#### Probing the EoS of Asymmetric Matter

#### William Lynch, NSCL MSU

- Motivations
- Sources of constraints on the EOS and symmetry energy.
  - Astrophysics
  - Nuclear experiments
- Laboratory constraints from nuclear collisions
- What improvements in theory are needed.
- Summary and outlook

#### EoS: How does it depend on $\rho$ and $\delta$ ?



### EOS, Symmetry Energy and Neutron Stars

- Influences neutron Star stability against gravitational collapse
- Stellar density profile
- Internal structure: occurrence of various phases.
- Observational consequences:
  - Cooling rates of protoneutron stars and
  - Temperatures and luminosities of X-ray bursters .
  - Stellar masses, radii and moments of inertia.
    - Can be studied by X-ray observers.



- Precise studies of low mass X-ray binaries could have been possible with the "International X-ray Observatory" (not in the budget).
- In the interim, scientists are working to extract constraints from available data on X-ray bursters and low mass X-ray binaries.

 $\Rightarrow$  It is important to obtain laboratory constraints.

#### Need for laboratory probes sensitive to higher densities $\rho \ge 2\rho_0$

- In a Taylor series about  $\rho_0$ , the incompressibility,  $K_{nm}$  provides the term proportional to  $(\rho \rho_0)^2$ .
- The solid black, dashed brown and dashed blue EoS's all have  $K_{nm}$ =300 MeV.
  - To probe the EoS at  $3\rho_0$ , you need to compress matter to  $3\rho_0$  to determine the higher order terms. This is the primary motivation for probing the EoS with nucleus-nucleus collisions.



## Constraining the EOS at high densities by laboratory collisions



- Two observable consequences of the high pressures that are formed:
  - Nucleons deflected sideways in the reaction plane.
  - Nucleons are "squeezed out" above and below the reaction plane. .

#### Flow studies of the symmetric matter EOS

- Theoretical tool: transport theory:
  - Example Boltzmann-Uehling-Uhlenbeck eq. (Bertsch Phys. Rep. 160, 189 (1988).) has derivation from TDHF:

$$\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t} + \vec{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{\mathbf{r}} f_1 - \vec{\nabla}_{\mathbf{r}} U \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{\mathbf{p}} f_1$$
  
=  $\frac{4}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3 k_2 d\Omega \frac{d\sigma_{nn}}{d\Omega} v_{12} [f_3 f_4 (1 - f_1)(1 - f_2) - f_1 f_2 (1 - f_3)(1 - f_4)]$ 

- f is the Wigner transform of the one-body density matrix
- semi-classically, =  $f(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, t)$  (number of nucleons/d<sup>3</sup>rd<sup>3</sup>p at  $\vec{r}$  and  $\vec{p}$ ).
- BUU can describe nucleon flows, the nucleation of weakly bound light particles and the production of nucleon resonances.
- The production of heavier fragments is a difficult problem. It have been calculated with Anti-Symmetrized Molecular Dynamics (AMD) and other molecular dynamics techniques with mixed success. Such observables are sensitive to fluctuations in the mean field that give rise to spinodal decomposition.
- The most accurately predicted observables are those that can be calculated from  $f(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, t)$  i.e. flows and other average properties of the events.

### Some technical points

- Semi-classical: "time dependent Thomas-Fermi theory"
  - Respect of Pauli principle is assured by Liouville's theorem and by the blocking factors in the collision integral.
- Each nucleon is represented by ~1000 test particles that propogate classically under the influence of the self-consistent mean field U and subject to collisions due to the residual interaction.
- Mean field is momentum dependent:
  - Momentum dependence of N-N interaction
  - Fock term
- Nucleon-nucleon cross sections are modified in the medium

### Procedure to study EOS using transport theory

- Measure collisions
- Simulate collisions with BUU or other transport theory
- Identify observables that are sensitive to EOS (see Danielewicz et al., Science 298,1592 (2002). for flow observables)
  - − Directed transverse flow (in-plane) ►
  - "Elliptical flow" out of plane, e.g. "squeeze-out"
  - Kaon production. (Schmah, PRC C **71**, 064907 (2005))
  - Isospin diffusion
  - Neutron vs. proton emission and flow.
  - Pion production.

symmetric matter EOS

symmetry energy

- Find the mean field(s) that describes the data. If more than one mean field describes the data, resolve the ambiguity with additional data.
- Constrain the effective masses and in-medium cross sections by additional data.
- Use the mean field potentials to calculate the EOS.

## Constraining the EOS at high densities by laboratory collisions



- Two observable consequences of the high pressures that are formed:
  - Nucleons deflected sideways in the reaction plane.
  - Nucleons are "squeezed out" above and below the reaction plane. .

# Determination of symmetric matter EOS from nucleus-nucleus collisions



- The curves labeled by K represent calculations with parameterized Skyrme mean fields
  - They are adjusted to find the pressure that replicates the observed transverse flow.



- The boundaries represent the range of pressures obtained for the mean fields that reproduce the data.
- They also reflect the uncertainties from the effective masses ▶ and in-medium cross sections.

## Theoretical problem: constraining the momentum dependence

- Momentum dependence, e.g. from meson exchange or from the Foch term, reduces the effective mass, increasing the acceleration and making the mean field potential appear "stiffer".
- Ancillary measurements are needed to constrain the momentum dependence
  - Out-of-plane enhancement in peripheral collisions.
  - Measurements of transverse flow in asymmetric systems.
- Such observables were discovered and analyzed long after the experimental program was completed.
  - This is really too late!



#### Example: Flow Constraints on symmetric matter EOS at $\rho > 2 \rho_0$ .



- Flow confirms the softening of the EOS at high density.
- Constraints from kaon production are consistent with the flow constraints and bridge gap to GMR constraints.
- Note: analysis requires additional constraints on  $m^*$  and  $\sigma_{NN}$ .



- The symmetry energy dominates the uncertainty in the n-matter EOS.
- Both laboratory and astronomical constraints on the density dependence of the symmetry energy are urgently needed.

### Probing the symmetry energy at sub-saturation densities: What influences the choice of reaction observables?

- The symmetry mean field potential energy has an opposite sign for neutrons and protons.
- $\Rightarrow$  Desirable features for probes
  - Vary isospin of detected particle
  - Vary isospin asymmetry  $\delta = (N-Z)/A$  of reaction.
- Also supra-saturation and sub-saturation densities are only achieved *momentarily*
- Therefore, theoretical description must follow the reaction dynamics selfconsistently from contact to detection.
- Isospin diffusion, n/p flows and pion production can be calculated using transport theories :
  - Depend to first order on the single particle distribution function, which can be more accurately calculated in BUU or QMD transport theory.
  - May be less sensitive to uncertainties in (1) the production mechanism for complex fragments and (2) secondary decay.

#### Probe: Isospin diffusion in peripheral collisions



#### Sensitivity to symmetry energy

$$R_{i}(\delta) = 2 \cdot \frac{\delta - (\delta_{Neutron-rich} + \delta_{Proton-rich})/2}{\delta_{Neutron-rich} - \delta_{Proton-rich}}$$

- The asymmetry of the spectators can change due to diffusion, but it also can changed due to preequilibrium emission.
- The use of the isospin transport ratio  $R_i(\delta)$  isolates the diffusion effects:



Lijun Shi, thesis

*Tsang et al.*, *PRL92*(2004)

#### Probing the asymmetry of the Spectators

- The main effect of changing the asymmetry of the projectile spectator remnant is to shift the isotopic distributions of the products of its decay
- This can be described by the isoscaling parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ :  $\frac{Y_2(N,Z)}{Y_1(N,Z)} = C \exp(\alpha N + \beta Z)$



#### Probing the asymmetry of the Spectators

- The main effect of changing the asymmetry of the projectile spectator remnant is to shift the isotopic distributions of the products of its decay
- This can be described by the isoscaling parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ :  $\frac{Y_2(N,Z)}{Y_1(N,Z)} = C \exp(\alpha N + \beta Z)$



#### Quantitative values

- Gates were set on the values for  $R_i(\alpha)$  near beam rapidity.
  - −  $R_i(\alpha) \approx 0.47 \pm 0.05$  for <sup>124</sup>Sn+<sup>112</sup>Sn
  - $R_i(\alpha) \approx -0.44 \pm 0.05$  for <sup>112</sup>Sn+<sup>124</sup>Sn
- Obtained similar values for  $R_i(\ln(Y(^7Li)/Y(^7Be)))$ 
  - Allows exploration of dependence on rapidity and transverse momentum.
  - Observe very little p<sub>T</sub> dependence



Liu et al.PRC 76, 034603 (2007). c

#### Comparison to ImQMD calculations (Yinxun Zhang and Zhuxia Li)

- ImQMD calculations were performed for  $\gamma_i$ =0.35-2.0, S<sub>int</sub>=17.6 MeV.
- Momentum dependent mean fields with  $m_n */m_n = m_p */m_p = 0.7$  were used. Symmetry energies:  $S(\rho) \approx 12.3 \cdot (\rho/\rho_0)^{2/3} + 17.6 \cdot (\rho/\rho_0)^{\gamma_i}$



### Diffusion is sensitive to $S(0.4\rho)$ , which corresponds to a contour in the $(S_0, L)$ plane.





•Open circle (Moller): •FRDM •Star (Murakami): • <sup>204</sup>Pb, <sup>206</sup>Pb, <sup>208</sup>Pb •Squares (Kohley): •t/3He flow •nStar (Steiner): •Gamma<0.65 •Diamonds (Roca-Maza): n-skin from antiproton probes •SKM (Stone): •Skyme Interactions •Triangle (Vidana): BHF

### **Intermediate Summary**

- We have some significant constraints on the symmetry energy at subsaturation density.
  - Can expect these constraints to become more stringent.
- How can measurements make the reactions constraints more stringent?
  - Do better experiments and measure more observables.
- What do we need from theory?
  - We need the various transport models to have minimum set of standard options: standard mean field parameterizations –including momentum dependence, in-medium cross sections, output files.
    - Important for code verification.
    - Important to understand the origins of the calculated effects.
  - Need to constrain in-medium cross sections.
  - Need to improve the treatment of cluster production or find observables that are insensitive to it.
  - Need timely response to discrepancies between calculations.

#### Example: Constraints at $\rho < \rho_0$



- What additional observables can we measure?
- How can we improve the experiments?
- Can we understand the model dependencies?

# Recent isospin diffusion measurements of Sn+Sn collisions at E/A = 35 MeV and comparisons of ImQMD calculations



No complete stopping or isospin equilibration in central collisions. Greater stopping occurs at E/A=50 MeV

Data are in reasonable agreement with  $\gamma_i \sim 0.5$ , consistent with E/A=50 MeV data.

Part of a program to improve the constraints on the symmetry energy at  $\rho \approx 1/2\rho$  involving new measurements of isospin diffusions and n/p ratios, as well as new transport calculations to explore the model dependence of such constraints.

# Understanding theoretical predictions and their limitations: Model types and codes

Boltzmann-Uehling-Uhlenbeck	Molecular Dynamics
Many test particles / nucleon	One particle / nucleon, with finite width
Fragments from mean-field instabilities → suppressed for many test particles / nucleon	Fragments from N-body correlations
Collision rearranges test particles $\rightarrow$ smaller fluctuations	Collision rearranges whole nucleon $\rightarrow$ larger fluctuations
Partial Pauli blocking of test particles $\rightarrow$ less restrictive	Pauli blocking of whole nucleons $\rightarrow$ more restrictive

	Light clusters	Isovector Momentum Dependence
ImQMD05	N-body correlations	No
pBUU	A < 4	No
IBUU04	No	Yes

#### **Example: In-Medium NN Cross Sections**

- "Screened" geometric cross section
  - Danielewicz (pBUU)

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 \tanh(\sigma_{\text{free}} / \sigma_0)$$
  
 $\sigma_0 = y \rho^{=2/3}, y = 0.85$ 

- "Rostock": parameterized results of BHF calc.
  - Similar to reductions in IBUU04, IMQMD05.

$$\sigma = \sigma_{\text{free}} \exp -0.6 \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \frac{1}{1 + (T_{\text{C.M.}} / 150 \text{ MeV})^2}$$

• Both give similar viscosity (similar effects) at E/A>400 MeV



#### Cross section dependencies for different models



- pBUU Strong dependence on cross section, influenced by momentum dependence. Screened reduces all  $\sigma_{nn}$ ,  $\sigma_{pp}$  and  $\sigma_{np}$  to a small and similar value at moderate density.
- IBUU04 Similar to pBUU Rostock
- ImQMD –studies done with different constrains on cross section dependence
  - Existing study with cross sections fixed to maintain constant collision rate  $\Rightarrow$  no observed dependence on isospin dependence of cross sections

#### Influence of Collisions on Diffusion



- Behavior at large cross section consistent with mean free path.
- Only np cross section causes a significant change in the diffusion
- Collisions reduce the diffusion caused by the symmetry energy
- Collisions reduce the asymmetry of the exchanged nucleons

#### **Cluster production**

- Test particles can undergo inelastic collisions and form clusters.
  - E.g. Three nucleons collide. Two fuse to form deuteron and the remaining one escapes, conserving 4 momentum.
- Not a native feature of BUU models
- Included in the pBUU code as inverse of breakup up through mass 3



### Clustering effects on dynamics

- Increases mean field instabilities  $\rightarrow$  more violent neck breakup
- Additional NN collision phase space larger cross section
- Without clusters, neck tends to be much more asymmetric than large residues. With clusters, neck is roughly the same asymmetry.
  - Important experimental objective: Compare heavy residue asymmetry with that of neck fragments.
- Mass 3 clusters are overproduced by factor of 3 relative to experiment.
- We need to include the alpha particle to understand this better.
- Cluster effects are also very pronounced in central collisions (not shown).



#### Another observable: Neutron/Proton Double Ratios

- How it works: Symmetry energy expels neutron excess;
  - Soft symmetry energy larger during expansion.
- The ImQMD and IBUU04 calculations don't agree
  - IBUU04 assumes m<sub>n</sub>\*>m<sub>p</sub>\*. Is this why?
  - What are m<sub>n</sub><sup>\*</sup>, m<sub>p</sub><sup>\*</sup> from DOM; with uncertainties?
  - Need the ability to set  $m_n^*$  and  $m_p^*$ ; as a standard option.
- Data have large error bars
  - New data are being analyzed

$$DR(n/p) = \frac{Y(n)/Y(p);^{124}Sn + {}^{124}Sn}{Y(n)/Y(p);^{112}Sn + {}^{112}Sn}$$



#### Summary and Outlook

- The density dependence of the symmetry energy is of fundamental importance to neutron stars.
- Heavy ion collisions provide unique possibilities to probe the EOS of dense asymmetric matter.
- Calculations suggest a number of promising observables that can probe the density dependence of the symmetry energy.
  - Isospin diffusion, isotope ratios, n/p spectral ratios, GMR, Pigmy and Giant Dipole provide some constraints at  $\rho \le \rho_{0,}$ .
  - $-\pi^+$  vs.  $\pi^-$  production, neutron/proton spectra and flows may provide constraints at  $\rho \approx 2\rho_0$  and above. This is the key motivation for using nucleus-nucleus collisions.
- The availability of fast stable and rare isotope beams at a variety of energies at MSU, RIKEN and GSI allows the exploration of the symmetry energy at a range of densities.

### What do we need from theory?

- We need the various transport models to have minimum set of standard options: standard mean field parameterizations –including momentum dependence, in-medium cross sections, output files.
  - Important for code verification.
  - Important to understand the origins of the calculated effects.
- Need to constrain in-medium cross sections.
- Need to improve the treatment of cluster production or find observables that are insensitive to it.
- Need timely response to discrepancies between calculations.
  - There are big discrepancies between the predictions for the symmetry energy dependence of pion production for example.
  - These important questions need resolution.