Precision measures of the primordial abundance of



Max Pettini

Cambridge Univ.

The Big Bang

1 thousand million years





Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN) Ingredients

Input parameters

- The expansion rate of the Universe
- Baryon density parameter
- Neutrino Degeneracy (i.e. lepton asymmetry)

Standard Model Assumptions

- Laboratory measured reaction cross-sections
- General Relativity (i.e. the Friedmann Equations)
- 3 families of neutrinos
- No lepton Asymmetry





How do we measure (D/H)p?

Via absorption lines in near-pristine gas clouds at high redshift Cosmological redshifts give us a view of the Universe at different cosmic epochs

В

D

Quasar



10m Keck telescope + HIRES





showing HI Lyman alpha (1215.67 A) absorption lines



Lya forest: low-density, ionised, intergalactic gas



Energy Levels



n = 1

n = 1

Deuterium



Lya forest: low-density, ionised, intergalactic gas







Metallicity Distribution



Rafelski et al. 2012

Low metallicities imply negligible astration of D



Prantzos & Ishimaru 2001

Low metallicities imply negligible astration of D

Narrow absorption lines make it possible to resolve the -82 km/s isotope shift between D and H

Low metallicities imply negligible astration of D

Narrow absorption lines make it possible to resolve the -82 km/s isotope shift between D and H



Low metallicities imply negligible astration of D

- Narrow absorption lines make it possible to resolve the -82 km/s isotope shift between D and H
- High H I column densities give detectable D I lines in many transitions of the Lyman series

J1419+0829, z= 3.050, Fe/H = 1/200 solar



Spectral analysis tailored specifically to the determination of D/H and its error



Pettini & Cooke 2012

Spectral analysis tailored specifically to the determination of D/H and its error





Precision Measures of (D/H) [Cooke et al. 2014]



Precision Measures of (D/H) [Cooke et al. 2014]

$$100 \,\Omega_{\rm b} h^2 = 2.202 \pm 0.045$$

(Random + Systematic Error)





Nollett & Burles 2000

But don't we know it all from the CMB anyway?



$$100 \Omega_{b}h^{2}(CMB) = 2.205 \pm 0.028$$
Planck XVI 2013
$$-4.50$$

$$-4.55$$

$$-4.60$$

$$-4.65$$

$$-4.70$$

$$-2.5$$

$$-2.0$$

$$-1.5$$

$$[O/H]$$





Image credit: ESA

BBN and CMB measurements have now reached a level of accuracy sufficient to start testing for departures from the `standard model'.

In particular, test for the possible existence of `dark radiation', i.e. any hidden radiation decoupled from photons.

If dark matter, why not `dark radiation'?

Departures from the standard model are often parameterised by the effective number of neutrino species.

 $\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{eff}}=3.046$ in standard BBN

The expansion rate factor S is altered by the presence of additional radiation components:

$$S = \left(1 + \frac{7\Delta\mathcal{N}_{\text{eff}}}{43}\right)^{1/2}$$

Joint D/H and CMB Constraints on `dark radiation'



Cooke et al. 2014

BBN Constraints on `dark radiation'



Cooke et al. 2014

Summary



There exists a population of neutral gas clouds which at redshifts z = 2 - 4 had undergone minimal enrichment by stellar nucleosynthesis.





Chemical studies of these `Extremely Metal-Poor Damped Lyman Alpha Systems' complement very effectively analogous measures in old stars of the Milky Way and nearby galaxies.

Main Results: Deuterium

Concordance between values of $\Omega_{\rm b}h^2$ from CMB and D/H in metal-poor DLAs.



In future, offers the means to test for nonstandard physics, e.g. axions.







Ryan Cooke (UCSC)

