Dissipative effects on quarkonium spectral functions

Clint Young, in collaboration with Y. Buyukdag and K. Dusling

September 22, 2014

The experimental status of J/ψ in heavy ion collisions

Dynamics of heavy quarks and quarkonium

Quarkonium as an open quantum system

Extracting spectral functions

1980s: After perturbative calculations of QCD at high temperatures sparked interest in quark-gluon plasma, lattice QCD was studied at finite temperature.

Kanaya and Satz: The Polyakov loop correlation function

$$\begin{split} \Gamma(r,T) &= \langle L(0)L(r)\rangle - \langle L(r)\rangle^2 \\ &\sim & \exp(-r/\xi(T)) \text{ at high T and large r.} \end{split}$$

Usual physical interpretation: what is the free energy of two infinitely heavy quarks in pure gauge theory?

Lattice gauge theory at high temperatures

 $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ at high temperatures:



The J/ψ particle is *quarkonium*: a $c\bar{c}$ bound state described phenomenologically with the Cornell potential:

$$V_{C}(r) = -\alpha/r + \sigma r.$$

 $\Gamma(r)$ at zero T behaves roughly like $\exp(-V_C(r)/T)$.

 $\xi(T) \rightarrow 0$: $c\bar{c}$ bound states change with T and above some T_c , no longer exist.

Pure gauge theory suggests no J/ψ states can exist above $1.2T_c$; theories with dynamical quarks should not allow quarkonia even at lower temperatures.

The experimental status of J/ψ in HICs



"We want to present here another type of signature for plasma formation, which directly reflects deconfinement and appears to provide a rather clear and model-independent test." -Matsui and Satz, 1986

In fact:

- modified production of quarkonium in nuclear collisions
- cold nuclear matter effects
- recombinant production at high charm quark densities

Finally, even the lattice results are inconclusive, as the dynamics of heavy bound states can be determined more directly than before...

A single heavy quark above deconfinement

When $M \gg T$, *p*, the dynamics described by $3\kappa = \int d^3q |\mathbf{q}|^2 \frac{dl}{dq^3}$. How to determine?

► HTL effective theory (poor convergence from LO to NLO for realistic α_s) (Moore and Teaney, Caron-Huot and Moore).

 Lattice QCD (analytic continuation of Euclidean correlators difficult).
 AdS/CFT for strongly-coupled gauge theories (not QCD) (Gubser, Casalderrey-Solana and Teaney).

Current phenomenology of heavy quark elliptic flow gives $3\kappa \approx 4T^3$, larger than LO HTL estimates but smaller than in strongly-coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory.

When $M \gg T$ and $\gamma v \lesssim 1$, dynamics described by the relativistic Langevin equation:

$$rac{dp^i}{dt}=-\eta p^i+\xi^i(t), \hspace{1em} \left\langle \xi^i(t)\xi^j(t')
ight
angle =\kappa\delta^{ij}\delta(t-t').$$

Requiring $\langle p^2(t) \rangle$ to approach the thermal value gives the Einstein relation:

 $\eta = \kappa/2MT$

Loosely bound quarkonium can also be described with a relativistic Langevin equation. For each quark J in a pair forming quarkonium,

$$\frac{dp_J^i}{dt} = -\eta p_J^i + \xi_J^i(t) - \frac{\partial V(\mathbf{x}_K)}{\partial x_J^i},$$

$$\left\langle \xi_{J}^{i}(t)\xi_{K}^{j}(t')\right\rangle =\kappa\delta^{ij}\delta^{JK}\delta(t-t').$$

Disassociation of J/ψ now dynamical, includes the physics of potentials with both real and imaginary parts. A satisfactory description at strong coupling.

Heavy quark hadronization at freeze-out

In elementary collisions, *color evaporation model*: if $M < 2M_D$, where $M = \sqrt{(p_1 + p_2)^2}$, the heavy quarks form a quarkonium state. Simple, successful across experiments (color singlet model underpredicts, color octet (NRQCD) model has many parameters).

However, in AA collisions, how to take into account non-trivial evolution in momentum *and position*?

Heavy quark hadronization at freeze-out

In elementary collisions, *color evaporation model*: if $M < 2M_D$, where $M = \sqrt{(p_1 + p_2)^2}$, the heavy quarks form a quarkonium state. Simple, successful across experiments (color singlet model underpredicts, color octet (NRQCD) model has many parameters).

However, in AA collisions, how to take into account non-trivial evolution in momentum *and position*?

Modified color evaporation model: $M = \sqrt{(p_1 + p_2)^2} + V_{\text{Cornell}}(r_{\text{CM}})$.

Useful for calculating recombinant production (Q and \overline{Q} from separate perturbative processes) and B_c yields.

${\it R}_{{\it A}{\it A}}$ for ${\it J}/\psi$ and <code>MARTINI</code>



The surviving component of the J/ψ yield not enough to explain the total yield. Including recombinant production is needed.

D and $J/\psi v_2(p_T)$ with MARTINI



Flow of J/ψ and D mesons explained with different kinetic freeze-out temperatures for the different mesons (sequential freeze-out). $T_{\rm kin} = 190 \text{ MeV}$ consistent with Euclidean quarkonium correlators.

B_c meson production

 B_c mesons are predicted for heavy ion collisions (Schroedter et al. 2000); the yields for these states in elementary collisions are small.

- Mostly produced recombinantly, testing models for in-medium hadronization.
- Sensitive to heavy quark densities at hadronization; an indirect probe of T_{ch} for quarkonia.
- Measurements at RHIC and the LHC complementary.



J/ψ properties from quarkonium spectral functions

It is possible to use lattice QCD to probe quarkonium melting more directly that with $\Gamma(r, T)$, by examining correlation functions of $J^{\mu} = \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\psi(x)$.

Mocsy and Petreczky: This current's autocorrelation function at finite temperature is related to the spectral function for quarkonium in the vector channel:

$$G(au) = \int d^3x \; \langle J^{\mu}(\mathbf{x}, au) J_{\mu}(\mathbf{0},0)
angle = \int d\omega \; rac{\cos(\omega(au-eta/2))}{\sin(\omegaeta/2)} \sigma(\omega);$$

and through this, to the existence of bound states and resonances. Are changes in $G(\tau)$ with decreasing β caused by changes in the spectral function? If yes, how?

J/ψ properties from quarkonium spectral functions



What dynamics can explain these changes in the quarkonium spectral functions, specifically, resonances persisting with a decreased lifetime above T_c ?

Brownian motion for single heavy quarks successful for describing flow of D mesons: the relativistic Langevin equation

$$rac{d m{p}^i}{dt} = -\eta m{p}^i + \xi^i, \, \langle \xi^i(t) \xi^j(t')
angle = \kappa \delta^{ij} \delta(t-t')$$

describes heavy quarks at high temperature as a stochastic process.

The spatial diffusion $2\pi TD \sim 3$ in order to explain the significant flow of charm at the RHIC: much smaller than perturbative estimates.

A unified phenomenological description of heavy quark flow, J/ψ suppression, and quarkonium spectral functions is better than a phenomenological description of only one of these.

How can Brownian motion be quantized? Feynman's reduced density matrix: suppose a heavy particle interacts with a light degree of freedom we don't care about:

$$L = L_{S} + L_{I};$$

$$L_{S} = \frac{1}{2}M\dot{x}^{2} - V(x),$$

$$L_{I} = \frac{1}{2}m\dot{r}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}m\omega^{2}r^{2} - Cxr.$$

Taking the trace over the light degree of freedom gives

exp(-

$$\rho_{red}(x_i, x_f, \beta) \equiv \int dr \rho(x_i, r; x_f, r; \beta) = \int_{x(0)=x_i}^{x(\beta)=x_f} \mathcal{D}x$$
$$-S_S^E[x] + \sum_k \frac{C_k^2}{2m\omega_k \sinh(\frac{\omega_k\beta}{2})} \int_0^\beta d\tau \int_0^\tau ds \, x(\tau)x(s) \cosh\left[\omega_k \left(\tau - s - \beta/2\right)\right]\right)$$

(~

Caldeira and Leggett: A continuous density of states

$$\mathcal{C}^{2}(\omega)
ho_{D}(\omega) = egin{cases} rac{2m\eta\omega^{2}}{\pi} & ext{if } \omega < \Omega \ 0 & ext{if } \omega > \Omega \end{cases}$$

leads to the Langevin equation with drag coefficient η in the classical limit. CY and Dusling: The reduced imaginary-time Green function

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mathrm{red}}(x_f, x_i, \tau, \beta) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle x_f, |\tau + n\beta| |x_i, 0 \rangle_{\mathrm{red}} \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{x(0)=x_i}^{x(|\tau + n\beta|)=x_f} \mathcal{D}x \, \exp\left(-\int_0^{|\tau + n\beta|} d\tau' \left[\frac{1}{2}M\dot{x}(\tau')^2 + V_R(x(\tau'))\right] \right. \\ &- \frac{\eta}{2\pi} \int_0^{\tau'} ds \, \dot{x}(\tau') \dot{x}(s) \log\left[\frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{\tau' - s}{|\tau + n\beta|})}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{\tau' + s}{|\tau + n\beta|})}\right] \right] \end{aligned}$$

 $G_{T=0}(\tau)$:



Extracting spectral functions (with Y. Buyukdag)

We want to determine $\sigma(\omega)$ from

$$G(au) = \int d\omega \, \exp(-\omega au) \sigma(\omega).$$

Inverse Laplace transforms are easy analytically but ill-defined with noisy numerical data: small errors are blown up exponentially.

Maximum entropy method: fit χ^2 using σ while constraining the size of the information entropy *I*:

$$E(\rho_i) = \chi^2(\rho_i) + \alpha I,$$
$$I = \sum_i \left[\rho_i \log \left(\rho_i / \sigma_i\right) - \left(\rho_i - \sigma_i\right)\right].$$

Multivariable (~1000 discretized values of $\sigma(\omega)$) minimization \rightarrow simulated annealing to find absolute minima.

The challenges facing deconvolution



The challenges facing deconvolution



Testing the MEM



Results from simulated annealing



The dependence on $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$



- ▶ In ~ 10 years, the J/ψ went from being an important clue in the development of the Standard Model to a probe for temperature in heavy-ion collisions.
- ► Lattice QCD results are inconclusive for determining the dynamics and fate of quarkonium between 1 and 2*T*_c.
- Langevin dynamics makes predictions about quarkonium spectral functions above deconfinement and will help untangle the roles of changing potentials and interaction with the medium.

References I

- S. L. Glashow, J. Iliopoulos and L. Maiani, Phys. Rev. D 2, 1285 (1970).
- 🔋 A. De Rujula and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **34**, 46 (1975).
- J. E. Augustin *et al.* [SLAC-SP-017 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **33**, 1406 (1974).
- J. J. Aubert *et al.* [E598 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **33**, 1404 (1974).
- 🔋 K. Kanaya and H. Satz, Phys. Rev. D **34**, 3193 (1986).
 - T. Matsui and H. Satz, Phys. Lett. B **178**, 416 (1986).
 - A. Rossi [CMS and ATLAS and ALICE Collaborations], EPJ Web Conf. **60**, 03003 (2013) [arXiv:1308.2973 [hep-ex]].

- A. Mocsy and P. Petreczky, Phys. Rev. D 77, 014501 (2008) [arXiv:0705.2559 [hep-ph]].
- C. Young and E. Shuryak, Phys. Rev. C 79, 034907 (2009) [arXiv:0803.2866 [nucl-th]].
- C. Young, B. Schenke, S. Jeon and C. Gale, Phys. Rev. C 86, 034905 (2012) [arXiv:1111.0647 [nucl-th]].
- A. O. Caldeira and A. J. Leggett, Physica **121A**, 587 (1983).
- C. Young and K. Dusling, Phys. Rev. C 87, no. 6, 065206 (2013) [arXiv:1001.0935 [nucl-th]].