Traveling through turbulence



Tina Lund and Jim Kneller, NCSU

INT Program 12-2a "Core-Collapse Supernovae: Models and Observable Signals" July 16th – July 20th, 2012

Neutrino emission from ccSN

- Neutrinos produced at PNS surface.
- Amount and energies varies during accretion and cooling phases.
- Correct interpretation of observed neutrinos → Need to know all about v's.



Effects affecting neutrinos



Inside the Earth

Focus of this project



[www.particlezoo.net]

- Interplay of MSW, vv selfinteraction and turbulence.
- Impact of turbulence 2 regions.
- Investigate shock hitting MSW L resonance.
- Use numerically realistic density profiles.



Density profiles

- Ideally multi-D simulations, but does not go long enough.
- 1D simulation of 10.8 M_{\odot} progenitor, (8.8 and 18.0 M_{\odot}).
- Provided by Basel group.
- 10.7 s post bounce duration.
- Develop contact discontinuity, forward and reverse shocks.





MSW resonances

Neutino flavor changes at two resonance densities:

$$\rho_{res} \sim 1.4 \times 10^6 \text{ g/cc} \left(\frac{\Delta m^2}{1 \text{ eV}^2}\right) \left(\frac{10 \text{ MeV}}{E}\right) \left(\frac{0.5}{Y_e}\right) \cos 2\theta$$

• $\rho_{\rm H}$ corresponding to

$$\Delta m_{13}^{2} \approx 2.43 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^{2} \text{ and } \theta_{13} = 9^{\circ}$$

• $\rho_{\rm L}$ corresponding to

$$\Delta m_{12}^{2} = 7.56 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^{2} \text{ and } \theta_{12} = 34^{\circ}$$

Position of \(\rho_{\mathbf{res}}\) and derivative of density there important.



Resonance transitions



- General propagation is adiabatic.
- Need diabatic at shock.

[Dighe & Smirnov, 2000]

Shock morphology

- Numerical soft shocks.
- When θ_{13} is big, only adiabatic transitions happens: $\gamma \gg 1$, $\gamma \propto n_e / (dn_e / dr)$
- Need diabatic at shock.
- Partially steepend by hand.





Collective effects

- Flavor changes from background neutrinos.
- When n_{v} is high enough.
- Usually before MSW and turbulence.





Turbulence

- Turbulence by hand on 1D.
- 2 different turbulence areas.
- From Kneller & Volpe, we have the equations for adding turbulence:

 $V(r) = (1 + F(r)) \langle V \rangle (r)$

• Where F(r) is given by:

$$F(r) = \frac{C_{\star}}{\sqrt{N_k}} \tanh\left(\frac{r-r_r}{\lambda}\right) \tanh\left(\frac{r_s-r}{\lambda}\right) \\ \times \sum_{n=1}^{N_k} \left\{A_n \cos\left[k_n \left(r-r_r\right)\right] + B_n \sin\left[k_n \left(r-r_r\right)\right]\right\}$$



•
$$C_* = 0.03$$

• $N_k = 10^3$

Tests



- Kolmogorov spectrum.
- Decades and number of wave modes.



Tests



 Results reported in the following will be for

•
$$C_* = 0.03$$

•
$$N_k = 10^3$$

- Kolmogorov spectrum.
- Decades and number of wave modes.

Results for t = 9 s

MSW: H: $\nu_3 \leftrightarrow \nu_2$, NH $\overline{\nu}_1 \leftrightarrow \overline{\nu}_3$, IH L: $\nu_1 \leftrightarrow \nu_2$, both Collective: NH: nothing IH: $\nu_3 \leftrightarrow \nu_{1,2}$, split IH: $\overline{\nu}_3 \leftrightarrow \overline{\nu}_{1,2}$, swap



Collective effects



Comment on collective effects only



- Cooling phase, so $L_x \ge L_e$
- 70 1000 km.
- Previously investigated by, e.g.

Choubey et al, 2010 [1008.0308] Dasgupta et al, 2010 [1002.2943] Friedland, 2010 [1001.0996] Duan et al, 2006 [astro-ph/0606616]

- Multiple splits observed.
- No exact match.
- Highly non-linear problem.

MSW and $\nu\nu$ – NH and ν 's



MSW and $\nu\nu$ – NH and ν 's



MSW and $\nu\nu$ – NH and ν 's



MSW and $\nu \nu - NH$ and $\overline{\nu}$'s



MSW and $\nu \nu$ – IH and ν 's



MSW and $\nu \nu - IH$ and $\overline{\nu}$'s



Phase effects





- Oscillations due to phase effects.
- Distance between 2 MSW resonans points depends on neutrino energy.
- Discussed by Dasgupta and Dighe 2007 [arXiv:hepph/0510219].



Multiple passings







Detailed differences.



















- Detailed differences.
- Multiple realisations.
- Bigger amplitudes.

 Collective and MSW features survive.



- Wash out by energy resolution of detectors.
- Some collective and MSW features survive
 – future work.



rhulen 50 Addir













Time dependent probabilities







Case of t = 4 s



• Low E one pass of H, high E multiple passes.

Transition probabilities for L resonance not shown.

Accretion phase 93 ms



- Collective effects only.
- Adiabatic transversal of H and L.



Conclusions and future challenges

- Features not washed out by turbulence.
- Need both anti- ν and ν detectors.
- Energy resolution.

Future:

- Better understanding of collective effects.
- Ensemble averages.
- More profiles and progenitors.
- Observability in detectors.

