R-process WS INT, Seattle 30/July/2014



### R-process elements on the extremely metal-poor (EMP) stars & effects of the surface pollution



#### Yutaka Komiya (Tokyo Univ., RESCEU)

Takuma Suda (Tokyo Univ., RESCEU) Shimako Yamada (Hokkaido Univ.) Masayuki Y. Fujimoto (Hokkaido Univ.)

# Observations of r-process elements on EMP stars

♦ R-II stars: [Eu/Fe]>1. at [Fe/H]~ -2.8
 Inhomogeneous chemical evolution



216 giant stars with [Fe/H]<-2.5</li>184 stars: Ba detection4 stars: only upper limit

But, at [Fe/H]<-3.3, plateau is reached



## **Observations:** Sr/Ba

- Light-element primary process (LEPP) (weak r-process)
  - <u>Enhancements</u> of lighter r-process elements (Z<56; Sr, Y, Zr...) relative to heavier elements (Ba, Eu, Pb...)
  - ♦ Anti correlation between [Sr/Ba] – [Ba/Fe]

But, at [Fe/H]<-3.6, no light element enhanced stars

But, stars with small [Ba/Fe] and [Sr/Ba]~0





(Aoki+ 2013)

# Hierarchical model for chemical evolution



Proto-galaxy

- Chemical evolution along a merger tree
- All the individual EMP stars are registered
  - Yield from each individual SN
- Metal pre-enrichment of intergalactic medium (IGM)
- <u>Surface pollution of EMP stars by accretion of interstellar</u> <u>medium (ISM)</u>

## Model: Chemical evolution

#### Star formation

- ♦ All the individual EMP stars are registered in computations
- \* Star Formation Rate:  $\psi = M_{gas} \times 10^{-10}/yr$
- ♦ Lognormal IMF:
  - ♦ Pop.III stars (Z<10<sup>-6</sup>Z<sub>☉</sub>) Pop. III.1:  $M_{md} = 200 M_{\odot}$ , Pop.III.2 :  $M_{md} = 40 M_{\odot}$

$$\log m) \propto \begin{cases} \exp\left[-\frac{\left\{\log(m/M_{\rm md})\right\}^2}{2 \times \Delta_M^2}\right] & (m \leq m_{norm}) \\ m^{-1.35} & (m > m_{norm}). \end{cases}$$

- ♦ EMP (Pop.II) stars:  $M_{md} = 10 M_{\odot}$  (Komiya et al. 2007)
- ♦ Binary fraction: 50%
  - ♦ Mass ratio distribution: n(q) = 1

♦ Massive Pop.III.1 stars suppress star formation in their host halos.

ξ(

#### Metal enrichment

- ♦ Stellar lifetime : Schaerer et al. (2002)
- Instantaneous mixing inside mini-halos.
- ♦ Yield : (He-Zn)

Kobayashi et al.(2006, Type II SN) Nomoto et al. (1984, Type Ia SN) Umeda & Nomoto (2002, Pair-instability SN; PISN)

# Model: Accretion of interstellar matter (ISM)

- Surface abundances of EMP stars can be changed by accretion of ISM.
  - Trace the changes of the surface abundance of EMP stars along the evolution of galaxies
  - Accretion rate in mini-halos are much higher than in the MW halo due to small relative velocity between stars and ISM.

#### Accretion rate

 $\diamond$ 

Bondi accretion

$$\dot{m} = \pi \left(\frac{2Gm}{v^2 + c_s^2}\right)^2 \sqrt{v^2 + c_s^2}\rho$$

- In the mini-halos in which stars are formed,  $v = c_s(T), \rho = \rho_{av} \times (T_{vir}/T)$  T = 200K for primordial clouds,  $T = max(10K, T_{CMB})$  for  $Z > 10^{-6}Z_{\odot}$
- $\sqrt{v^2 + c_s^2 \rho}$
- \* After their host halos merge with larger halo, stars moves with circular velocity  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_{cir}$ ,  $\rho_{av}$  (average density of virialized halo)

Accreted matter is mixed in surface convective zone of EMP stars.

- $\ast~Mscz{=}~0.2M_{\odot}$  for giant
- $= 0.0035 M_{\odot}$  for main sequence

**EMP** star

### **R-process source**



- $\bullet$  <u>Core-collapse SN</u> (e.g. Burbidge+ 1957)
  - Neutrino driven wind
    - $\diamond$  >20M $_{\odot}$  (Woosley & Hoffman 1992)
    - ♦ Very high entropy is required to synthesize heavy r-process elements
  - ♦ Electron-capture (O-Ne-Mg) SN  $(8-12M_{\odot})$  (e.g. Wheeler et al. 1998)
    - ♦ Artificial enhancement of the explosion energy (Wanajo et al. 2003)
    - $\diamond \quad n+\nu_e \longrightarrow p^+ + e^- \quad :not \ so \ n \ rich$
  - (Light r-process elements can be synthesized)
- Neutron star merger (e.g. Lattimer+ 1974, Rosswog+ 1999)

  - $\diamond$  Chemical evolution:  $\times$  (Argast et al. 2004)
    - $\diamond$  Event rate: ~1/1000 of SN
    - $\diamond$  Long delay time ( ~ Gyr )



# $\begin{array}{c} \text{Mass range of r-process source} \\ 9-10 \text{ M}_{\odot} \\ _{\text{Ba: } 5.72 \times 10^{-6}} \end{array} & 10\text{-}40 \text{ M}_{\odot} \\ \end{array} & 30\text{-}40 \text{ M}_{\odot} \end{array}$



Color : predicted Blue lines: 95, 75 curves of predicte Black symbols: SAGA sample

## Chemical evolution, ISM accretion



Majority of stars with [Fe/H] <-3 was  $[Ba/H] = -\infty$ .

Accretion rate:  $\sim 10^{-11} M_{\odot}/yr$ Mini-halo merger timescale:  $\sim 10^{8-9} yr$ ISM abundance: [Ba/H]  $\sim -2$ 

 $\rightarrow$  stellar surface: [Ba/H] ~ -4

For stars with [Ba/H]  $\leq$  -3.5, accretion of ISM is the dominate source of heavier rprocess elements on their surface.

### NS merger scenario ? timescale $t_c$ 9-10 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub> SN (~2×10<sup>7</sup> yr)





 $t_c = 10^8 - 10^{10} \text{ yr}$ 

### $\Rightarrow$ Short delay time (~10<sup>7</sup>yr)

### NS merger scenario Event rate $9-10 M_{\odot} SN$ Ever

 $t_c = 10^7 \text{ yr}$ Event rate =  $0.01 \times \text{SN}$  rate



 $\diamond$ 



 Even with surface pollution, a half of stars with [Fe/H] < -3 is [Ba/Fe]<-2.5
</p>

But, dynamics of the high velocity ejecta (~0.2c) is different from SN ejecta.

## **Observations:** Sr/Ba

- Light-element primary process (LEPP) (weak r-process)
  - <u>Enhancements</u> of lighter r-process elements (Z<56; Sr, Y, Zr...) relative to heavier elements (Ba, Eu, Pb...)
  - ♦ Anti correlation between
     [Sr/Ba] [Ba/Fe]

But, at [Fe/H]<-3.6, no light element enhanced stars

But, stars with small [Ba/Fe] and [Sr/Ba]~0





LEPP

# SN with the progenitor mass of 10-12 $M_{\odot}$

 $Y_{Ba} = 0$   $Y_{Sr}$  is set to be <Sr/Ba> = solar r-process

Main-r source: 9 -10  $M_{\odot}$ [Sr/Ba] = - 0.5





## Summary

 In spite of a large abundance scatter, Ba is detected for almost all EMP stars.
 Plateau at [Fe/H] < -3.3</li>

• No star with [Sr/Ba] > 0 at [Fe/H] < -3.6

# $\odot$ Low mass (9 – 10M $_{\odot}$ ) SN + surface pollution

- ♦ Light elements (Sr): ~10-12  $M_{\odot}$ (9-10 $M_{\odot}$  also eject light r-process elements, [Sr/Ba] ~ -0.5)
- NS merger
  - Additional r-process source



## Model: Galaxy formation

- ♦ <u>Merger tree:</u>
  - Extended Press-Schechter Method Somerville & Kollat (1999)
  - \*  $M_{MW} = 10^{12} M_{\odot}, M_{min} = M(T_{vir} = 10^{3} K)$



#### ♦ <u>Proto-galaxy</u>

- $\bullet$  Gass infall:  $\Delta M_h \times \Omega_b / \Omega_M$
- \* At the beginning, stars are formed in mini-halo with  $T_{vir} > 10^3 K$  (Tegmark+ 1997, Yoshida+ 2003)
- \* At z < 20, by Lyman-Werner photon, stars are not formed in newly formed mini-halos with  $T_{vir} < 10^4 K$
- $\, \ast \,$  Reionization: At z < 10 gas do not accrete to mini-halos with  $T_{vir} < 10^4 K$
- Proto galaxies are chemically homogeneous

## Model: Metal pollution of intergalactic matter (IGM)

- ♦ SN driven galactic wind
  - Energy injection:  $E_w = E_k (\epsilon + E_k/E_{bin})/(1 + E_k/E_{bin})$
  - $\otimes$  Mass loading:  $M_w = M_{gas} E_w / (E_{bin} + E_w)$
  - Metal loading:  $f_W = (M_w/M_{sw} + E_k/E_{bin})/(1 + E_k/E_{bin})$ .

 $E_k$ : SN kinetic energy =  $0.1 * E_{exp}$ E<sub>bin</sub>: binding energy of a proto-glaxy  $E_{\rm bin} = \frac{1}{2} \, GM_{\rm halo} M_{\rm gas} / R_{\rm vir}$  $\varepsilon$ (=0.1): minimum value of E<sub>w</sub>/ E<sub>k</sub> M<sub>sw</sub>: mass swept up by a SN shell

#### ◆ Evolution of galactic wind in the IGM (momentum-conserving snowplow model)

 $\frac{d}{dt}M_{b,j} = (v_j - r_jH_r)r^2\rho_{IGM} + \sum_i M_{w,i}\delta(t - t_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{i} \dot{M}_{acc,n}$ ♦ Mass:

Metal:

 $\frac{d}{dt}M_{A,j} = \sum_{i} (M_{w,i}X_{A,g} + f_{w,i}Y_{A,i})\delta(t-t_i) - \sum_{n \in n(i)} \dot{M}_{acc,n} \frac{M_{A,j}}{M_{b,j}},$ 

- Some proto-galaxies are formed with IGM enriched by metal ejected by SNe occurred in other galaxies.
  - Random spatial distribution of mini-halos
  - Winds "merge" when mini-halos merge