INT Heavy Flavor Workshop

Constraints to **nonperturbative** charm in the nucleon

T. Hobbs, NTG

October 9, 2014

motivation

• understanding of charm critical to HEP phenomenology

- \rightarrow through constraints to **PDF sets** and models in SM,
- \rightarrow and **background** processes in collider physics
- \rightarrow but also studies of hadronic $\underline{\text{bound-state structure}}!$

• knowledge of charm distributions may influence dynamics in **heavy-ion** physics

• relevance to searches for exotic physics??

...i.e., through enhanced BSM cross sections...

1. Background

charm in pQCD

$${}^{\bullet}c(x,Q^2\leq m_c^2)=\bar{c}(x,Q^2\leq m_c^2)\equiv 0$$



• intermediate Q^2 : $F_{2, PGF}^c(x, Q^2) = \frac{\alpha_s(\mu^2)}{9\pi} \int_x^{z'} \frac{dz}{z} C^{PGF}(z, Q^2, m_c^2) \cdot xg\left(\frac{x}{z}, \mu^2\right)$

• high Q^2 :

massless **DGLAP** (i.e., variable flavor-number schemes)

nonperturbative heavy quarks





→ original models possessed *scalar* vertices...

*Brodsky et al. (1980):

$$P(p \to uudc\bar{c}) \sim \left[M^2 - \sum_{i=1}^5 \frac{k_{\perp i}^2 + m_i^2}{x_i}\right]^{-2}$$

$$\implies P(x) = \frac{Nx^2}{2} \left[\frac{(1-x)}{3} \left(1 + 10x + x^2\right) + 2x(1+x)\ln(x)\right]$$

• Pumplin (2006): $dP = \frac{g^2}{(16\pi^2)^{N-1}(N-2)!} \times \prod_{j=1}^N dx_j \,\delta\left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^N x_j\right) \int_{s_0}^\infty ds \, \frac{(s-s_0)^{N-2}}{(s-m_0^2)^2} \,|F(s)|^2$

2. meson-baryon models nonperturbative charm

two-body meson-baryon models (MBMs)

...a hadronic effective field theory ...

[TH, J. T. Londergan and W. Melnitchouk, Phys. Rev. D89, 074008 (2014).]

$$|N\rangle = \sqrt{Z_2} |N\rangle_0 + \sum_{M,B} \int dy \, f_{MB}(y) |M(y); B(1-y)\rangle$$

 $y = k^+/P^+: k \text{ meson, } P \text{ nucleon}$

 $f_{MB}(\boldsymbol{y}) = \int_0^\infty dk_\perp^2 f_{MB}(\boldsymbol{y}, k_\perp^2) = f_{BM}(1 - \boldsymbol{y} := \bar{\boldsymbol{y}})$

ightarrow convolution model for $c(x,\mu^2) \neq \bar{c}(x,\mu^2) \neq 0$:

$$c(x) = \sum_{B,M} \left[\int_x^1 \frac{d\bar{y}}{\bar{y}} f_{BM}(\bar{y}) c_B\left(\frac{x}{\bar{y}}\right) \right] \xrightarrow{4.6} \left[\int_y^{D^* \Sigma_{\epsilon}^{(*)}} \int_y$$

amplitudes from hadronic EFT

• for the **dominant** contribution to c(x), i.e., $\left| \Lambda_c D^* \right|$:

$$\begin{aligned} c(x) &= \int_x^1 \frac{d\bar{y}}{\bar{y}} f_{\Lambda D^*}(\bar{y}) \cdot c_{\Lambda}\left(\frac{x}{\bar{y}}\right): \\ \mathcal{L}_{D^*\Lambda N} &= g \,\bar{\psi}_N \gamma_\mu \,\psi_\Lambda \,\theta_{D^*}^\mu \ + \ \frac{f}{4M} \bar{\psi}_N \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi_\Lambda \,F_{D^*}^{\mu\nu} \ + \ \text{h.c.} \\ \mathcal{L}_{c[qq]\Lambda} &= g \,\bar{\psi}_\Lambda \,\psi_c \,\phi_{[qq]} \ + \ \text{h.c.} \qquad \underline{\text{quark model}} \to \underline{\text{had.}} \, g, f \end{aligned}$$



 \rightarrow <code>evaluate</code> forward-moving **TOPT** diagrams

hadron/parton distributions

$$\begin{split} f_{BD^*}(\bar{y}) &= T_B \ \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int dk_{\perp}^2 \frac{|\mathcal{F}(s_{BM})|^2}{(s_{BM} - M^2)^2} \frac{1}{\bar{y}(1 - \bar{y})} \\ & \times \left[g^2 \, G_v(\bar{y}, k_{\perp}^2) \ + \ \frac{gf}{M} \, G_{vt}(\bar{y}, k_{\perp}^2) \ + \ \frac{f^2}{M^2} \, G_t(\bar{y}, k_{\perp}^2) \right] \end{split}$$

$$c_B(z) = N_B \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int d\hat{k}_{\perp}^2 \frac{1}{z^2(1-z)} \frac{|\mathcal{F}(\hat{s})|^2}{(\hat{s}-M_B^2)^2} \left[\hat{k}_{\perp}^2 + (m_c + zM_B)^2 \right]$$



 \rightarrow model dependence mainly from $\mathcal{F}(s)$, $s(\bar{y}, k_{\perp}^2) = (M_{\Lambda}^2 + k_{\perp}^2)/\bar{y} + (m_D^2 + k_{\perp}^2)/(1 - \bar{y})$

phenomenology of UV regularization

<u>hadronic</u> $f_{MB}(y)$ — s-dependent form factor, e.g.:

$$\rightarrow F(s_{MB}) = \exp[-(s_{MB} - M^2) / \Lambda^2] \leftarrow \underline{\text{used here}}$$

or
$$F(s_{MB}) = \left(\frac{M^2 + \Lambda^2}{M^2 + s_{MB}}\right)^n$$
 $n \in \{1, 2\}$

quark-level $c, \bar{c}(z)$ — more *subtle*:

$$\begin{aligned} G(\hat{s}) &= \exp\left[-(\hat{s} - m_D^2)/\hat{\Lambda}^2\right] \\ \hat{s}(z, \hat{k}_{\perp}^2) &= (m_{\bar{c}}^2 + \hat{k}_{\perp}^2)/z + (m_q^2 + \hat{k}_{\perp}^2)/(1-z) \end{aligned}$$

...but the numerical $\hat{s} = m_H^2$ pole must be controlled!

- "effective" mass scheme: $m_{ar{c}}^{\mathrm{eff}}+m_{q}^{\mathrm{eff}}>m_{D}$
- "confining" prescription:

$$G(\hat{s}) = (\hat{s} - m_D^2) \exp\left[-(\hat{s} - m_D^2)/\hat{\Lambda}^2\right]$$

constraints from hadroproduction

• tune **universal** cutoff $\Lambda = \hat{\Lambda}$ to fit **<u>ISR</u>** $pp \to \Lambda_c X$ collider data

[*left*] P. Chauvat *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **199**, 304 (1987).



 D^* tensor interaction \implies rapid growth in $\langle n \rangle_{MB}$...fixes narrow range, $\Lambda = (3.0 \pm 0.2)$ GeV

production asymmetries?

$$A^{\Lambda_c}(x_F) = \frac{\sigma^{\Lambda_c}(x_F) - \sigma^{\Lambda_c}(x_F)}{\sigma^{\Lambda_c}(x_F) + \sigma^{\Lambda_c}(x_F)} \qquad \left(\sigma^{\Lambda_c}(x_F) \equiv d\sigma^{\Lambda_c}/dx_F\right)$$



charm in the nucleon

multiplicities, momentum sum:

 $\langle n \rangle_{MB}^{\text{(charm)}} = 2.40\% + \frac{2.47}{-1.36}; \qquad P_c := \langle x \rangle_{\text{IC}} = 1.34\% + \frac{1.35}{-0.75}$ MBM (confining) $Q^2 = 60 \text{ GeV}^2$ 0.15 --- MBM (eff. mass) 0.1 50 ····· MBM (δ function) 0.1 $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S})$ 0.01 0.05 0.001 0.0001 0.2 0.4 0.001 0.01 0.1 0.6 х

$$\begin{split} F_2^{c\bar{c}}(x,Q^2) &= \frac{4x}{9} \left[c(x,Q^2) + \bar{c}(x,Q^2) \right] \\ & \rightarrow \text{ evolve to EMC scale, } Q^2 = 60 \text{ GeV}^2 \end{split}$$

low-x H1/ZEUS data check massless **DGLAP** evolution

• Glob. Analysis: P. J. Delgado, TH, J. T. Londergan and W. Melnitchouk, arXiv:1408.1708

systematics of global QCD analysis

extract/constrain quark densities:

$$F_{qh}^{\gamma}(x,Q^2) = \sum_{f} \int_0^1 \frac{d\xi}{\xi} C_i^{\gamma f}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \frac{\mu_F^2}{\mu}, \alpha_S(\mu^2)\right) \cdot \phi_{f/h}(\xi, \mu_F^2, \mu^2)$$

- $C_i^{\gamma f}$: pQCD Wilson coefficients
- • $\phi_{f/h}(\xi, \mu_F^2, \mu^2)$: universal parton distributions

(...here,
$$\mu_F^2 = 4m_c^2 + Q^2$$
)

 \implies exploit properties of QCD to constrain models:

$$\sum_{q} \int_{0}^{1} dx \ x \cdot \{ f_{q+\bar{q}}(x,Q^{2}) + f_{g}(x,Q^{2}) \} \equiv 1 \quad (\text{mom. conserv.})$$

• DGLAP: couples Q^2 evolution of $f_q(x, Q^2)$, $f_g(x, Q^2)$

quark/target mass-dependent PDFs

simple, three-parameter fits to MBM... $c(x)=C^{(0)}\,A\,x^\alpha(1-x)^\beta\,\,,\qquad \bar c(x)=C^{(0)}\,\bar A\,x^{\bar\alpha}(1-x)^{\bar\beta}$

• rescale input PDFs via modified Nachtmann variables:

$$c(x) \rightarrow c(\xi_c, \gamma_c) = c(\xi_c) - \frac{\xi_c}{\gamma_c} c(\gamma_c) \iff \xi_c < \gamma_c$$
$$= 0 \qquad \iff \xi_c \ge \gamma_c$$

FFNS in the charm sector

$$F_2 = F_2^{\text{light}} + F_2^{\text{heavy}} \longrightarrow F_2^c = F_2^{c\bar{c}} + F_2^{\text{IC}}$$

$$F_2^{c\bar{c}}(x,Q^2,m_c^2) = \frac{Q^2\alpha_s}{4\pi^2 m_c^2} \sum_q \int \frac{dz}{z} \,\hat{\sigma}_q(\eta,\xi) \,\cdot\, f_q\left(\frac{x}{z},\mu_F\right)$$

• $\hat{\sigma}_q$: hard partonic cross section at **NLO**

$$\begin{split} F_2^{\rm IC} &= \frac{4x^2}{9(1+4x^2M^2/Q^2)^{3/2}} \left\{ \frac{1+4m_c^2/Q^2}{\xi_c} \left(c(\xi_c,\gamma_c) + \bar{c}(\xi_c,\gamma_c) \right) + 3\hat{g}(\xi_c,\gamma_c) \right\} \\ \hat{g}(\xi_c,\gamma_c) &= \frac{2xM^2/Q^2}{(1+4x^2M^2/Q^2)} \int_{\xi_c}^{\gamma_c} \frac{dt}{t} \left(c(t,\gamma_c) + \bar{c}(t,\gamma_c) \right) \\ &\times \left[1+2xtM^2/Q^2 + 2xM^2/(tQ^2) \right] \cdot \left(1 - \frac{m_c^2}{t^2M^2} \right) \end{split}$$

• compute with 'confining' $c(x), \bar{c}(x)$; fit normalization!

constraints from **global** fits...





...without **EMC** $F_2^{c\bar{c}}$...



16/20

...and <u>constrained</u> by **EMC**



EMC alone: $\langle x \rangle_{\rm IC} = 0.3 - 0.4\%$

+ SLAC/'REST': $\langle x
angle_{
m IC} = 0.13 \pm 0.04\%$

...but $F_2^{c\bar{c}}$ poorly fit — $\chi^2 \sim 4.3$ per datum!

data comparisons:

...full fits, constrained by EMC $F_2^{c\bar{c}}$ measurements:



• EMC: low-x/low- Q^2 tension with **HERA** σ_r^c \implies many analyses (**CT**, **NNPDF**, ...) <u>omit</u> F_2^{EMC}

outlook

- have general framework for IC; contacts the SU(4) spectrum \rightarrow portable to other flavor sectors (*strangeness*??)
 - •when constrained by hadroproduction data, overpredicts $F_2^{c\bar{c}}$
 - \rightarrow generates standard $c(x) \neq \bar{c}(x)$ signal

 \rightarrow other physics at work in $pp \rightarrow \Lambda_c X$?

• model incorporated into global analysis:

 \rightarrow severely limits $\langle x \rangle_{\rm IC} < 0.1\%, 5\sigma$ (without EMC)

 \rightarrow with EMC, $\langle x \rangle_{\rm IC} = 0.13 \pm 0.04\%$

 \rightarrow improved measurements at large x would be definitive (e.g., by fixed target **EICs**)!

5. THANKS

... thank-you ...