi$.
- Suppressed by $1/M_{BSM}^2$:
 - Weinberg operator (Gluon chromo-electric dipole moment): $G_{\mu\nu}G_{\lambda\nu}\tilde{G}_{\mu\lambda}$.
 - Various four-fermi operators.

Introduction Lattice Quark EDM Quark CEDM

Effective Field Theory BSM Operators Dimensions 3 and 4

Introduction Dimensions 3 and 4

Consider the chiral and CP violating parts of the action $\mathcal{L} \supset d_i^{\alpha} O_i^{\alpha}$, where *i* is flavor and α is operator index. Consider only one chiral symmetric CP violating term: $\Theta G \tilde{G}$ Convert to polar basis

$$d_i \equiv |d_i| e^{i\phi_i} \equiv rac{\sum_lpha d_i^lpha \langle \Omega | \, \mathcal{I}m \, O_i^lpha | \pi
angle}{\sum_lpha \langle \Omega | \, \mathcal{I}m \, O_i^lpha | \pi
angle}$$

Then CP violation is proportional to:

$$ar{d\Theta} \, \mathcal{R}e \, rac{d_i^lpha}{d_i} - |d_i| \, \mathcal{I}m \, rac{d_i^lpha}{d_i} \quad ext{with} \quad rac{1}{ar{d}} \equiv \sum_i rac{1}{d_i} \quad ar{\Theta} \equiv \Theta - \sum_i \phi_i$$

CP violation depends on $\overline{\Theta}$ and on a *mismatch* of phases between d_i^{α} and d_i .

Tanmoy Bhattacharya

Lattice QCD Euclidean Space Lattice Basics Systematics summary

Lattice QCD

Lattice is a nonperturbative formulation of QCD.

Lattice uses a hard regulator:

 $\bar{\psi}p\psi \to \bar{\psi}W(p)\psi$,

where W(p) is a periodic function:

 $W(p) = W(p + 2\pi a^{-1}).$

Hard regulators introduce a scale and allow mixing with lower dimensional operators.

Hard regulators are unambiguous: no renormalon problem.

Lattice QCD Euclidean Space Lattice Basics Systematics summary

Ultraviolet divergence regulated by the periodicity:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\pi(m-1)/a}^{\pi(m+1)/a} dp \to \int_{-\pi/a}^{\pi/a} dp$$

Infrared controlled by calculating in a finite universe.

$$\int dp f(p) \to \sum_{n} (\frac{2\pi}{L}) f(\frac{2\pi n}{L} + p_0)$$

Real world reached by

$$\lim_{\substack{L \to \infty \\ a \to 0}}$$

Current calculations $a \sim 0.05-0.15 \,\mathrm{fm}$ and $L \sim 3-5 \,\mathrm{fm}$.

Lattice QCD Euclidean Space Lattice Basics Systematics summary

Lattice Euclidean Space

Equal-time vacuum matrix elements of Weyl-ordered operators. To extract $\langle n|O|n \rangle$:





$$\operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta H} \hat{n} e^{-HT_f} O e^{-HT_i} \hat{n}^{\dagger}$$

$$= e^{-\beta E_s} \langle s | \hat{n} e^{-HT_f} O e^{-HT_i} \hat{n}^{\dagger} | s \rangle$$

$$\xrightarrow{\beta \to \infty} \langle \Omega | \hat{n} | n_f \rangle e^{-M_f T_f} \langle n_j | O | n_i \rangle e^{-M_i T_i} \langle n_i | \hat{n}^{\dagger} | \Omega \rangle$$

$$\xrightarrow{T_i, T_f \to \infty} \langle n | O | n \rangle e^{-M_0 (T_i + T_f)}$$

Lattice QCD Euclidean Space Lattice Basics Systematics summary



We can extract nEDM in two ways.

• As the difference of the energies of spin-aligned and anti-aligned neutron states:

$$d_n = \frac{1}{2} \left(M_{n\downarrow} - M_{n\uparrow} \right) \big|_{E=E\uparrow}$$

 By extracting the CP violating form factor of the electromagnetic current.

$$\langle n | J_{\mu}^{\text{EM}} | n \rangle \sim \frac{F_3(q^2)}{2M_n} \bar{n} q_{\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 n$$

$$d_n = \lim_{q^2 \to 0} \frac{F_3(q^2)}{2M_n}$$

Tanmoy Bhattacharya

Lattice QCD Euclidean Space Lattice Basics Systematics summary

Difficult to perform simulations with complex *CP* action Expand and calculate correlators of the *CP* operator:

$$\langle C^{\text{QP}}(x, y, \ldots) \rangle_{\text{CP+QP}} = \int [\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}] \exp\left[-\int d^4x (\mathcal{L}^{\text{CP}} + \mathcal{L}^{\text{QP}})\right] \\ \times C^{\text{QP}}(x, y, \ldots) \\ \approx \int [\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}] \exp\left[-\int d^4x \mathcal{L}^{\text{CP}}\right] \\ \times \left(1 - \int d^4x \mathcal{L}^{\text{QP}}\right) C^{\text{QP}}(x, y, \ldots) \\ = \langle C^{\text{QP}}(x, y, \ldots) \mathcal{L}^{\text{QP}}(p_{\mu} = 0) \rangle_{\text{CP}}$$

Tanmoy Bhattacharya EDM

Lattice QCD Euclidean Space Lattice Basics Systematics summary

Lattice Systematics summary

- Number of quarks 2+1
 Isospin breaking beyond current calculations.
 Charm is sometimes included.
- Quark mass $M_{\pi,\min} < 200$ MeV.

May be possible to work at the physical point. At least, $\chi {\rm PT}$ from $M_\pi < 400$ MeV.

- Discretization a < 0.1 fm (2/3 points) Discretization errors differ in different schemes. May be problematic if all points a > 0.1 fm.
- Volume $M_{\pi}L > 4$ At least $M_{\pi}L > 3$. OK if $\exp(-M_{\pi}L)$ at 3 masses.
- Renormalization Nonpeturbative matching At least improved 1-loop perturbation theory.
- Excited states $t_{sep,max} > 1.5~{\rm fm}$ At least $t_{sep} > 1.2~{\rm fm}$. Extrap from 3 t_{sep} OK.
- Disconnected diagrams

Quark EDM Excited states Renormalization Extrapolation Comparison



$$\mathcal{L} \supset -rac{i}{2} \sum d_q \bar{q} \sigma_{\mu
u} \gamma_5 q F^{\mu
u}$$

Note that $\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_5 \propto \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\sigma^{\alpha\beta}$.

$$d_N = \sum d_q \langle N | \bar{q} \sigma_{\mu\nu} q | N \rangle \equiv d_q g_T^q$$

g_T calculated on the lattice:

Bhattacharya, Cirigliano, Gupta, Lin, Yoon, arXiv:1506.04196 [hep-lat]

Bhattacharya, Cirigliano, Cohen, Gupta, Joseph, Lin, Yoon arXiv:1506.06411 [hep-lat]

 $a \in [0.06, 0.12] \text{ fm}, \quad m_{\pi} \in [130, 310] \text{ MeV}, \quad m_{\pi}L \in [3.3, 5.5]$

Quark EDM Excited states Renormalization Extrapolation Comparison





$$C^{3pt} = |\mathcal{A}_{0}|^{2} \langle 0|T|0\rangle e^{-M_{0}t_{sep}} + |\mathcal{A}_{1}|^{2} \langle 1|T|1\rangle e^{-M_{1}t_{sep}} + \mathcal{A}_{0}^{*}\mathcal{A}_{1} \langle 0|T|1\rangle e^{-M_{0}t_{ins}-M_{1}(t_{sep}-t_{ins})} + \mathcal{A}_{1}^{*}\mathcal{A}_{0} \langle 1|T|0\rangle e^{-M_{1}t_{ins}-M_{0}(t_{sep}-t_{ins})}$$

Top line has no dependence on t_{ins} : need multiple t_{sep} . A and M can be obtained from 2-pt functions.

Quark EDM Excited states Renormalization Extrapolation Comparison



Tanmoy Bhattacharya

Quark EDM Excited states Renormalization Extrapolation Comparison



RI-SMom scheme: Nonexceptional symmetric momentum matrix element has tree-level value.



Tanmoy Bhattacharya

Quark EDM Excited states Renormalization Extrapolation Comparison

Quark EDM Extrapolation





$$g_T = c_1 \left(1 + \frac{M_\pi^2 (1 + 4g_A^2)}{2(4\pi F_\pi)^2} \ln \frac{M_\rho^2}{M_\pi^2} \right) + c_2 a + c_3 M_\pi^2 + c_4 e^{-M_\pi L}$$



Tanmoy Bhattacharya

Quark EDM Excited states Renormalization Extrapolation Comparison







Tanmoy Bhattacharya

Quark EDM Excited states Renormalization Extrapolation Comparison



Tanmoy Bhattacharya

Quark EDM Excited states Renormalization Extrapolation Comparison

Quark EDM Comparison



[2] Pospelov-Ritz 2000[4] Bacchetta *et al.* 2013[6] Kang *et al.* 2015

Tanmoy Bhattacharya

Renormalization and Mixing Schwinger source method Lattice implementation Numerical tests

Quark CEDM Renormalization and Mixing

Operator basis:

 $\frac{ig\psi\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu}t^{a}\psi}{\frac{ie}{2}\bar{\psi}\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}\left\{Q,t^{a}\right\}\psi}$

 $\partial^2 ig(ar\psi i \gamma_5 t^a \psi ig)$

 $\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{Tr} \left[MQ^2 t^a \right] \frac{1}{2} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} & \operatorname{Tr} \left[Mt^a \right] \frac{1}{2} \tilde{G}^a_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu a} \\ \operatorname{Tr} \left[Mt^a \right] \partial_\mu \left(\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \psi \right) & \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \left(\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \left\{ M, t^a \right\} \psi \right) \Big|_{\text{traceless}} \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}i\gamma_{5}\left\{M^{2},t^{a}\right\}\psi \quad \mathrm{Tr}\left[M^{2}\right]\bar{\psi}i\gamma_{5}t^{a}\psi \\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[Mt^{a}\right]\bar{\psi}i\gamma_{5}M\psi \end{array}$

 $i\bar{\psi}_E\gamma_5 t^a\psi_E$ Re $\bar{\psi}\gamma_5 \partial t^a\psi_E$

$$\operatorname{Re} \partial_{\mu} \left[\bar{\psi}_{E} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} t^{a} \psi \right] \\\operatorname{Re} \frac{ie}{2} \bar{\psi} \left\{ Q, t^{a} \right\} \mathcal{A}^{(\gamma)} \gamma_{5} \psi_{E}$$

Renormalization and Mixing Schwinger source method Lattice implementation Numerical tests

RI-SMom Conditions:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} O\\ N\end{array}\right)_{\rm ren} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} Z_O & Z_{ON}\\ 0 & Z_N\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} O\\ N\end{array}\right)_{\rm bare}$$

O: Gauge-invariant operators, does not vanish by equation of motion.

N: Gauge-dependent operators, restricted by BRST, vanish by equation of motion. Impose conditions on matrix elements of guarks and gluons:

- Use $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ quark masses in the expansion.
- Three point functions at $p^2 = p'^2 = q^2 = -\Lambda^2 \ll 0$ (RI-SMOM).
- Four point functions at $p^2 = p'^2 = k^2 = q^2 = s = u = t/2 = -\Lambda^2$.

This choice eliminates most non-1PI contributions.

(See arXiv:1502.07325 [hep-ph]).

Renormalization and Mixing Schwinger source method Lattice implementation Numerical tests



Tanmoy Bhattacharya

Renormalization and Mixing Schwinger source method Lattice implementation Numerical tests

Quark CEDM Schwinger source method

The quark chromo-EDM operator is a quark bilinear. Add it to the Dirac operator in the propagator inversion routine:

$$D + m - \frac{r}{2}D^2 + c_{sw}\Sigma^{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu} \longrightarrow D + m - \frac{r}{2}D^2 + \Sigma^{\mu\nu}(c_{sw}G_{\mu\nu} + i\epsilon\tilde{G}_{\mu\nu})$$

The fermion determinant gives a 'reweighting factor'

$$\frac{\det(\not D + m - \frac{r}{2}D^2 + \Sigma^{\mu\nu}(c_{sw}G_{\mu\nu} + i\epsilon\tilde{G}_{\mu\nu})}{\det(\not D + m - \frac{r}{2}D^2 + c_{sw}\Sigma^{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu})} = \exp\operatorname{Tr}\ln\left[1 + i\epsilon\Sigma^{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}(\not D + m - \frac{r}{2}D^2 + c_{sw}\Sigma^{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu})^{-1}\right] \\ \approx \exp\left[i\epsilon\operatorname{Tr}\Sigma^{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}(\not D + m - \frac{r}{2}D^2 + c_{sw}\Sigma^{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu})^{-1}\right].$$

Renormalization and Mixing Schwinger source method Lattice implementation Numerical tests

3-point function





The chromoEDM operator is dimension 5. Uncontrolled divergences unless $\epsilon \lesssim 4\pi a \Lambda_{\rm QCD} \sim 1$. Need to check linearity.

Tanmoy Bhattacharya

Renormalization and Mixing Schwinger source method Lattice implementation Numerical tests

Quark CEDM Lattice implementation

Using BiCGStab in Chroma (Clover on HISQ $a \approx 0.12$ fm, $m_{\pi} \approx 310$ MeV)

- Cost of D increases by about 7%.
- Condition number changes by less than 5%.
- Can use $\epsilon = 0$ as initial guess.

Each extra inversion less than the cost of the $\epsilon = 0$ inversion.

| Accuracy | $\epsilon = 0.005$ | $\epsilon = 0.01$ |
|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| 10^{-8} | 85% | 86% |
| 10^{-3} | 51% | 66% |
| $5 	imes 10^{-3}$ | 28% | 45% |

Calculation of connected EDM measurement on each configuration is about 1.5 times the cost of V/A form factors measurements.

Renormalization and Mixing Schwinger source method Lattice implementation Numerical tests

Quark CEDM Numerical tests



Propagator $= \exp(-i\alpha\gamma_5)(p + m)exp(-i\alpha\gamma_5)$; Preliminary; Connected Diagrams Only

Tanmoy Bhattacharya

Renormalization and Mixing Schwinger source method Lattice implementation Numerical tests



Preliminary; Connected Diagrams Only

Tanmoy Bhattacharya

Renormalization and Mixing Schwinger source method Lattice implementation Numerical tests



Preliminary; Connected Diagrams Only

- Connected F_3 does not get contribution from dim $\mathcal{O} < 5$.
- Observed F_3 from CQEDM, QEDM, or will vanish on extrapolation.
- Perturbative subtraction of QEDM contribution possible, and determination of proportionality possible.

Tanmoy Bhattacharya

Summary

Conclusions Summary

- QEDM contributions from u, d, and s quarks under control.
- Methods developed for QCEDM.
- Study of systematics for QCEDM needed.
- Most divergent mixing with $\frac{\alpha_s}{a^2} \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \psi$. nEDM due to this same as due to $\frac{\alpha_s}{ma^2} G \cdot \hat{G}$.

Current estimates of nEDM due to

- CEDM $^{\overline{\text{MS}}} \Rightarrow O(1)$
- $\frac{\alpha_s}{ma^2} \Theta G \cdot \tilde{G} \Rightarrow \frac{O(0.1)}{5 \text{MeV}a^2} O(10^{-3}) \text{e-fm} = O(1)$

at $a \approx 0.1$ fm.

Expect O(1–10) cancellation. Important for disconnected diagrams.

Chiral symmetry does not remove this mixing.

Tanmoy Bhattacharya