# **Amplification of magnetic fields in core collapse**

Miguel Àngel Aloy Torás, Pablo Cerdá-Durán, Thomas Janka, Ewald Müller, Martin Obergaulinger, Tomasz Rembiasz

Universitat de València: Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik, Garching

INT Program INT 12-2a Core-Collapse Supernovae: Models and Observable Signals Nuclear and Neutrino Physics in Stellar Core Collapse

**1 / 17**

(ロ) (@) (경) (경)

### **Contents**

#### **<sup>1</sup> [Introduction](#page-1-0)**

- **<sup>2</sup> [Field amplification mechanisms](#page-6-0)**
- **<sup>3</sup> [Summary](#page-33-0)**

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

## **Progenitor fields**

- $\triangleright$  magnetic fields need to be strong to have an effect on SNe
- $\triangleright$  But: stellar evolution theory predicts rather weak fields in the pre-collapse core
- $\rightarrow$  efficient amplification desired



<span id="page-2-0"></span> $\Rightarrow$ **3 / 17**

**K ロ ▶ K (包 ) K K** 

#### **[Introduction](#page-3-0)**

## **Magnetic fields and MHD**

the



<span id="page-3-0"></span>重

경기 지경기

 $\rightarrow$ 

(□ ) ( ) →

#### **[Introduction](#page-4-0)**

## **Magnetic fields and MHD**

- **•** magnetic energy  $\frac{1}{2}\vec{B}^2$
- $\triangleright$  ideal MHD: field lines and flux tubes frozen into the fluid
- $\triangleright$  Lorentz force (Maxwell stress) consists of
	- $\blacktriangleright$  isotropic pressure  $\frac{1}{2}\vec{B}^2$
	- **P** anisotropic tension  $B^iB^j$
- $\triangleright$  increase the energy by working against the forces
	- $\triangleright$  compressing the field
	- $\triangleright$  stretching and folding field lines
- $\rightarrow$  estimate for the maximum field energy:  $\sim$ kinetic energy
	- actual amplification may be less

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

#### **[Introduction](#page-5-0)**

## **Magnetic fields and MHD**

- **•** magnetic energy  $\frac{1}{2}\vec{B}^2$
- $\triangleright$  ideal MHD: field lines and flux tubes frozen into the fluid
- $\triangleright$  Lorentz force (Maxwell stress) consists of
	- $\blacktriangleright$  isotropic pressure  $\frac{1}{2}\vec{B}^2$
	- **P** anisotropic tension  $B^iB^j$
- $\blacktriangleright$  increase the energy by working against the forces
	- $\triangleright$  compressing the field
	- $\triangleright$  stretching and folding field lines
- $\rightarrow$  estimate for the maximum field energy:  $\sim$ kinetic energy
	- actual amplification may be less

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

### **Contents**

#### **<sup>1</sup> [Introduction](#page-1-0)**

**<sup>2</sup> [Field amplification mechanisms](#page-6-0)**

#### **<sup>3</sup> [Summary](#page-33-0)**

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

## **Compression**

- $\triangleright$  (radial) collapse and accretion compress the field
- $\blacktriangleright$  magnetic flux through a surface is conserved
- $\rightarrow \,$  *B*  $\propto$   $\rho^{2/3}$  for a fluid element; energy grows faster than gravitational
- $\triangleright$  no change of field topology
- **Dolog collapse:** factor of 10<sup>3</sup> in field strength
- <sup>I</sup> possible saturation: *e*mag ∼ *e*kin,<sup>r</sup> is unrealistic in collapse
- $\triangleright$  occurs in every collapse
- $\triangleright$  no difficulties in modelling



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**6 / 17**

# **Amplification of Alfvén waves**



- $\blacktriangleright$  requires an accretion flow decelerated above the PNS and a (radial) guide field
- $\rightarrow$  accretion is sub-/super-Alfvénic inside/outside the Alfvén surface
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Alfvén waves propagating along the field are amplified at the Alfvén point
	- **waves are finally dissipated there**  $\rightarrow$ additional heating
	- $\triangleright$  in core collapse: efficient for a limited parameter range (strong guide field); strong time variability of the Alfvén surface may be a problem
- $\triangleright$  modelling issues: high resolution, uncertainties in the dissipation

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

# **Amplification of Alfvén waves**



- $\blacktriangleright$  requires an accretion flow decelerated above the PNS and a (radial) guide field
- $\rightarrow$  accretion is sub-/super-Alfvénic inside/outside the Alfvén surface
- $\blacktriangleright$  Alfvén waves propagating along the field are amplified at the Alfvén point
- **waves are finally dissipated there**  $\rightarrow$ additional heating
- $\triangleright$  in core collapse: efficient for a limited parameter range (strong guide field); strong time variability of the Alfvén surface may be a problem
- $\triangleright$  modelling issues: high resolution, uncertainties in the dissipation

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

## **Linear winding**



- $\triangleright$  works if  $\vec{\nabla}$ Ω ·  $\vec{B} \neq 0$ , e.g., differential rotation and poloidal field
- $\blacktriangleright$  creates toroidal field  $B^{\phi} \propto Ωt$
- $\blacktriangleright$  feedback: slows down rotation
- $\rightarrow$  saturation: complete conversion of differential to rigid rotation
	- $\triangleright$  core collapse: slow compared to dynamic times except for rapid rotation and strong seed field
- $\triangleright$  should be present in all rotating cores
- $\blacktriangleright$  no difficulties in modelling

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

## **The magneto-rotational instability**

- instability of differentially rotating fluids with weak magnetic field
- (simplest) instability criterion  $\nabla_{\overline{m}}\Omega < 0$
- exponential growth  $\propto$  exp  $\Omega t$
- starts with coherent channel modes, but leads to turbulence
- $\blacktriangleright$  feedback: redistribution of angular momentum
- $\rightarrow$  maximum saturation: conversion of differential to rigid rotation

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

# **The magneto-rotational instability**

- $\blacktriangleright$  instability of differentially rotating fluids with weak magnetic field
- (simplest) instability criterion  $\nabla_{\overline{n}}\Omega < 0$
- exponential growth  $\propto$  exp  $\Omega t$
- starts with coherent channel modes, but leads to turbulence
- feedback: redistribution of angular momentum
- $\rightarrow$  maximum saturation: conversion of differential to rigid rotation



<span id="page-12-0"></span>

### **The magneto-rotational instability**

- $\triangleright$  instability of differentially rotating fluids with weak magnetic field
- (simplest) instability criterion  $\nabla_{\varpi} \Omega < 0$
- exponential growth  $\propto$  exp  $\Omega t$
- starts with coherent channel modes, but leads to turbulence
- feedback: redistribution of angular momentum
- $\rightarrow$  maximum saturation: conversion of differential to rigid rotation
- $\blacktriangleright$  main physical issue: saturation level
- numerical difficulties: high resolution, low numerical diffusion

<span id="page-13-0"></span>**9 / 17**

← ロ ⊁ → 伊 ⊁ → 君 ⊁ →

## **The MRI: no amplification without representation**

- dispersion relation of the MRI: only short modes,  $\lambda \propto |B|$ , grow rapidly
- in core collapse, this can be  $\sim$  1 m
- 
- 
- 



<span id="page-14-0"></span>**10 / 17**

## **The MRI: no amplification without representation**

- dispersion relation of the MRI: only short modes,  $\lambda \propto |B|$ , grow rapidly
- in core collapse, this can be  $\sim$  1 m
- grid width  $< \lambda$  computationally not feasible
- 
- 





<span id="page-15-0"></span>**10 / 17**

#### Grid width

## **The MRI: no amplification without representation**

- dispersion relation of the MRI: only short modes,  $\lambda \propto |B|$ , grow rapidly
- in core collapse, this can be  $\sim$  1 m
- grid width  $< \lambda$  computationally not feasible
- 
- 



<span id="page-16-0"></span>**10 / 17**

## **The MRI: no amplification without representation**

- dispersion relation of the MRI: only short modes,  $\lambda \propto |B|$ , grow rapidly
- in core collapse, this can be  $\sim$  1 m
- arid width  $< \lambda$  computationally not feasible
- high-resolution shearing-box simulations to determine fundamental properties of the MRI
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>use these results to build models that can be coupled to global simulations



## **The MRI: saturation mechanism**

<sup>I</sup> amplification until *e*mag ∼ *e*diffrot?

 $\blacktriangleright$  More complicated actually... Saturation may occur at lower amplitude.

<span id="page-18-0"></span>

- <sup>I</sup> amplification until *e*mag ∼ *e*diffrot?
- $\triangleright$  More complicated actually... Saturation may occur at lower amplitude.

<span id="page-19-0"></span>
$$
\bigoplus_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}}
$$

### **The MRI: saturation mechanism**

- $\triangleright$  MRI channel modes are separated by current sheets and shear layers  $\rightarrow$ unstable against parasitic instabilities: Kelvin-Helmholtz and tearing modes
- $\triangleright$  parasites grow at rates  $\propto B_{\rm MRI}/\lambda \propto \exp \sigma_{\rm MRI} t/B_0$ , i.e., faster as the MRI proceeds
- $\triangleright$  at some point, they overtake the MRI and break the channels down into turbulence
- $\triangleright$  weaker field  $\rightarrow$  thinner channels  $\rightarrow$  lower termination amplitude



<span id="page-20-0"></span>**11 / 17**

- $\triangleright$  MRI channel modes are separated by current sheets and shear layers  $\rightarrow$ unstable against parasitic instabilities: Kelvin-Helmholtz and tearing modes
- $\blacktriangleright$  parasites grow at rates  $\propto B_{\rm MRI}/\lambda \propto \exp \sigma_{\rm MRI}/B_0$ , i.e., faster as the MRI proceeds
- $\triangleright$  at some point, they overtake the MRI and break the channels down into turbulence
- $\triangleright$  weaker field  $\rightarrow$  thinner channels  $\rightarrow$  lower termination amplitude

<span id="page-21-0"></span>

- $\triangleright$  MRI channel modes are separated by current sheets and shear layers  $\rightarrow$ unstable against parasitic instabilities: Kelvin-Helmholtz and tearing modes
- $\blacktriangleright$  parasites grow at rates  $\propto B_{\rm MRI}/\lambda \propto \exp \sigma_{\rm MRI} t/B_0$ , i.e., faster as the MRI proceeds
- $\triangleright$  at some point, they overtake the MRI and break the channels down into turbulence
- $\triangleright$  weaker field  $\rightarrow$  thinner channels  $\rightarrow$  lower termination amplitude

<span id="page-22-0"></span>

- $\triangleright$  MRI channel modes are separated by current sheets and shear layers  $\rightarrow$ unstable against parasitic instabilities: Kelvin-Helmholtz and tearing modes
- $\blacktriangleright$  parasites grow at rates  $\propto B_{\rm MRI}/\lambda \propto \exp \sigma_{\rm MRI}/B_0$ , i.e., faster as the MRI proceeds
- $\triangleright$  at some point, they overtake the MRI and break the channels down into turbulence
- $\triangleright$  weaker field  $\rightarrow$  thinner channels  $\rightarrow$  lower termination amplitude

<span id="page-23-0"></span>

### **The MRI: saturation mechanism**

- $\triangleright$  MRI channel modes are separated by current sheets and shear layers  $\rightarrow$ unstable against parasitic instabilities: Kelvin-Helmholtz and tearing modes
- $\triangleright$  parasites grow at rates  $\propto B_{\rm MRI}/\lambda \propto \exp \sigma_{\rm MRI} t/B_0$ , i.e., faster as the MRI proceeds
- $\triangleright$  at some point, they overtake the MRI and break the channels down into turbulence
- ► weaker field  $\rightarrow$  thinner channels  $\rightarrow$  lower<br>termination amplitude



<span id="page-24-0"></span>**11 / 17**

## **The MRI: saturation mechanism**

- $\Rightarrow$  MRI growth limited by initial field strength?
- current simulations (T. Rembiasz) try to test the predictions of Pessah (2010) and focus on how parasites depend on resistivity and viscosity. Prerequisite: careful determination of numerical resistivity and viscosity.



a mills.

<span id="page-25-0"></span>**11 / 17**

## **Dynamos driven by hydrodynamic instabilities**

- $\triangleright$  instabilities such as convection and SASI drive turbulence
- energy cascades from the large scale at which the instability operates down to dissipation in a Kolmogorov-like cascade

<span id="page-26-0"></span>

# **Dynamos driven by hydrodynamic instabilities**

dynamo converting turbulent kinetic to magnetic energy by stretching and folding flux tubes

- 
- $\blacktriangleright$  large-scale dynamo adds an inverse cascade of field to larger length scales,

A. Brandenburg, K. Subramanian / Physics Reports 417 (2005) 1-209



Fig. 4.6. A schematic illustration of the stretch-twist-fold-merge dynamo.

<span id="page-27-0"></span>イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨト

# **Dynamos driven by hydrodynamic instabilities**

- $\rightarrow$  dynamo converting turbulent kinetic to magnetic energy by stretching and folding flux tubes
	- $\triangleright$  small-scale dynamo: amplifies the field only on length scales of turbulent velocity fluctuations  $\rightarrow$  Kolmogorov-like spectrum of turbulent magnetic field
	- $\blacktriangleright$  large-scale dynamo adds an inverse cascade of field to larger length scales,

<span id="page-28-0"></span>

# **Dynamos driven by hydrodynamic instabilities**

- $\rightarrow$  dynamo converting turbulent kinetic to magnetic energy by stretching and folding flux tubes
	- $\triangleright$  small-scale dynamo: amplifies the field only on length scales of turbulent velocity fluctuations  $\rightarrow$  Kolmogorov-like spectrum of turbulent magnetic field
	- $\blacktriangleright$  large-scale dynamo adds an inverse cascade of field to larger length scales, i.e., generates an ordered component. Key ingredient: helicity  $\vec{v} \times \text{curl } \vec{v}$ .

<span id="page-29-0"></span>

### **Instabilities: an overview**



<span id="page-30-0"></span>**Endeve et al., 2008 13/17** 

#### **Dynamos**

- <sup>I</sup> MHD uncertainties: type of dynamo, saturation mechanism and level
- technical complications: 3d necessary, high resolution and Reynolds numbers
- kinematic dynamo: weak fields, back-reaction negligible  $\rightarrow$  mean-field dynamo theory solve the induction equation,  $\partial_t \vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{v} \times \vec{B})$ , for a fixed turbulent velocity field

 $\rightarrow \alpha$  effect:  $\partial_t \vec{B} = \alpha \vec{B}$ .  $\alpha$  parametrises the unknown physics of helical turbulence.

<span id="page-31-0"></span>
$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\begin{array}{ccc}\n\bullet & & \\
\bullet & & \\
\bullet & & \\
\bullet & & \\
\end{array}
$$

# **Saturation mechanisms**

Will instabilities and turbulence amplify a seed magnetic field to dynamically relevant strength or will the amplification cease earlier?

- $\triangleright$  if the properties of the instability depend strongly on the field, amplification might be limited by the initial field (MRI channel disruption)
- $\blacktriangleright$  quenching of turbulent dynamos: small-scale field resists further stretching (*e*mag ∼ *e*kin locally in *k*-space), reducing the efficiency of mean-field dynamos by orders of magnitude.
- **If** magnetic helicity:  $\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}$  (where  $\vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$ ), is conserved in ideal MHD. Box simulations indicate that fluxes out of the domain may be important to avoid catastrophic quenching. Inhomogeneity of cores may provide that.

<span id="page-32-0"></span>

### **Contents**

#### **<sup>1</sup> [Introduction](#page-1-0)**

- **<sup>2</sup> [Field amplification mechanisms](#page-6-0)**
- **<sup>3</sup> [Summary](#page-33-0)**

<span id="page-33-0"></span>

#### **Summary**



**Saturation level**

Better understanding of turbulence and dynamos is required!

<span id="page-34-0"></span>