Canada's national laboratory for particle and nuclear physics Laboratoire national canadien pour la recherche en physique nucléaire et en physique des particules

Ab initio **description of the unbound 7He**

INT program INT-12-3 "Light nuclei from first principles" 15th October 2012, Institute for Nuclear Theory

Petr Navratil | TRIUMF

Owned and operated as a joint venture by a consortium of Canadian universities via a contribution through the National Research Council Canada Propriété d'un consortium d'universités canadiennes, géré en co-entreprise à partir d'une contribution administrée par le Conseil national de recherches Canada

Outline

- Why ⁷He?
- § No-core shell model calculations for neutron rich He isotopes
- Introducing no-core shell model with continuum (NCSMC)
- 7He calculations: Comparison of NCSM/RGM and NCSMC
- 7He predictions and comparison to experiment
- § Outlook

Unbound exotic 7He

Experiments very challenging: three-body background

Ref. [42])

Unbound exotic ⁷He Inhound evotic (H potential captions in Pholind avotic LL stitutional Computing Grand Challenge program. Preof a low lying 1/2[−] resonance in ⁷He. Computing support came in part from the LLNL in-

07NA27344. Support from the U. S. DOE/SC/NP

of a low lying 1/2[−] resonance in ⁷He.

pling of the no-core shell model with the $\frac{1}{2}$ [15] P. Boutachkov et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 , 132502 (2005). [10] G. V. Rogachev et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 232502 [14] Yu. Aksyutina et. al., Phys. Lett. B 679, 191 (2009).

tio calculations based on bound-state techniques cannot give states of ⁷He. See the text for more details. model/resonating group method. We demonstrated the $\frac{m}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ in Calculations Dascu on Dound-Sta [11] A. H. Wuosmaa et al., Phys. Rev. C 72, 061301 (2005). techniques cannot give any insigh [13] D. H. Denby et al., Phys. Rev. C 78, (2008) 044303. sults are in fair agreement with the neutron pick-up and p calculations based on bound-sta [16] B. S. Pudliner, V. R. Pandharipande, J. Carlson, S. C. chniques cannot give any insigr R. B. Wiringa, S. C. Pieper, J. Carlson and V. R. Pandnitely do not support the hypothesis of a low lying (ER∼1 calculations based on bound-sta dition, our NCSMC calculations predict two broad ⁶P3/² R. B. Wiringa, S. C. Pieper, J. Carlson and V. R. Pandchniques cannot give any insig Ab initio calculations based on bound-state techniques cannot give any insight

TABLE II: Experimental and theoretical resonance centroids and theoretical resonance centroids and the centroid

ment [3, 40], although our determination of the width

With our determination of ER and F, the NCSMC re-

the 1/2[−] resonance, the experimental situation is not

nitely do not support the hypothesis of a low lying (ER∼1

Chiral Effective Field Theory

- **First principles for Nuclear Physics: QCD**
	- Non-perturbative at low energies
	- Lattice QCD in the future
- *For now a good place to start:*
- Inter-nucleon forces from chiral effective field theory
	- Based on the symmetries of QCD
		- Chiral symmetry of QCD $(m_n \approx m_d \approx 0)$, spontaneously broken with pion as the Goldstone boson
		- Degrees of freedom: nucleons + pions
	- Systematic low-momentum expansion to a given order (Q/Λ)
	- **Hierarchy**
	- **Consistency**
	- Low energy constants (LEC)
		- Fitted to data
		- Can be calculated by lattice QCD

Λχ~1 GeV : Chiral symmetry breaking scale

The *ab initio* **no-core shell model (NCSM)**

- The NCSM is a technique for the solution of the *A*-nucleon bound-state problem
- Realistic nuclear Hamiltonian
	- High-precision nucleon-nucleon potentials
	- Three-nucleon interactions
- Finite harmonic oscillator (HO) basis
	- *A*-nucleon HO basis states
	- complete *Nmaxh*Ω model space

- *Effective interaction tailored to model-space truncation* for NN(+NNN) potentials
	- Okubo-Lee-Suzuki unitary transformation
- Or a **sequence of unitary transformations in momentum space**:
	- Similarity-Renormalization-Group (SRG) evolved NN(+NNN) potential

Convergence to exact solution with increasing *N***max for bound states. No coupling to continuum.**

4He from chiral EFT interactions: g.s. energy convergence

NNN interaction effects in neutron rich nuclei: He isotopes

TRIUMF

 $4He$ $6He$ $6He$ $8He$

A=3 binding energy & half life constraint *c*_D=-0.2, *c*_E=-0.205, *Λ*=500 MeV

⁶He and ⁸He with SRG-evolved chiral N³LO NN + N²LO NNN

- 3N matrix elements in coupled-*J* single-particle basis:
	- Introduced and implemented by Robert Roth *et al.*
	- Now also in my codes: Jacobi-Slater-Determinant transformation & NCSD code
	- Example: ⁶He, ⁸He NCSM calculations up to N_{max} =10 done with moderate resources

3N interaction effects in neutron rich nuclei: He isotopes

- 6 He and 8 He with SRG-evolved chiral N 3 LO NN + N 2 LO 3N
	- chiral N3LO NN: 4He underbound, 6He and 8He unbound
	- chiral N³LO NN + N²LO 3N(500): ⁴He OK, both ⁶He and ⁸He bound

A=3 binding energy & half life constraint $c_D=0.2$, $c_E=0.205$, *Λ*=500 MeV

TRIUMF

to bind neutron rich nuclei

3N interaction effects in neutron rich nuclei: He isotopes

- 6 He and 6 He with SRG-evolved chiral N 3 LO NN + N 2 LO 3N
	- chiral N3LO NN: 4He underbound, 6He and 8He unbound
	- chiral $N^3LO NN + N^2LO 3N(400)$: ⁴He fitted, ⁶He barely unbound, ⁸He unbound
		- describes quite well binding energies of ${}^{12}C$, ${}^{16}O$, ${}^{40}Ca$, ${}^{48}Ca$
	- chiral $N^3LO NN + N^2LO 3N(500)$: ⁴He OK, both ⁶He and ⁸He bound
		- does well up to A=10, overbinds ¹²C, ¹⁶O, Ca isotopes
	- SRG-N³LO NN Λ =2.02 fm⁻¹: ⁴He OK, both ⁶He and ⁸He bound
		- 16O, Ca strongly overbound

⁴He binding energy $\&$ ³H half life constraint *c*D=-0.2, *c*E=+0.098, *Λ*=400 MeV

RIUMF

A=3 binding energy & half life constraint c_0 =-0.2, c_0 =-0.205, *Λ*=500 MeV

NNN interaction important to bind neutron rich nuclei

Our knowledge of the 3N interaction is incomplete

RETRIUMF

NCSM calculations of 6He and 7He g.s. energies

- N_{max} convergence OK \checkmark Extrapolation feasible
	- 6He: E_{gs} =-29.25(15) MeV (Expt. -29.269 MeV)
	- 7 He: $E_{gs} = -28.27(25)$ MeV (Expt. -28.84(30) MeV)
- \cdot ⁷He unbound (+0.430(3) MeV), width 0.182(5) MeV
	- **NCSM: no information about the width** We did when \mathbf{H}^{C}

unbound

PETRIUMF

The *ab initio* **NCSM/RGM in a snapshot**

• Ansatz: $\Psi^{(A)} = \sum_{\vec{\mathbf{i}}, \vec{\mathbf{j}}} \int d\vec{r} \, \varphi_{\mathbf{v}}(\vec{r}) \hat{\mathcal{A}} \, \Phi^{(A-a,a)}_{\mathbf{v}\vec{r}}$

• Many-body Schrödinger equation:

Example: the five-nucleon system

- Consider the $T = \frac{1}{2}$ case: 5 He (5 Li)
	- Five-nucleon cluster unbound; 4He tightly bound, not easy to deform

- § Satisfactory description of *n*-4He (*p*-4He) scattering at low excitation energies within single-channel approximation
- **However, both** $n(p) + 4$ **He and** $d + 3H(3He)$ **channels needed to** describe 3H(*d*,*n*)4He [3He(*d*,*p*)4He] fusion!

TRIUMF

Unbound *A***=5 nuclei: 5He**è*n***+4He, 5Li**è*p***+4He**

NNN missing: Good agreement only for energies beyond low-lying 3/2- resonance

How about 7He as *n***+6He?**

- All 6 He excited states above 2^+ ₁ broad resonances or states in continuum
- Convergence of the NCSM/RGM $n+6$ He calculation slow with number of ⁶He states
	- Negative parity states also relevant
	- Technically not feasible to include more than \sim 5 states

New approach: NCSM with continuum The idea behind the NCSMC

 $\left\langle \Psi_{A}^{J^{\pi}T} \right\rangle = \sum c_{Ni} \left| A N i J^{\pi} T \right|$ *Ni* NCSM $\left|\Psi_{A}^{J^{\pi}T}\right\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{N}^{T}}$

@TRIUMF

New developments: NCSM with continuum

RETRIUMF

New developments: NCSM with continuum

 \sqrt{N}

NCSMC formalism C. The NCSMC kernels **NUSMU formalism
Andrew Cornelism** <u>γγγι</u> &

^r! (16)

&

)

Start from When computing the above terms the above terms of the above terms of the terms of the terms of the terms of the arising from the permutations in Assembly for the permutations in Assembly for the permutations in AÑv estimations in Assembly for the permutations in Assembly for the permutation of the permutations in Assembly for the pe ϵ is obtained by expanding the radial dependence of H_N of the basis states of E on H radial wave functions of E

^ν^r |AˆνAˆν! [|]ΦJπ^T

Start from
$$
\begin{pmatrix} H_{NCSM} & \bar{h} \\ \bar{h} & \bar{\mathcal{H}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{g} \\ \bar{g} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}
$$

dr!

.

^δ(^r [−] ^r"

χν(r)

) = %

^r ⁼ !

The NCSMC wave functions are obtained as solutions are obtained as solutions are obtained as solutions are obtained

 $\overline{}$ 2 νν! (r, r!

r!2

NCSM sector: \overline{a} indicated as P and its size is consistent with the model is consistent with the model is consistent with the model is \overline{a} \mathbf{N} space used in the cluster diagonalizations. The expansion of \mathbf{N}

$$
(H_{NCSM})_{\lambda\lambda'} = \langle A\lambda J^{\pi}T|\hat{H}|A\lambda'J^{\pi}T\rangle = \varepsilon_{\lambda}^{J^{\pi}T}\delta_{\lambda\lambda'}
$$

NCSM/RGM sector:

$$
\mathbf{r} \colon \qquad \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{\nu\nu'}(r,r') = \sum_{\mu\mu'} \int \int dy dy' y^2 y'^2 \mathcal{N}_{\nu\mu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(r,y) \mathcal{H}_{\mu\mu'}(y,y') \mathcal{N}_{\mu'\nu'}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(y'(\mathbf{r'})
$$

" 0 0

How to calculate the NCSM/RGM kernels?

$$
\left|\psi^{J^{\pi}T}\right\rangle = \sum_{v} \int \frac{g_v^{J^{\pi}T}(r)}{r} \hat{A}_v \left[\left(\left|A-a\alpha_1 I_1^{\pi_1} T_1\right\rangle\right| a\alpha_2 I_2^{\pi_2} T_2\right)\right]^{(ST)} Y_e(\hat{r}_{A-a,a}) \frac{\delta(r-r_{A-a,a})}{rr_{A-a,a}} r^2 dr
$$
\n
$$
\left|\Phi_{vr}^{J^{\pi}T}\right\rangle \quad \text{(Jacobi) channel basis}
$$

• Since we are using NCSM wave functions, it is convenient to introduce Jacobi channel states in the HO space

$$
\left| \Phi_{\nu n}^{J^{\pi}T} \right\rangle = \left[\left(\left| A - a \alpha_1 I_1^{\pi_1} T_1 \right\rangle \right| a \alpha_2 I_2^{\pi_2} T_2 \right) \right]^{(ST)} Y_c(\hat{r}_{A-a,a}) \right]^{(J^{\pi}T)} R_{n\ell}(r_{A-a,a})
$$

– The coordinate space channel states are given by

$$
\left|\Phi_{vr}^{J^{\pi}T}\right\rangle = \sum_{n} R_{n\ell}(r) \left|\Phi_{vn}^{J^{\pi}T}\right\rangle
$$

• We used the closure properties of HO radial wave functions

$$
\delta(r - r_{A-a,a}) = \sum_{n} R_{n\ell}(r) R_{n\ell}(r_{A-a,a})
$$

– Target and projectile wave functions are both translational invariant NCSM eigenstates calculated in the Jacobi coordinate basis

Norm kernel (Pauli principle) Single-nucleon projectile

$$
\left\langle \Phi_{\nu'r'}^{J^{\pi}T} \left| \hat{A}_{\nu} \hat{A}_{\nu} \right| \Phi_{\nu r}^{J^{\pi}T} \right\rangle = \left\langle \Phi_{\nu'}^{(A-1)} \left| 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{A-1} \hat{P}_{iA} \right|_{(a=1)} \left| \Phi_{\nu'}^{(A-1)} \right| \right\rangle
$$

@TRIUMF

$$
N_{v'v}^{J^{\pi}T}(r',r) = \delta_{v'v} \frac{\delta(r'-r)}{r'r} - (A-1) \sum_{n'n} R_{n'l'}(r') R_{n\ell}(r) \left\langle \Phi_{v'n'}^{J^{\pi}T} \middle| \hat{P}_{A-1,A} \middle| \Phi_{vn}^{J^{\pi}T} \right\rangle
$$

\nDirect term:
\nTreated exactly!
\n(in the full space)
\n
$$
(A-1)
$$
\n
$$
(A-1)
$$
\n
$$
(a = 1)
$$
\n
$$
\delta(r-r_{A-a,a}) = \sum_{n} R_{n\ell}(r) R_{n\ell}(r_{A-a,a})
$$

Hamiltonian kernel (projectile-target potentials)

@TRIUMF

Single-nucleon projectile

$$
\left\langle \Phi_{\nu r}^{J^{\pi}T} \left| \hat{A}_{\nu} H \hat{A}_{\nu} \right| \Phi_{\nu r}^{J^{\pi}T} \right\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{r'} \left. \left(A^{-1} \right) \right| H \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{A-1} \hat{P}_{iA} \right) \right|_{(a=1)} \left. \left(A^{-1} \right) \right\rangle
$$
\n
$$
H_{\nu \nu}^{J^{\pi}T} (r', r) = \left[T_{rel}(r) + \overline{V}_{Coul}(r) + \varepsilon_{\alpha_{1}'}^{I^{\pi}T} \right] N_{\nu \nu}^{J^{\pi}T} (r', r)
$$
\n
$$
+ (A-1) \sum_{n'n} R_{n'\ell'}(r') R_{n\ell}(r) \left\langle \Phi_{\nu' n'}^{J^{\pi}T} \right| V_{A-1, A} \left(1 - \hat{P}_{A-1, A} \right) \left| \Phi_{\nu n}^{J^{\pi}T} \right\rangle
$$
\n
$$
- (A-1) (A-2) \sum_{n'n} R_{n'\ell'}(r') R_{n\ell}(r) \left\langle \Phi_{\nu' n'}^{J^{\pi}T} \left| \hat{P}_{A-1, A} V_{A-2, A-1} \right| \Phi_{\nu n}^{J^{\pi}T} \right\rangle
$$
\n
$$
+ (A-1) \times \left\{ \prod_{n=1}^{A-1} \bigcup_{r'} \left| \Phi_{\nu}^{J^{\pi}T} \right| \right\} - (A-1) (A-2) \times \prod_{n=1}^{A-1} \bigcup_{r'} \Phi_{\nu}^{J^{\pi}T} \left| \Phi_{\nu}^{J^{\pi}T} \right|
$$
\n
$$
= \prod_{n=1}^{B} \bigcup_{r' \text{interaction is localized!}} \left\{ \prod_{n=1}^{A-1} \bigcap_{r' \in \mathcal{N}} \Phi_{\nu}^{J^{\pi}T} \right\} \text{where the model space}
$$

@TRIUMF Introduce SD channel states in the HO space

• Define SD channel states in which the eigenstates of the heaviest of the two clusters (target) are described by a SD wave function:

$$
\left| \Phi_{vn}^{J^{\pi}T} \right\rangle_{SD} = \left[\left(\left| A - a \alpha_1 I_1^{\pi_1} T_1 \right\rangle_{SD} \left| a \alpha_2 I_2^{\pi_2} T_2 \right\rangle \right)^{(ST)} Y_{\ell} \left(\hat{R}_{c.m.}^{(a)} \right) \right]^{J^{\pi}T} R_{n\ell} \left(R_{c.m.}^{(a)} \right)
$$
\n
$$
\left| A - a \alpha_1 I_1^{\pi_1} T_1 \right\rangle \varphi_{00} \left(\overrightarrow{R}_{c.m.}^{(A-a)} \right)
$$
\nvector proportional to the c.m. coordinate of the *A-a* nucleons coordinate of the *A-a* nucleons
\ncoordinate of the *A-a* nucleons
\n
$$
\overrightarrow{R}_{c.m.}^{(A-a)} \left(\overrightarrow{R}_{c.m.}^{(a)} \right)
$$
\n
$$
\overrightarrow{R}_{c.m.}^{(A)} = \overrightarrow{\xi}_{0}
$$
\n
$$
\left(\overrightarrow{\eta}_{A-a} = \sqrt{\frac{(A-a)a}{A}} \overrightarrow{r}_{A-a,a} \right)
$$
\n
$$
\left(\overrightarrow{\eta}_{A-a} = \sqrt{\frac{(A-a)a}{A}} \overrightarrow{r}_{A-a,a} \right)
$$
\n
$$
\left(\overrightarrow{\eta}_{A-a} = \sqrt{\frac{(A-a)a}{A}} \overrightarrow{r}_{A-a,a} \right)
$$

TRIUMF

Translational invariant matrix elements from SD ones

• More in detail:

$$
\Phi_{vn}^{J^{\pi}T}\Biggl\rangle_{SD} = \sum_{n_r\ell_r, NL, J_r} \hat{\ell}\hat{J}_r(-1)^{s+\ell_r+L+J} \left\{\begin{array}{ccc} s & \ell_r & J_r \\ L & J & \ell \end{array}\right\} \langle 00, n\ell, \ell \left|n_r\ell_r, NL, \ell\right\rangle_{d=\frac{a}{A-a}} \left[\left|\Phi_{\nu_rn_r}^{J^{\pi}_rT}\right\rangle \varphi_{NL}(\vec{\xi}_0)\right]^{(J^{\pi}T)}
$$

• The spurious motion of the c.m. is mixed with the intrinsic motion

- Translational invariance preserved (exactly!) also with SD channels
- Transformation is general: same for different *A*'s or different *a*'s

Is the SD channel basis advantageous?

- SD to Jacobi transformation is general and exact
- Can use powerful second quantization representation
	- Matrix elements of translational invariant operators can be expressed in terms of matrix elements of density operators on the target eigenstates
	- $-$ For example, for $a = a' = 1$

$$
\sum_{SD} \left\langle \Phi_{\nu' n'}^{J^{\pi}T} \left| P_{A-1,A} \right| \Phi_{\nu n}^{J^{\pi}T} \right\rangle_{SD} = \frac{1}{A-1} \sum_{jj'K\tau} \hat{s}\hat{s}'\hat{j}\hat{j}'\hat{K}\hat{\tau}(-1)^{I_{1}^{\prime}+j'+J}(-1)^{T_{1}+\frac{1}{2}+T}
$$
\nOne-body density\n
$$
\times \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} I_{1} & \frac{1}{2} & s \\ \ell & J & j \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} I_{1}^{\prime} & \frac{1}{2} & s' \\ \ell^{'} & J & j' \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} I_{1} & K & I_{1}^{\prime} \\ j' & J & j \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} T_{1} & \tau & T_{1}^{\prime} \\ \frac{1}{2} & T & \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right\}
$$
\n
$$
\times \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} A-1 & \alpha_{1}' I_{1}^{\prime \pi_{1}'} T_{1}^{\prime} \middle\| \left(a_{n\ell j\frac{1}{2}}^{\dagger} \tilde{a}_{n'\ell'j'\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{(K\tau)} \middle\| A-1 & \alpha_{1}' I_{1}^{\pi_{1}} T_{1} \right\rangle_{SD} \end{array} \right\}
$$

both diagonal blocks in the NCSMC formalism **ENGOINIC TOLE** C. The NCSMC kernels $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{N}}$

)

 \mathbf{r}

" 0 0

δ(r−r

Start from
$$
\begin{pmatrix} H_{NCSM} & \bar{h} \\ \bar{h} & \mathcal{H} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{g} \\ \bar{g} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}
$$

g¯λ!ν(r) δνν!

The NCSMC wave functions are obtained as solutions are obtained as solutions are obtained as solutions are obtained

Coupling:

\n
$$
\bar{g}_{\lambda\nu}(r) = \sum_{\nu'} \int dr' r'^2 \langle A\lambda J^\pi T | \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\nu'} \Phi_{\nu' r'}^{J^\pi T} \rangle \, \mathcal{N}_{\nu'\nu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(r', r)
$$
\n
$$
\bar{h}_{\lambda\nu}(r) = \sum_{\nu'} \int dr' r'^2 \langle A\lambda J^\pi T | \hat{H} \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\nu'} | \Phi_{\nu' r'}^{J^\pi T} \rangle \, \mathcal{N}_{\nu'\nu}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(r', r)
$$

 \mathbf{r}

from SD wave Calculation of *g* from SD wave functions: Calculation of *g* from SD wave functions:

Calculation of *g* from SD wave functions:

\n
$$
g_{\lambda\nu n} = \langle A\lambda J^{\pi}T | \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\nu} \Phi_{\nu n}^{J^{\pi}T} \rangle
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\langle n\ell 00, \ell | 00n\ell, \ell \rangle \frac{1}{(A-1)}} \sum_{j} (-1)^{I_{1}+J+j} \hat{s}_{j}^2 \left\{ \begin{array}{c} I_{1} & \frac{1}{2} & s \\ \ell & J & j \end{array} \right\} \frac{1}{\hat{J}\hat{T}} \langle A\lambda J^{\pi}T || |a_{n\ell j\frac{1}{2}}^{\dagger} || | \Phi_{\nu n}^{J^{\pi}T} \rangle_{SD}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\langle n\ell 00, \ell | 00n\ell, \ell \rangle \frac{1}{(A-1)}} \sum_{j} (-1)^{I_{1}+J+j} \hat{s}_{j}^2 \left\{ \begin{array}{c} I_{1} & \frac{1}{2} & s \\ \ell & J & j \end{array} \right\} \frac{1}{\hat{J}\hat{T}} \langle A\lambda J^{\pi}T || |a_{n\ell j\frac{1}{2}}^{\dagger} || | \Phi_{\nu n}^{J^{\pi}T} \rangle_{SD}
$$

7He spectroscopic factors ⁹

Obtained as

 $S_{\lambda\nu} = \sum g_{\lambda\nu n}^2$ *n*

• Not the final result to be compared to experiment, rather input in the NCSMC calculations

NCSMC formalism working with the orthogonalized cluster channel states NCSMC formalizm NCSMC for **SMC** <u>formalier</u> χ tween the initial state in the initial state in the channel v. The function of the channel v. The function of ν (r) stands for either the non-orthogonalized function \mathbf{r} $\overline{}$ (r) $\overline{}$ or $\overline{}$ (r) or for the orthogonalized $\overline{}$ tions are orthogonal to each ort points, whose number NCSMC formalism to be C. The NCSMC kernels $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{N}}$

^ν (r). (note:

Start from

with the orthogonalized cluster channel states and continued continued continued continued continued continued

Start from
$$
\begin{pmatrix} H_{NCSM} & \bar{h} \\ \bar{h} & \bar{\mathcal{H}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{g} \\ \bar{g} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
N^{\lambda\lambda'}_{\nu r\nu' r'}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\delta_{\lambda\lambda'}&\bar{g}_{\lambda\nu'}(r')\\\bar{g}_{\lambda'\nu}(r)&\delta_{\nu\nu'}\frac{\delta(r-r')}{rr'}\end{array}\right)
$$

² N ⁺ ¹

correct representation of the wave functions.

Inserting the identity N [−] ¹

 $\overline{}$

 \int ortho **Because of the oriental** Orthogonalization:

and by the coupling form $\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{I}}$

ion:
$$
\overline{H} = N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} H_{NCSM} & \overline{h} \\ \overline{h} & \overline{\mathcal{H}} \end{pmatrix} N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \overline{c} \\ \overline{\chi} \end{pmatrix} = N^{+\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}
$$

 $\overline{}$

The NCSMC wave functions are obtained as solutions are obtained as solutions are obtained as solutions are obtained

Solve with generalized \hat{H} in \hat{H} R-matrix <u>191999919</u> 11 1110111 microscopic R-matrix $(11 +$

ith generalized

\n
$$
\overrightarrow{H} + \hat{L} - E \begin{pmatrix} \overrightarrow{c} \\ \overrightarrow{\chi} \end{pmatrix} = \hat{L} \begin{pmatrix} \overrightarrow{c} \\ \overrightarrow{\chi} \end{pmatrix}
$$
\nBloch operator

\n
$$
\longrightarrow \hat{L}_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}\delta(r-a)(\frac{d}{dr} - \frac{B_{\nu}}{r}) \end{pmatrix}
$$
\n28

H

" 0 0

Microscopic R-matrix theory

• Separation into "internal" and "external" regions at the channel radius *a*

Internal region	External region	
$u_c(r) = \sum_n A_{cn} f_n(r)$	$u_c(r) \sim v_c^{-\frac{1}{2}} [\delta_{ci} I_c(k_c r) - U_{ci} O_c(k_c r)]$	r
0	r	

 $-$ This is achieved through the Bloch operator: $L_c = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu}$

$$
L_c = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu_c} \delta(r - a) \left(\frac{d}{dr} - \frac{B_c}{r}\right)
$$

– System of Bloch-Schrödinger equations: *c*

$$
\left[\hat{T}_{rel}(r) + L_c + \overline{V}_{Coul}(r) - (E - E_c)\hat{u}_c(r)\right] + \sum_{c'} \int dr' \, r' W_{cc'}(r, r') \hat{u}_c(r') = L_c \hat{u}_c(r)
$$

- Internal region: expansion on square-integrable basis
- External region: asymptotic form for large *r*

$$
u_c(r) \sim C_c W(k_c r) \quad \text{or} \quad u_c(r) \sim v_c^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Big[\delta_{ci} I_c(k_c r) - U_{ci} \rho_c(k_c r) \Big]
$$

Scattering matrix

 $u_c(r) = \sum A_{cn} f_n(r)$ *n*

Bound state Scattering state

To find the Scattering matrix

Lagrange basis associated with Lagrange mesh:

 $\{ax_n \in [0,a]\}$

 $\int_0^1 g(x) dx \approx \sum_N^N \lambda_n g(x_n)$

 $g(x)dx \approx \sum \lambda_n$

n=1

 $\int_0^a f_n(r) f_{n'}(r) dr \approx \delta_{nn'}$

• After projection on the basis $f_n(r)$:

$$
\sum_{c'n'} [C_{cn,c'n'} - (E - E_c)\delta_{cn,c'n'}] A_{c'n'} = \frac{\hbar^2 k_c}{2\mu_c v_c^{\frac{1}{2}}} \langle f_n | L_c | I_c \delta_{ci} - U_{ci} O_c \rangle
$$

$$
\sqrt{\frac{f_n |\hat{T}_{rel}(r) + L_c + \overline{V}_{Coul}(r)| f_n \rangle \delta_{cc'} + \langle f_n | W_{cc'}(r,r')| f_n \rangle}{2\sqrt{\frac{f_n |\hat{T}_{rel}(r)|^2}{2}}} }
$$

- אז וטו τ_{cn}
- 2. Match internal and external solutions at channel radius, *a*

$$
\sum_{c'} R_{cc'} \frac{k_{c'} a}{\sqrt{\mu_{c'} v_{c'}}} \Big[I'_{c'}(k_{c'} a) \delta_{ci} - U_{c'i} O'_{c'}(k_{c'} a) \Big] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_{c'} v_{c}}} \Big[I_{c}(k_{c} a) \delta_{ci} - U_{ci} O_{c}(k_{c} a) \Big]
$$

• In the process introduce *R*-matrix, projection of the Green's function operator on the channel-surface functions

$$
R_{cc'} = \sum_{nn'} \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2\mu_c a}} f_n(a) \Big[C - EI \Big]_{cn, c'n'}^{-1} \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2\mu_c a}} f_{n'}(a)
$$

To find the Scattering matrix

3. Solve equation with respect to the scattering matrix U

$$
\sum_{c'} R_{cc'} \frac{k_{c'} a}{\sqrt{\mu_{c'} v_{c'}}} \Big[I'_{c'}(k_{c'} a) \delta_{ci} - \overline{U_{c'}} O'_{c'}(k_{c'} a) \Big] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_{c'} v_{c}}} \Big[I_{c}(k_{c} a) \delta_{ci} - \overline{U_{c}} O_{c}(k_{c} a) \Big]
$$

4. You can demonstrate that the solution is given by:

$$
U = Z^{-1}Z^*, \qquad Z_{cc'} = (k_{c'}a)^{-1} \Big[O_c(k_c a) \delta_{cc'} - k_{c'} a R_{cc'} O'_{c'}(k_{c'} a) \Big]
$$

• Scattering phase shifts are extracted from the scattering matrix elements

$$
U = \exp(2i\delta)
$$

NCSM with continuum: ⁷He ↔ ⁶He+n

NCSM with continuum: ⁷He ← ⁶He+n

NCSM with continuum: ⁷He ← ⁶He+n

I RIUME

⁷He: NCSMC vs. NCSM/RGM vs. NCSM done with λ = 2.02 fm[−]¹ two-body low-momentum inter-The two alternative ways of choosing E^R lead to basi- α extraction procedure for broad resonances as well. 1 results for the calculated 3/2− \cdot CN/IDCN/ \cdot † E-mail: navratil@triumf.ca

however the same is not true for the broader 5/2[−] and

[Ref.] and its inclusion is left for the future. At variance

[1] R. H. Stokes and P. G. Young, Phys. Rev. Lett. 18, 611

phase shifts in Fig. 2 have been obtained using the lowest α [11] A. H. Wuosmaa et al., Phys. Rev. C 72 , 061301 (2005). and widths in MeV for the 3/2−2−g.s. , 5/2−2−2−and 1/2− excited and 1/2− excited and 1/2− excited and 1/2− excited and 1/2− [12] A. H. Wuosmaa et al., Phys. Rev. C 78, 041302 (2008).

- **Rev. NCSMC and NSCM/RGM energies where** eigenstates energy corresponding to the neutron kinetic energy corresponding to the neutron corresponding \blacksquare Young and Noom/Non-energies where
- **NCSMC and NSCM/RGM widths from the** computed as is maximal computed as is maximal computed as \mathbb{R} are then R. B. Wiringa, Phys. Rev. C 56, 1720 (1997); is maximal computed as \mathbb{R} and R. B. Wiringa, Phys. Rev. C 56, 1720 (1997); is maximal computed $t = 100140$ is the resonance centroider as the resonance centroider **• NCSMC and NSCM/RGM widths from the** computed from the phase shifts according to (see, e.g., R. B. Wiringa, S. C. Pieper, J. Carlson and V. R. Pand-

$$
\Gamma = \left. \frac{2}{\partial \delta(E_{kin})/\partial E_{kin}} \right|_{E_{kin}=E_R}
$$

Experimental controversy: The corresponding eigen-Existence of low-lying 1/2- state phase shifts do not reach motivate … not seen in these calculations

 $2+3$ states) at about 3.7 MeV with widths of α

phase shift in radians. Computed centroids and widths **Rest agreement with the neutron Indian Best agreement with the neutron Indian Equator An alternative, less general, choice for the resonance energy and reactions experiments [11] ergy ER could be the corresponding to a** t_{ref} $\frac{35}{35}$ da Ekino da Kin ! **Exist correspond with the neutron** $p_{\rm 2}$ (thin dashed lines in Fig. 3). While α Best agreement v

Predictions of other resonances

- Two 3/2⁻ resonances predicted at about 3.7 MeV and 6.5 MeV with widths of 2.8 MeV and 4.3 MeV, respectively
	- Experiment: State of undetermined spin and parity at 6.2(3) MeV with the width of 4(1) MeV
- Considerable mixing of P-waves in 3/2⁻₂

- We developed a new unified approach to nuclear bound and unbound states
	- Merging of the NSM and the NCSM/RGM
- We demonstrated its capabilities in calculations of ⁷He resonances
	- We find reasonable agreement with experiment for established 3/2 and 5/2 resonances
	- Our results do not support the existence of a low lying narrow 1/2- resonance
	- We predict two broad 3/2⁻ resonances

arXiv: 1210.1897

• Outlook:

RIUMF

- Inclusion of 3N interactions
- Extension of the formalism to composite projectiles (deuteron, 3H, 3He, 4He)
- Extension of the formalism to coupling of three-body clusters

NCSMC and NCSM/RGM collaborators

- **S. Baroni (ULB)**
- **Sofia Quaglioni (LLNL)**
- Robert Roth, Joachim Langhammer (TU Darmstadt)
- C. Romero-Redondo, F. Raimondi (TRIUMF)
- G. Hupin, M. Kruse (LLNL)
- W. Horiuchi (Hokkaido)