Transport Theory and Short Range Correlations

Based on work with F. Froemel, J. Lehr , H. Lenske and P. Konrad

Motivation and Content

- **n** Transport equations as the tool to describe incoherent reactions
- **n** Off-shell properties and spectral functions in transport theory
- **n** Application: nucleon spectral functions, comparison with many-body theory
- **n** Connection with EFT NN potentials????

Transport Equation

n Kadanoff-Baym equation for space-time development of one particle spectral phase space density *F* after gradient expansion:

$$
\mathcal{D}F(x,p) + \text{tr}\left\{\text{Re}\tilde{S}^{\text{ret}}(x,p), -\mathrm{i}\tilde{\Sigma}^{\lt}(x,p)\right\}_{\text{pb}} = C(x,p).
$$

F = spectral phase-space density: $F(x, p) = -2f(x, p) \text{tr}[\text{Im}(\tilde{S}^{\text{ret}}(x, p)) \gamma^{0}],$

$$
\mathfrak{D}F = \{p_0 - H, F\}_{\text{pb}} \quad \text{with } H = E^*(x, p) - \text{Re}\tilde{\Sigma}_V^0(x, p).
$$

C = collision term, couples particles trace term: responsible for off-shell transport

INT 02/2013

BM Simplification

Problem: backflow' term does not directly depend on F

Boterman-Malfliet simplification for equilibrium:

$$
\tilde{\Sigma}_{\text{eq}}^{<}(x, p) = \mathrm{i}\Gamma_{\text{eq}}(x, p)f_{\text{eq}}(x, p),
$$

$$
\tilde{\Sigma}_{\text{eq}}^{>}(x, p) = -\mathrm{i}\Gamma_{\text{eq}}(x, p)[1 - f_{\text{eq}}(x, p)]
$$

$$
\mathcal{D}F(x,p) - \text{tr}\left\{\Gamma f, \text{Re}\,\tilde{S}^{\text{ret}}(x,p)\right\}_{\text{pb}} = C(x,p).
$$

Correction terms are of higher order in gradients

INT 02/2013

Spectral Function

$$
A(x, p) := \frac{1}{g} \text{tr}[\hat{A}(x, p)\gamma^{0}] = -\frac{1}{g\pi} \text{tr}[\text{Im}(\tilde{S}^{\text{ret}}(x, p))\gamma^{0}],
$$

$$
F(x, p) = 2\pi \mathrm{gf}(x, p) A(x, p).
$$

"Spectral Phase Space Density" = Product of phase-space density *f* and spectral function *A*

Transport Equation

■ Kadanoff-Baym (or BUU) equation

$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\vec{p}}{m} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_x - \vec{\nabla}_x V \cdot \vec{\nabla}_p + \text{KB terms}\right) g^{\lt}
$$

=
$$
-i\Sigma^{\gt} g^{\lt} + i\Sigma^{\lt} g^{\gt}
$$

n LHS: drift term $RHS:$ collision term $=$ - loss $+$ gain terms

INT 02/2013

- **GiBUU** : **Theory and Event Generator** based on an approx. solution of Kadanoff-Baym equations
- Physics content (and code available): **Phys. Rept. 512 (2012) 1** http://theorie.physik.uni-giessen.de/GiBUU/
- **GiBUU** describes (within the same unified theory and code)
	- **heavy ion reactions, particle production and flow**
	- **•** pion and proton induced reactions
	- low and high energy photon and electron induced reactions
	- neutrino induced reactions

……..using the same physics input! And the same code!

INT 02/2013

Theoretical Basis: GiBUU

Time evolution of spectral phase space density (for $i = N$, Δ , π , ρ , ...) given by KB equation in Botermans-Malfliet form:

$$
\left[\left(1 - \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_0} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial t_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_0} \right] F_i(x, p) = C[F_i(x, p), F_j(x, p)]
$$

Hamiltonian *H* includes off-shell propagation correction 8D-Spectral phase space density

Collision term

Off shell transport of collision-broadened hadrons included with proper asymptotic free spectral functions

Practical Basis: GiBUU

- one transport equation for each particle species (61 baryons, 21 mesons)
- § coupled through the potential in *H* and the collision integral *C*
- § W < 2.5 GeV: Cross sections from resonance model (PDG and MAID couplings), consistent with electronuclear physics
- W > 2.5 GeV: particle production through string fragmentation (PYTHIA)
- **n GiBUU**: widely tested with various hadronic and em reactions, **NO TUNING**

GiBUU Ingredients: ISI

- **n** In-medium corrected primary interaction cross sections, boosted to restframe of moving bound nucleon in local **Fermigas**
- **n Includes spectral functions for baryons and mesons** (binding + collision broadening)
- **Hadronic couplings for FSI taken from PDG**
- **Vector** couplings taken from electro-production (MAID)
- Axial couplings modeled with PCAC

Electrons as Benchmark for GiBUU

No free parameters! no 2p-2h, contributes in dip region and under Δ

Rein-Sehgal does not work for electrons! Why should it work for neutrinos?

INT 02/2013

Validation: Proton Transparency

J. Lehr, U. Mosel Nucl.Phys.A699: 324-327,2002.

Free cross sections used, form. times have no effect, driven by NN X-section Institut für INT**O2**²2013 **Theoretische Physik**

Attenuation: EMC and HERMES

 σ_{pre} = const (0.5) linear different const (0.5) and r_{pre} = const (0.5)

Attenuation Data are sensitive to details of prehadronic interactions!

HERMES@27 GeV Airapetian et al.

 P_T Distribution well described!

JLAB 12 GeV 5 GeV

CLAS acceptance corrected **Prediction 2004** prelim data: Brooks et al, Hafidi et al

Gallmeister, Mosel, **Nucl.Phys.A801:68-79,2008**.

At 5 GeV strong nuclear effects: Fermi motion, overpopulation of low z

Institut für **Theoretische Physik**

 \overline{C}

Fe

Pb

Now Collision terms in more detail

INT 02/2013

Single-Particle Spectral Function

n One particle Green's function:

for $t_1 > t_{1'}$: $g^{(1,1')}\equiv -i\langle\Psi(1)\Psi^{\dagger}(1')\rangle = g(1,1')$ for $t_1 < t_{1'}$: $g^{<}(1,1') \equiv i \langle \Psi^{\dagger}(1') \Psi(1) \rangle = g(1,1')$

n Interpretation:

 \blacksquare - i $g^{<}(1,1)$ = particle density \blacksquare +i $g^>(1,1)$ = hole density

Loss Terms

$$
\Sigma^{>}(\omega, p) = g \int \frac{d^3 p_2 d\omega_2 d^3 p_3 d\omega_3 d^3 p_4 d\omega_4}{(2\pi)^4} (2\pi)^4
$$

$$
(2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p + p_2 - p_3 - p_4)
$$

$$
|\mathcal{M}|^2
$$

$$
\times g^{<}(\omega_2, p_2) g^{>}(\omega_3, p_3) g^{>}(\omega_4, p_4)
$$

Collision rate of particle in Born approximation: 2p1h Propagators are dressed

Gain Terms

$$
\Sigma^{<}(\omega, p) = g \int \frac{d^3 p_2 d\omega_2 d^3 p_3 d\omega_3 d^3 p_4 d\omega_4}{(2\pi)^4 (2\pi)^4 (2\pi)^4}
$$

$$
(2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p + p_2 - p_3 - p_4)
$$

$$
|\mathcal{M}|^2
$$

$$
\times g^>(\omega_2, p_2) g^<(\omega_3, p_3) g^<(\omega_4, p_4)
$$

Collision rate of hole in Born approximation: 1p2h Propagators are dressed

Equilibrium Nuclear Matter

Botermans-Malfliet relations:

$$
\Sigma^>(\omega, \vec{p}) = -i\Gamma(\omega, \vec{p})(1 - f(\omega, \vec{p}))
$$

$$
\Sigma^<(\omega, \vec{p}) = i\Gamma(\omega, \vec{p})f(\omega)
$$

with

$$
\Gamma(\omega, p) = 2 \operatorname{Im} \Sigma(\omega, p) = i(\Sigma^{>}(\omega, p) - \Sigma^{<}(\omega, p))
$$

Spectral Function

Define spectral function:

$$
\mathcal{A}(\omega,p) = i \left[g^>(\omega,p) - g^<(\omega,p) \right]
$$

Rewrite Green's functions in terms of *A:*

$$
-ig0(\omega, p) = f(\omega)A(\omega, p) \qquad ig0(\omega, p) = (1 - f(\omega))A(\omega, p)
$$

 $\Rightarrow f(\omega) =$ average occupation number of a mode with energy ω

Equilibrium Nuclear Matter

n *In* equilibrium collision term in KB equation vanishes:

$$
-\Sigma^> g^< + \Sigma^< g^> = 0
$$

JUSTUS-LIEBIG UNIVERSITÄT GIESSEN

Equilibrium Nuclear Matter

■ Phase space distribution in nuclear matter for *T* = 0: $f(\omega) = \Theta(\omega_F - \omega)$

 \Box ω \leq ω _F $\omega > \omega_F$

$$
\Sigma^>(\omega, p) = 0, \quad \Gamma(\omega, p) = -i\Sigma^<(\omega, p)
$$

$$
\Sigma^<(\omega, p) = 0, \quad \Gamma(\omega, p) = i\Sigma^>(\omega, p)
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \Gamma(\omega_F, p) = 0
$$

INT 02/2013

Spectral Function

$$
a(\omega, p) = i\left(g^>(\omega, p) - g^<(\omega, p)\right)
$$

becomes with

$$
\Gamma(\omega, p) = 2 \operatorname{Im} \Sigma(\omega, p) = i(\Sigma^{>}(\omega, p) - \Sigma^{<}(\omega, p))
$$

Spectral function in Breit-Wigner form

$$
a(\omega, p) = \frac{\Gamma(\omega, p)}{(\omega - \frac{p^2}{2m_N} - \text{Re}\Sigma(\omega, p))^2 + \frac{1}{4}\Gamma^2(\omega, p)}
$$

50 years Kadanoff & Baym

L.P. Kadanoff & G. Baym Quantum Statistical Mechanics, 1962:

[*These equations*] represent a horribly complex set of integral equations for a . To get detailed numerical answers, it is necessary to solve these equations. The best we can do,, is to use some iteration procedure.

INT 02/2013

Selfonsistent spectral function
\n
$$
\sum_{\substack{\Sigma^{\geq}(\omega,p) = -4i\frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2}{(2\pi)^6} \int d\omega_3 \int d\omega_2 \int dp_3 p_3^2 \int dp_2 p_2^2 \frac{d\cos\vartheta_2}{p_{\text{tot}}p_3}} \int dp_4 p_4 p_4}}^{\sqrt{2}(\omega,p) = 2 \text{Im } \Sigma(\omega,p) = i(\sum^{\geq}(\omega,p) - \sum^{\leq}(\omega,p)) - \sum^{\leq}(\omega,p))}
$$
\n
$$
\sum^{\geq}(\omega,p) = 4i \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2}{(2\pi)^6} \int d\omega_3 \int d\omega_2 \int dp_3 p_3^2 \int dp_2 p_2^2 \int \frac{d\cos\vartheta_2}{p_{\text{tot}}p_3} \int dp_4 p_4 p_4}
$$
\n
$$
\sum^{\leq}(\omega,p) = 4i \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2}{(2\pi)^6} \int d\omega_3 \int d\omega_2 \int dp_3 p_3^2 \int dp_2 p_2^2 \int \frac{d\cos\vartheta_2}{p_{\text{tot}}p_3} \int dp_4 p_4 p_4
$$
\n
$$
a(\omega,p) = \frac{\Gamma(\omega,p)}{(\omega - \frac{p^2}{2m_N} - \text{Re } \Sigma(\omega,p))^2 + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma^2(\omega,p)}
$$
\nSelfconsistency Problem

$$
\Gamma \to a \to \Sigma^{<>} \to \Gamma
$$

Includes np-mh correlations in selfconsistent spectral functions

INT 02/2013

Simplified Collision term: Short-range Correlation First: Assume extreme short range interaction \rightarrow *M* = const assume constant real potential

n Later: improve model for M

Selfconsistent particle propagator

Dyson equation for propagator resummed

Institut für Theoretische Physik

Nucleon Spectral Functions

 $M = 207$ MeV fm³

Lehr et al, 2000

Strength *M* obtained by fit to Benhar results **Benhar et al, 1992**

Institut für **Theoretische Physik**

Spectral Functions

Dashed: Benhar et al

Strength *M* fitted to Benhar's Results (dashed)

Reasonable agreement shows that SF shape is determined by phase-space

INT 02/2013

Nucleon spectral functions

Hole and particle spectral functions

Institut für **Theoretische Physik**

Momentum distribution

ⁿ

Data from Benhar-Sick analysis

SRC in Born approximation

INT 02/2013

G.F. Bertsch and P. Danielewicz
Physics Letters B367 (1996) 55:

As the ground-state correlations have been studied over the last few years using an extensive apparatus of manybody theory, an impression might have been gained of an inherent complexity contrasting with the simple considerations made here [i.e. Born approximation collision integrals with constant matrix elements]. To counter such impression, we compare our estimate with the results of many-body nuclear matter calculations of Benhar et al. who used a realistic interaction.

Fig. 2. Momentum occupation in nuclear matter at $\rho = \rho_0/4$. The solid line is our result, Eq. (9), applicable for $k > k_F$. The dashed line is the result of Ref. [9], including the region for $k < k_F$ as well as correlation contribution for $k > k_F$.

Nucleon spectroscopic factor

Dots: Benhar Curve: Transport,

at on-shell point

INT 02/2013

Off-shell transport

Hole Spectral Function Spectral Function $\Gamma = \rho \sigma v$

 $Pb + Pb$, 1.5 A GeV

INT 02/2013

Improve collision strength *M*

■ So far: collision strength was fitted

\blacksquare Now: obtain collision strength as shortrange part of nuclear energy-density **functional**

Potentials from LM Theory

n Improve modeling of matrixelement *M:* Use as guideline Landau-Migdal Theory:

$$
V^{qq'}=f^{qq'}+g'^{qq'}\vec{\sigma_1}\vec{\sigma_2}
$$

■ Calculate spin singlet contrib f and spin triplet contrib *g* from Skyrme (or any other) energy-density functional

Potentials from LM Theory

n Obtain short-range part of energy-density functional by removing pion interaction long range part:

$$
E(\rho)=E_s(\rho)+E_\pi(\rho),
$$

$$
E_{\pi}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{qq'=p,n} \sum_{S,T=0,1} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \Theta(k_{F_q} - k_1) \Theta(k_{F_{q'}} - k_2)
$$

$$
\times V_{ST}^{(\pi)}(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2) \langle (\vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2)^S (\vec{\tau}_1 \cdot \vec{\tau}_2)^T \rangle
$$

Potentials from LM Theory

n Migdal parameters obtained by 2nd functional derivative of E - $ρ$ functional E_s

Model *M* **with Landau-Migdal theory**

$$
\mathcal{M}_{qq'} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(f_{qq'}^s)^2 + 3(g_{qq'}^s)^2}.
$$

n

Institut für **Theoretische Physik**

SF in symmetric nuclear matter

ⁿ

 $p = 0.1$ GeV Strength derived from eff. NN interaction at Fermi-Surface

 $p = 0.3$ GeV

Density Dependence

EFT Potentials

- **EFT NN potentials describe low-momentum (p** $\leq p_F$ **)** interactions
- **EFT NN potentials need (many) free parameters for** contact interactions
- **n** Contact interactions can be used to calculate nucleon spectral functions
- n Info on spectral functions can (should) be used to constrain contact interaction parameters

EFT NN Potentials

- **EFT** potentials contain src (contact terms):
	- \blacksquare 24 contact terms (parameters) in N^3LO , contribute to low partial waves (*l ≤ 2*), in addition regulators
- **Propose to fit these these parameters under constraint of** empirical spectral functions

SRC in EFT

\blacksquare EFT contact terms in LO, NLO, N³LO

$$
\frac{1}{m_{\omega}^2+Q^2}\approx \frac{1}{m_{\omega}^2}\left(1-\frac{Q^2}{m_{\omega}^2}+\frac{Q^4}{m_{\omega}^4}+\cdots\right),\,
$$

Skyrme-like terms

In LO:
$$
V_{\text{ct}}^{(0)}(\vec{p'},\vec{p}) = C_S + C_T \, \vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2,
$$

INT 02/2013 Same structure as for LM force

NN EFT and Migdal force

- **n LO contact Lagrangian and Migdal force** identical.
- \blacksquare LO contact terms can be chosen such that they produce realistic spectral functions.

Summary

- Transport theory describes (semi)inclusive reactions
- Transport theory can describe off-shell transport
- SRC in transport theory generate selfconsistently nucleon spectral functions
- **n** Contact interactions in EFT NN potentials should be determined with constraints from spectral functions

References

Off-shell effects in heavy particle production G.F. Bertsch (Washington U., Seattle), P. Danielewicz (Michigan State U.). Oct 1995. 8 pp. Published in **Phys.Lett. B367 (1996) 55-59**

Nuclear matter spectral functions by transport theory J. Lehr, H. Lenske, S. Leupold (Giessen U.), U. Mosel (Giessen U. & Washington U., Seattle). Aug 2001. 24 pp. Published in **Nucl.Phys. A703 (2002) 393-408**

Short range correlations in nuclear matter at finite temperatures and high densities Frank Froemel, Horst Lenske, Ulrich Mosel (Giessen U.). Jan 2003. 16 pp. Published in **Nucl.Phys. A723 (2003) 544-556**

Short range correlations and spectral functions in asymmetric nuclear matter P. Konrad, H. Lenske, U. Mosel (Giessen U.). Jan 2005. 26 pp. Published in **Nucl.Phys. A756 (2005) 192-212**

