Vacuum Polarization Vistas in Axion Physics April 25, 2012

Carol Scarlett Florida A&M University

Experimental Searches

- Photon-Axion Coupling Diagrams
 BNL's E840
- PVLAS
- BMV
- Beam Splitting
- Bifurcation

Photon Coupling to Bext



 Diagrams: a.) QED vacuum polarization, b.) photon splitting, c.) axion real production and d.) axion virtual production

Index of Refraction

Diagrams alter the index of refraction for photons polarized along direction of B_{ext}
 Lagrangian:

$$L = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} a \partial^{\mu} a - m_a^2 a^2) + \frac{1}{4} g_a a F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\alpha^2}{90 m_e^4} \bigg[\big(F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \big)^2 + \frac{7}{4} \big(F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \big)^2 \bigg], \qquad (1)$$

Photon-EM Coupling

In the presence of an externally applied Magnetic field:



Vacuum becomes birefringent

Photon-EM Coupling

A photon propagating through an external field will acquire an ellipticity: $n_{\parallel} = 1 + 7 A B_{ext}^2 sin^2 \theta$ $n_{\perp} = 1 + 4 \text{ A } \text{B}^2_{\text{ext}} \sin^2\theta$ π L $\psi = \pi - (n_{\parallel} - n_{\perp}) = - 3 \text{ A B}_{ext}^2$ λ λ

Axion Coupling Term

$$L_{int} = \frac{1}{4} g_a \, a F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = g_a \, a \left(\vec{B}^e \vec{E} \right).$$

Magnetic field geometry and coordinates



Measurable Effects



Measurable Effects



BFRT [BNL – E840]



E840 looked for evidence of axions through measuring both induced ellipticity changes and selected absorption

BNL – E840

- 2 CBA Superconducting magnets each 4.4m long
- Dipole Field of 2.2 T at full Strength
- Field is Vertical to direction of incoming beam
- Field extends some 10 m
- Modulated at ~ 16.5mHz with B field given by:

 $B[T] = T_F X I [Amps] X 10^{-4}$

where T_F refers to the transfer function

- Homogeneity of > 10⁻⁴
- Laser 90_5 Argon Ion Laser delivered $\lambda = 514.5$ nm with 2 watts
- Optics under vacuum produced by turbo pump
- Cavity composed of two mirrors with Diameter = 11.25 cm and Radius of curvature = 19.03 m (this is an optical delay line)
- Output fed to silicon photodiode
- Signal sought at magnet modulation frequency ω_m

Superconduction CBA



- End section for magnet used in E840 Setup
- Coil orientation shows that the field is aligned vertically relative to the direction of the incoming beam projected along the warm bore tube

Optical Delay Line



Optical cavity consists of two mirrors one of which has an entrance hole

One mirror deformed so that pattern closes but misses hole, tracing out more ellipses

Lissajous pattern forms on the end mirrors similar to seen above

Sensitivity & Signals

Ellipticity after analyzer using Jones Matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \eta & \sin \eta \\ -\sin \eta & \cos \eta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{bmatrix} \bullet$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta e^{-i\theta} & \cos \theta \sin \theta (1 - e^{-i\theta}) \\ \cos \theta \sin \theta (1 - e^{-i\theta}) & \cos^2 \theta e^{-i\theta} + \sin^2 \theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_x e^{i\theta_x} \\ A_y e^{i\theta_y} \end{bmatrix}$$

here ϕ is the phase shift from the cavity due to the magnetic field This gives a current at the photodiode:

$$I_T = I_0 \left[\alpha^2 + \frac{\eta_0^2}{2} + \frac{\eta_0^2}{2} \cos 2\omega_F t + 2\alpha \eta_0 \cos \omega_F t - \psi_0 \eta_0 \sin 2\theta \left\{ \cos(\omega_F - \omega_M) t + \cos(\omega_F + \omega_M) t \right\} \right]$$

here $\psi = \phi/2$ represents the ellipticity induced by the field

Sensitivity & Signals

A similar analysis reveals the expected photodiode current for the rotation setup:

$$I_T = I_0 \left[\alpha^2 + \frac{\eta_0^2}{2} + \frac{\eta_0^2}{2} \cos 2\omega_F t + 2\alpha \eta_0 \cos \omega_F t + \varepsilon_0 \eta_0 \left\{ \cos(\omega_F - \omega_M) t + \cos(\omega_F + \omega_M) t \right\} \right]$$

here the rotation parameter is ϵ_0 . Note: there is actually an attenuation given as ϵ'

In the final analysis, the signal to noise took into account shot noise (as a limit) along with electronic sources (e.g. laser amplitude)

PVLAS

- PVLAS looked for ellipticity and rotation as well
- Enhanced cavity compared to E840
- Magnet rotates in lieu of modulation



PVLAS

- Dipole Field of 2.5 T and 5 T achieved
- Magnet is positioned vertically and on rotating table
- Magnet length is 1 m long
- Modulated achieved through rotation of magnet $\Omega_{mag} \approx 0.3$ Hz
- Stray field reduced to < 50 · 10⁻³ gauss as part of 2007 upgrades... following which a previously observed signal disappeared
- Laser Source Nd: Yag emitts 800mW at 1064nm
- Optics cavity is a Fabry-Perot optical resonator
- Cavity mirrors are multilayer, highly reflective dielectrics with a Radius of curvature = 11 m and are 6.4 m apart
- Some 4.5 · 10⁴ gauss traversals of the magnet are achieved
- Ellipticity Modulator used (heterodyne technique)
- Signal sought at magnet modulation frequency ω_m

BMV

- Ultra high finesse cavity achieving up to 10⁶ traversals
- Used 25.4 mm diameter mirrors
- Capable of using both hetero- and homo- dyne techniques
- Designed to look for regeneration of photons



Vacuum Experiments

Iable 1:				
	B Field	Length	QED Effect	Sensitivity
E840	5 T	~ 1 km	~ 10 ⁻¹² rad	~ 10 ⁻⁸ rad/ √ Hz
PVLAS	5.5 T	~ 10 km	~ 10 ⁻¹¹ rad	~ 10 ⁻⁹ rad/ √ Hz
BMV	25 T	~ 3x10 ³ km	~ 10 ⁻¹⁰ rad	~ 10 ⁻⁹ rad/ √ Hz

Can anymore be gained from cavity experiments?

Mixing of Photon-Axion

- George Raffelt and Leo Stodolsky : Mixing of photons with lowmass particles , Phys. Rev. D 37 (1988) 1237
- Eduardo Guendelman :

Photon and axion splitting in an inhomogeneous magnetic field,

Phys. Lett. B 662(2008) 445;

Axions and photons in terms of "particles " and "antiparticles"

$$\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a+iA_1), \psi^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a-iA_1)$$
$$a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi + \psi^*), A = \frac{1}{i\sqrt{2}}(\psi - \psi^*)$$

The charge is associated with the following conserved quantity

$$Q = \int d\vec{k} \{ \psi^{*+}(\vec{k})\psi^{-}(\vec{k}) - \psi^{*-}(\vec{k})\psi^{+}(\vec{k}) \}, \quad (9)$$

Beam Splitting

The unit tangent vector

$$l_{y} \begin{cases} \sqrt{1 - \frac{n_{0}^{2}}{n^{2}}} & n > n_{0}, \\ -\sqrt{1 - \frac{n^{2}}{n_{0}^{2}}} & n < n_{0}, \end{cases}$$

Beam trajectory:

$$z(y) = \int_{y_0}^{y} \frac{n_0 dy}{\sqrt{n^2(y) - n_0^2}} + z_0, \quad n > n_0;$$

$$z(y) = -\int_{y_0}^{y} \frac{n(y) dy}{\sqrt{n_0^2 - n^2 y}} + z_0, \quad n < n_0;$$

Beam Splitting



Beam Splitting

Input Parameters

 $B^{e} \square 10^{4} - 10^{5} G$ $L_0 \square 1m$ $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}^{e}}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}} \Box \ 10^{6} \boldsymbol{G} \quad \text{(The magnetic field gradient)}$ $\lambda \approx 10^{-5} m$ (A photon's wave length) **Beam splitting** • $L = 1m: |\Delta \theta \approx 10^{-5} g_a|$ (The splitting angle as function of g_a) $\left| \Delta \theta \approx \mathbf{10}^{-15} rad \right|$ for $g_a = 10^{-10} Gev^{-1}$. •• $L = 10^5 m (10^5 \text{ bounces!})$ $\Delta \theta \approx \mathbf{10}^{-10} rad$ **Beam splitting + Bifurcation** $\Delta \theta \approx 10^{-9} rad$ and The FWHM drop of $\Box 10^{-6} E_0$

Splitting in a Cavity



 Consider a cavity such as the one used in PVLAS/E840 (optical delay line)

Bifurcation



Linear Separation of two beams occurs along red line

 At the mirror, the photonaxion state dissolves

 The reflected light re-enters the cavity and divides again

Simulations

Treat beam using Jones Matrices



Introduce splitting matrix

Splitting:
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0\\ \pm \theta_{split}\\ X_0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{f} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & d \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{\pm \theta_{aplir}}{X_0} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_0 \\ \theta_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Bifurcation vs Linear Spreading

- For a splitting of ~ 10⁻¹⁵ rad
- The position of the rays are weighted by their relative density and summed
- The solid line represents the bifurcated distribution and the dashed line represents a linear sepreading



Future Cavity Searches ?

 Future cavity searches could search for bifurcation in the form of energy loss

 Development of an interferometer to interfere a cavity beam with reference source