Resonance Experiments S. Dytman

Introduction MiniBooNE, new Minerva data resonances in GENIE (continued from Luis talk Fri)

Cascade, GENIE FSI

Role of Resonances

Background to QE

- Confuses QE signal as μ kinematics overlap
- In nuclei, π absorption makes no π topology problem
- Primary signal in LBNE
 - ▶ Need tracking/calorimetry with pions to reconstruct E_v.

Resonances from Deuterium bubble chbr data from ANL (Ev~few GeV)

- Here are 3 of the major channels. Top figure shows Δ dominance through π⁺ (T=3/2).
- Other channels have more T=1/2, couple less to ∆ and more to other resonances.
- If you detect π⁺ with ν_μ beam, you get mostly Δ (golden chan).



results

- Form factors (MA)
- Isospin decomposition
- Rough density matrix extraction
- (e,e') data far more copious
- Luis showed extraction of photon couplings to many resonances (requires millions of events).



Total xs - Evidence for 2pi (BNL)

S



And of course controversy

 BNL systematically higher than ANL at low energies.





INT workshop

December 8, 2013

And the famous Rein-Seghal model

- Fit data available in 1981 (including ANL)
- Underlying quark model, very few free parameters.
- 'simple' formulas for v, vbar, and electron with p and n targets.
- For W spectrum, caption says calc area normalized to data



More RS plots

- BEBC at higher energy->more resonances
- Compares dashed (res only) with full (solid line).
- Hmm, are these all area normalized?



Wilking data from MiniBooNE first detailed v data

- En~1 GeV (∆ dominates)
- Total xs
- Double differential xs in pion KE, cos(θ)





Modern theory against modern data total xs collected by P. Rodriguez (NUINT12)

Calculations tend to be low – both theory and generator



And more controversy

- As discussed by Luis on Friday, best theory doesn't agree with pion KE spectrum.
- Modern theory had Δ medium effects, π^+ rescat from piA



Gee, why is GENIE so large? (I checked)

- Error in nucleon counting when Phil ran GENIE.
- GENIE has correct magnitude, here and in total xs!



More controversy

- Data prefers calculation with no FSI
- Unrealistic because strong pion absorption expected at $T\pi \sim 150$ MeV (peak of Δ).
- Theorist: we have best ingredients
- Experiment: we checked our methods carefully, trust errors



GENIE shows different shape

- Dip due to FSI is less sharp.
- Below, show GENIE vs.
 MiniBooNE total xs data.



14



New data from Minerva soon!

- Different method, better energy resolution
- Higher energy, more complicated cuts





Results at NUINT12

- ▶ pion kinetic energy, angle for W<1.8 GeV (~85% π^+ MC)
- Uncorrected for background, no efficiency correction.



GENIE prediction For NuMI LE beam

- With and without FSI, v2.6.4 and v2.8.0
- Note similarity to same plot for MiniBooNE p. 13



- Improved efficiency, purity, and resolution.
- Factor of 3 in statistics
- Backgrounds subtracted, energy resolution unfolded, and efficiency corrected
- Focus on 1-pion spectra (W<1.4 GeV) for neutrino</p>
 - \blacktriangleright Will have W<1.4, 1.8 GeV for ν_{μ} and $\nu_{\mu}bar$
- Shape only until flux understood better
- Expect to release results Feb. 7.
- Seek theoretical calculations by Jan. 8, requests as soon as we have flux calculation.

INT workshop

GENIE resonant channel

- Based on Rein-Seghal, updated for masses, widths
 - Not what theorists show for RS
 - Old vector couplings*, no μ mass*, no medium corrections
 - Resonances represented by Breit-Wigner shapes
- Nonresonant background from Bodek-Yang (scaled up)
- Go between ANL and BNL (like theorists)



Check form factor with $ep \rightarrow e'p$ data

- p(e,e')X data from Steve Wood
- GENIE now has extensive validation pkg for (e,e')
- Plot at right is for $Q^2 = .09 \text{ GeV}^2$.



Luis suggests improvement Experimenter/theorist collaboration good for GENIE

- Abandon ancient vector couplings ($\gamma^*N \rightarrow N^*$)
 - Substitute values from new MAID analysis of new (e,e'p) data
 - Luis contributes proper factors to match RS, I provide code



Problem at low Q² for Delta

Was too low, now too high



Looking forward

- MAID has 11 resonances
- First implementation is ok, but we see problems
 - They fit to (e,e' π) multipoles, we compare with (e,e') inclusive xs
 - MAID uses different background
- Start communication with Lothar Tiator (Mainz)
 - Make sure we implement resonances correctly
 - Make new nonresonant background to get agreement
- Use Jarek Novak's implementation of Berger-Seghal formalism with muon mass (done).
- Adopt Δ medium correction (take from literature)
- We will then have modern resonance implementation
- As of now, no need to use formalism different than RS

Cascade FSI GENIE model

INT workshop December 8, 2013

Strategy P. De Perio (NUINT11)

- step hadron through nucleus
- Use free cross-sections to choose where and how to interact
- Propagate all new particles



Cascade models used everywhere

- Most recent calculations for pion scattering
- All neutrino generators use them
- FLUKA has best model, fits wide variety of data
 - Implement quantum mechanical corrections – coherence length
 - Statistical methods at low energies
- GiBUU uses semi-classical transport method
 - Nontrivial Medium corrections
 - nonlocalities



GiBUU photoproduction results Olga Lalkulich (NUINT11)



December 8, 2013

Simpler methods also work

NEUT, NuWro use Oset development of medium corrected interactions.

Plots from P. de Perio (NUINTII)

¹²C(γ , π^+)X data from Arends



INT workshop

December 8, 2013

GENIE model is even simpler

- Data-driven, empirical
- Pions, kaons, nucleons (no photons yet)
- Use free hadron-nucleon cross sections to choose where interaction occurs (same as others)
- Use data for total cross sections to determine which interaction happens (e.g. cex, abs, elas, inel, pi prod)
- Use simple models (phase space, dominant kinematics, empirical factors) to choose final state particles, angles, energies.
- Simple, exactly reweightable, easy to define errors.

GENIE hA FSI model (v2.6.4 vs. v2.8.0)



Validation plots a few among hundreds - scripts do the work

31



- Neutrino pion production from proton, neutron remains a problem. Normalization of all modern calculations is uncertain by ~20%.
- Modern calculations at low energies solidly based on data from other probes. Yet, unable to reproduce MB pion data.
- New data from Minerva will be available soon.
- GENIE Δ model needs modernization, work in progress.
- Cascade models only way to do general hadron-nucleus simulation. Well adapted to producing multiparticle final states.
 - Generators don't have most sophisticated models, but not clear where they are inadequate.

Attenuation with electron probe

- Earliest work was with protons from (e,e'p)
- Main issue was optical potential vs. pN scattering
 - Mean free path of ~2-3 Fm vs. 5-7 Fm
- Garino expt (JLab) first definitive result, prefers opt pot.
- Ratio of data to PWIA calc for 180 MeV p
- (GENIE doesn't have any strength at low missing mass)



Pandharipande & Pieper explain

- Proton mfp in nuclear matter (L), real nuclei (R)
- Add Pauli blocking, then medium effects & correlations



December 8, 2013

INT workshop

extensions

- Go to high Q², different probes to search for color transparency
 - same goals as we want
 - Single principal vertex, check attenuation.
 - Validity of mechanism is key
- Here, show Qian pion data.
 - ▶ W=2.1 GeV, Q²>1.1 GeV²
 - Very different than MiniBooNE
 - Shows color transparency?
- Interesting test of >2 GeV pion interactions.

