Squeezing in Resonantly Enhanced Axion-Photon Regeneration: Pushing the fundamental Limits

Vistas in Axion Physics Seattle, April 2012

Guido Mueller University of Florida

Wednesday, April 25, 2012

Squeezing in

This is very preliminary!!

Seattle, April 2012

Guido Mueller University of Florida

Wednesday, April 25, 2012

Experimental Setup



Excursion in PM/AM



Phasor diagram: Difference betw. PM and AM: 90° phase shift in carrier or

180° between SB

$$E_{PM} = E_O \left(1 + m e^{i \omega t} - m^* e^{-i \omega t} \right) e^{i \omega t}$$

$$E_{AM} = E_O \left(1 + m e^{i\Omega t} + m^* e^{-i\Omega t} \right) e^{i\omega t}$$

Excursion in PM/AM



Phasor diagram

Both are superpositions of carrier and two sideband fields

Phase modulation does not change length of phasor
Not detectable with photo detector

Amplitude modulation does change length of phasor Modulates photo current at modulation frequency

Vacuum Noise



- Amplitude: Gaussian distr. with standard dev. $\sqrt{1/2}$
- Phase: Random between
 0 and 2π

$$v_+ \approx \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i\phi_+}$$

 $v_{-} \approx \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i\phi_{-}}$

Signal vs. Noise

$$E = \left(\sqrt{\bar{N}} + \sqrt{\bar{n}}e^{i\Omega t} + v_{+}e^{i\Omega t} + v_{-}e^{-i\Omega t}\right)e^{i\omega t}$$

Local Oscillator + Signal + Noise sidebands Power at Ω $P(\Omega) = Q \cos(\Omega t) + I \sin(\Omega t)$

Expectation value/Signal: $\langle Q \rangle_{\phi_{\pm}} = 2\sqrt{\bar{N}\bar{n}}$ Variance/Noise: $\langle \Delta Q \rangle_{\phi_{\pm}} = \sqrt{2\bar{N}}$ $SNR = \sqrt{2\bar{n}}$

If signal phase is not known: $<\Delta P>_{\phi\pm}=2\sqrt{ar{N}}$ \Rightarrow $SNR=\sqrt{ar{n}}$

Signal vs. Noise

$$E = \left(\sqrt{\bar{N}} + \sqrt{\bar{n}}e^{i\Omega t} + v_{+}e^{i\Omega t} + v_{-}e^{-i\Omega t}\right)e^{i\omega t}$$

Local Oscillator + Signal + Noise sidebands

Interpretation:

Uncorrelated vacuum fluctuations modulate amplitude at Ω

Solution:

As we can't turn them off, correlate them
Will increase phase noise in field
But we should be insensitive to that (Details: TBC)



The QO picture

Two mode squeezing operator: $|\zeta >_2 = \hat{S}_2(\zeta)|0, 0 >= exp\left[\left(\zeta^* \hat{v}_+ \hat{v}_- - \zeta \hat{v}_+^\dagger \hat{v}_-^\dagger\right)\right]|0, 0 >$ generates 'photons' in pairs (sort off ...) Non-linear Optics process: $\vec{P} = \chi_2 E^2$

Optical parametric oscillator (OPO):

h
u

 $\nu = \nu_+ + \nu_-$

The QO picture

Two mode squeezing operator: $|\zeta >_2 = \hat{S}_2(\zeta)|0, 0 > = exp\left[\left(\zeta^* \hat{v}_+ \hat{v}_- - \zeta \hat{v}_+^\dagger \hat{v}_-^\dagger\right)\right]|0, 0 >$ generates 'photons' in pairs (sort off ...)



Operate OPO below threshold:

- No photons generated
- Correlates vacuum fluctuations
 - Oscillating Polarization inside OPO couples phases of both fields

Typical Setup



Sum senses vacuum from 'bright port' Not sensitive to squeezed light

Typical Setup



In LIGO



Our Experimental Setup



How can we inject squeezed vacuum??

Wednesday, April 25, 2012

Squeezing vs. Losses



Experimental Setup



Integration into experiment?

Wednesday, April 25, 2012



Experimental Setup



Integration into experiment? No problem

Signal vs. Noise

$$E = \left(\sqrt{\bar{N}} + \sqrt{\bar{n}}e^{i\Omega t} + v_{+}e^{i\Omega t} + v_{-}e^{-i\Omega t}\right)e^{i\omega t}$$

Local Oscillator + Signal + Noise sidebands Power at Ω $P(\Omega) = Q\cos(\Omega t) + I\sin(\Omega t)$

Expectation value/Signal: $\langle Q \rangle_{\phi_{\pm}} = 2\sqrt{\bar{N}\bar{n}}$ $SNR = e^{|\zeta|}\sqrt{2\bar{n}}$ Variance/Noise: $\langle \Delta Q \rangle_{\phi_{\pm}} = \sqrt{2\bar{N}e^{-|\zeta|}}$ $SNR = e^{|\zeta|}\sqrt{2\bar{n}}$

If we know the signal phase or quadrature!!

Signal vs. Noise

$$E = \left(\sqrt{\bar{N}} + \sqrt{\bar{n}}e^{i\Omega t} + v_{+}e^{i\Omega t} + v_{-}e^{-i\Omega t}\right)e^{i\omega t}$$

Local Oscillator + Signal + Noise sidebandsPower at Ω $P(\Omega) = Q\cos(\Omega t) + I\sin(\Omega t)$

Expectation value/Signal: $\langle Q \rangle_{\phi_{\pm}} = 2\sqrt{\bar{N}\bar{n}}$ $SNR = e^{|\zeta|}\sqrt{2\bar{n}}$ Variance/Noise: $\langle \Delta Q \rangle_{\phi_{\pm}} = \sqrt{2\bar{N}e^{-|\zeta|}}$ $SNR = e^{|\zeta|}\sqrt{2\bar{n}}$

If signal phase is not known: Don't squeeze!!

$$<\Delta P>=2\cosh(|\zeta|)\sqrt{\bar{N}}$$

$$SNR = \frac{\sqrt{\bar{n}}}{\cosh(|\zeta|)} < \sqrt{\bar{n}}$$

Problem PD **Matched Fabry-Perots** EOM Magnet Magnet Squeezed Laser PD **Correlator**

Need to know and control the distance between the two cavities!

Problems I

Need to control the distance between the two cavities!

How well? $|\zeta| \approx 2.3 \Rightarrow \frac{\Delta Q_{SQ}}{\Delta Q_{coh}} = \frac{1}{10}$

$$\frac{\Delta I_{SQ}}{\Delta I_{coh}} = 10 \text{ and } S = \Delta I \sin \theta + \Delta Q \cos \theta$$

Signal phase $\theta < 0.01 \text{rad}$ to use 10dB squeezing $\delta l \approx O(1 \text{nm})$

Not yet clear to me how ... But not a fundamental problem ...

Summary I

REAPR and SQUEEZING:

- Sensitivity can be improved using squeezed light if
 - Losses in the injection path can be nearly eliminated

$$\sqrt{\langle (\Delta X_1)^2 \rangle} = \frac{\sqrt{(1-L)}}{2}e^{-|\zeta|} + \frac{\sqrt{L}}{2}$$

- We know and control the demodulation phase and axion/optical paths
 - not sure how ...

Last Question

How far can we push our standard 'fundamental' limit?

Last Question

• How far can we push the standard 'fundamental' limits??

Probably by a factor 1

• Sorry, no '0' missing here ...

Real Problem



Optimal signal build-up requires impedance matching |t| = |l|

But then: $r_{cav} = 0$ and the squeezed vacuum is lost inside the cavity and replaced by ordinary vacuum in reflection

No squeezing left for that optimum case ...

Summary II

Can we improve sensitivity with squeezing?

Preliminary:

Not if we optimize cavity finesse |t| = |l|
But impedance matched cavities might be impractical for very low loss mirrors and then squeezing could help

To be continued ... and I hope I am wrong ...