## **Fermions in Synthetic Gauge Potentials and Synthetic Dimensions**

#### Vijay B. Shenoy

Centre for Condensed Matter Theory Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore shenoy@physics.iisc.ernet.in [http://www.physics.iisc.ernet.in/˜shenoy](http://www.physics.iisc.ernet.in/~shenoy)

Frontiers in Quantum Simulation with Cold Atoms INT, April 2015

#### Generous research funding: DST, DAE

#### • Key contributors:



Jayantha P. Vyasanakere

#### Generous research funding: DST, DAE

#### • Key contributors:



Jayantha P. Vyasanakere Sudeep K. Ghosh



#### Generous research funding: DST, DAE

• Key contributors:



Jayantha P. Vyasanakere Sudeep K. Ghosh Adhip Agarwala





#### Generous research funding: DST, DAE

• Key contributors:



Jayantha P. Vyasanakere Sudeep K. Ghosh Adhip Agarwala Umesh Yadav







#### **Overview**

- Background and questions
- Fermions in synthetic gauge potentials and dimensions
	- $\triangleright$  Interacting fermions in synthetic Rashba (with JPV)
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Feshbach resonances
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Finite momentum pairing
	- $\triangleright$  Kondo effect (with AA)
	- $\triangleright$  Route to novel Hamiltonians (with SG/JPV)
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Few body physics in synthetic dimensions (with SG/UY)

Based on cond-mat:1101.0411, 1104.5633, 1108.4872, 1109.5279,

1201.5332, 1211.1831, 1212.2858, Unpublished (coming soon)

(Related work: Gong et al., 1105.1796, Yu and Zhai 1105.2250, Hu et al., 1105.2408, Subasi and Iskin 1106.0473, Han and

Sá de Melo 1106.3613..and many others; see also, Chaplik and Magrill (2006))

• What is all this good for...





Superfluids with high  $T_c$  Topological phases/Quantum computing ...quantum simulation of many body physics.  $\frac{3 / 58}{3 / 58}$ 

# **Fermions in SU(2) Gauge Potentials**



Jayantha P. Vyasanakere

# Interacting  $Spin-<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>$  Fermions in 3D ( "Free Vacuum") 2-BODY

• Need critical attraction  $v$  for bound state

 $v = -1$   $v = 0$  $v_F^*$  $\hat{F}$ F  $v_R^*$  $\hat{R}$ R

(RG picture: Sachdev (1999), Sauli and Kopeitz (2006), Nikolic´

and Sachdev (2007), Nishida and Son (2007) )



 $v_F^* = 0$ : "free vacuum" fixed point,  $v_R^* = -1$ : *resonant* fixed point Binding energy  $E_b = 1/a_s^2$  for scattering length  $a_s > 0$ 

## Interacting  $Spin-<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>$  Fermions in 3D ( "Free Vacuum") 2-BODY

• Need critical attraction  $v$  for bound state

 $v = -1$   $v = 0$  $v_F^*$  $\hat{F}$ F  $v_R^*$  $\hat{R}$ R

(RG picture: Sachdev (1999), Sauli and Kopeitz (2006), Nikolic´

and Sachdev (2007), Nishida and Son (2007) )



- $v_F^* = 0$ : "free vacuum" fixed point,  $v_R^* = -1$ : *resonant* fixed point
- Binding energy  $E_b = 1/a_s^2$  for scattering length  $a_s > 0$

# FINITE FERMION DENSITY  $\rho \sim k_F^3$ : BCS-BEC Crossover

(Eagles (1969), Leggett (1980), Norzieres and Schmitt-Rink (1985), Randeria et al., (1990s), Zwerger (ed.) (2011)) ´



- $\text{BCS: } k_F |a_s| \ll 1$ ,  $\mu \approx E_F$ , large  $\text{pairs, } T_c \sim exp(-1/k_F|a_s|)$
- BEC:  $k_F a_s \ll 1$ ,  $\mu \approx -1/2 a_s^2$ , tight bosonic fermion-pairs, boson-boson scattering  $\text{length} \approx 2a_s$ ,  $T_c \approx 0.218T_F$

# $Spin-<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>$  Fermions in Non-Abelian Gauge Potentials

Spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  particles in a non-Abelian SU(2) gauge potentials

$$
\mathcal{H}_{GF} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \boldsymbol{r} \, \Psi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{r}) \left[ \frac{1}{2} (p_i \mathbf{1} - A_i^{\mu} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mu}) (p_i \mathbf{1} - A_i^{\nu} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\nu}) + \Omega^{\mu} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mu} \right] \Psi(\boldsymbol{r})
$$

 $\Psi(\bm{r})=\{\psi_{\sigma}(\bm{r})\},\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow,p_i\textrm{-momentum operator } \bm{\tau}^{\mu}-\textrm{Pauli spin operators}$ Uniform gauge fields– $A_i^{\nu}$ , spin potentials  $\Omega^{\mu}$  (Zeeman fields)

- $A_i^{\nu} = \lambda_i \delta_i^{\nu}$  (experimentally relevant)
- Hamiltonian for  $A_i^{\nu} = \lambda_i \delta_i^{\nu}$   $(\Omega^{\mu} = 0)$

*p* 

$$
\mathcal{H}_{R} = \int d^{3} \boldsymbol{r} \Psi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{r}) \left[ \frac{p^{2}}{2} \mathbf{1} - \boldsymbol{p}_{\lambda} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} \right] \Psi(\boldsymbol{r}),
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{p}_{\lambda} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} = \lambda_{x} p_{x} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{x} + \lambda_{y} p_{y} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{y} + \lambda_{z} p_{z} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{z}
$$



*...generalized Rashba spin-orbit coupling*

Rashba SOC described by  $\lambda = \lambda \hat{\lambda}$ ,  $\lambda$  SOC strength  $\equiv$  gauge coupling

### "High-Symmetry" Rashba Gauge Fields

#### (Vyasanakere and VBS, arXiv:1101.0411)



#### Extreme Prolate

(Equal Rashba-Dresselhaus)

$$
\lambda_x=\lambda_y=0, \lambda_z=\lambda
$$

(XJLiu et al. 0808.4137, Shanxi (2012), MIT

(2012), NIST(2013))



$$
\lambda_x = \lambda_y = \lambda_z = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{3}}
$$

(Proposal: Anderson et al., 1112.6022)

Λ*<sup>x</sup>*

Extreme Oblate (Rashba)

Λ*<sup>y</sup>*

$$
\lambda_x = \lambda_y = \tfrac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}, \lambda_z = 0
$$

(Proposal: Campbell et al., 1102.3945; Anderson et al., 1306.2606, Xu et al., 1306.2829)

• One particle states

$$
|k\alpha\rangle = |k\rangle \otimes |\alpha \hat{k}_{\lambda}\rangle, \qquad \varepsilon_{k\alpha} = \frac{k^2}{2} - \alpha |k_{\lambda}|
$$

$$
k_{\lambda} = \lambda_{x} k_{x} e_{x} + \lambda_{y} k_{y} e_{y} + \lambda_{z} k_{z} e_{z},
$$

 $\alpha = \pm 1$  *helicity* 

• One particle states

$$
|k\alpha\rangle = |k\rangle \otimes |\alpha \hat{k}_{\lambda}\rangle, \qquad \varepsilon_{k\alpha} = \frac{k^2}{2} - \alpha |k_{\lambda}|
$$

$$
k_{\lambda} = \lambda_{x} k_{x} e_{x} + \lambda_{y} k_{y} e_{y} + \lambda_{z} k_{z} e_{z},
$$

 $\alpha = \pm 1$  *helicity* 



• One particle states

$$
|k\alpha\rangle = |k\rangle \otimes |\alpha \hat{k}_{\lambda}\rangle, \qquad \varepsilon_{k\alpha} = \frac{k^2}{2} - \alpha |k_{\lambda}|
$$

$$
k_{\lambda} = \lambda_{x} k_{x} e_{x} + \lambda_{y} k_{y} e_{y} + \lambda_{z} k_{z} e_{z},
$$

 $\alpha = \pm 1$  *helicity* 



One particle states

$$
|k\alpha\rangle = |k\rangle \otimes |\alpha \hat{k}_{\lambda}\rangle, \qquad \varepsilon_{k\alpha} = \frac{k^2}{2} - \alpha |k_{\lambda}|
$$

$$
k_{\lambda} = \lambda_{x} k_{x} e_{x} + \lambda_{y} k_{y} e_{y} + \lambda_{z} k_{z} e_{z},
$$

$$
\alpha = \pm 1 \; \textit{helicity}
$$



Interaction between fermions...attraction in the *singlet channel*

$$
\mathcal{H}_\upsilon = \frac{\upsilon}{2}\int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{r} \psi^\dagger_\uparrow(\boldsymbol{r}) \psi^\dagger_\downarrow(\boldsymbol{r}) \psi_\downarrow(\boldsymbol{r}) \psi_\uparrow(\boldsymbol{r})
$$

with scattering length *a<sup>s</sup>*

Natural formulation in terms of *singlet amplitudes*,

 $A_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}) = \langle \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}, s | \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}, \alpha\beta \rangle, \qquad | \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}, \alpha\beta \rangle = C_{\frac{q}{2} + \mathbf{k}, \alpha}^{\dagger} C_{\frac{q}{2} - \mathbf{k}, \beta}^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle$ 

$$
H_v = \frac{v}{2V} \sum_{q} \sum_{k,k'} \underbrace{A_{\alpha\beta}(q,k) A_{\alpha'\beta'}^*(q,k')}_{U_{\alpha\beta,\beta'\alpha'}(q,k,k')} C^{\dagger}_{(\frac{q}{2}+k)\alpha} C^{\dagger}_{(\frac{q}{2}-k)\beta} C_{(\frac{q}{2}-k')\beta'} C_{(\frac{q}{2}+k')\alpha'}
$$

#### The Question

- Given: Fermions at density  $\rho \sim k_F^3$  with scattering length  $a_s$
- Given: Rashba spin-orbit coupling  $\lambda = \lambda \hat{\lambda}$





#### The Question

- Given: Fermions at density  $\rho \sim k_F^3$  with scattering length  $a_s$
- Given: Rashba spin-orbit coupling  $\lambda = \lambda \hat{\lambda}$





• Question: What happens to BCS-BEC in a Rashba gauge field  $\lambda \hat{\lambda}$ ?

### Fermions in Rashba Gauge Fields

#### **Organization**

- **Ground State** 
	- Two-body
	- Many body
- **•** Excitations
	- Two-body Many body
- Finite temperatures
- Phase diagram



#### **2-Body Ground State**

#### 2-Body Ground State – High Symmetry Gauge Fields

(Vyasanakere and VBS, arXiv:1101.0411)

For EP ( $\lambda_x = \lambda$ ,  $\lambda_y = \lambda_z = 0$ ) binding energy  $E_b = \frac{\Theta(a_s)}{a_s^2}$  $\frac{u_{sj}}{a_s^2}$  – same energetics as in free vacuum

### 2-Body Ground State – High Symmetry Gauge Fields

(Vyasanakere and VBS, arXiv:1101.0411)

For EP ( $\lambda_x = \lambda$ ,  $\lambda_y = \lambda_z = 0$ ) binding energy  $E_b = \frac{\Theta(a_s)}{a_s^2}$  $\frac{u_{sj}}{a_s^2}$  – same *s* energetics as in free vacuum

$$
extreme Oblate: \lambda_x = \lambda_y = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}, \lambda_z = 0
$$

- Critical scattering length *asc vanishes*: bound state for *any* scattering length!
- Binding energy



• Wavefunction (singlet + triplet)  $|\Psi\rangle \propto \psi_s(\mathbf{r}) |\uparrow \downarrow - \downarrow \uparrow \rangle + \psi_a(\mathbf{r}) |\uparrow \uparrow \rangle + \psi_a^*(\mathbf{r}) |\downarrow \downarrow \rangle$ ...uniaxial spin nematic (ABM of <sup>3</sup>He!)

### 2-Body Ground State – High Symmetry Gauge Fields

(Vyasanakere and VBS, arXiv:1101.0411)

For EP ( $\lambda_x = \lambda$ ,  $\lambda_y = \lambda_z = 0$ ) binding energy  $E_b = \frac{\Theta(a_s)}{a_s^2}$  $\frac{u_{sj}}{a_s^2}$  – same *s* energetics as in free vacuum

$$
extreme Oblate: \lambda_x = \lambda_y = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}, \lambda_z = 0
$$

- Critical scattering length *asc vanishes*: bound state for *any* scattering length!
- Binding energy



• Wavefunction (singlet + triplet)  $|\Psi\rangle \propto \psi_s(\mathbf{r}) |\uparrow \downarrow - \downarrow \uparrow \rangle + \psi_a(\mathbf{r}) |\uparrow \uparrow \rangle + \psi_a^*(\mathbf{r}) |\downarrow \downarrow \rangle$ ...uniaxial spin nematic (ABM of  ${}^{3}$ He!) Spherical:  $\lambda_x = \lambda_y = \lambda_z = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}$ 3

- Bound state for *any* scattering length
- Binding energy

$$
E_b = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1}{a_s} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{a_s^2} + \frac{4\lambda^2}{3}} \right)^2
$$

• ... "algebraic" in the  
BCS side: 
$$
E_b = \left(\frac{\lambda a_s}{3}\right)^2
$$



#### Generic Rashba SOC

• Critical scattering length

$$
\lambda a_{sc} = \mathcal{F}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}})
$$



- Critical scattering length *asc* < 0; *for a generic SOC bound state appears at a weaker attraction* (negative scattering length, do not need a resonance scattering length)
- SOC *attractive interaction amplifier!*

### State at Resonance Scattering Length



Characteristic triplet content η*<sup>t</sup>*

- Size of bound state wavefunction is  $\lambda^{-1}$
- For *S*-SOC,

$$
|\Psi_b\rangle \propto \frac{e^{-\lambda r/\sqrt{3}}}{r} \left( \sin \frac{\lambda r}{\sqrt{3}} + \cos \frac{\lambda r}{\sqrt{3}} \right) |\uparrow \downarrow - \downarrow \uparrow \rangle
$$
  
+ $i \left( \left( \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{1}{r} \right) \sin \frac{\lambda r}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{3}} \cos \frac{\lambda r}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \frac{e^{-\lambda r/\sqrt{3}}}{\lambda r/\sqrt{3}} |\uparrow \downarrow + \downarrow \uparrow \rangle_{\hat{r}}$ 

### Physics of Enhanced Binding: RG Picture



Spin orbit interaction is a relevant operator at  $v_R^*$  and  $v_F^*$ 

#### The "Physical" Picture

• Singlet density of states of high symmetry SOCs



SOC induces large infrared degeneracies! DOS determined by the *co-dimension* of the one-particle ground state manifold Simple model ( $\varepsilon_0 \sim \lambda^2$ )

$$
g(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{2\varepsilon_0}}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0} \right)^\gamma \Theta(\varepsilon) & \text{if } \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0, \\ \frac{\sqrt{2\varepsilon}}{\pi^2} & \text{if } \varepsilon \ge \varepsilon_0 \end{cases} \implies \sqrt{2\varepsilon_0} a_{sc} = \frac{\pi\gamma}{2\gamma - 1} \Theta(\gamma)
$$

Highly symmetric SOCs strongly modify the infrared density of states...promotes bound state formation

### **Many-Body Ground State**



Finite Density of Non-Interacting Fermions with SOC

- Density  $\rho \sim k_F^3$
- Chemical potential changes with  $\lambda$ ...e.g. EP(Rashba)-SOC

$$
\frac{\mu_{\text{NI}}(\lambda)}{E_F} \approx 1 - \left(\frac{\lambda}{k_F}\right)^2 \; (\lambda \ll k_F) \quad \text{ and } \quad \frac{\mu_{\text{NI}}(\lambda)}{E_F} \approx \frac{k_F}{\lambda} \; (\lambda \gg k_F)
$$

*Change in the topology* of the non-interacting Fermi surface with increasing gauge coupling  $\lambda$ 



- The topology of the fermi surface changes at  $\lambda = \lambda_T \approx k_F$
- For  $\lambda > \lambda_T$  the occupied states are only of + helicity

- For  $\lambda = 0$ , small negative ve  $a_s$  ( $k_F | a_s | \ll 1$ ): BCS superfluid
- What happens if  $\lambda$  is increased at *fixed*  $a_s$ ?

- For  $\lambda = 0$ , small negative ve  $a_s$  ( $k_F | a_s | \ll 1$ ): BCS superfluid
- What happens if  $\lambda$  is increased at *fixed a<sub>s</sub>*?
	- For  $\lambda \ll \lambda_T$ , the chemical potential is close to that of the non-interacting system
	- At  $\lambda \approx \lambda_T$ , the chemical potential "switches over" to that set by the two body bound state!
	- For  $\lambda \gtrsim \lambda_T$  the pair wavefunction is same as that of the two body wavefunction



- For  $\lambda = 0$ , small negative ve  $a_s$  ( $k_F | a_s | \ll 1$ ): BCS superfluid
- What happens if  $\lambda$  is increased at *fixed a<sub>s</sub>*?
	- For  $\lambda \ll \lambda_T$ , the chemical potential is close to that of the non-interacting system
	- At  $\lambda \approx \lambda_T$ , the chemical potential "switches over" to that set by the two body bound state!
	- For  $\lambda \gtrsim \lambda_T$  the pair wavefunction is same as that of the two body wavefunction



- For  $\lambda = 0$ , small negative ve  $a_s$  ( $k_F | a_s | \ll 1$ ): BCS superfluid
- What happens if  $\lambda$  is increased at *fixed a<sub>s</sub>*?
	- For  $\lambda \ll \lambda_T$ , the chemical potential is close to that of the non-interacting system
	- At  $\lambda \approx \lambda_T$ , the chemical potential "switches over" to that set by the two body bound state!
	- For  $\lambda \gtrsim \lambda_T$  the pair wavefunction is same as that of the two body wavefunction



- For  $\lambda = 0$ , small negative ve  $a_s$  ( $k_F | a_s | \ll 1$ ): BCS superfluid
- What happens if  $\lambda$  is increased at *fixed a<sub>s</sub>*?
- For  $\lambda \ll \lambda_T$ , the chemical potential is close to that of the non-interacting system
- At  $\lambda \approx \lambda_T$ , the chemical potential "switches over" to that set by the two body bound state!
- For  $\lambda \gtrsim \lambda_T$  the pair wavefunction is same as that of the two body wavefunction



- For  $\lambda = 0$ , small negative ve  $a_s$  ( $k_F | a_s | \ll 1$ ): BCS superfluid
- What happens if  $\lambda$  is increased at *fixed a<sub>s</sub>*?
- For  $\lambda \ll \lambda_T$ , the chemical potential is close to that of the non-interacting system
- At  $\lambda \approx \lambda_T$ , the chemical potential "switches over" to that set by the two body bound state!
- For  $\lambda \gtrsim \lambda_T$  the pair wavefunction is same as that of the two body wavefunction



Rashba gauge field (spin-orbit coupling) induces BCS-BEC crossover for a fixed attraction  $(a_s)!$  (Vyasanakere et al., arXiv:1104.5633)

# BEC Induced by Rashba Gauge Fields

- Crossover to BEC occurs in the regime  $\lambda \gtrsim \lambda_T$
- What is the nature of the BEC for  $\lambda \gg \lambda_T$ ?



- The BEC for  $\lambda \gg \lambda_T$  is a condensate of bosons whose property is *solely* determined by the gauge field (and not by scattering length *as*)
- These bosons are named rashbons
- Rashbon: the bound bosonic state of two fermions at *resonance scattering length* in the Rashba gauge field

The gauge field induces a crossover from a BCS like state (even for small negative *as*) to a Rashbon-BEC (RBEC) state

#### Whence Rashbons?

• For large  $\lambda$ , the dimensionless gap equation (all energies measured in units of  $\lambda^2$ )

$$
\frac{1}{4\pi\lambda a_s} = \frac{1}{2V} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{E - 2\varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{k}\alpha}} + \frac{1}{k^2} \right)
$$

• As  $\lambda \to \infty$ ,  $\lambda a_s \to \infty$ , equivalent to fixing  $\lambda$  and  $a_s \to \infty$ 

The binding energy/spin structure becomes *independent* of *a<sup>s</sup>* and depends only on the Rashba gauge field!


# Ground State: Summary



#### Ground State: Summary



Next Question: Transition temperature *Tc*?

# **Excitations: 2-Body**

#### 2-Body Excited States

• Bound state dispersion  $(q:$  centre of mass momentum)



#### 2-Body Excited States

• Bound state dispersion (*q* : centre of mass momentum)



- For  $q \ll \lambda$ ,  $E(q) \approx -E_R + \sum_i$  $\frac{q_i^2}{2m_i}$
- There is *no bound state at a finite center-of-mass momentum q*  $\sim \lambda$ !
- For large *q*, a *positive* scattering length is necessary to obtain a bound sate! Rashba gauge field *inhibits* formation of bound state at centre of mass momenta  $q \geq \lambda!$
- Physics: Lack of Galilean invariance- in the Galilean boosted (by *q*) frame, the kinetic energy operator (for S-SOC)

$$
H_{GF}^{boosted} = \frac{(P-q)^2}{2} - \lambda P \cdot \tau + \underbrace{\lambda q \cdot \tau}_{\text{Zeeman field!}}
$$

...this additional Zeeman field inhibits bound state formation at finite COM! 24/58

#### Properties of Rashbons

Rashbons are anisotropic particles with a nematic spin structure, anisotropic mass, e. g., for EO gauge field





•  $T_c$  of RBEC is determined by properties of rashbons

**Excitations: Many Body**

## Bogoliubov Quasipartilces



$$
\lambda = 0 \qquad \qquad 0 < \lambda < \lambda_B \qquad \qquad \lambda = \lambda_B \qquad \qquad \lambda > \lambda_B
$$

- Bogoliubov qasiparticle dispersion also mimick the topology transition of the bare Fermi surface
- For  $\lambda \geq \lambda_B$ , low energy quasiparticle excitations are only of one helicity
- $\lambda_B$  depends on scattering length;  $\lambda_B \approx k_F$  for small negative scattering length

#### Collective Excitations

- Gaussian fluctuation theory (c.f. Engelbrecht et al. (1996))
- Two modes: Gapless phase mode, gapped amplitude mode (results for any  $\lambda$ )



Phase stiffness ( $K_s^0 = \frac{\rho}{4m}$ )





- $\frac{1}{4}$  Mon-monotonic dependence of  $K^s$  on  $\lambda$
- Lack of Galilean invariance (Zhou and Zhang, arXiv:1110.3565)
- New result: *Emergent Galilean invarance*

$$
K^{s}(\lambda \to \infty) = \frac{\rho}{2m^{R}} \quad (\frac{\rho}{2} \frac{1}{m_{i}^{R}} \delta_{ij})
$$

...consistent with Leggett's theorem

• ..*rashbons must be interacting*! 28/58

#### Rashbon-Rashbon Interaction



- For large  $\lambda$ , R-BEC is described by a Bogoliubov theory of anisotropic bosons interacting via a contact potential
- Effective rashbon-rashbon scattering length  $\frac{N(\hat{\bm{\lambda}})}{\lambda}$ ...for S-SOC

$$
a_R = \frac{3\sqrt{3}(4+\sqrt{2})}{7} \frac{1}{\lambda}
$$

*independent of the scattering length a<sup>s</sup> of fermions!*

• Remarkable state...the interaction between emergent bosons is determined by a parameter λ that enters the *kinetic energy* of the constituent fermions!

Physics of Rashbon-Rashbon Interactions

Recall: Size of rashbons (extent of bound state wavefunction at large  $\lambda$ ) is  $\lambda^{-1}$ 



Crude argument: Pauli exclusion between like fermions keeps the rashbons apart over a distance of  $\lambda^{-1}$ 

**Transition Temperature and Phase Diagram**















- The Rashba gauge field *enhances the exponentially small transition temperature by orders of magnitude to the order of the Fermi temperature* even for weak attraction!
- For  $\lambda \gg k_F$ ,  $1/a_s$ , physics is independent of  $a_s$  (need only  $a_s \neq 0$ ...transition temperature determined by rashbon mass  $m_R$

# Pseudogap Physics

Finite temperature properties determined by rashbon dispersion



- In the regime  $k_F \approx \lambda \approx T$ , the normal state will be a "dynamical" mixture" of rashbons and helical fermions...strong pseudogap effects over a large regime (Review of pseudogap physics: Randeria, INT Symposium (2011) )
- Need to go beyond Gaussian fluctuations in the pseudogap regime

#### **Kondo Effect in a Synthetic Gauge Field**

(Coming soon on the arXiv)



Adhip Agarwala

#### Kondo Effect: Background

Anderson impurity model - impurity at the origin

$$
\mathcal{H} = \int d^d \boldsymbol{r} \, c^{\dagger}_{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{r}) \left( -\frac{\nabla^2}{2} - E_F \right) c_{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{r}) + \varepsilon_d d^{\dagger}_{\sigma} d_{\sigma} + U d^{\dagger}_{\uparrow} d^{\dagger}_{\downarrow} d_{\downarrow} d_{\uparrow} + V \left( d^{\dagger}_{\sigma} c_{\sigma}(\mathbf{0})_{\sigma} + \text{h. c.} \right)
$$

- For appropriate conditions, impurity has no-charge fluctuations and becomes a "local moment" with an AF exachange with the fermi gas
- Ground state: Kondo singlet with an energy scale  $T_K \sim E_F e^{-1/JE_F}$ , *J* ∼ *V* <sup>2</sup>/*U*

#### Kondo Effect with Rashbha Spin Orbit Coupling

Anderson impurity model - impurity at the origin

$$
\mathcal{H} = \int d^d \boldsymbol{r} \, c^{\dagger}_{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{r}) \left( H_{\sigma \sigma'}(-i\nabla, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) - E_{F} \delta_{\sigma, \sigma'} \right) c_{\sigma'}(\boldsymbol{r}) + \varepsilon_d d^{\dagger}_{\sigma} d_{\sigma} + U d^{\dagger}_{\uparrow} d^{\dagger}_{\downarrow} d_{\downarrow} d_{\uparrow} + V \left( d^{\dagger}_{\sigma} c_{\sigma}(\mathbf{0})_{\sigma} + \text{h. c.} \right)
$$



• For  $\lambda \geq k_F$ , a new kind of Kondo state with 2/3 of the *d*-moment! Enhancement of Kondo scale by spin-orbit coupling!

#### **Fermions in Synthetic Dimensions Baryon "Squishing"**

(1503.02301)



Sudeep K. Ghosh Umesh Yadav



#### *SU*(*M*) Symmetric Interactions

- Atoms with *M* hyperfine states and *SU*(*M*) symmetric interactions  $[K, M = 8], [Yb, M = 6], [Dy, M = 22]$  etc. (eg. Takhashi group, Inguscio group, Bloch group)
- *SU*(*M*) (fermions) atoms in a 1D optical lattice with attraction *U*

$$
H = -t \sum_{j,\gamma} \left( C^{\dagger}_{(j+1)\gamma} C_{j\gamma} + \text{h.c.} \right) - \frac{U}{2} \sum_{j,\gamma,\gamma'} C^{\dagger}_{j\gamma'} C^{\dagger}_{j\gamma'} C_{j\gamma'} C_{j\gamma}
$$

• *M*-fermion ground state is a *SU(M)*-singlet – baryon (e.g. Hofsetter group (2013))



#### *SU*(*M*) Symmetric Interactions

- Atoms with *M* hyperfine states and *SU*(*M*) symmetric interactions  $[K, M = 8], [Yb, M = 6], [Dy, M = 22]$  etc. (eg. Takhashi group, Inguscio group, Bloch group)
- *SU*(*M*) (fermions) atoms in a 1D optical lattice with attraction *U*

$$
H = -t \sum_{j,\gamma} \left( C^{\dagger}_{(j+1)\gamma} C_{j\gamma} + \text{h.c.} \right) - \frac{U}{2} \sum_{j,\gamma,\gamma'} C^{\dagger}_{j\gamma'} C^{\dagger}_{j\gamma'} C_{j\gamma'} C_{j\gamma}
$$

• *M*-fermion ground state is a *SU(M)*-singlet – baryon (e.g. Hofsetter group (2013))



#### *SU*(*M*) Symmetric Interactions

- Atoms with *M* hyperfine states and *SU*(*M*) symmetric interactions  $[K, M = 8], [Yb, M = 6], [Dy, M = 22]$  etc. (eg. Takhashi group, Inguscio group, Bloch group)
- *SU*(*M*) (fermions) atoms in a 1D optical lattice with attraction *U*

$$
H = -t \sum_{j,\gamma} \left( C^{\dagger}_{(j+1)\gamma} C_{j\gamma} + \text{h.c.} \right) - \frac{U}{2} \sum_{j,\gamma,\gamma'} C^{\dagger}_{j\gamma'} C^{\dagger}_{j\gamma'} C_{j\gamma'} C_{j\gamma}
$$

• *M*-fermion ground state is a *SU(M)*-singlet – baryon (e.g. Hofsetter group (2013))

















**Idea of synthetic dimensions** (Celi et al., 1307.8349)...use the hyperfine label  $\gamma$  as another "dimension"



Hofstadter model with a  $2\pi \frac{p}{q}$ *q* flux

$$
H = -t \sum_{j,\gamma} \left( C_{j\gamma}^{\dagger} C_{j\gamma} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \sum_{j\gamma} \left( \Omega_{\gamma} e^{ik_{\ell}x_{j}} C_{j(\gamma+1)}^{\dagger} C_{j\gamma} + \text{h.c.} \right)
$$

$$
- \frac{U}{2} \sum_{j,\gamma,\gamma'} C_{j\gamma}^{\dagger} C_{j\gamma'}^{\dagger} C_{j\gamma'} C_{j\gamma}
$$

- Interactions are nonlocal along synthetic dimension, and local along real dimension
- **Experimentally realized!** (Fallani et al., Spielman et al., (this meeting))  $39/58$

#### Our Perspective

#### By a gauge transformation, problem can be recast as

$$
H = -t\sum_{j} \mathbb{B}_{j+1}^{\dagger} \mathbb{U}_{j+1}^{\dagger} \mathbb{U}_{j} \mathbb{B}_{j} + \sum_{j} \mathbb{B}_{j}^{\dagger} \Omega \mathbb{B}_{j} - H_{U}^{SU(M)}
$$

 $\mathbb{B}_j^\dagger = \left\{ b_{j0}^\dagger \right\}$  $\left\{\frac{\dagger}{\beta\zeta}\right\}$  ,  $\zeta=1,\ldots,M$ ,  $\mathbb{U}_{j}$ –unitary matrix,  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ -diagonal matrix
By a gauge transformation, problem can be recast as

$$
H = -t\sum_{j} \mathbb{B}_{j+1}^{\dagger} \mathbb{U}_{j+1}^{\dagger} \mathbb{U}_{j} \mathbb{B}_{j} + \sum_{j} \mathbb{B}_{j}^{\dagger} \Omega \mathbb{B}_{j} - H_{U}^{SU(M)}
$$

 $\mathbb{B}_j^\dagger = \left\{ b_{j0}^\dagger \right\}$  $\left\{\frac{\dagger}{\beta\zeta}\right\}, \zeta=1,\ldots,M$ ,  $\mathbb{U}_{j}$ –unitary matrix,  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ -diagonal matrix

Fermions in an *SU(M)* gauge field ( $\equiv \mathbb{U}_{j+1}^{\dagger}\mathbb{U}_{j}$ ) +Zeeman field  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ with *SU*(*M*) symmetric interactions on a 1D chain

By a gauge transformation, problem can be recast as

$$
H = -t\sum_{j} \mathbb{B}_{j+1}^{\dagger} \mathbb{U}_{j+1}^{\dagger} \mathbb{U}_{j} \mathbb{B}_{j} + \sum_{j} \mathbb{B}_{j}^{\dagger} \Omega \mathbb{B}_{j} - H_{U}^{SU(M)}
$$

 $\mathbb{B}_j^\dagger = \left\{ b_{j0}^\dagger \right\}$  $\left\{\frac{\dagger}{\beta\zeta}\right\}, \zeta=1,\ldots,M$ ,  $\mathbb{U}_{j}$ –unitary matrix,  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ -diagonal matrix

- Fermions in an *SU(M)* gauge field ( $\equiv \mathbb{U}_{j+1}^{\dagger}\mathbb{U}_{j}$ ) +Zeeman field  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ with *SU*(*M*) symmetric interactions on a 1D chain
- $\bullet$  *SU*(*M*) gauge field  $\equiv$  "flavour orbit coupling"

By a gauge transformation, problem can be recast as

$$
H = -t\sum_{j} \mathbb{B}_{j+1}^{\dagger} \mathbb{U}_{j+1}^{\dagger} \mathbb{U}_{j} \mathbb{B}_{j} + \sum_{j} \mathbb{B}_{j}^{\dagger} \Omega \mathbb{B}_{j} - H_{U}^{SU(M)}
$$

 $\mathbb{B}_j^\dagger = \left\{ b_{j0}^\dagger \right\}$  $\left\{\frac{\dagger}{\beta\zeta}\right\}, \zeta=1,\ldots,M$ ,  $\mathbb{U}_{j}$ –unitary matrix,  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ -diagonal matrix

- Fermions in an *SU(M)* gauge field ( $\equiv \mathbb{U}_{j+1}^{\dagger}\mathbb{U}_{j}$ ) +Zeeman field  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ with *SU*(*M*) symmetric interactions on a 1D chain
- *SU*(*M*) **gauge field** ≡ **"flavour orbit coupling"**



By a gauge transformation, problem can be recast as

$$
H = -t\sum_{j} \mathbb{B}_{j+1}^{\dagger} \mathbb{U}_{j+1}^{\dagger} \mathbb{U}_{j} \mathbb{B}_{j} + \sum_{j} \mathbb{B}_{j}^{\dagger} \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbb{B}_{j} - H_{U}^{SU(M)}
$$

 $\mathbb{B}_j^\dagger = \left\{ b_{j0}^\dagger \right\}$  $\left\{\frac{\dagger}{\beta\zeta}\right\}, \zeta=1,\ldots,M$ ,  $\mathbb{U}_{j}$ –unitary matrix,  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ -diagonal matrix

- Fermions in an *SU(M)* gauge field ( $\equiv \mathbb{U}_{j+1}^{\dagger}\mathbb{U}_{j}$ ) +Zeeman field  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ with *SU*(*M*) symmetric interactions on a 1D chain
- $SU(M)$  gauge field  $\equiv$  "flavour orbit coupling"





#### What we learn

- Gauge field (FOC) mitigates the effect of the (usually) baryon-breaking Zeeman field Ω!
- Possible to produce *non-local interactions in real space*
- **•** Outcome
	- Few Body: Suqished baryon!
	- Many Body: Superfluid by application of a magnetic field!

## Few Body Physics

• For  $\Omega = 0$ , ground state of M fermions is a "baryon" (Hofsetter group(2013))



- Exact diagonalization studies (with finite size scaling)  $\bullet$
- Characterize states by
	- $\blacktriangleright$   $\langle I_{rr} \rangle$  Mean square size in the *x* direction
	- $\triangleright \langle \zeta \rangle$  Mean position along synthetic dimension
- Question: Do we see baryon squishing  $(\Omega \neq 0, p/q \neq 0)$ ?

## Bound States with  $\Omega \neq 0, p/q \neq 0$

 $M = 2$ 



New type of "non-local" (in real space) baryon is stabilized!..."squished" baryon

#### $M = 4$



Numerical demonstration of "baryon squishing"

## Induced Nonlocal Interactions



- **•** Energy gain when two particles are on neighbouring  $\zeta = 1$  state *t* <sup>2</sup>*U*  $\Omega^2$
- Interactions depend on the details of  $\Omega_{\gamma}$  for a given *M* and  $\Omega_{\gamma}$ there are special  $p/q$  that gives best nonlocal interactions

## More on Squished Baryons

Can obtain analytic results in appropriate limits



• Pair braking effects of Zeeman fields mitigated!



- For a give *M* there are special fluxes that give rise to strong squishing
- Rich many body phase diagram being constructed

**Realizing Novel Hamiltonians "Gauge Fields in Momentum Space"**

## Gauge Fields from Gauge Fields!

• Hamiltonian : 
$$
\mathcal{H} = \frac{p^2}{2} - p_\lambda \cdot \tau - \frac{\omega_0^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2}
$$
,  $r = i \frac{\partial}{\partial p}$ 

For  $\lambda^2 \gg \omega_0$ , spin degrees of freedom are "fast" – helicity is a good quantum number to a very good approximation...motivates the ansatz

$$
\ket{\psi} = \int \, \mathrm{d} \bm{p} \, \psi(\bm{p}) \ket{\bm{p}} \otimes \ket{\chi_+(\bm{p})}
$$

• Wave function  $\psi(\mathbf{p})$  satisfies  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}\psi(\mathbf{p}) = \varepsilon\psi(\mathbf{p})$ 

$$
\mathcal{H}_{eff} = \frac{\omega_0^2}{2} \left( i \frac{\partial}{\partial p} - A \right)^2 + \varepsilon_+(p) + V_{BO}(p), \quad A = -i \langle \chi_+(p) | \frac{\partial \chi_+(p)}{\partial p} \rangle
$$
  

$$
V_{BO}(p) = \frac{\omega_0^2}{2} \left( \langle \frac{\partial \chi_+(p)}{\partial p_i} | \frac{\partial \chi_+(p)}{\partial p_i} \rangle - \langle \frac{\partial \chi_+(p)}{\partial p_i} | \chi_+(p) \rangle \langle \chi_+(p) | \frac{\partial \chi_+(p)}{\partial p_i} \rangle \right)
$$

Gauge field begets gauge field!

## Realization of New Hamiltonians with Non-Abeilian Gauge Fields and a Potential

• Spherical gauge field with harmonic trapping potential

$$
\mathcal{H} = \frac{p^2}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{3}}\boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} + \frac{\omega_0^2}{2}r^2, \qquad \boldsymbol{r} = i\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}}
$$

Adiabatic hamiltonian including Pancharatnam-Berry phase effects

$$
\mathcal{H}_{eff} = -\frac{\omega_0^2}{2} \left( \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} p^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \right) + \frac{\omega_0^2}{2p^2} \left[ -\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \left( Q \cot \theta + \frac{i}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right)^2 \right] + \frac{\omega_0^2}{4p^2} + \left( \frac{p^2}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{3}} p \right)
$$



- *Realization of a monopole in momentum space*
- Opens possibility to generate interesting Hamiltonians by designing additional potential *V*(*r*) (also for bosons!)







- Emergent Galilean Invariance
- Rashbon-rashbon interactions

```
(JPV et al., arXiv:1104.5633,
1108.4872,1201.5332)
```


• Bound state for any attraction

(JPV/VBS, arXiv:1101.0411)



- Rashba driven BCS-BEC
- Rashbon BEC
- Emergent Galilean Invariance
- Rashbon-rashbon interactions

(JPV et al., arXiv:1104.5633,

1108.4872,1201.5332)





• Bound state for any attraction

(JPV/VBS, arXiv:1101.0411)



- Rashba driven BCS-BEC
- Rashbon BEC
- Emergent Galilean Invariance
- Rashbon-rashbon interactions

(JPV et al., arXiv:1104.5633,

1108.4872,1201.5332)



FF pairing



• Finite momentum pairing!

• SO coupled Fermi liquids

(VBS, arXiv:1211.1831)



• Bound state for any attraction

(JPV/VBS, arXiv:1101.0411)



# Many body  $\sqrt{E_F}$

- Rashba driven BCS-BEC
- Rashbon BEC
- Emergent Galilean Invariance
- Rashbon-rashbon interactions

(JPV et al., arXiv:1104.5633, 1108.4872,1201.5332)



• Pseudogap regime (JPV/VBS: Coming soon)

#### FF pairing



- Finite momentum pairing!
- SO coupled Fermi liquids

(VBS, arXiv:1211.1831)



• Bound state for any attraction

(JPV/VBS, arXiv:1101.0411)

Feshbach resonance



• Shift of resonance • CM dependent interaction

(VBS, arXiv:1212.2858)



- Rashba driven BCS-BEC
- Rashbon BEC
- Emergent Galilean Invariance
- Rashbon-rashbon interactions

(JPV et al., arXiv:1104.5633, 1108.4872,1201.5332)



• Pseudogap regime (JPV/VBS: Coming soon)





- Finite momentum pairing!
- SO coupled Fermi liquids

(VBS, arXiv:1211.1831)







#### Overall RG Picture



#### Non-Gaussian Effects



#### Extreme Prolate:  $\lambda_x = \lambda_y = 0, \lambda_z = \lambda$

(Vyasanakere and VBS, arXiv:1101.0411)

- Critical scattering length  $a_{sc}: \frac{1}{a_{sc}} = \infty$  just as in free vacuum
- Binding energy  $E_b = \frac{1}{a_s^2}$  $\frac{1}{a_s^2}$ , as in free vacuum



• Bound state wavefunction

$$
|\Psi_b\rangle \propto \psi_s(\mathbf{r})|\uparrow\downarrow - \downarrow\uparrow\rangle + \psi_a(\mathbf{r})|\uparrow\downarrow + \downarrow\uparrow\rangle
$$

(ψ*s*–symmetric, ψ*a*–antisymmetric) with *biaxial spin nematic* structure (similar to BW state of  ${}^{3}$ He)

**Extreme Oblate:** 
$$
\lambda_x = \lambda_y = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}, \lambda_z = 0
$$

(Vyasanakere and VBS, arXiv:1101.0411)

- Critical scattering length *asc vanishes*! *asc* = 0 <sup>−</sup>...bound state for *any* scattering length!
- Binding energy



• Bound state wavefunction

 $|\Psi_b\rangle \propto \psi_s(\mathbf{r}) |\uparrow \downarrow - \downarrow \uparrow \rangle + \psi_a(\mathbf{r}) |\uparrow \uparrow \rangle + \psi_a^*(\mathbf{r}) |\downarrow \downarrow \rangle$ ...uniaxial spin nematic (ABM state of  ${}^{3}$ He!)

Spherical SOC: 
$$
\lambda_x = \lambda_y = \lambda_z = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{3}}
$$

(Vyasanakere and VBS, arXiv:1101.0411)

- Bound state for  $any$  scattering length:  $a_{sc} = 0^-$
- Binding energy  $E_b = \frac{1}{4}$  $\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{a_s}+\sqrt{\frac{1}{a_s^2}}\right)$  $rac{1}{a_s^2} + \frac{4\lambda^2}{3}$  $\frac{\overline{\lambda^2}}{3}$ )<sup>2</sup>
- ..."algebraic" in the BCS side:  $E_b = \left(\frac{\lambda_{ds}}{3}\right)^2$



Temperature Regimes of the Non-interacting System



- Three temperature regimes
- Degenerate regime: Scale set by density
- Gauge field regime: non-degenerate, scale set by  $\lambda$
- Free vacuum regime: Above both these scales
- Chemical potential in non-degenerate regime (S-SOC)

$$
\mu_{NI}(T) \simeq -T \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{T} (3T + \lambda^2)}{3\sqrt{2}\pi^{3/2} \rho} \right)
$$