The NEXT-100 Double Beta Decay Experiment - Progress and Perspectives for the Ton-scale

David Nygren Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Dual-purpose Concept

1. 0**½**- **ββ search**:

NEXT-100 experiment at Canfranc is based on a highpressure Xe gas TPC for better performance

• Spring-board for dual-purpose ton-scale system

2. **WIMP search**:

Novel approach for *directional* sensitivity in WIMP nuclear recoils exploits columnar recombination

• If successful, active mass \rightarrow ton-scale is possible

Simultaneous searches?

- **Next generation projects will be expensive!**
	- A dual-purpose detector should be considered… *if it truly saves money and truly is dual-purpose*
- Xenon is an attractive choice for both searches
	- No long-lived isotopes
	- Relatively cheap, and easy to enrich
	- $-\text{Can exchange: enriched} \leftrightarrow \text{depleted}$
	- Scales well as monolithic source = detector

Xenon in Gas Phase?

- Gas phase offers attractive possibilities:
	- Normal energy partition fluctuations: $F = 0.15$
		- Excellent correlation of ionization with deposited energy

» **Remarkably good energy resolution (0ν-ββ)**

– Much better discrimination between electron/nuclear recoils

» **Small S2/S1 fluctuations (WIMPs)**

- Visualization of event topology (0ν-ββ & WIMPs)
	- $-$ Must try to evade background dominant (Mt)^{1/4} regime
- Nuclear recoil directional sensing possibility

– *Optimal density? Maybe 10 bars! x1000 advance?*

Why Xenon Gas? Energy resolution in Xenon depends very strongly on density

For ρ **<0.55 g/cm3 , energy resolution from ionization is** "**intrinsic**"

Why Xenon Gas? Energy resolution in Xenon depends very strongly on density

For ρ **<0.55 g/cm3 , energy resolution from ionization is** "**intrinsic**"

LXe: Energy resolution

Energy resolution: Anomalous in LXe. Much worse than in HPXe.

Energy resolution: 4% FWHM at Q, using anticorrelation between scintillation and ionization

Asymmetric TPC *with* "*Separated functions*"

NEXT - DBDM (LBNL)

20 August 2013

略

8-8

 -1

World record: Energy resolution $E/E = 1\%$ FWHM for $137Cs$ 662 keV γ -rays in xenon!

This result shows that fluctuations are "normal" in HPXe

Tracking: PMTs → SiPMs

当成

NEXT-DEMO (IFIC, Valencia)

Simulations!

Real track from ¹³⁷Cs³-ray – reconstructed with SiPMs

Energy resolution @ 511 keV: NEXT-DEMO (Valencia)

Entries / bin

Energy
plane

CONTROLLER

NEXT at Laboratorio Subteranneo de Canfranc (LSC), Spain

The experiment will be located at Hall A at the LSC. Working platform and basic gas system already in place.

Pure xenon gas: 0½- ββ :

- 1% FWHM energy resolution verified by NEXT-DBDM at 662 keV \rightarrow 0.5% FWHM @ Q-value
	- Dangerous $214B$ i γ-ray at 2448 keV
- Track reconstruction with SiPMs verified; confirms x 30 – 50 background rejection

• Background rate: 4 x 10⁻⁴ counts/keV/kg/year

• NEXT-100, with 100 kg enriched 136 Xe, should "touch" inverted hierarchy if backgrounds are as low as measurements + simulations

Criteria for "**Discovery**"

- What criteria should be established and met for a claim of "discovery" of 0ν-ββ decay?
	- Excellent energy resolution has been insufficient…
	- Is *event topology* an essential component?
- What criteria should be established and met for "discovery" of WIMP dark matter?

– Backgrounds lurk everywhere at keV energies…

– Is "recoil directionality" an essential criterion?

Directional sensing for nuclear recoils?

A *sidereal* variation of "WIMP wind from Cygnus"

WIMP <V> comparable to earth's velocity: ~230 km/s

A substantial anisotropy in nuclear recoils should be observable

Do nuclear recoils retain directionality?

SRIM: 200 Xenon 30 keV nuclear recoil events in HPXe Xenon – unweighted by energy loss

Dark Matter Search with HPXe

• The superb energy resolution available, in principle, also helps the WIMP search – Intrinsic S2/S1 fluctuations are much smaller

• Gas phase permits molecular additives that offer remarkable performance opportunities – Beneficial for 0ν-ββ, too, but no time to discuss

Simulation: electron recoils in pure HPXe, F = 0.15, !0% optical efficiency

Simulation: electron recoils in pure HPXe, F = 0.15, 10% optical efficiency

Today's techniques

- All current approaches attempt to **visualize** the nuclear recoil track:
	- Nuclear Emulsions (expanded optical readout !!)
	- Low-pressure TPCs (~50 g/detector)
		- D^3 (GEM + pixel ASIC)
		- DMTPC (CF_A optical CCD)
		- DRIFT $(\text{CS}_2^-, \text{ CF}_4, \text{MWPC})$
		- MIMAC ($CF₄ + \mu$ Megas)
		- NEWAGE (Gem, μ-dots)

Columnar Recombination: a nuisance?

- or a new way to "see" directionality?
- Columnar Recombination (CR) occurs when:
	- A drift electric field *E* exists;
	- Tracks are highly ionizing;
	- Tracks display an approximately linear character;
	- The angle between *E* and track is small:

Columnar recombination and Directionality sensing in nuclear recoils

- **Columnar recombination** (CR) can be quite sensitive to the angle between a highly ionizing track and an electric field E ;
- For a given event energy, more recombination would yield more scintillation, less ionization
- Therefore, a comparison $-$ event by event $-$ of scintillation/ionization is a measure of CR

CR Exists!

Evidence for columnar $\frac{a}{e}$
recombination in
±-particle tracks in
dense xenon gas. recombination in **±-particle** tracks in dense xenon gas.

FWHM depends on E-field and density!

Bolotnikov & Ramsey NIM A 428 (1999) pp 391-402

Fig. 5. FWHM of the peaks in pulse-height spectra of the amplitude of the light signals versus the electric field strength measured at 0.08 g/cm^3 (diamonds), 0.18 g/cm^3 (squares), 0.33 g/cm³ (circles), and 0.74 g/cm³ (triangles). $\frac{37}{2}$

What is the optimum Xe density?

- Define (*electrostatic) Columnarity: C*
- $C = R/r_0$
- *R* = the nuclear recoil track *range*
- r_0 = Onsager radius $r_0 = e^2/\epsilon \mathcal{E}$, where $\mathcal E$ is electron energy (usually taken as kT)
	- in xenon gas for **ρ ≈ 0.05 g/ cm3**:
		- $R_0 \sim 70$ nm
		- $R \sim 2100$ nm for 30 keV nuclear recoil
		- *C ≈ 30* in this example
	- $-$ Hopeless for liquid density: $C < 1$

Columnarity is key

We want C to be fairly large, i.e. C > 10

- This condition is probably met for $KE \geq 20$ keV in xenon gas for $\rho \approx 0.05$ g/ cm³, or less
- Figure of Merit $M = V_{\text{det}}/V_{\text{track}} = 10^{17} \text{ per m}^3$
- CR **M** is better than low-density TPC by x10⁹

Molecular gymnastics can help

- Primary excitations \sim ionization
	- Excitations carry no directional information!
		- Convert excitations to ionization by **Penning effect**
			- Use appropriate molecular additive which one?
			- **Trimethylamine** (TMA) displays a strong Penning effect in Xe
		- Molecular additive:
			- will cool electrons facilitates CR
			- Neutralizes xenon ions by charge exchange
			- Track "image" transformed to molecular ion image
			- Molecular ions recombine with electrons \rightarrow "light"

How to maximize a CR signal…

- Large size of gas-phase TPC requires optical detection by wavelength-shifting plastic (WLS)
	- WLS: maximum efficiency at 300 nm
	- WLS: negligible efficiency at 173 nm VUV of xenon
- Miracle needed: Penning molecule must display efficient UV fluorescence at ~300 nm
- Providence: **trimethylamine** is known to fluoresce very efficiently at ~300 nm !!!

Scenario

- "S1" signal may display strong *columnar recombination*
	- A substantial effect for nuclear recoils (~100x minimum ionizing)
	- A negligible effect for electron recoils
- This may provide a way to "see" WIMP directionality without direct imaging of nuclear recoil tracks
	- Density restriction on gas is moved to \sim 10 bars
	- X100 increase relative to low density TPC concept
	- Drift length restriction due to diffusion is removed
	- Simpler spatial detection requirements at anode plane
	- Larger monolithic detector possible, x10 100 volume
- Several hundred kg active mass possible, if true!

Size: 2.3 meter diameter 2 x 3 meter drift length

With WLS, only a few dozen PMTs are needed

With E-field in opposing directions, a "head-tail" effect might show up

Large, but maybe not too large…

OSPREY: "*Opportunities for Superior Performance in Rare Event Yields"*

Perspective

- Is this a true story, or a fairy tale?
	- At least, serves as imagination stretcher…
- Plausible at each step, but unknowns exist:
	- Has Nature chosen WIMP mass: 50 350 Gev?
	- Penning efficiency of TMA?
	- Fluorescence efficiency of TMA in recombination?
	- Rate of ionic charge exchange?
	- Cooling rate of electrons after ionization?
	- Head-Tail sensitivity?

• Simulation and experimental effort starting…

- Gas phase offers superb energy resolution, event visualization, and flexibility in operation
- **EL** gain stage is a key element for near-intrinsic energy resolution for $0\frac{1}{2}$ ² search and low energy signals
- Small energy partition fluctuations imply superb S2/S1 discrimination between electron and nuclear recoils
- Directionality signal for WIMP search at 100's of kg in monolithic TPC would exceed current reach by >1000

S2 = Primary ionization signal S1 = Primary scintillation signal

Xenon10 – LXe data

Radiopurity: BACKGROUND MODEL

Simulations made with **NEXUS, a GEANT4 based** software developed by NEXT

Example 1: Electron photo-produced by 2448 keV gamma from ²¹⁴Bi decay **Example 2:** Electron photo-produced by 2448 keV gamma from ²¹⁴Bi decay that undergoes Bremsstrahlung **Example 3: Two electron Compton** T^{208} phe. peak scattered from 2615 keV gamma Compton edge from ²⁰⁸Tl decay

requirements from **Background Model** (counts/kg/keV/year) 214 Bi: 0.18 - 0.40 e⁻³ 208 Tl: 0.21 - 0.48 e^{-3} Total: $0.38 - 0.88 e^{-3}$

PMT Array: inside the pressure vessel Quartz window 2.54 cm diameter PMTs

A typical $137Cs$ γ waveform (sum of 19 PMTs) ~300,000 detected photoelectrons

Photo-Luminescence of PMMA

Different WLS nature observed for two PMMA Samples

Caltech Crystal Laboratory

Gaussian behavior persists at x10 number of events

the "TEA-pot"

Basic responses measurements:

A parallel-plate ionization chamber with optical sensing, using 4 PMTs that look at the gap from the sides

We will measure both light and charge as functions of density, electric field, and fraction of TMA/TEA,

The x-ray peaks around ~30 keV

Energy resolution at $Q_{\beta\beta} = 2457$ keV

$\delta E/E = 2.35 \cdot (F \cdot W/Q)^{1/2}$

 $-$ F \equiv Fano factor (HPXe) : F = 0.15

– w \equiv Average energy per ion pair: w ~ 25 eV

– $Q \equiv$ Energy deposited from $136Xe$ --> $136Ba$:

 $N = Q/w \sim 100,000$ primary electrons

 $\sigma_{\text{N}} = (F \cdot N)^{1/2} \sim 124$ electrons rms!

δ**E/E = 0.28% FWHM intrinsic HPXe**

Scaling our result: δ**E/E H 0.5% FWHM @ Q² ²**

NEXT Collaboration

CIEMAT (Madrid) . U. Girona . iFAE (Bareelena) . IFIC (Valencia) • U. Santiago • U.P. Valencia • U. Zaragoza

LBNL · Texas A&M · ISU · UNM

U. Aveiro • U. Coimbra

CEA (Saclay)

JINR (Dubna)

UAN (Bogota)

Spain provides:

Most of the collaborators

Most secured funding

Host Laboratory - LSC

Key contributions from international groups

Engineering and integration

TPC expertise

high-pressure gas detectors

Xenon supply & enrichment

20 August 2013