Nonlocal Wave Turbulence in QCD

(In preparation)

Yacine Mehtar-Tani INT, University of Washington

Equilibration Mechanisms in Weakly and Strongly Coupled Quantum Field Theory August 27, 2015 INT, Seattle

Wave Turbulence (1)

- Out-of-equilibrium statistics of random non-linear waves
- Similarity with fluid turbulence: inviscid transport of conserved quantities from large to small scales through the so-called transparency window or inertial range
- Examples:
 - Atmospheric Rossby waves
 - Water surface gravity and capillary waves
 - Waves in plasmas
 - Nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NL Optics, BEC)



Viscous dissipation

Energy injection

Wave Turbulence (II)

- Waves are excited by external processes. Driven turbulence: Open system with source and sink \rightarrow away from thermodynamical equilibrium
- Steady states characterized by constant fluxes P and Q rather than temperature and thermodynamical potentials
- Kolmogorov-Obukhov (KO41) theory relies on Locality of interactions: Only eddies (waves) with comparable sizes (wavelengths) interact. Steady state power spectra in momentum space depend on the fluxes and not on the pumping and dissipation scales
- Weak (Wave) Turbulence Theory: Kinetic description in the limit of weak nonlinearities (No theory for strong turbulence)

V. E. Zakharov, V. S. L'vov, G. Falkovich (Springer- Verlag, 1992)

Turbulence in early stages of Heavy Ion Collisions

- Far-from equilibrium evolution of anisotropic particle distribution in momentum space (Initial conditions in HIC)
- Chromo-Weibel Instabilities : Anisotropy (hard modes) induces exponentially growing soft modes - transverse magnetic and electric fields which help restoring isotropy- (early stage: as in abelian plasmas) turning to a linear growth due to nonlinear interactions inherent to nonabelian plasmas
 E. S. Weibel (1959)



INT - 15 - 2c Program 2015

Turbulence in early stages of Heavy Ion Collisions

 Hard-loop simulations (large scale separation between hard modes and soft excitations) : Nonlinear interactions develop a turbulent cascade in the UV with exponent 2



Weak Turbulence in Kinetic Theory

- Can one understand this power spectrum k^{-2} from first principles?
- Note: from A. H. Mueller, A. I. Shoshi, S. M. H. Wong (2006):

Turbulence in QCD is nonlocal \Rightarrow $n(k) \sim k^{-1}$

- Caveats (in this work):
 - Homogeneous and isotropic system of gluons
 - Energy injection with constant rate P at k_f $\gg m$: Dispersion relation $\omega(k) \equiv |k|$
 - Weak nonlinearities in the classical limit (high occupancy):

$$g^2 \ll 1$$
 and $1 \ll n(k) \ll rac{1}{g^2}$

Elastic 2 to 2 process (4-waves interactions)

• Elastic gluon-gluon scattering

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbf{n_k} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{k_1, k_2, k_3} \frac{1}{2\omega(k)} |\mathcal{M}_{12 \to 3k}|^2 \, \delta(\sum_i k_i) \delta(\sum_i \omega_i) \, F[\mathbf{n}]$$

 $\mathbf{F}[\mathbf{n}] \equiv [\mathbf{n}_{k_1}\mathbf{n}_{k_2}\mathbf{n}_k + \mathbf{n}_{k_1}\mathbf{n}_{k_2}\mathbf{n}_{k_3} - \mathbf{n}_{k_1}\mathbf{n}_{k_3}\mathbf{n}_k - \mathbf{n}_{k_2}\mathbf{n}_{k_3}\mathbf{n}_k] \sim \mathbf{n}^3$



• Two constant of motion: particle number and energy \Rightarrow Two fluxes

$$\mathbf{Q} \equiv \dot{\mathbf{N}} = \int d^3 \mathbf{k} \, \dot{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{k})$$
 $\mathbf{P} \equiv \dot{\mathbf{E}} = \int d^3 \mathbf{k} \, |\mathbf{k}| \, \dot{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{k})$

Kolmogorov-Zakharov (KZ) Spectra

• From collision integral: nonlinear 4-wave interactions

$$P \sim Q \sim \dot{n} \sim n^3 \quad \Rightarrow n \sim P^{1/3} \sim Q^{1/3}$$

 Dimensional analysis determines uniquely the out-of-equilibrium steady state (KZ) power spectra if the interactions are local in momentum space

$$n(k) \sim \frac{Q^{1/3}}{k^{4/3}}$$
 $n(k) \sim \frac{P^{1/3}}{k^{5/3}}$

particle cascade

energy cascade

• Same exponents for scalar theories in the absence of condensation

Dual cascade: Fjørthoft argument

• Direction of fluxes: Injection of energy at k_f and dissipating at

 $k_- \ll k_f \ll k_+$

 Direct energy cascade: If energy was dissipating at low momenta then particles would dissipate at a faster rate than the pumping rate!



Fjørthoft (1953)



Are KZ spectra in QCD physically relevant?

Small angle approximation

• Coulomb interaction is singular at small momentum transfer $k \gg q \ge m$

 $|\mathcal{M}_{k1\to 23}|^2 \sim \alpha^2 \, \frac{s^2}{t^2}$



Fokker-Plank equation:
 Diffusion and drag

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}n_k \equiv \frac{\hat{\mathbf{q}}}{4k^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial k} k^2 \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial k} n_k + \frac{n_k^2}{T_*} \right]$$

L. D. Landau (1937) B. Svetitski (1988)

Diffusion coefficient

$$\hat{\mathbf{q}} \equiv \sim \alpha^2 \int \mathrm{d}^3 k \, n_k^2$$

- KZ spectra are not stationary solutions of the collision integral (contrary to non-relativistic Coulomb scattering! A. V. Kats, V. M. Kontorovich, S. S. Moiseev, and V. E. Novikov (1975))
- \hat{q} diverges in the IR for $n \sim k^{-5/3}$ and for $n \sim k^{-4/3}$ in the UV

Steady state solutions

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}n_{k} \equiv \frac{\hat{q}}{4k^{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial k}k^{2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial k}n_{k} + \frac{n_{k}^{2}}{T_{*}} \end{bmatrix} + F - D$$

$$\int \int Dumping$$
Thermal fixed point:
$$\frac{T_{*}}{k - \mu}$$

 Non-thermal fixed point (inverse particle n(cascade):

$$(k) \sim \frac{A}{k} > \frac{T_*}{k}$$

$$\mathbf{A} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{T}_* \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{16\mathbf{Q}}{\hat{\mathbf{q}}\mathbf{T}_*}} \right)$$

• Warm cascade behavior:

2-D Optical turbulence: S. Dyachenko, A.C. Newell, A. Pushkarev, V.E. Zakharov (1992) Boltzmann equation: D. Proment, S. Nazarenko, P. Asinari, and M. Onorato (2011)

• No (homogeneous) steady state solution for the energy cascade

Numerical simulation of FK equation with forcing



• The occupation number (left) and, the energy and particle number fluxes (right) at late times

Inelastic processes in the small angle approximation

with the rate formation time: $t_f(k) \sim \frac{k}{k_\perp^2} \sim \frac{k}{\hat{q}t_f}$

Effective 3 waves interactions (1 to 2 scatterings)

LPM regime: many scatterings can cause a gluon to branch

$$k \frac{d\Gamma}{dk} \sim \frac{\alpha}{t_f(k)} \sim \alpha \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\textbf{q}}}{k}}$$



R. Baier, Y. Dokshitzer, A. H. Mueller, S. Peigné, D. Schiff (1995) V. Zakharov (1996)

• Bethe-Heitler regime for $t_f(k) < \ell_{mfp} \sim m^2/\hat{q}$

$$k \frac{d\Gamma}{dk} \sim \frac{\alpha}{\ell_{mfp}}$$



J. F. Gunion and G. Bertsch (1982)

Effective 3 waves interaction (1 to 2 scatterings)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}n_{k} \equiv \frac{1}{k^{3}} \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} dq K(k+q,q)F(k+q,q) - \int_{0}^{k} dq K(k,q)F(k,q) \right]$$

$$k+q - \left[k - q \right] \left[k - q + q - q \right] \left[k - q + q - q \right] \left[k - q + q \right] \right]$$

$$F(k,q) \equiv n_{k+q}n_{k} + (n_{k+q} - n_{k})n_{q} \sim n^{2}$$

$$K(k,q) \equiv \alpha \sqrt{\hat{q}} \; \frac{(k+q)^{7/2}}{k^{1/2}q^{3/2}}$$

R. Baier, Y. Dokshitzer, A. H. Mueller, D. Schiff, D. T. Son (2000) P. Arnold, G. D. Moore, L. G. Yaffe (2002)

• Direct energy cascade (if interactions are local!)

$$n_k \sim \frac{P^{1/2}}{\hat{q}^{1/4} k^{7/4}}$$

P. Arnold, G. D. Moore (2005)

Locality of interactions

- Assume a power spectrum $n \sim k^{-x}$ and require the energy flux to be independent of k
- We obtain x = 7/4 and

$$\mathbf{P} = \alpha \sqrt{\hat{q}} \int_0^1 dz \, \frac{(1-z)^{\mathbf{x}} + z^{\mathbf{x}} - 1}{z^{\mathbf{x}+1/2}(1-z)^{\mathbf{x}+3/2}} \, \ln \frac{1}{z}$$

- The above integral diverges: $P = \infty$
- ⇒ Effective 3 waves Interaction is nonlocal in momentum space and the KZ spectrum cannot be realized

Gradient expansion of the collision integral ($k \ll k_{\rm f}$)

- The collision integral is dominated by strongly asymmetric branchings
- In the regime: $\ k \ll k_{
 m f}$ To the left of the source $\ \$



$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}n_k \simeq \frac{1}{k^3} \left[\int_0^\infty dq K(k+q,q) F(k+q,q) \right] a \simeq \alpha \frac{\sqrt{\hat{q}}}{k^{7/2}} \left[T_* - kn(k) \right]$$

• Late times (steady state) solution is thermal (no fluxes) :

$$n(k) \equiv \frac{T_*}{k}$$

• In agreement with Mueller, Shoshi, Wong (2006) Abraao York, Kurkela, Lu, Moore (2014)

k_f

n(k)

Yacine Mehtar-Tani

Gradient expansion of the collision integral ($k \gg k_f$)

- In the regime: $k\gg k_f$ To the right of the source. We perform a gradient expansion around $\,k\gg q$
- We obtain an isotropic diffusion equation in "4-D"

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}n(k) \simeq \frac{\hat{q}_{inel}}{4k^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial k} k^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial k} n(k)$$

• with the inelastic diffusion coefficient (in the LPM regime)

$$\hat{\mathbf{q}}_{\text{inel}} = \alpha \sqrt{\hat{\mathbf{q}}} \int_0^\infty d\mathbf{q} \sqrt{\mathbf{q}} \, \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{q})$$



Gradient expansion of the collision integral (II)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}n(k) \simeq \frac{\hat{q}_{inel}}{4k^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial k} k^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial k} n(k)$$

- Recall that 3-D diffusion conserves number of particles: $N \sim \int dk k^2 n(k)$ Its fixed point (inverse particle cascade): $n(k) \sim \frac{1}{k}$
- 4-D diffusion conserves energy: $E \sim \left[\frac{dk k^3 n(k)}{dk k^3 n(k)} \right]$

Its fixed point (direct energy cascade):

$$n(k) \sim \frac{1}{k^2}$$

Numerical simulation with forcing (full solution)



Parametric estimate:

$$\widehat{q}\sim k_f^3 n^2$$

$$\bigcup_{n(k)} \sim \frac{P}{\hat{q}k^2} \sim \frac{P^{1/3} k_f^{1/3}}{k^2}$$

Nonlocal turbulent spectrum: hard gluons in the inertial range interact dominantly with gluons at the forcing scale (energy gain)

Interplay between elastic and inelastic processes (1)

• For a spectrum falling faster than 1/k one can neglect the drag term in the elastic part. Then, the collision integral in the UV reads

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}n(k) &\simeq \frac{\hat{q}_{\text{inel}}}{4k^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial k} k^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial k} n(k) + \frac{\hat{q}_{el}}{4k^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial k} k^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial k} n(k) \\ &\equiv \frac{B}{4k^{3-\beta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial k} k^{3-\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial k} n(k) \end{split}$$
where $B = \hat{q}_{\text{inel}} + \hat{q}_{el}$ and $\beta = \frac{2}{1+\hat{q}_{\text{inel}}/\hat{q}_{el}}$

Steady state solution:

$$n(k) \sim \frac{1}{k^{2-\beta}}$$

 $0 < \beta < 1$

Interplay between elastic and inelastic processes (II)



Exponent $\beta \simeq 0.24$ is computed self-consistently

 Elastic processes reduce slightly the exponent at asymptotically late times. At intermediate times k⁻² spectrum is observed: balance between the drag and diffusion terms?

Summary

- Wave turbulence in QCD is different from scalar theories. It is characterized by nonlocal interactions in momentum space: Kolmogorov-Zakharov spectra are not physically relevant
- Inelastic processes dominates the dynamics with a direct energy cascade
- To the right of the forcing scale: Kinetic theory predicts a steady state spectrum ~ k⁻² (in the LPM and BH regimes) in agreement with Hard Loop simulations
- To the left of the forcing scale the system appears to be thermalized: warm cascade
- Outlook: mass corrections, anisotropic fluxes, strong turbulence in the presence of strong fields (on the lattice): different exponents?