# **Higgs bound states and heavy solitons of Bose gases in optical lattices**

# **—– Designing different kinds of superfluid —–**

Ippei Danshita (段下 一平)

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University



Frontiers in Quantum Simulation with Cold Atoms @ INT, Seattle April 14th, 2015

### 1. Introduction:

Strongly correlated superfluids in optical lattices

2. Higgs bound states in a single-component Bose gas T. Nakayama, I. Danshita, T. Nikuni, & S. Tsuchiya, arXiv:1503.01516 (2015)

3. Heavy solitary waves in a two-component Bose gas Y. Kato, D. Yamamoto, & I. Danshita, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 055301 (2014) I. Danshita, D. Yamamoto, & Y. Kato, Phys. Rev. A 91, 013630 (2015)

### 1. Introduction:

Strongly correlated superfluids in optical lattices

2. Higgs bound states in a single-component Bose gas T. Nakayama, I. Danshita, T. Nikuni, & S. Tsuchiya, arXiv:1503.01516 (2015)

3. Heavy solitary waves in a two-component Bose gas Y. Kato, D. Yamamoto, & I. Danshita, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 055301 (2014) I. Danshita, D. Yamamoto, & Y. Kato, Phys. Rev. A 91, 013630 (2015)



Takeru Nakayama 



ISSP, Univ. Tokyo Tetsuro Nikuni Tokyo Univ. of Science



Shunji Tsuchiya Tohoku Tech.

### 1. Introduction:

Strongly correlated superfluids in optical lattices

- 2. Higgs bound states in a single-component Bose gas T. Nakayama, I. Danshita, T. Nikuni, & S. Tsuchiya, arXiv:1503.01516 (2015)
- 3. Heavy solitary waves in a two-component Bose gas Y. Kato, D. Yamamoto, & I. Danshita, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 055301 (2014) I. Danshita, D. Yamamoto, & Y. Kato, Phys. Rev. A 91, 013630 (2015)



Yasuyuki Kato  $RIKEN \rightarrow$  Univ. Tokyo



Daisuke Yamamoto WIAS, Waseda Univ.

#### **1.1. Schrödinger equation with cubic nonlinearity** Additionally, we confirm a nonlinear effect  $\mu$  non-linear effect known as macroscopic quantum self-trapping, which  $\mu$



Steinhauer et al., PRL (2002) , PRL (2002)  $\qquad$  Florence. De Sario et al., i  $\alpha$  ordinader than  $\mu$  independent with  $s=0.2$  and 1.15, respectively. The vertical lines correspond to  $\alpha$ 

 $\lambda$  (2005) Albiez et al., PRL (2005)

# **1.2. Superfluid (SF)-Mott insulator (MI) transition of Bose gases in optical lattices**



Greiner et al., Nature (2002)

Shallow lattice  $\rightarrow$  Superfluid

Particles are delocalized !!

1910919899989109811

Deep lattice  $\rightarrow$  Mott insulator Particles are localized !!

- Quantum phase transitions
- **Superfluidity in a strongly** *interacting regime*



Discrete Landau-Lifshitz equation with no damping:  $t$ tion, with no damping.

$$
i\hbar \frac{d}{dt}\psi_j = -2J\left(\frac{1}{2}-n_j\right)\sum_{\langle l\rangle_j} \psi_l - \mu_0 \psi_j \sum_{\text{Barakri}} \text{Barakri}
$$

sharper, and the width normalized to the width normalized to the width normalized to the centre frequency normalized  $\sum \psi_l - \mu_0 \psi_j$  Barakrishnan et al., PRL (2009)  $\langle l \rangle_i$  Demler & Maltsev, Ann. Phys. (2011)

### **1.4. What we do here**

The strong correlations in optical-lattice systems can be useful for designing SF equations of motion in various forms.

Specifically, we study

as

 $\bigg[-\frac{\hbar^2\nabla^2}{2m}\bigg]$ 

 $2m_*$ 

 $\psi =$ 

 $i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ 

 $\partial t$ 

 $\diamondsuit$  Effects of potential barriers on the relativistic SF, especially the Higgs modes

$$
i\hbar v_K(\mathbf{x})\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - \hbar^2 W_0 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2}
$$
  
= 
$$
\left(-\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m_*} + r_0 + v_r(\mathbf{x}) + u_0 |\psi|^2\right) \psi
$$



 $\frac{3}{4}$  +  $\frac{3}{4}$  +  $\frac{3}{4}$ 

 $-2.0$   $-1.5$   $-1.0$   $-0.5$  0.0 0.5 1.0

 $u/(wn_0)$ 

 $\sim$ (more precisely, its two-component version)

 $+ V - \mu + u|\psi|^2 + \boxed{w|\psi|^4}$ 

### 1. Introduction:

Strongly correlated superfluids in optical lattices

2. Higgs bound states in a single-component Bose gas T. Nakayama, I. Danshita, T. Nikuni, & S. Tsuchiya, arXiv:1503.01516 (2015)

3. Heavy solitary waves in a two-component Bose gas Y. Kato, D. Yamamoto, & I. Danshita, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 055301 (2014) I. Danshita, D. Yamamoto, & Y. Kato, Phys. Rev. A 91, 013630 (2015)



Takeru Nakayama 



ISSP, Univ. Tokyo Tetsuro Nikuni Tokyo Univ. of Science



Shunji Tsuchiya Tohoku Tech.

# **2.1. Higgs modes in condensed matter physics**

Experiments on Higgs modes:

◇ Quantum magnets; Rüegg *et al.*, PRL (2008) 

◇ Superconductors; Matsunaga *et al.*, PRL (2013).

 $\diamondsuit$  Charge density wave materials; Yusupov *et al.*, Nat. Phys. (2010).

◇ Superfluid <sup>3</sup>He-*B*; Collett *et al.*, JLTP (2013)

 $\diamondsuit$  Superfluid Bose gases in optical lattices; Endres *et al.*, Nature (2012).

Higgs modes are interesting because ...

- hole symmetry and breaking of continuous symmetry. • Ubiquitous collective mode in systems with particle-
- Analogous to the Higgs particle in high energy physics.
- Low-energy mode playing a crucial role in the vicinity of quantum phase transitions.
- Smoking gun of the "relativistic" SF.  $\Box$  Cold-atom experiment



## **2.2. Bose gases in optical lattices**

Bose-Hubbard model:

$$
\hat{H} = -J \sum_{\langle j,l \rangle} (\hat{b}_j^{\dagger} \hat{b}_l + \hat{b}_l^{\dagger} \hat{b}_j) + \frac{U}{2} \sum_j \hat{n}_j (\hat{n}_j - 1) - \mu \sum_j \hat{n}_j
$$
\nNear  
\nS F-MI  
\ntransition  
\n
$$
f: \text{hopping, } U: \text{onsite interaction, } \mu: \text{chemical potential}
$$
\n
$$
\mu/U
$$
\nTime-dependent Ginzburg-Landau (TDGL) equation:

\n
$$
2.0
$$
\n
$$
(See, e.g., Sachdev "Quantum Phase Transitions")
$$
\n
$$
iK \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi - W \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \psi = \left[ -\frac{\nabla^2}{2m_*} + r + u|\psi|^2 \right] \psi
$$
\n
$$
i \int_{n=2}^{M} \text{ln} \text{CL region } n = 2
$$
\nAll the coefficients *K*, *W*, *m\_\*, r*, *u* can be explicitly expressed by the original Bose-Hubbard parameters.0.5

\nWe set  $\hbar = 1$ .

\nWhen *K*=0 (dashed line), TDGL eq.

\nis particle-hole (p-h) symmetric, i.e.,

\n
$$
\underbrace{\text{min} \left( \frac{m_1}{n_1} \right)}_{0.05} \underbrace{\text{min} \left( \frac{0.16}{0.05} \right)}_{0.05} \underbrace{\text{min} \left( \frac{0.16}{0.05} \right)}_{0.05} \underbrace{\text{min} \left( \frac{0.15}{0.20} \right)}_{0.02} \underbrace{\text{min} \left( \frac{0.15}{0.20} \right)}_{0.25} \underbrace{\text{min} \left( \frac{0.15}{0.2
$$

### **2.3. Collective modes in a homogeneous system**

When K=0, 
$$
i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi - W \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \psi = \left[ -\frac{\nabla^2}{2m_*} + r + u|\psi|^2 \right] \psi
$$
  
\n
$$
\psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \psi_0 + \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{x})e^{-i\omega t} + \mathcal{V}^*(\mathbf{x})e^{i\omega^* t}
$$
\nstatic value  
\n
$$
\text{Simpl fluctuations}
$$
\n\nEq. for the static order parameter:  $(r + u|\psi_0|^2)\psi_0 = 0$   
\nEq. for the NG phase mode:  $\left( -\frac{\nabla^2}{2m_*} + r + u|\psi_0|^2 \right) S(\mathbf{x}) = W\omega^2 S(\mathbf{x})$   
\nEq. for the Higgs amplitude mode:  $\left( -\frac{\nabla^2}{2m_*} + r + 3u|\psi_0|^2 \right) T(\mathbf{x}) = W\omega^2 T(\mathbf{x})$   
\nwhere  $S(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{x}) \propto \delta \theta(\mathbf{x}), T(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{x}) \propto \delta n(\mathbf{x}),$   
\n $|\psi_0|^2 = -r/u$ , and assume the plain wave solutions  $S(\mathbf{x}), T(\mathbf{x}) \sim e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}$   
\nDiscussion of the NG mode:  $\omega^2 = (ck)^2$   
\nDispersion of the Higgs mode:  $\omega^2 = (ck)^2 + \Delta^2$   
\n $c = \sqrt{1/(2m_*W)}, \Delta = \sqrt{-2r/W}$  Note  $r = 0$   
\nat the Mott transition

# **2.4. Beliaev decay of the Higgs mode into NG modes**



Decay rate of the Higgs mode: Altman & Auerbach, PRL (2002)

$$
\frac{\Gamma}{\Delta} \sim |\bar{U}_{\rm c} - \bar{U}|^{\frac{D-3}{2}}
$$

When D<3, the Higgs mode is overdamped near the critical point. Thus, it is naively expected that long-lived Higgs modes are not present in **2D**.

However, recent QMC simulations found the peak corresponding to  $0.12$ the Higgs mode in the response to the hopping vibration:

$$
\hat{V}(t) = \underset{\langle j, l \rangle}{\sum} (\hat{b}_j^{\dagger} \hat{b}_l + \hat{b}_l^{\dagger} \hat{b}_j) \Big|_{0.06}^{0.08}
$$

Pollet & Prokof'ev, PRL (2012)

Imaginary part of the response function  $T \simeq 0.1J$  $12$ 16

 $0.1$ 

0  $\Omega$   $U_{\rm c}/J = 16.74$ 

 $10$ 

 $\omega$ /J

15

5

See also, Podolsky et al., PRB (2011) Gazit et al., PRL (2013) Chen et al., PRL (2013) Rancon & Dupuis, PRA (2014)

**In the following, we assume 3D system, where Higgs modes** are long-lived.

### **2.5. Effects of potential barriers**



- Materials are much dirtier than the universe.
- ・ A single poten%al barrier is one of the simplest disorder. valley length  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ cia $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 
	- It can be created in cold-atom experiments in a well-controlled manner.

# **2.5. Effects of potential barriers**

We consider potential barriers that are present only in the x direction. We assume that  $K=0$  far from potential barriers.

(a) Local modulation of the chemical potential:

$$
\mu_{i_x} = \mu_0 - V_{i_x}
$$
\n
$$
K(x) \simeq -2WV(x) \equiv v_K(x)
$$
\nwhich breaks the p-h symmetry.

\n(b) Local modulation of the hopping amplitude:

\n
$$
J_{i_x} = J + J'_{i_x}
$$
\nwhich keeps the p-h symmetry.

\n6

\n
$$
T(x) \simeq r_0 - 2J'(x) \equiv r_0 + v_r(x)
$$
\n6

\n
$$
V_{\text{opt}}(x)
$$
\n
$$
V_{\text{opt}}(x)
$$
\n
$$
V_{\text{opt}}(x)
$$
\n
$$
V_{\text{opt}}(x)
$$
\n
$$
V_{\text{opt}}(x) = V_{\text{opt}}(x) + V_{\text{bar}}(x)
$$
\n
$$
V_{\text{opt}}(x) + V_{\text{bar}}(x)
$$
\n6

\n
$$
V_{\text{opt}}(x) = \frac{V_{\text{opt}}(x) + V_{\text{bar}}(x)}{V_{\text{bar}}(x) + V_{\text{bar}}(x)}
$$
\n7

\n7

$$
\underbrace{iv_K(x)}_{\text{type (a)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi - W \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \psi = \left[ -\frac{\nabla^2}{2m_*} + r_0 + \underbrace{v_r(x)}_{\text{type (b)}} + u|\psi|^2 \right] \psi
$$

### **2.6. Dimensionless form**

$$
iv_K(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi - W\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\psi = \left[-\frac{\nabla^2}{2m_*} + r_0 + v_r(x) + u|\psi|^2\right]\psi
$$

$$
\bar{t} = t(-r_0/W)^{1/2}, \ \bar{x} = x/\xi, \ \bar{\psi} = \psi(-u/r_0)^{1/2},
$$

$$
\bar{v}_K = v_K/(-r_0W)^{1/2}, \ \bar{v}_r = v_r/(-r_0), \text{ where } \xi = 1/(-m_*r_0)^{1/2}
$$

$$
i\bar{v}_K(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\bar{\psi} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{t}^2}\bar{\psi} = \left[-\frac{\bar{\nabla}^2}{2} - 1 + \bar{v}_r(x) + |\bar{\psi}|^2\right]\bar{\psi}
$$

Hereafter, we omit the bars for simplicity.

Note that in this unit

Sound speed:  $c = 1/\sqrt{2}$ , Higgs gap:  $\Delta = \sqrt{2}$ 

# **2.7. Set of equations**

We assume that the order parameter is homogeneous in the y and z directions.

$$
iv_K(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\psi = \left[-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - 1 + v_r(x) + |\psi|^2\right]\psi
$$
  

$$
\psi(x,t) = \psi_0(x) + \mathcal{U}(x)e^{-i\omega t} + \mathcal{V}^*(x)e^{i\omega^*t}
$$
  
**15**  
**15**  
**16**  
**16**  
**17**  
**17**  
**18**  
**18**  
**18**  
**19**  
**19**  
**10**  
**10**  
**11**  
**11**  
**11**  
**12**  
**13**  
**14**  
**15**  
**16**  
**17**  
**18**  
**19**  
**19**  
**10**  
**10**  
**11**  
**11**  
**11**  
**12**  
**13**  
**14**  
**15**  
**16**  
**17**  
**18**  
**19**  
**19**  
**10**  
**10**  
**11**  
**11**  
**12**  
**13**  
**15**  
**16**  
**17**  
**18**  
**19**  
**19**  
**19**  
**19**  
**19**  
**19**  
**19**  
**19**  
**19**  
**19**  
**19**  
**19**  
**19**  
**19**  
**19**  
**19**  
**19**  
**19**  
**19**  
**19**  
**19**  

#### Z.o. Static Oruer para **2.8. Sta5c order parameter**

We consider potential barriers of delta-function form:

$$
v_r(x) = V_r \delta(x), \ v_K(x) = V_K \delta(x),
$$

Solution of the static order parameter:

 $\psi_0(x) = \tanh(|x| + x_0)$ 

Kovrizhin Phys. Lett. A (2001)  $V_r\delta(x)$  $V_K \delta(x)$  $\psi_0(x)$  $\boldsymbol{x}$ 

 $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{j$  $h_0$  houndary condition: The constant  $x_0$  is determined by the boundary condition:

$$
\psi_0'(-0) + 2V_r \psi_0(0) = \psi_0'(+0)
$$
  

$$
\tanh(x_0) = \frac{-V_r + \sqrt{V_r^2 + 4}}{2} \approx \frac{1}{V_r} \text{ when } V_r \gg 1
$$

# **2.9. Higgs bound states**

Let us consider the case that  $V_K = 0, V_r > 0$ . The Higgs and NG modes Let us consider the case that  $V_K = 0, V_r > 0$ . are decoupled,  $\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$  $d^2$  $\frac{u}{dx^2} - 1 + 3|\psi_0(x)|^2 + v_r(x)$ ◆  $\pi$  Higgs mode:  $\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{u}{dx^2}-1+3|\psi_0(x)|^2+v_r(x)\right)T(x)=\omega^2T(x)-\omega$  and  $\pi(x)$  $\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)$  $d^2$  $\frac{u}{dx^2} - 1 + |\psi_0(x)|^2 + v_r(x)$ ◆ **NG** mode:  $\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{u}{dx^2} - 1 + |\psi_0(x)|^2 + v_r(x)\right)S(x) = \omega^2S(x) - \omega$ 

There are two bound state solutions of the Higgs mode:

$$
T(x) = \begin{cases} A \left( 3[\gamma(x)]^2 + 3\kappa_t \gamma(x) + \kappa_t^2 - 1 \right) e^{\kappa_t x}, & x < 0 \\ B \left( 3[\gamma(x)]^2 + 3\kappa_t \gamma(x) + \kappa_t^2 - 1 \right) e^{-\kappa_t x}, & x > 0 \end{cases}
$$
  
where  $\gamma(x) = \tanh(|x| + x_0)$ ,  $\kappa_t = \sqrt{4 - 2\omega^2}$ 

Boundary conditions:  $T(+0) = T(-0)$ ,  $T'(+0) = T'(-0) + 2V_rT(0)$ 



one bound-state solution respectively for

 $A = B$  (even parity),  $A = -B$  (odd parity)

Bound-state energy:  $E_{+}$ ,  $E_{-}$ 

Note: There is no bound state of the NG mode.

# **2.9. Higgs bound states**



FIG. 6: Wave functions of the Higgs bound states T (x) with potential for conective modes. It allows for formation o  $\frac{1}{2}$  formation of **hound states of the Higgs mode**  $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$  formation of **bound states of the m<sub>oo</sub>** mode. The diminishing order parameter combined with the potential barrier constitutes a **double well potential** for collective modes. It allows for formation of **bound states of the Higgs mode**.



 $\mathcal{S}(+0) = \mathcal{S}($  $\frac{1}{\pi}$  (0),  $\frac{1}{\pi}$  (-0) + 2  $T(+0) = T(-0), T'(-0) + 2V$  $S(+0) = S(-0), S'(-0) + 2V_rS(0) + 2EV_KT(0) = S'(0)$  $T(t)$  the particle-hole symmetry and locally and loca  $T(+0) = T(-0), T'(-0) + 2V_rT(0) + 2EV_KS(0) = T'(+0)$ 

 $\rho$  and  $\rho$  and  $\rho$  are parameters in  $\rho$ First, the shift of the lattice potential with little change under the assumption that  $\theta$  (x)  $\theta$  (x)  $\theta$ All the coefficients,  $r_{\text{ng}}$ ,  $t_{\text{ng}}$ ,  $A$ , and  $B$ . and u ≃ uo<br>De other hand, the local modulation of the local modulation of the local modulation of the local modulation of

### **2.11. Transmission probability**



## **2.12. Remember Feshbach resonance**

Energy



Figure is from the Pethick-Smith textbook.

process through the discrete state leads to the dramatic change of the scattering length, namely The interference with the scattering the Feshbach resonance.





The asymmetric peak is manifestation of the Fano resonance of the **NG mode** (open channel) mediated by the even Higgs bound state (closed channel). dash-dotted lines represent the energy of the Higgs bound  $\tau$  of  $\tau$  of  $\tau$  and  $\tau$  and  $\tau$  array  $\tau$  and  $\tau$  array  $\tau$  ( $\tau$ )  $\tau$ **(open channel)** mediated by the even Higgs bound state (closed channel).

# **2.14. Summary of this part**

- We derived the time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau equation including effects of potential barriers.
- Higgs bound states are present under the barrier potential that does not break the particle-hole symmetry.
- Fano resonance of the NG mode mediated by the Higgs bound state

T. Nakayama, I. Danshita, T. Nikuni, & S. Tsuchiya, arXiv:1503.01516 (2015)

#### Outlook:

- Response of the Higgs bound states to the lattice amplitude modulation. The product of the second second terms of the lattice amplitude
- ・ 2D
- Other condensed matter systems Especially disordered superconductors, Sherman et al., Nat. Phys. (2015). *T*c = 9.5 K omc' 1.1*T*<sup>c</sup> 0.4*T*<sup>c</sup> Drude fit )<br>ר<br>1



### 1. Introduction:

Strongly correlated superfluids in optical lattices

- 2. Higgs bound states in a single-component Bose gas T. Nakayama, I. Danshita, T. Nikuni, & S. Tsuchiya, arXiv:1503.01516 (2015)
- 3. Heavy solitary waves in a two-component Bose gas Y. Kato, D. Yamamoto, & I. Danshita, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 055301 (2014) I. Danshita, D. Yamamoto, & Y. Kato, Phys. Rev. A 91, 013630 (2015)



Yasuyuki Kato  $RIKEN \rightarrow$  Univ. Tokyo



Daisuke Yamamoto WIAS, Waseda Univ.

#### **3.1. Bose-Bose mixture in optical lattices** week and the service of the 2.1 Rese Rese minture in ontical lettices 3.1. Bose-Bose mixture in optical lattices



#### **(II) by in a potential with 31ER depth. In a potential with 31ER depth. In both 3** FIG. 1 (color online). State-dependent transition from the su-A simple extension, but rich physics **FRIG. 2. EXPANDING ONLINE**  $\mathbf{a}$  is almost pure 168Yb  $\mathbf{b}$ **A** simple extension, but rich physics

• New quantum phases have been predicted, such as phase separation, green green complete the streeture. • New quantum phases have been predicted, the trap. The radii of the condensate show asymmetry between the ihases have been predicted.  $\blacksquare$ · New quantum phases have been predicted, hereafter. We choose the duration and time constant of the exponential turn-on profile.<br>Turn-on profile, 50 ms, respectively, and 20 ms, respectively. such as phase separation,<br>nair- and counterflow-sup ・ New quantum phases have been predicted, 

pair- and counterflow- superfluids, pan and coditions to experimence,<br>checkerboard solid. checkerboard solid,<br>abruptly switch of the possible quantum possible. Among the MMTTTT erflow- superfluids, and the negative scattering length of  $\sim$ pair- and counterflow- superfluids, pair and coditerno.

 $\frac{1}{2}$  succhet board soma, (TOF) absorption image after release of a balanced mixture [medium grey]) enters the Mott regime (ta=Uaa " 1=39, and 168Yb-170Yb are the only candidates to realize a stable degenerate Bose-Bose mixtures of Yb isotope, 168Yb-174Yb supersolid (checkerboard + superfluid). supersolid (checkerboard + superfluid).

state-dependent z-lattice ramp. The transverse lattice ramp (not

cases, the foreground component in (2002) atoms in the Suistunov, PRL (2003)<br>The experiment of the contribution of which which we have a set of which we have a set of which we have a set iltman et al., NJP (2003)  $\frac{1}{2}$ latedes  $\&$  Cirac, PRL (2003) Mishra et al., PRA  $(2007)$ <sup>R</sup> ). In both cases, we vary the relative pop-Capogrosso-Sansone et al., PRA (2008)<br>etc keeping the total atom number constant, which at  $\mathsf{etc.}$ lattice depth and tunneling (at the chosen transverse lattice size of 168Yb compared with 174Yb manifests the larger mean-field relative expansion of the relative population.<br>Altman et al., NJP (2003) Altifiali Et al., NJF (2003)<br>Daradas & Cirac DRI (2003)  $P$ aredes  $\alpha$  Cirac, PRL (2003)  $\alpha$ TOF time of 18 ms in a separate experimental run. The larger cloud Kuklov & Svistunov, PRL (2003)  $\overline{D}$  N<sub>1</sub> of  $\overline{C}$  of  $\overline{D}$  of  $\overline{D}$   $\overline{D}$  (3000) parameter than 1848 (2003)<br>Paredes & Cirac, PRL (2003)  $\mathbf{A}$ iskeach chondensate. The ratio  $\mathbf{A}$ Mishra et al., PRA (2007) d). Capogrosso-Sansone et al., PRA (2008) Bose-Bose mixture with 174Yb up to 7 <sup>×</sup> 104. Although the s-wave interspecies scattering length is small, optical Feshbach etc. FIG. 2. !Color online" Visibility and width of the central peak of Altman et al., NJP (2003) ouperfluids, The 87 Paredes & Cirac, PRL (2003)<br>Adisbus at al. PRA (2003) overlap with the 41K condensate. In each panel we compare data  $\alpha$ d + superfluid). With  $\alpha$ pogrosso-sansone et al., FRA (2006)<br>And with a superfluid  $\alpha$ Capogrosso-Sansone et al., PRA (2008) etc.

resonance will enable tuning of interspecies interaction, which is demonstrated for the BEC of 174Yb [40] and thermal gases of 172Yb and 176Yb [41]. The study of quantum quench dynamics

ibility of 87Rb is extracted from images . In the interference interference interference interference interfer<br>Interference in the interference in the interference in the interference interference in the interference inte

 $\blacksquare$  First-order superfluid-Mott insulator transitio images after Stern-Gerlach separation. The jai component (reduced by the jai component (reduced by the jai component (reduced by the jai component of the jai component (reduced by the jai component of the jai component (re  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  Eirct order cuperfluid Mott inculator transit  $\blacksquare$  - First-order superfluid-iviott insulator transit 168Yb-174Yb Bose-Bose mixture. Tuid-Mott insulator transitior **First-order superfluid-Mott insulator transition:**  $\cdot$ interference peaks of 87Rb are progressively smeared as the 87Rb are progressively smeared as the 1980 ibility of 87Rb is extracted from images  $\mathcal{L}$  and the interference interference interference in the interference in  $\sqrt{\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac$  $\frac{1}{2}$  instance superintendent absorption: the  $\frac{1}{2}$ • First-order superfluid-Mott insulator transition

the MOT, we apply a two-color MOT beam. Due to the

#### **3.2. Two-component Bose-Hubbard Model**  $\hat{H} = \sum$  $\alpha = A, B$  $\sqrt{2}$  $\Big| - t_{\alpha} \sum$  $\langle j,l \rangle$  $(\hat{b}^{\dagger}_{i})$  $\int_{j,\alpha}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{l,\alpha} + \text{H.c.}) - \mu_{\alpha} \sum$ *j*  $\hat{n}_{j,\alpha}+$  $U_\alpha$ 2  $\sum$ *j*  $\hat{n}_{j,\alpha}(\hat{n}_{j,\alpha}-1)$ 3  $+ U_{AB} \sum$ *j*  $\hat{n}_{j,A}\hat{n}_{j,B},$ Inter-component Repulsion (U<sub>AB</sub>>0) Hopping Chemical potential Intra-component repulsion

Hereafter, we assume  $t_A = t_B \equiv t$ ,  $U_A = U_B \equiv U > 0$ , and  $\mu_A = \mu_B \equiv \mu$ .

This condition can be nearly satisfied in a gas of <sup>87</sup>Rb binary mixtures with  $|F=2,m_F=-1\rangle$ and  $|F=1,m_F=1\rangle$  (or  $|2,-2\rangle$  &  $|1,-1\rangle$  states, which are confined in optical lattices by many groups, such as Max Planck, Stony Brook, MIT, NIST.



### **3.3. Mean-field phase diagram at** *T***=0**

T. Ozaki et al., arXiv:1210.1370 (2012); D. Yamamoto et al., PRA 88, 033624 (2013)



Is the 1st order transition real ??

SCF: Super-counter flow *Z*: Coordination number



**3.4. QMC phase diagram at 2D and**  $U_{AB}/U=0.9$ 

### **3.5. How to derive the effective action**

• Stratonovich-Hubbard transformation to introduce  $\psi_\alpha$  fields • Integrate out  $b_{\alpha}$  fields • Cumulant expansion up to the **sixth** order w.r.t. the field  $\psi_{\alpha}$  $\blacktriangleright$  Take the continuum limit  $S[b_A,b_A^*,b_B,b_B^*]=S_A+S_B+S_AB,$  $S_{AB} =$  $\int_{}^{\frac{\hbar\beta}{2}}$ 2  $-\frac{\hbar\beta}{2}$  $d\tau$ <sub>X</sub> *j*  $U_{AB}\, b_{A,j}^*b_{A,j}b_{B,j}^*b_{B,j}.$ M. P. A. Fisher et al., PRB (1989) for the single-component BHM Superfluid order parameter  $S^{\text{eff}}[\psi_A, \psi_A^*, \psi_B, \psi_B^*] = \hbar \beta V f_0 + S_A^{\text{eff}} + S_B^{\text{eff}} + S_{AB}^{\text{eff}}$  $S_{\alpha}^{\text{eff}}=% {\textstyle\sum\nolimits_{\alpha}} e_{\alpha}^{\dag}+\frac{1}{2}e_{\alpha}^{\dag}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\left( 1-e^{i\theta}\right) ^{2}$ Z  $d\tau$ z<br>Z  $d^d x$  $\sqrt{ }$  $\hbar K_{\alpha} \psi_{\alpha}^*$  $\partial \psi_\alpha$  $\frac{\partial \varphi_{\alpha}}{\partial \tau} + \hbar^2 J_{\alpha}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\partial \psi_\alpha$  $\partial \tau$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\vert$ 2  $+$  $\hbar^2$  $2m_\alpha$ where  $S_\alpha^{\text{eff}}=\int d\tau\int d^dx\left[\hbar K_\alpha \psi^*_\alpha \frac{\partial \psi_\alpha}{\partial \tau}+\hbar^2 J_\alpha\left|\frac{\partial \psi_\alpha}{\partial \tau}\right| \right]+\frac{n}{2m_\alpha}|\nabla \psi_\alpha|^2$  $-r_\alpha |\psi_\alpha|^2 +$  $u_\alpha$  $\frac{u_{\alpha}}{2}|\psi_{\alpha}|^{4} +$  $w_{\alpha}$  $\frac{v_\alpha}{3}|\psi_\alpha|^6\bigg]$ *,*  $S_{AB}^{\text{eff}}=% {\textstyle\sum\nolimits_{A}} g_{A}^{\dag}\gamma_{A}^{A}+\frac{\delta}{2}g_{A}^{\dag}\gamma_{A}^{A} \label{S2}%$ z<br>Z  $d\tau$ z<br>Z  $d^dx [u_{AB} |\psi_A|^2 |\psi_B|^2 + w_{AB} |\psi_A|^4 |\psi_B|^2 + w_{BA} |\psi_A|^2 |\psi_B|^4].$ All the coefficients  $\ K_{\alpha}, J_{\alpha}, m_{\alpha}, r_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}, u_{AB}, w_{\alpha}, w_{AB(BA)}$  can be explicitly expressed as functions of the original Hubbard parameters. Effective action:  $S_\alpha =$  $\int_{}^{\frac{\hbar\beta}{2}}$ 2  $-\frac{\hbar\beta}{2}$  $d\tau$  $\sqrt{2}$ 4  $\sum$ *j*  $b^*_{\alpha,j}\left(\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)$  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - \mu_{\alpha} +$  $U_{\alpha\alpha}$ 2  $b^*_{\alpha,j}b_{\alpha,j}\bigg\rbrace b_{\alpha,j}-\sum_{\alpha}$  $\langle j,l \rangle$  $t_{\alpha}$   $(b^*_{\alpha,j}b_{\alpha,l} + c.c.)$ 3  $\vert$ ,

 $|\psi_{\alpha} \propto \langle \hat{b}_j \rangle$  such that it plays a role of the superfluid order parameter.

### **3.6. Mechanism for the first order transition**

Mean-field approximation: 
$$
\psi_A(\mathbf{x}, \tau) = \psi_B(\mathbf{x}, \tau) = \phi
$$
  

$$
S^{\text{eff}} = \hbar \beta V f \text{ with } f = f_0 - 2r\phi^2 + \underbrace{(u + u_{AB})}_{\equiv u_+} \phi^4 + \frac{2}{3} \underbrace{(w + 3w_{AB})}_{\equiv w_+} \phi^6,
$$

Assuming  $w_+$  > 0





### **3.7. Why attractive?**

Assuming the Mott insulating state is described as  $\ket{n_A,n_B} = \ket{g,g}$ , we obtain

$$
u_{AB} = a^{d} Z^{4} t_{A}^{2} t_{B}^{2} \left[ \left( \frac{g+1}{E_{A}^{(+)} - E_{g,g}} + \frac{g}{E_{A}^{(-)} - E_{g,g}} \right) \left( \frac{g+1}{(E_{B}^{(+)} - E_{g,g})^{2}} + \frac{g}{(E_{B}^{(-)} - E_{g,g})^{2}} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \left( \frac{g+1}{E_{B}^{(+)} - E_{g,g}} + \frac{g}{E_{B}^{(-)} - E_{g,g}} \right) \left( \frac{g+1}{(E_{A}^{(+)} - E_{g,g})^{2}} + \frac{g}{(E_{A}^{(-)} - E_{g,g})^{2}} \right) \right. \\ \left. - \left( \frac{1}{E_{A}^{(+)} - E_{g,g}} + \frac{1}{E_{B}^{(+)} - E_{g,g}} \right) \frac{2}{E_{AB}^{(+)} - E_{g,g}} \right]
$$
  
If  $U \sim U_{AB}$ , these terms are strongly enhanced.  

$$
- \left( \frac{1}{E_{A}^{(+)} - E_{g,g}} + \frac{1}{E_{B}^{(-)} - E_{g,g}} \right) \frac{g(g+1)}{E_{AB}^{(+)} - E_{g,g}} \right.
$$

$$
- \left( \frac{1}{E_{A}^{(-)} - E_{g,g}} + \frac{1}{E_{B}^{(+)} - E_{g,g}} \right) \frac{g(g+1)}{E_{AB}^{(-)+} - E_{g,g}} \right],
$$

### **3.7. Why attractive?**



Since these two states have nearly equal energy when  $U \sim U_{AB}$ , this process gives a large negative contribution to  $u_{AB}$ .

#### *Reminiscent of the Feshbach resonance*

Such processes do not exist in the single-component case.

Indeed, the first-order transition emerges only when  $U \sim U_{AB}$ (more precisely, when  $0.68 < U/U_{AB} < 1$  according to the Gutzwiller analysis)

#### **3.8. Superfluid equation of motion**  $\delta S^{\rm eff}$  $\frac{\ }{\delta \psi_{\alpha}}=0.$ Minimize the action by the condition: Mean-field equation of motion: **Two-comp. NLSE with cubic-quintic nonlinearity !!!** Stationary solution:  $\psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}, \tau) = \phi_\alpha(\mathbf{x})$  $i\hbar$  $\partial \psi_A$  $\frac{\partial^{\alpha} A}{\partial \tau} =$  $\label{eq:4.16} \bigg[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V({\bf x}) - r + u |\psi_A|^2 + u_{AB} |\psi_B|^2 + w |\psi_A|^4 + w_{AB} (2 |\psi_A|^2 |\psi_B|^2 + |\psi_B|^4)$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\psi_A$  $i\hbar$  $\partial \psi_B$  $\frac{d^2D}{dt^2} =$  $\label{eq:4.16} \bigg[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V({\bf x}) - r + u|\psi_B|^2 + u_{AB}|\psi_A|^2 + w|\psi_B|^4 + w_{AB}(2|\psi_A|^2|\psi_B|^2 + |\psi_A|^4)$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\psi_B$  $\bigg[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V({\bf x}) - r + u |\phi_B|^2 + u_{AB} |\phi_A|^2 + w |\phi_B|^4 + w_{AB} (2 |\phi_A|^2 |\phi_B|^2 + |\phi_A|^4)$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\phi_B=0$  $\label{eq:4.16} \bigg[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V({\bf x}) - r + u |\phi_A|^2 + u_{AB} |\phi_B|^2 + w |\phi_A|^4 + w_{AB} (2|\phi_A|^2|\phi_B|^2 + |\phi_B|^4)$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\phi_A=0$ *A*  $\phi_A = \phi_B \equiv \phi$  $\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\right]$ 2*m*  $\nabla^2 + V(\mathbf{x}) - r + u_+ |\phi|^2 + w_+ |\phi|^4$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\phi = 0$  where  $u_+ \equiv u + u_{AB}$  $w_+ \equiv w + 3w_{AB}$  $\tau \rightarrow -i\tau$ Im time Re time Maimistov et al., Phys. Lett.A (1999)

We analytically solve this equation.

#### **3.9. Stationary solution and first order transition**

$$
\left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V(\mathbf{x}) - r + u_+ |\phi|^2 + w_+ |\phi|^4 \right] \phi = 0
$$
  

$$
V(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \ \phi(\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{n_0}
$$
  

$$
r = u_+ n_0 + w_+ n_0^2
$$

We want to determine the first order transition point.

Free energy density: 
$$
f_{SF} = -2rn_0 + u_+ n_0^2 + \frac{2}{3}w_+ n_0^3
$$
  
\n $f_{MI} = 0$   
\n $f_{SF} = f_{MI}$   $\frac{\bar{u} = -\frac{4}{3}}{\frac{3}{15}} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{15} \frac{1}{15}$ 

In a similar way, one can determine the metastability limits of SF

 $\bar{u} = -2$ 



### **3.10. Solution of a moving dark solitary wave**

Problem:

$$
\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - r + u_+\phi^2 + w_+\phi^4\right]\phi = 0,
$$
\n
$$
\phi(x) = \sqrt{n_0}A(x)e^{iS(x)}
$$
\nSeparate the amplitude A(x)  
\nand the phase S(x)  
\n
$$
\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{\hbar^2q^2}{2m}A^{-4} - r + u_+n_0A^2 + w_+n_0^2A^4\right)A = 0, \quad A^2\frac{dS}{dx} = q
$$
\nBoundary conditions: 
$$
\lim_{x \to \pm \infty} A(x) = 1, \quad \lim_{x \to \pm \infty} S(x) = qx \pm \frac{\varphi}{2},
$$



#### **3.10. Solution of a moving dark solitary wave**

Barashenkov & Makhankov, Phys. Lett. A (1988)

Solution

1: 
$$
\frac{\phi(x)}{\sqrt{n_0}} = Ae^{iS} = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_+} + i \operatorname{sgn}(q)\sqrt{\alpha_-}\eta(x)}{\sqrt{\beta_+ - \beta_- \left[\eta(x)\right]^2}} e^{iq(x-x_\mathrm{s})}
$$
  
\nwhere  $\eta(x) \equiv \tanh(x/\xi)$ ,  
\n
$$
\xi \equiv \hbar/\sqrt{m(un + 2wn^2) - \hbar^2 q^2}
$$
  
\n
$$
\alpha_{\pm} = \pm(-\gamma + 3\bar{q}^2) + \sqrt{\gamma^2 + 6\bar{q}^2}
$$
  
\n
$$
\beta_{\pm} = 2 + \gamma \pm \sqrt{\gamma^2 + 6\bar{q}^2}
$$
  
\n
$$
\gamma = 2 + 3\bar{u}/2,
$$
  
\n
$$
\bar{q} = q\hbar/\sqrt{mwn_0^2}
$$

Standing solitary wave in a flowing condensate as background

Galilean transformation

Moving solitary wave in a static condensate

### **3.11. Case of**  $u_{+}$ **> -4/3 (SF state is the ground state)**



- $\cdot$   $\pi$ -phase kink
- ・ Dynamically stable in 1D

### **3.12. Case of -2<**  $u$ **<sub>+</sub><-4/3 (SF state is metastable)**

Standing solitary wave (*q*=0):



 $\cdot$  No phase kink  $\rightarrow$  **Bubble-like dark soliton !!!** 

### **3.13. Divergence of the soliton size**



#### **3.14. Soliton mass across the first order transition**





### **3.15. Heavy soliton ?? in unitary Fermi gases @ MIT**

Eventually, it has been concluded  $200, 201, 201, 201, 200$  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  in solution  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac$ but a vortex line !!!!! that it is not a soliton

 $\overline{12}$  and  $\overline{12}$  a Ku et al., PRL (2014)



#### $\alpha$  is the such cores  $\alpha$  (c) (red diamonds) and  $\alpha$  and the ramp of ramp  $\alpha$  cores  $\alpha$  $\frac{1}{3}$  (or angle squares). The error bars correspond to the typical space of the typical spac are guides to the soliton period are guides to the soliton period of the soliton period of the rapid ramp and time of the soliton period radius of the rapid radius of the soliton period rapid rapid radius of the rapid rapi  $\epsilon$  fan there he such Can there be such **a** heavy soliton??

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$   $\overline{\$  $T_{\text{S}} = 13 \mu m$  $z = 39 \mu m$  as the mst example of **of Andreev BEC, The result for a weakly interaction interaction interaction**  $\text{I}$ Our solitary wave serves as the first example of such a heavy soliton !!!

# **3.16. Conclusions of part 2**

- The first order Mott transition of a binary Bose mixture in 2D was confirmed by the quantum Monte Carlo simulations.
- Binary Bose mixtures in optical lattices near the first order Mott transition are described by the NLSE with cubic-quintic nonlinearity.
- There are two types of single solitary wave in the cubic-quintic NLSE: the standard one with  $\pi$  phase kink and the bubble-like one
- The soliton size and the soliton mass diverge at the first order transition point.

$$
l_{\mathrm{sol}}\sim -\ln |\bar{u}-\bar{u}_c|, \ \ m_{\mathrm{sol}}\sim -\frac{1}{\bar{u}-\bar{u}_c} \qquad \textbf{\textup{A sort of criticality}} \qquad \qquad \text{in the first order transition \textsf{!}}.
$$

Y. Kato, D. Yamamoto, & I. Danshita, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 055301 (2014) I. Danshita, D. Yamamoto, & Y. Kato, Phys. Rev. A 91, 013630 (2015)

#### **Outlook:**

There are many other interesting properties in the cubic-quintic NLSE, which are qualitatively different from the GP equation.

・ **Stability of solitary waves** 





• Dynamically unstable even in 1D (but lifetime can be long enough)

