Ab Initio Nuclear Structure from Chiral NN+3N Hamiltonians

Robert Roth



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Nuclear Structure

Low-Energy QCD

Robert Roth - TU Darmstadt - 04/2013

Nuclear Structure

NN+3N Interaction from Chiral EFT

Low-Energy QCD

 chiral EFT based on the relevant degrees of freedom & symmetries of QCD

provides consistent NN, 3N,... interaction plus currents

Nuclear Structure



- adapt Hamiltonian to truncated low-energy model space
 - tame short-range correlations
 - improve convergence behavior
- transform Hamiltonian & observables consistently

Low-Energy QCD



- accurate solution of the manybody problem for light & intermediate masses (NCSM, CC,...)
- controlled approximations for heavier nuclei (MBPT,...)
- all rely on truncated model spaces & benefit from unitary transformation

Low-Energy QCD

from Chiral EFT



- How can we extend current ab-initio methods to describe open-shell and deformed nuclei?
- How can we include the effects of three-nucleon forces in a computationally efficient manner?
- How can we describe the onset of pairing in nuclei within various abinitio frameworks?
- Benchmarking and accuracy: can we develop reliable theoretical error estimates?
- How can we bridge structure and reactions in a consistent fashion?
- How can we generate reliable predictions for the drip-lines?

Nuclear Interactions from Chiral EFT

Nuclear Interactions from Chiral EFT

Weinberg, van Kolck, Machleidt, Entem, Meissner, Epelbaum, Krebs, Bernard,...

- low-energy effective field theory for relevant degrees of freedom (π,N) based on symmetries of QCD
- long-range **pion dynamics** explicitly
- short-range physics absorbed in contact terms, low-energy constants fitted to experiment (NN, πN,...)
- hierarchy of consistent NN, 3N,... interactions (plus currents)
- many ongoing developments
 - 3N interaction at N3LO, N4LO,...
 - explicit inclusion of Δ -resonance
 - YN- & YY-interactions
 - formal issues: power counting, renormalization, cutoff choice,...



Chiral NN+3N Hamiltonians

standard Hamiltonian:

- NN at N3LO: Entem / Machleidt, 500 MeV cutoff
- 3N at N2LO: Navrátil, local, 500 MeV cutoff, fit to $T_{1/2}(^{3}H)$ and $E(^{3}H, ^{3}He)$

standard Hamiltonian with modified 3N:

- NN at N3LO: Entem / Machleidt, 500 MeV cutoff
- 3N at N2LO: Navrátil, local, with modified LECs and cutoffs, refit to E(⁴He)

consistent N2LO Hamiltonian:

- NN at N2LO: Epelbaum et al., 450,...,600 MeV cutoff
- 3N at N2LO: Epelbaum et al., nonlocal, 450,...,600 MeV cutoff

consistent N3LO Hamiltonian:

• coming soon...

Similarity Renormalization Group

Roth, Calci, Langhammer, Binder — in preparation (2013) Roth, Langhammer, Calci et al. — Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 072501 (2011) Roth, Neff, Feldmeier — Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 65, 50 (2010) Roth, Reinhardt, Hergert — Phys. Rev. C 77, 064033 (2008) Hergert, Roth — Phys. Rev. C 75, 051001(R) (2007)

Similarity Renormalization Group

Wegner, Glazek, Wilson, Perry, Bogner, Furnstahl, Hergert, Roth, Jurgenson, Navratil,...



 $\eta_{\alpha} = (2\mu)^2 [T_{int}, \widetilde{H}_{\alpha}]$

SRG Evolution in Three-Body Space



Robert Roth - TU Darmstadt - 04/2013

SRG Evolution in Three-Body Space



Hamiltonian in A-Body Space

• evolution induces *n*-body contributions $\widetilde{H}_{\alpha}^{[n]}$ to Hamiltonian

$$\widetilde{\mathsf{H}}_{\alpha} = \widetilde{\mathsf{H}}_{\alpha}^{[1]} + \widetilde{\mathsf{H}}_{\alpha}^{[2]} + \widetilde{\mathsf{H}}_{\alpha}^{[3]} + \widetilde{\mathsf{H}}_{\alpha}^{[4]} + \dots$$

• truncation of cluster series inevitable — formally destroys unitarity and invariance of energy eigenvalues (independence of α)

SRG-Evolved Hamiltonians

- NN only: start with NN initial Hamiltonian and keep two-body terms only
- **NN+3N-induced**: start with NN initial Hamiltonian been twoand induced three-body terms α -variation provides a
- NN+3N-full: start with NN+3 and all three-body terms

 α-variation provides a
 diagnostic tool to assess
 the contributions of omitted many-body interactions

Sounds easy, but...

• initial 3B-Jacobi HO matrix elements of chiral 3N interactions

- direct computation using Petr Navratil's ManyEff code (N2LO)
- conversion of partial-wave decomposed moment-space matrix elements of Epelbaum et al. (N2LO, N3LO,...)

❷ SRG evolution in 2B/3B space and cluster decomposition

 efficient implementation using adaptive ODE solver & BLAS; largest JT-block takes a few hours on single node

❸ transformation of 2B/3B Jacobi HO matrix elements into JT-coupled representation

• transform directly into JT-coupled scheme; highly efficient implementation; can handle $E_{3 max} = 16$ in JT-coupled scheme

data management and on-the-fly decoupling in many-body codes

• optimized storage scheme for fast on-the-fly decoupling; can keep all matrix elements up to $E_{3 max} = 16$ in memory; suitable for GPUs

Importance Truncated No-Core Shell Model

Roth, Calci, Langhammer, Binder — in preparation (2013) Roth, Langhammer, Calci et al. — Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 072501 (2011) Navrátil, Roth, Quaglioni — Phys. Rev. C 82, 034609 (2010) Roth — Phys. Rev. C 79, 064324 (2009) Roth, Gour & Piecuch — Phys. Lett. B 679, 334 (2009) Roth, Gour & Piecuch — Phys. Rev. C 79, 054325 (2009) Roth, Navrátil — Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 092501 (2007)

No-Core Shell Model

Barrett, Vary, Navratil, Maris, Nogga, Roth,...

NCSM is one of the most powerful and universal exact ab-initio methods

- construct matrix representation of Hamiltonian using a **basis of HO** Slater determinants truncated w.r.t. HO excitation energy $N_{max}\hbar\Omega$
- solve large-scale eigenvalue problem for a few extremal eigenvalues
- all relevant observables can be computed from the eigenstates
- range of applicability limited by **factorial growth** of basis with $N_{max} \& A$
- adaptive importance truncation extends the range of NCSM by reducing the model space to physically relevant states

Importance Truncated NCSM

Roth, PRC 79, 064324 (2009); PRL 99, 092501 (2007)



Robert Roth - TU Darmstadt - 04/2013

Importance Truncation: Basic Idea

starting point: approximation $|\Psi_{ref,m}\rangle$ for the **target states** within a limited reference space \mathcal{M}_{ref}

$$|\Psi_{\text{ref},m}\rangle = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{ref}}} C_{\nu}^{(\text{ref},m)} |\Phi_{\nu}\rangle$$

■ measure the importance of individual basis state $|\Phi_{\nu}\rangle \notin \mathcal{M}_{ref}$ via first-order multiconfigurational perturbation theory



Importance Truncation: Iterative Scheme

- property of N_{max}-truncated space: step from N_{max} to N_{max} + 2 requires 2p2h excitations at most
- **sequential calculation** for a range of $N_{m\alpha x}\hbar\Omega$ spaces:
 - do full NCSM calculations up to a convenient N_{max}
 - use components of eigenstates with $|C_{\nu}^{(m)}| \ge C_{\min}$ as initial $|\Psi_{\text{ref},m}\rangle$
 - **①** consider all states $|Φ_ν⟩ ∉ M_{ref}$ from an $N_{max} + 2$ space and add those with $|κ_ν^{(m)}| ≥ κ_{min}$ to importance-truncated space M_{IT}

Solve eigenvalue problem in M_{IT}
 use components of eigensta
 goto ①

Threshold Extrapolation



- repeat calculations for a sequence of importance thresholds K_{min}
- observables show smooth threshold dependence and systematically approach the full NCSM limit
- use a posteriori extrapolation κ_{min} → 0 of observables to account for effect of excluded configurations

Threshold Extrapolation



- repeat calculations for a sequence of importance thresholds K_{min}
- observables show smooth threshold dependence and systematically approach the full NCSM limit
- use a posteriori extrapolation κ_{min} → 0 of observables to account for effect of excluded configurations

Constrained Threshold Extrapolation



Uncertainty Quantification in the IT-NCSM

Uncertainty Quantification

Importance Truncation

- use sequence of (C_{min}, κ_{min})truncated model spaces
- extrapolate to $\kappa_{\min} \rightarrow 0$ using poynomial ansatz or more refined constrained extrapolation scheme
- uncertainty estimate derived from extrapolation protocol
- systematic uncertainty absent in full NCSM

Model-Space Truncation

- use sequence of N_{max}-truncated model spaces
- extrapolate to N_{max} → ∞ using exponential ansatz or more elaborate extrapolation schemes
- uncertainty estimate derived from extrapolation protocol
- same extrapolation uncertainties as in full NCSM

Comment on C_{min} Truncation



- truncation of reference state to components with $|C_{\nu}| \ge C_{\min}$
- technical reason: importance selection phase scales with (dim M_{ref})²

• typically
$$C_{\min} = 2 \times 10^{-4}$$

practically no influence on threshold extrapolated energies

Protocol: Simple κ_{\min} Extrapolation



- perform IT-NCSM calculations for range of κ_{min} -values, typically $\kappa_{min} = 3, 3.5, ..., 10 \times 10^{-5}$
- extrapolation $\kappa_{\min} \rightarrow 0$ using polynomial $P_p(\kappa_{\min})$ fit to full κ_{\min} -set, typically of order p = 3
- generate uncertainty band from set of alternative extrapolations
 - P_{p-1} and P_{p+1} extrapolations using full κ_{\min} -range
 - P_p extrapolations with lowest and lowest two κ_{\min} -points dropped
- quote standard deviation as nominal uncertainty

Protocol: Constrained κ_{\min} Extrapolation



- select a few λ -values to get symmetrical approach towards common $E_{\text{extrap}} = E_{\lambda}(\kappa_{\min} = 0)$
- constrained simultaneous extrapolation $\kappa_{\min} \rightarrow 0$ using polynomial $P_p(\kappa_{\min})$, typically of order p = 3
- generate uncertainty band from set of constrained extrapolations
 - P_{p-1} and P_{p+1} extrapolations using full κ_{\min} -range
 - P_p extrapolations with lowest and lowest two κ_{\min} -points dropped
 - P_p extrapolations with smallest and largest λ -set dropped
- std. deviation gives uncertainty

Characterization of SRG-Evolved NN+3N Hamiltonians

Roth, Calci, Langhammer, Binder — in preparation (2013) Roth, Langhammer, Calci et al. — Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 072501 (2011)

⁴He: Ground-State Energies



¹²C: Ground-State Energies



¹⁶O: Ground-State Energies



¹⁶O: Lowering the Initial 3N Cutoff



Robert Roth – TU Darmstadt – 04/2013

Spectroscopy of ¹²C



Spectroscopy of ¹²C



Ab Initio IT-NCSM Calculations for p- and sd-Shell Nuclei

Spectroscopy of Carbon Isotopes



Spectroscopy of Carbon Isotopes



Hergert, Binder, Calci, Langhammer, Roth; arXiv:1302.7294



Robert Roth - TU Darmstadt - 04/2013

Hergert, Binder, Calci, Langhammer, Roth; arXiv:1302.7294



Hergert, Binder, Calci, Langhammer, Roth; arXiv:1302.7294



Robert Roth – TU Darmstadt – 04/2013

Hergert, Binder, Calci, Langhammer, Roth; arXiv:1302.7294



Multi-Reference Normal-Ordering Approximation

Motivation: Normal Ordering

avoid formal and computational challenges of including explicit 3N terms in many-body calculations

circumvent formal extension of many-body method to include explicit 3N interactions

- avoid the increase of computational cost caused by inclusion of explicit 3N interactions
- normal-ordered two-body approximation works very well for closed-shell systems (→ S. Binder)
- can we do the same for **open-shell nuclei**?

Normal Ordering of 3N Interaction

starting point: three-body operator in second-quantized form with respect to the zero-body vacuum |0>

$$V_{3N} = \frac{1}{36} \sum_{abc, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} V^{abc}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} A^{abc}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}}$$

$$V^{abc}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} = \langle abc | V_{3N} | \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c} \rangle \qquad A^{abc}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} = a^{\dagger}_{a}a^{\dagger}_{b}a^{\dagger}_{c} a_{\bar{c}}a_{\bar{b}}a_{\bar{a}}$$

- **single-reference normal ordering**: assume reference state $|\Phi_{SR}\rangle$ given by a single Slater determinant
 - standard toolbox: Wick theorem, contractions, etc.
- **multi-reference normal ordering**: assume reference state $|\Phi_{MR}\rangle$ given by a superposition of Slater determinants
 - generalized Wick theorem and n-tupel contractions proposed by Mukherjee & Kutzelnigg (1997)

Multi-Reference Normal Ordering

• three-body operator in normal-ordered form with respect to multi-reference state $|\Phi_{MR}\rangle$

$$V_{3N} = W + \sum_{c,\bar{c}} W^c_{\bar{c}} \,\widetilde{A}^c_{\bar{c}} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{bc,\bar{b}\bar{c}} W^{bc}_{\bar{b}\bar{c}} \,\widetilde{A}^{bc}_{\bar{b}\bar{c}} + \frac{1}{36} \sum_{abc,\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} W^{abc}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} \,\widetilde{A}^{abc}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}}$$

where $\tilde{A}^{\circ\circ\circ}_{\infty}$ indicates multi-reference normal ordered string of creation and annihilation operators (abstract concept)

■ matrix elements of **normal-ordered** *n*-**body contributions** involve one-, two- and three-body density matrices for $|\Phi_{MR}\rangle$

$$W = \frac{1}{36} \sum_{abc, \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} V^{abc}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} \rho^{abc}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} \qquad \qquad W^{c}_{\bar{c}} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{ab, \bar{a}\bar{b}} V^{abc}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} \rho^{abc}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}}$$

$$W^{bc}_{\bar{b}\bar{c}} = \sum_{a,\bar{a}} V^{abc}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} \,\rho^a_{\bar{a}}$$

$$W^{abc}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} = V^{abc}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}}$$

Multi-Reference Normal Ordering

discard normal-ordered three-body contribution to define the normal-ordered two-body (NO2B) approximation

$$V_{\text{NO2B}} = W + \sum_{c,\bar{c}} W_{\bar{c}}^c \widetilde{A}_{\bar{c}}^c + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{bc,\bar{b}\bar{c}} W_{\bar{b}\bar{c}}^{bc} \widetilde{A}_{\bar{b}\bar{c}}^{bc}$$

converted back into vacuum normal order with respect to |0>

$$V_{\text{NO2B}} = \bar{V} + \sum_{c,\bar{c}} \bar{V}_{\bar{c}}^{c} A_{\bar{c}}^{c} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{bc,\bar{b}\bar{c}} \bar{V}_{\bar{b}\bar{c}}^{bc} A_{\bar{b}\bar{c}}^{bc}$$

with new matrix elements

$$\bar{V} = \frac{1}{36} \sum_{abc,\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} V^{abc}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} \left(\rho^{abc}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} - 18 \,\rho^{a}_{\bar{a}} \,\rho^{bc}_{\bar{b}\bar{c}} + 36 \,\rho^{a}_{\bar{a}} \,\rho^{b}_{\bar{b}} \,\rho^{c}_{\bar{c}} \right)$$

$$\bar{V}^{c}_{\bar{c}} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{A} V^{abc}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} \left(\rho^{ab}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}} - 4 \,\rho^{a}_{\bar{a}} \,\rho^{b}_{\bar{b}} \right) \qquad \bar{V}^{bc}_{\bar{b}\bar{c}} = \sum_{A} V^{abc}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} \left(\rho^{ab}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} - 4 \,\rho^{a}_{\bar{a}} \,\rho^{b}_{\bar{b}} \right) \qquad \bar{V}^{bc}_{\bar{b}\bar{c}} = \sum_{A} V^{abc}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} \left(\rho^{ab}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} - 4 \,\rho^{a}_{\bar{a}} \,\rho^{b}_{\bar{b}} \right) \qquad \bar{V}^{bc}_{\bar{b}\bar{c}} = \sum_{A} V^{abc}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} \left(\rho^{ab}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} - 4 \,\rho^{a}_{\bar{a}} \,\rho^{b}_{\bar{b}} \right) \qquad \bar{V}^{bc}_{\bar{b}\bar{c}} = \sum_{A} V^{abc}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} \left(\rho^{ab}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} - 4 \,\rho^{a}_{\bar{a}} \,\rho^{b}_{\bar{b}} \right) \qquad \bar{V}^{bc}_{\bar{b}\bar{c}} = \sum_{A} V^{abc}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} \left(\rho^{ab}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} - 4 \,\rho^{a}_{\bar{a}} \,\rho^{b}_{\bar{b}} \right) \qquad \bar{V}^{bc}_{\bar{b}\bar{c}} = \sum_{A} V^{abc}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} \left(\rho^{ab}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} - 4 \,\rho^{a}_{\bar{a}} \,\rho^{b}_{\bar{b}} \right) \qquad \bar{V}^{bc}_{\bar{b}\bar{c}} = \sum_{A} V^{abc}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} \left(\rho^{ab}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} - 4 \,\rho^{a}_{\bar{a}} \,\rho^{b}_{\bar{b}} \right) \qquad \bar{V}^{bc}_{\bar{b}\bar{c}} = \sum_{A} V^{abc}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} \left(\rho^{ab}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} - 4 \,\rho^{a}_{\bar{a}} \,\rho^{b}_{\bar{b}} \right) \qquad \bar{V}^{bc}_{\bar{b}\bar{c}} = \sum_{A} V^{abc}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} \left(\rho^{ab}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} - 4 \,\rho^{a}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}} \right)$$

Single-Reference Normal Ordering

single-reference normal ordering is recovered by pugging in density matrices for a single Slater-determinant

$$\rho_{\bar{a}}^{a} = n_{a}\delta_{\bar{a}}^{a}$$

$$\rho_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}^{ab} = \rho_{\bar{a}}^{a}\rho_{\bar{b}}^{b} - \rho_{\bar{a}}^{b}\rho_{\bar{b}}^{a}$$

$$\rho_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}}^{abc} = \dots$$

three-body operator in single-reference NO2B approximation converted back into vacuum representation

$$V_{\text{NO2B}} = \bar{V} + \sum_{c,\bar{c}} \bar{V}_{\bar{c}}^{c} A_{\bar{c}}^{c} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{bc,\bar{b}\bar{c}} \bar{V}_{\bar{b}\bar{c}}^{bc} A_{\bar{b}\bar{c}}^{bc}$$

with simplified matrix elements

$$\bar{V} = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{abc} V^{abc}_{abc} n_a n_b n_c \qquad \bar{V}^c_{\bar{c}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{ab} V^{abc}_{ab\bar{c}} n_a n_b \qquad \bar{V}^{bc}_{\bar{b}\bar{c}} = \sum_a V^{abc}_{a\bar{b}\bar{c}} n_a$$

IT-NCSM with MR-NO2B Approximation

• perform NCSM with explicit 3N interaction for small N_{max}

- ground state defines the reference state $|\Phi_{MR}\rangle$
- no explicit information on excited states enters
- Output compute zero-, one- and two-body matrix elements of MR-NO2B approximation
 - density matrices for $|\Phi_{MR}\rangle$ can be precomputed and stored
 - three-body density matrix is not need explicitly
- Θ perform NCSM or IT-NCSM calculation up to large N_{max} using MR-NO2B approximation
 - same computational cost as a simple NN-only calculation
 - larger model spaces become accessible

Benchmark: ⁶Li



Robert Roth - TU Darmstadt - 04/2013

Benchmark: ⁶Li



Benchmark: ¹²C



Challenge: ¹⁰B



Reflections

Questions I

- How can we extend current ab-initio methods to describe openshell and deformed nuclei?
 - IT-NCSM describes open and closed-shell nuclei on the same footing
 - MR-IM-SRG is a true open-shell approach for medium/heavy masses (→ H. Hergert), others are following
- How can we include the effects of three-nucleon forces in a computationally efficient manner?
 - explicit 3N interactions are used in IT-NCSM very efficiently
 - CCSD and \land CCSD(T) is available with explicit 3N (\rightarrow S. Binder)
 - single- and multi-reference normal ordering provide robust approximations at reduced cost
 - this does not imply that any kind of 'summation over the third particle' is accurate

Questions II

- How can we describe the onset of pairing in nuclei within various ab-initio frameworks?
 - any ab initio approach for open shells has to describe pairing
- Can we develop reliable theoretical error estimates?
 - defining element of any ab initio approach
 - uncertainty quantification case by case within the many-body approach, not just guessing
 - uncertainty quantification also necessary for the chiral EFT inputs
- How can we bridge structure and reactions in a consistent fashion?
 - NCSM/RGM and NCSMC with 3N are on their way (\rightarrow P. Navratil)
- How can we generate reliable predictions for the drip-lines?
 - simply do all of the above...

Epilogue

• P. Navrátil

• J. Vary, P. Mr

Iowa State Un

• S. Quaglioni,

• P. Piecuch

TRIUMF Vancouver, Ca

LLNL Livermore, USA

thanks to my group & my collaborators

• S. Binder, A. Calci, B. Erler, E. Gebrerufael, H. Krutsch, J. Langhammer, S. Reinhardt, S. Schulz, C. Stumpf, A. Tichai, R. Trippel, R. Wirth Institut für Kernphysik, TU Darmstadt

LENPIC

Low-Energy Nuclear

Physics International Collaboration



Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft





Exzellente Forschung für Hessens Zukunft





Michigan State University, USA



COMPUTING TIME



Ohio State University, USA

ìу, F

Jrssén

• H. Feldmeier, T. Neff

GSI Helmholtzzentrum

vakonstantinou

Chalmers University, Sweden

Bundesministerium für Bilduna und Forschung

DFG • H. Hergert, K. Hebeler

