Langevin Dynamics in a Finite Box

Anthony Francis

INT Program on "Gauge Field Dynamics In and Out of Equilibrium"

March 5 - April 13, 2012

E-mail: francis@kph.uni-mainz.de

Outline

- Introduction of the diffusion constant and its Diffusion in HIC | Introduction of the spectral function
	- Methods of computing the diffusion constant via MEM, HQET and Langevin Dynamics
	- Review of a number of recent lattice QCD results
	- Motivation and set-up of Langevin dynamics in a finite size system
	- Computation of the Wightmann correlation function
	- Connection to the relevant spectral functions and Euclidean correlators
	- The observable effects are highlighted and discussed

Introduction

In heavy ion collision experiments, e.g. @RHIC or @Alice, one can study heavy quark dynamics through ...

… the elliptic flow v_2^{HF} and the medium modification factor R_{AA}

Adare et al.; Phys.Rev. C84 (2011) 044905

The Diffusion Coefficient

• On the theory side the observed R_{AA} and v_2^{HF} can be related to the diffusion coefficient D :

$$
\boxed{D=\frac{T}{M\eta}=\frac{2T^2}{\kappa}}
$$

 $M =$ Mass of the heavy quark η = Drag coefficient

$$
\kappa =
$$
 Momentum diffusion

• To compute D one generally exploits a Kubo formula to obtain it from a certain spectral function:

$$
\longrightarrow \quad D = \lim_{\omega \to 0} c \cdot \frac{\rho(\omega)}{\omega}
$$

The Spectral Function

• Spectral functions (SPF) encode the physics of a system:

SPF via lattice QCD

 \bullet To compute D from lattice QCD one has to analyze the correlation function of heavy vector currents:

$$
J_{\mu}(\tau,\vec{x}) = \bar{q}(\tau,\vec{x})\gamma_{\mu}q(\tau,\vec{x})
$$

$$
G_V(\tau,\vec{p}) = \int d^3x \langle J_\mu(\tau,\vec{x})J_\mu(0,0) \rangle
$$

• The diffusion coefficient can then be read off the corresponding spectral function via

$$
\left(D = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{1}{6\chi_{00}} \frac{\rho_V(\omega, \vec{p} = 0)}{\omega}\right)
$$

SPF via lattice QCD

The vector-current correlator is connected to the SPF via:

SPF via lattice QCD (MEM)

• Possibility: Use Bayesian analysis in the form of the "maximum entropy method" (MEM) to construct...

… the most probable SPF given the correlator data with errors AND user specified prior information.

- Note: The details of D depend on the shape of a transport peak in the low- ω region.
- But: The correlator encodes basically only the area under the transport peak

Difficult to extract the transport peak properties

• Additional difficulty: Heavy quarkonium (dissociation) and transport phenomena are both encoded in the same SPF

SPF via lattice QCD (MEM)

H.T.Ding, A.F, O.Kaczmarek, F.Karsch, H.Satz, W.Soeldner; J.Phys.G G38 (2011) 124070

SPF via lattice QCD (HQET)

• In HQET the relevant SPF may be obtained from a force-force correlator:

$$
G_E(\tau) = \lim_{M \to \infty} \frac{1}{\chi_{00}} \int d^3x \langle J_F(\tau, \vec{x}) J_F(0, 0) \rangle
$$

where the leading force is the chromo-electric force gL'

$$
J_F = \phi^{\dagger} g E_i \phi - \theta^{\dagger} g E_i \theta
$$

- D is then obtained by: $D^{-1} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\rho(\omega)T^h}{h}$ \bigcup
- As before, analytical continuation is necessary
- It can be shown that the SPF does not possess a transport peak

More direct handle on D from correlator

SPF via lattice QCD (HQET)

D.Banerjee et al.; Phys.Rev. D85 (2012) 014510 A.F., O.Kaczmarek, J.Langelage, M.Laine; PoS LATTICE2011 (2011) 202

SPF via Langevin Dynamics

• For heavy quarks the diffusion is of a time-scale $\,\sim\,M/T^2$

Possibility to model the interaction of the heavy quark with the medium as uncorrelated momentum kicks

Langevin equations:

$$
\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = \frac{\vec{p}}{M}, \quad \frac{\vec{p}}{dt} = \vec{\xi}(t) - \eta \vec{p}(t)
$$

$$
\langle \xi^i(t)\xi^j(t') \rangle = \kappa \delta^{ij} \delta(t - t')
$$

• This description can be extended into a Langevin-Boltzmann model to yield R_{AA} and $\,v_2^{H\,F}$ as functions of

Diffusion from MEM, HQET and Langevin

- The results from all approaches indicate $2\pi T D \simeq 1-5$
- For lattice QCD the greatest obstacle is the analytic continuation

Langevin Dynamics in a Finite Box

- All lattice results are obtained at finite volumes Are there de facto finite size effects that must be included?
- Analytic continuation poses the greatest obstacle Can we determine features of the SPF from finite size effects?
- Model system to address these questions:

Langevin dynamics in a finite size system

Setting up the Box

- To set up the box we assume:
- \rightarrow The box walls constrain the position of the particle between 0 and l
- \rightarrow There is an instantaneous reversal of momentum p when the particle hits the wall
- ➔ The dynamics inside the box can be described in terms of a freely diffusing particle
- \rightarrow Note: This work is done in $(1 + 1)$ -dimensions

Setting up the Box

• In 1D the probability $w_0(x, p, t|x_0, p_0, t_0)$ of finding a particle at x and p starting at $t_0 = 0 = x_0 = p_0$ is given by the motion of a Brownian particle in the absence of an external field

$$
w_0(x, p, t|0) = \frac{1}{2\pi m\sqrt{FG - H^2}} \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{GR^2 - 2HRS + FS^2}{2(FG - H^2)}\right] \nR = x - x_0 - \eta^{-1}\frac{p_0}{m}(1 - e^{-\eta t}) \nS = \frac{p - p_0e^{-\eta t}}{m} \nF = \frac{T}{m\eta^2}(2\eta t - 3 + 4e^{-\eta t} - e^{-2\eta t}) \nG = \frac{T}{m}(1 - e^{-2\eta t}) \nH = \frac{T}{m\eta}(1 - e^{-\eta t})^2
$$
s. Chandrasekhar; RevMod. Phys 15 (1943) 1

Setting up the Box

• Implementing the box assumptions then leads to:

$$
w(x, p, t|0) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(w_0(x + 2nl, p, t|0) + w_0(2nl - x, -p, t|0) \right)
$$

• For illustration: In terms of a potential with and without the box

$$
V_{\infty}(x + 2nl) = V_{box}(x)
$$

\n
$$
V_{\infty}(2nl - x) = V_{box}(x)
$$

\n
$$
n = \text{odd}
$$

\n
$$
x = 0
$$

\n
$$
x = l
$$

\n
$$
x = 0
$$

\n
$$
x = l
$$

The Wightmann Correlator

• We can now compute the Wightmann correlation function

$$
W_p(t) = \langle p_0 \bar{p}(t)
$$

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp_0 \int_0^l dx_0 f_0(x_0, p_0) p_0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp \int_0^l dx w_0(x, p, t | 0) p
$$

where $f_0(x_0, p_0)$ is the initial probability distribution

$$
f_0(x_0, p_0) = \frac{1}{l\sqrt{2\pi mT}} \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{p_0^2}{2mT}\right]
$$

The Wightmann Correlator

• The Wightman correlator is made up of three parts

$$
W_p(t) = W_p^{(0)}(t) + W_p^{(1)}(t) + W_p^{(2)}(t)
$$

• The first is the well known result of the infinite volume case:

$$
\left(W_p^{(0)}(t) = M T e^{-\tau}\right) \qquad \text{where } \tau = \eta t
$$

• In $W_p^{(1)}(t)$ and $W_p^{(2)}(t)$ a new dimensionless parameter dependent on the box extent l appears

$$
\lambda = \frac{l^2 \eta^2 M}{2T} = \frac{l^2 \eta}{2D}
$$

 $W^{(1)}_p(t)$ and $W^{(2)}_p(t)$ do not survive the infinite volume limit

The Wightmann-Correlator

• The second term then is

$$
W_p^{(1)}(\tau) = MT \cdot (-1) \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right) \sqrt{\lambda} \phi(\tau) e^{-\tau} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \cdot
$$

$$
\int_0^1 d\chi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\bar{p} \bar{p}^2 e^{-\bar{p}^2} \int_{P_{2n-1}}^{P_{2n}} dP e^{-\lambda P^2/\phi(\tau)}
$$

• This can be approximated to

$$
\boxed{W_p^{(1)}(\tau) \simeq MT \frac{\tau^{11/2}}{\sqrt{\lambda}}}
$$

$$
W_p^{(1)}(\tau) \simeq -MT\frac{\tau^2}{\sqrt{\lambda}}e^{-\tau} \qquad \text{for}
$$

$$
\quad \text{for} \quad \tau \ll 1
$$

$$
\quad \text{for} \quad \tau \gg 1
$$

The Wightmann-Correlator

• The third is given by

$$
W_p^{(2)}(\tau) = MT \cdot (-1) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{2D\eta^{-1}(1 - e^{-\tau})^3}{\sqrt{2D\eta^{-1}(\tau - 1 + e^{-\tau})}} \cdot \frac{\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-)^n e^{-n^2 \ell^2/(4D\eta^{-1}(\tau - 1 + e^{-\tau}))}}
$$

• This can be approximated to

$$
\boxed{W_p^{(2)}(\tau) \simeq -\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} MT \frac{\tau^2}{\sqrt{\lambda}}} \qquad \text{for} \quad \tau \ll 1
$$
\n
$$
W_p^{(2)}(\tau) \simeq -\frac{2}{\lambda} MT \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(2k+1)^2 \pi^2 \tau/2\lambda} \qquad \text{for} \quad \tau \gg 1
$$

The Wightmann Correlator

• The full result has to be computed numerically:

• Both $W^{(1)}_p(\tau)$ and $W^{(2)}_p(\tau)$ decay slowly compared to $W^{(0)}_p(\tau)$

The Wightmann correlator and the SPF

Connection to the SPF via the fluctuation-dissipation theorem:

$$
\rho_p(\omega) = \tanh\left(\frac{\beta \hbar \omega}{2}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{2\pi} e^{i\omega t} \Big\langle \{p(t), p(0)\}_+\Big\rangle
$$

• In a classical description of heavy quark dynamics this becomes

$$
\frac{\pi \rho_p(\omega)}{\omega} = \beta \int_0^\infty dt \; W_p(t) \cos(\omega t)
$$

• As before $W_p^{(0)}(t)$ leads to the known infinite volume result:

$$
\frac{\pi \rho_p^{(0)}(\omega)}{\omega} = M \frac{\eta}{\omega^2 + \eta^2}
$$

The Wightmann correlator and the SPF

• For $\tau \gg 1$ the expressions simplify considerably and yield

$$
\frac{\pi \rho_p^{(1)}(\omega)}{\omega} = -M \frac{2\eta}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \frac{\eta^2 - 3\omega^2}{(\eta^2 + \omega^2)^3}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\pi \rho_p^{(2)}(\omega)}{\omega} = -\frac{4m}{\lambda} \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{\gamma_k}{\gamma_k^2 + \omega^2}
$$
\nwith $\gamma_k = \frac{(2k+1)^2 \pi^2 \eta}{2\lambda} = (2k+1)^2 \pi^2 \frac{D}{\ell^2}$

• Note $\rho_p^{(0)}(\omega)$ and $\rho_p^{(2)}(\omega)$ exactly cancel at $\omega = 0$ as

The Wightmann correlator and the SPF

• Still $\rho_p^{(1)}(\omega)$ and $\rho_p^{(2)}(\omega)$ generally have to be calculated numerically

In the low- ω region there are clearly visible modifications

Note: $\bar{\omega} = \omega/\eta$

Connecting to the Euclidean Correlator

• The Euclidean correlator is the analytic continuation of the Wightmann correlator to imaginary times

$$
G_E(t,\vec{k})=W(-it,\vec{k})
$$

• However, in the short distance expansion the connection is

$$
\frac{d^2}{dt^2} G_E(t = \hbar \beta / 2, 0) = -\frac{d^2}{dt^2} W(t, 0) \Big|_{t=0} (1 + O(\hbar))
$$

they are directly related via the second thermal moment

$$
G_E'' = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} G_E(t = \hbar \beta/2) = \int_0^\infty d\omega \, \rho(\omega) \, \frac{\omega^2}{\sinh(\omega/2)}
$$

Connecting to the Euclidean Correlator

• Recall for small τ we had

$$
W_p^{(1)}(\tau) \simeq MT \frac{\tau^{11/2}}{\sqrt{\lambda}}
$$

$$
W_p^{(2)}(\tau) \simeq -\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} MT \frac{\tau^2}{\sqrt{\lambda}}
$$

 $11/2$

there is a $1/\sqrt{\lambda}$ dependent contribution in $\ G^{\prime\prime}_E$

$$
G_E'' = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} G_E(t = \hbar \beta/2) = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}} MT \frac{\eta^2}{\sqrt{\lambda}} = 8\sqrt{\frac{T^3 M}{2\pi}} \frac{\eta}{l}
$$

) we can extract η directly from the finite size effects in G_E''

Note: A similar idea using a small chemical potential was explored in: P.Petreczky, D.Teaney; Phys.Rev. D73 (2006) 014508

Connecting to the Euclidean Correlator

• Numerically we compute the thermal moment via the SPF

• Dependence in $1/\sqrt{\lambda} \sim 1/l$ in $G_E''/G_E^{(0)''}$

Conclusion

- We implemented hard wall boundary conditions in Langevin dynamics
- We evaluated their impact on the spectral function and the Euclidean correlator
- We found a $1/l$ -dependence in the second thermal moment of the Euclidean correlator that is directly proportional to the diffusion constant
- In a next step we hope to observe this type of dependence also in $(1+1)D$ model(s)

Backup Slides

Connecting to the Current-Current Correlator

- The Wightmann correlator describes the correlation of the oneparticle momentum
- For normalization check the particle densities:

$$
\int d^3x \langle \rho_p(t, \vec{x}) \rho_p(0, 0) \rangle = 1
$$

$$
\int d^3x \langle \rho(t, \vec{x}) \rho(0, 0) \rangle = T \chi_s
$$

The connection to the heavy quark current is

$$
W_{HQ}(t, \vec{k} = 0) = \frac{T\chi_s}{M^2} W_p(t)
$$

The Wightmann-Correlator

• The second term is

$$
W_p^{(1)}(\tau) = MT \cdot (-1) \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right) \sqrt{\lambda} \phi(\tau) e^{-\tau} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \cdot
$$

$$
\int_0^1 d\chi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\bar{p} \bar{p}^2 e^{-\bar{p}^2} \int_{P_{2n-1}}^{P_{2n}} dP e^{-\lambda P^2/\phi(\tau)}
$$

- where
	- $\chi = x/l$ $\phi(\tau) = 2\tau - 3 + 4e^{-\tau} - e^{-2\tau}$ $\bar{p} = p/\sqrt{2MT}$ $P_{2n} = 2n - \chi - \bar{p}(1 - e^{-\tau})/\sqrt{\lambda}$ $P = R/l$

Introduction

- Heavy quark dynamics can be studied in experiments, e.g. @RHIC or @Alice
- PHENIX results suggest
- ➔ Radiative energy loss does not explain R_{AA} by itself.
- ➔ Collisional energy loss must also be included
- $\rightarrow v_2^{HF}$ is larger than initially expected from kinetic