### TMD evolution: matching SIDIS to Drell-Yan

## Peng Sun LBNL

in collaboration with C. P. Yuan and F. Yuan

## Outline

### Goal

 Global analysis of Sivers (Collins/Transversity) at the next-to-leading logarithmic level

### Framework

□ CSS resummation in b<sub>\*</sub> prescription

## QCD k<sub>T</sub> resummation

• Consider the production process  $h_1h_2 \rightarrow Z+X$ 

 $\frac{d\sigma}{dQ_T^2} \sim \frac{1}{Q_T^2} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \alpha_S(L+1) & +\alpha_S^2(L^3+L^2) & +\alpha_S^3(L^5+L^4) + \alpha_S^4(L^7+L^6) + \dots \\ & +\alpha_S^2(L+1) & +\alpha_S^3(L^3+L^2) + \alpha_S^4(L^5+L^4) + \dots \\ & A^{(2)}, B^{(2)} & A^{(3)}, B^{(3)} & +\alpha_S^3(L+1) + \alpha_S^4(L^3+L^2) + \dots \\ & A^{(2)}, B^{(2)} & A^{(3)}, B^{(3)} & +\alpha_S^3(L+1) + \alpha_S^4(L^3+L^2) + \dots \\ & A^{(2)}, B^{(2)} & A^{(3)}, B^{(3)} & A^{(3)}, B^{(3)} & A^{(3)} & A^{(3)}, B^{(3)} & A^{(3)} & A^{($ 

A<sup>(1)</sup> , B<sup>(1)</sup>

We have to resum these large logs to make reliable predictions

$$\frac{d^3\sigma(M^2, P_\perp, y)}{d^2 P_\perp dy} = \sigma_0 \int \frac{d^2 \vec{b}}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-iP_\perp \cdot b_\perp} W(x_1, x_2, b, M^2) A = \sum_i (\alpha_s/\pi)^i A^{(i)} A = \sum_i (\alpha_s/\pi)^i A = \sum_i (\alpha_s/\pi)$$



C. P. Yuan et al PRD 67, 073016

### TMD Evolution

At the small transverse momentum limitation



W satisfies CSS evolution equation

$$\frac{\partial W(x_i, b, M^2)}{\partial \ln M^2} = (K + G')W(x_i, b, M^2)$$

#### At one-loop order for Drell-Yan process

$$K(b,\mu) = -\frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi} \ln \frac{b^2 \mu^2}{c_0^2} \qquad G(Q,\mu) = -\frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi} \left( \ln \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2} - \frac{3}{2} \right)$$

Substituting the above result into the evolution equation, and taking into account the running effects in K(b,  $\mu$ )

$$\begin{split} \hline \textbf{CSS} & \models \textbf{Exp} \begin{bmatrix} \int_{c_0/b}^{Q} \frac{d\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu}} \begin{bmatrix} A \ln \frac{Q^2}{\bar{\mu}^2} + B \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \\ \hline \widetilde{W}_{UU}(Q;b) &= \underbrace{e^{-S_{pert}(Q^2,b_*) - S_{NP}(Q,b)}}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_{i/A}(z_1,\mu=c_0/b_*)} \underbrace{C_0 = 2 e^{-\gamma} \approx 1}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_{i/A}(z_1,\mu=c_0/b_*)} \underbrace{C_0 = 2 e^{-\gamma} \approx 1}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_{i/A}(z_1,\mu=c_0/b_*)} \underbrace{C_0 = 2 e^{-\gamma} \approx 1}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_{i/A}(z_1,\mu=c_0/b_*)} \underbrace{C_0 = 2 e^{-\gamma} \approx 1}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_{i/A}(z_1,\mu=c_0/b_*)} \underbrace{C_0 = 2 e^{-\gamma} \approx 1}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_{i/A}(z_1,\mu=c_0/b_*)} \underbrace{C_0 = 2 e^{-\gamma} \approx 1}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_{i/A}(z_1,\mu=c_0/b_*)} \underbrace{C_0 = 2 e^{-\gamma} \approx 1}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_{i/A}(z_1,\mu=c_0/b_*)} \underbrace{C_0 = 2 e^{-\gamma} \approx 1}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_{i/A}(z_1,\mu=c_0/b_*)} \underbrace{C_0 = 2 e^{-\gamma} \approx 1}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_{i/A}(z_1,\mu=c_0/b_*)} \underbrace{C_0 = 2 e^{-\gamma} \approx 1}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_{i/A}(z_1,\mu=c_0/b_*)} \underbrace{C_0 = 2 e^{-\gamma} \approx 1}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_{j/B}(z_2,\mu=c_0/b_*)} \underbrace{C_0 = 2 e^{-\gamma} \approx 1}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_{i/A}(z_1,\mu=c_0/b_*)} \underbrace{C_0 = 2 e^{-\gamma} \approx 1}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_{i/A}(z_1,\mu=c_0/b_*)} \underbrace{C_0 = 2 e^{-\gamma} \approx 1}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_{i/A}(z_1,\mu=c_0/b_*)} \underbrace{C_0 = 2 e^{-\gamma} \approx 1}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_{i/A}(z_1,\mu=c_0/b_*)} \underbrace{C_0 = 2 e^{-\gamma} \approx 1}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_{i/A}(z_1,\mu=c_0/b_*)} \underbrace{C_0 = 2 e^{-\gamma} \approx 1}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_{i/A}(z_1,\mu=c_0/b_*)} \underbrace{C_0 = 2 e^{-\gamma} \approx 1}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_{i/A}(z_1,\mu=c_0/b_*)} \underbrace{C_0 = 2 e^{-\gamma} \approx 1}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_{i/A}(z_1,\mu=c_0/b_*)} \underbrace{C_0 = 2 e^{-\gamma} \approx 1}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_{i/A}(z_1,\mu=c_0/b_*)} \underbrace{C_0 = 2 e^{-\gamma} \approx 1}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_{i/A}(z_1,\mu=c_0/b_*)} \underbrace{C_0 = 2 e^{-\gamma} \approx 1}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_{i/A}(z_1,\mu=c_0/b_*)} \underbrace{C_0 = 2 e^{-\gamma} \approx 1}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_{i/A}(z_1,\mu=c_0/b_*)} \underbrace{C_0 = 2 e^{-\gamma} \approx 1}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_{i/A}(z_1,\mu=c_0/b_*)} \underbrace{C_0 = 2 e^{-\gamma} \approx 1}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_{i/A}(z_1,\mu=c_0/b_*)} \underbrace{C_0 = 2 e^{-\gamma} \otimes 1}_{\times \Sigma_{i,j}C_{qi}^{(DY)} \otimes f_$$

#### Sudakov factor

□ There are two parts in the Sudakov factor

$$\mathcal{S}_{sud} \Rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{pert}(Q; b_*) + S_{NP}(Q; b)$$

□ the nonperturbative part

$$S_{NP}(Q,b) = \begin{bmatrix} g_2(b) \ln Q + g_1(b; z_1, z_2) \\ g_2(b) \ln Q + g_1^T(b; z_1, z_2) \end{bmatrix}$$
 This term is from  

$$S_{NP}(Q,b) = \begin{bmatrix} g_2(b) \ln Q + g_1^T(b; z_1, z_2) \\ K(b,\mu) = -\frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi} \ln \frac{b^2 \mu^2}{c_0^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Q dependence always satisfies CSS equation.

 $g_2(b)$  is universal in Drell-Yan, SIDIS, and  $e^+e^- \rightarrow hh$ 

 $\mu = c_0/b$ 

Gaussian assumptions are usually made for g<sub>1</sub>(b) and g<sub>2</sub>(b) (BLNY 2002):

$$S_{NP} = g_1 b^2 + g_2 b^2 \ln (Q/3.2) + g_1 g_3 b^2 \ln(100x_1 x_2)$$
  
 $g_1 = 0.21, \ g_2 = 0.68, \ g_1 g_3 = -0.2, \ \text{with} \ b_{max} = 0.5 \text{GeV}^{-1}$ 

 However, these assumptions do not work for SIDIS and Drell-Yan simultaneously in the range of Q<sup>2</sup>~(3—100)GeV<sup>2</sup>

Sun, Yuan, 1308.5003



CT10 and DSS are used here, so are our other fittings.

Sun, Yuan, 1304.5037, 1308.5003

- Sun-Yuan (1308.5003) has shown that direct integration of the evolution kernel from low to high Q can describe both Drell-Yan and SIDIS data
  - This suggests that Log(b) maybe a good choice for g<sub>2</sub>(b).



So, Y piece should be important in small Q region

## Fitting Drell-Yan processes

 $S_{NP} = g_1 b^2 + g_2 \ln (b/b_*) \ln (Q/Q_0) + g_3 b^2 \left( (x_0/x_1)^{\lambda} + (x_0/x_2)^{\lambda} \right)$ 

Parameter	SYY fit
$g_1$	$0.140{\pm}0.013$
$g_2$	$0.925{\pm}0.018$
$g_3$	$0.032{\pm}0.007$
E288	$N_{fit} = 0.97$
(28  points)	$\chi^2 = 44$
E605	$N_{fit} = 1.02$
(35  points)	$\chi^2 = 62$
Tevatron	$N_{fit} = 1.02$
(30  points)	$\chi^2 = 32$
$\chi^2$	138
$\chi^2/\text{DOF}$	1.60

A new non-perturabtive Sudakov factor is used. Where  $x_0=0.01$ ,  $Q_0^2=2.4$  GeV<sup>2</sup>, and  $\lambda = 0.2$ 

 $g_1$ ,  $g_2$  and  $g_3$  are free parameters

In our fit, we choose b<sub>max</sub>=1.5GeV<sup>-1</sup>

Y piece is included







## **Compare to ResBos**



Drawn by Joshual Isaacson



- In this fit, we take into account the A<sup>1</sup>,A<sup>2</sup>, B<sup>1</sup>,B<sup>2</sup>,C<sup>1</sup>,Y<sup>1</sup>.
- For these Drell-Yan process, the Y piece is very small, which is about few percent of resummation part (W function).
- We have resolved the problem of S<sub>NP</sub>, then we can matching SIDIS to Drell-Yan.



Where these curves do not include the Y piece

2/27/2014

## Where are the Y terms??



## Issues with the Y terms in SIDIS

 $\begin{array}{c} \bullet \quad \text{Leading behavior} \\ \frac{d\sigma}{d^2 P_{h\perp}} \sim \frac{1}{P_{h\perp}^2} \left[ \frac{1+\xi^2}{(1-\xi)_+} + \frac{1+\hat{\xi}^2}{(1-\hat{\xi})_+} + \delta(1-\xi)\delta(1-\hat{\xi})\ln\frac{z_h^2Q^2}{P_{h\perp}^2} \right] \end{array}$ 

Subleading Y terms

$$Y \sim \frac{1}{Q^2} \left[ \frac{1+\xi}{(1-\xi)_+} + \frac{1+\hat{\xi}}{(1-\hat{\xi})_+} + \delta(1-\xi)\delta(1-\hat{\xi})\ln\frac{z_h^2 Q^2}{P_{h\perp}^2} \right]$$

- Similar terms arise in the azimuthal angular asymmetries in Drell-Yan and SIDIS
  - Boer-Vogelsang 2006, Qiu et al. 2007
  - Bacchetta-Boer-Diehl-Mulders, 2008
- Resummation or not?
- Fortunately, increasing Q<sup>2</sup> can help



The Q<sup>2</sup> is larger, and the Y piece is less and less important.

# Outlook

- Assuming the TMD applications in SIDIS experiments, global analysis of Sivers and Collins functions with new parameterizations of the TMDs
  - □ Work in progress
- Y terms in SIDIS need more detailed studies
  - $\Box$  Experiments with high Q<sup>2</sup> at EIC is a must

#### Soft Gluon Resummations in Dijet Azimuthal Angular Correlations at the Collider

Peng Sun,<sup>1</sup> C.-P. Yuan,<sup>2</sup> and Feng Yuan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Nuclear Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

Motivations:

reummation of large logs in dijet production factorization breaking effects Collins-Qiu, 2007; Vogelsang-Yuan, 2007; Rogers-Mulders 2010



$$\frac{d^4\sigma}{dy_1 dy_2 dP_J^2 d^2 q_\perp} = \sum_{ab} \sigma_0 \left[ \int \frac{d^2 \vec{b}_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-iq_\perp \cdot b_\perp} W_{ab \to cd}(x_1, x_2, b_\perp) + Y_{ab \to cd} \right]$$
$$W_{ab \to cd}(x_i, b) = x_1 f_a(x_1, b, \zeta^2, \mu^2, \rho) x_2 f_b(x_2, b, \bar{\zeta}^2, \mu^2, \rho) \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathbf{H}_{ab \to cd}(Q^2, \mu^2, \rho) \mathbf{S}_{ab \to cd}(b, \mu^2, \rho)\right]$$

$$S_{IJ} = \int_0^{\pi} \frac{d\phi_0}{\pi} C_{Iii'}^{bb'} C_{Jll'}^{aa'} \langle 0 | \mathcal{L}_{vcb'}^{\dagger}(b_{\perp}) \mathcal{L}_{vbc}(b_{\perp}) \mathcal{L}_{\bar{v}ca'}^{\dagger}(0) \mathcal{L}_{\bar{v}ac}(0) \mathcal{L}_{nji}^{\dagger}(b_{\perp}) \mathcal{L}_{\bar{n}i'k}(b_{\perp}) \mathcal{L}_{\bar{n}kl}^{\dagger}(0) \mathcal{L}_{nl'j}(0) | 0 \rangle$$

For  $qq \rightarrow gg$ 

$$c_1 = \delta^{a_1 a_2} \delta_{a_3 a_4}, \qquad c_2 = i f^{a_1 a_2 c} t^c_{a_3 a_4}, \qquad c_3 = d^{a_1 a_2 c} t^c_{a_3 a_4}$$

#### For $gg \rightarrow gg$

$$c_{1} = f^{a_{1}a_{2}c_{1}}f_{a_{3}a_{4}c_{1}}, \qquad c_{2} = f^{a_{1}a_{3}c_{1}}f_{a_{2}a_{4}c_{1}} + f^{a_{1}a_{4}c_{1}}f_{a_{2}a_{3}c_{1}}, \qquad c_{3} = d^{a_{1}a_{2}c_{1}}f_{a_{3}a_{4}c_{1}}, \\ c_{4} = f^{a_{1}a_{2}c_{1}}d_{a_{3}a_{4}c_{1}}, \qquad c_{5} = d^{a_{1}a_{4}c_{1}}f_{a_{2}a_{3}c_{1}}, \qquad c_{6} = \delta^{a_{1}a_{2}}\delta^{a_{3}a_{4}}, \qquad c_{7} = \delta^{a_{1}a_{3}}\delta^{a_{2}a_{4}}, \qquad c_{8} = \delta^{a_{1}a_{4}}\delta^{a_{2}a_{3}}$$

#### After solving the evolution equation

$$W_{ab\to cd}(x_1, x_2, b) = x_1 f_a(x_1, \mu = c_0/b_\perp) x_2 f_b(x_2, \mu = c_0/b_\perp) e^{-S_{Sud}(Q^2, b_\perp)}$$
$$\times \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \mathbf{H}_{ab\to cd} \exp\left[-\int_{c_0/b_\perp}^Q \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \gamma^{s\dagger}\right] \mathbf{S}_{ab\to cd} \exp\left[-\int_{c_0/b_\perp}^Q \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \gamma^s\right] \right]$$

#### where

$$S_{Sud}(Q^2, b_{\perp}, C_1, C_2) = \int_{C_1^2/b_{\perp}^2}^{C_2^2 Q^2} \frac{d\mu^2}{\mu^2} \left[ \ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}\right) A + B + D_1 \ln\frac{2}{R_1} + D_2 \ln\frac{2}{R_2} \right]$$

For gg 
$$\rightarrow$$
 jj $A = C_A \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}, B = -2C_A \beta_0 \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}$ For qq  $\rightarrow$  jj $A = C_F \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}, B = \frac{-3C_F}{2} \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}$ For qg  $\rightarrow$  jj $A = \frac{(C_F + C_A)}{2} \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}$  $B = (\frac{-3C_F}{4} + C_A \beta_0) \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}$ 

For quark jet  $D_i = C_F a_s / \pi$ , and for gluon jet  $D_i = C_A a_s / \pi$ 

The soft factor satisfies

$$\frac{d}{d\ln\mu}S_{IJ}(\mu) = -\Gamma_{IJ'}^{s\dagger}S_{J'J}(\mu) - S_{IJ'}(\mu)\Gamma_{J'J}^{s}$$

 $\mathcal{I}_{44}$ 

 $\mathcal{I}_{34}$ 







# Thank you very much!

#### Sudakov factor

□ There are two parts in the Sudakov factor

$$\mathcal{S}_{sud} \Rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{pert}(Q; b_*) + S_{NP}(Q; b)$$

For  $b \rightarrow \infty$ :  $S_{pert} \rightarrow constant$  $S_{NP} \rightarrow b^2$ , b, log(b) ( $\infty$ )

For b 
$$\rightarrow$$
 0:  
 $S_{pert} \rightarrow \int_{c_0/b}^{Q} \frac{d\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu}} \left[ A \ln \frac{Q^2}{\bar{\mu}^2} + B \right]$   
 $S_{NP} \rightarrow 0$ 



$$n_j = (1, 0, 0, 1) \rightarrow n_j^2 = 0$$
  
 $n_k = (1, 0, sin(x), cos(x))$  with  $x > R$   
 $n_j \cdot n_k = 1 - cos(x) > R^2/2$ 

$$\begin{split} n_j &= (1^+, \, 0\bot, \, R^2/2 \,) \twoheadrightarrow n_j^2 = R^2 \\ \text{Then for any } n_k \, (n_k^2 = 0 \,) \,, \, \text{we have} : \\ n_j \,. \, n_k \, > R^2/2 \end{split}$$

The difference between these two way is high order of R

$$\mathcal{S}_{Sud} = 2C_F \int_{Q_0}^{Q} \frac{d\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu}} \frac{\alpha_s(\bar{\mu})}{\pi} \left[ \ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{\bar{\mu}^2}\right) + \ln\frac{Q_0^2 b^2}{c_0^2} - \frac{3}{2} \right]$$

But, this Sudakov factor does not work in the high k<sub>t</sub> region

This is because the small b behavior is wrong in this Sudakov factor

So in this work, CSS formulism is chosen



QCD resummation in TMD factorization

Sudakov factor (2 parts)

- Nonperturbative Sudakov factor fitting from Drell-Yan processes
- Matching the SIDIS to Drell-Yan
- Summary
- Dijet production at hadron collider



The Q<sup>2</sup> is smaller, and the Y piece is more important.

# Summary

- A new S<sub>NP</sub> is obtained by fitting the Drell-Yan data
- This S<sub>NP</sub> can be used in very small Q<sup>2</sup> region
- We apply the universal parameters in this S<sub>NP</sub> to describe SIDIS processes at Hermes
- For the SIDIS process, at the Hermes energy
  - the Y piece is so large that the data at Hermes can not be described by leading twist resummation