### T violation in nuclear systems. An effective approach

Emanuele Mereghetti

LBNL

September 21st, 2011 Frontiers in QCD, INT, Seattle.

in collaboration with: U. van Kolck, J. de Vries, R. Timmermans, W. Hockings, C. Maekawa, C. P. Liu, I. Stetcu, R. Higa.

## Outline

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

#### **1** Motivations

**2** Sources of T violation

3 Chiral Perturbation Theory Lagrangian with T violation

- 4 Nucleon EDM
- **5** Deuteron EDM and MQM
- **6** Triton and Helion EDM

**7** Summary & Conclusion

## Motivations and Introduction

A permanent Electric Dipole Moment (EDM) of a particle with spin

- signal of T and P violation
- signal T violation in the flavor diagonal sector
- · relatively insensitive to the CKM phase

#### Standard Model:



 $d_n \sim 10^{-32} e \mathrm{~cm}$ 

for review: M. Pospelov and A. Ritz, '05

#### Current bounds:

• neutron  $|d_n| < 2.9 \times 10^{-26} e \text{ cm}$ 

UltraCold Neutron Experiment @ ILL C. A. Baker *et al.*, '06

• proton  $|d_p| < 7.9 \times 10^{-25} e \text{ cm}$ 

199Hg EDM @ Univ. of Washington

W. C. Griffith et al., '09

Large window for new physics and intense experimental activity!

## T-violating observables



UCN experiment @ SNS Oak Ridge

• 2020:  $d_n \sim 10^{-28} e \text{ cm}$ 

1. Neutron EDM

UltraCold Neutron experiment @ PSI

- · currently taking data
- 2013:  $d_n \sim 5 \times 10^{-27} e \text{ cm}$
- 2016:  $d_n \sim 5 \times 10^{-28} e \text{ cm}$



▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ = ● のへの

## T-violating observables



- 3. Deuteron, Triton, Helion EDM
  - Storage Ring Experiment @

2. Proton EDM

Storage Ring Experiment @ BNL

- 2010-2013: R&D
- 2013: start ring construction
- 2016: start physics run aim for  $d_p \sim 10^{-29} \ e \ {\rm cm}$

- ? COSY Jülich Forschungszentrum
- ? BNL, after completion proton EDM

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- same sensitivity as proton EDM experiment,  $d_d \sim 10^{-29} e \text{ cm}$
- no definitive timeline for EDM experiment

Motivations and Introduction

Can a measurement of nucleon or deuteron EDM pinpoint the microscopic mechanism(s) that generates it?

- a. high energy: modelling beyond SM physics
- b. low energy: hadronic or nuclear matrix element

*leave it to model builders* **non perturbative QCD problem** 

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Strategy: Chiral symmetry of QCD & low energy Effective Field Theories

different properties under  $SU_L(2) \times SU_R(2)$  $\Downarrow$ different relations between low-energy TV observables

## Motivations and Introduction

Can a measurement of nucleon or deuteron EDM pinpoint the microscopic mechanism(s) that generates it?

- a. high energy: modelling beyond SM physics
- b. low energy: hadronic or nuclear matrix element

*leave it to model builders* **non perturbative QCD problem** 

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

Strategy: Chiral symmetry of QCD & low energy Effective Field Theories

• integrate out all the heavy fields

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{QCD} + \mathcal{L}_{f} = \mathcal{L}_{QCD} + \sum_{n} \frac{c_{n}}{M_{f}^{d_{n}-4}} \mathcal{O}_{fn} \left(A_{\mu}, G_{\mu}, u, d\right)$$

- construct hadronic operators with same chiral properties as  $\mathcal{O}_{T,n}$
- organize operators in a systematic expansion in  $m_{\pi}/M_{QCD}$
- · hide non perturbative ignorance in (hopefully few) unknown coefficients
- · look for qualitatively different low energy effects of various TV sources

## The QCD Theta Term

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

- $\theta, \varphi \neq 0$  break P and T
- $M \neq 0$  explicitly breaks chiral symmetry

### The QCD Theta Term

- $\theta, \varphi \neq 0$  break P and T
- $M \neq 0$  explicitly breaks chiral symmetry
- eliminate  $\theta$  with (anomalous)  $SU_A(2) \times U_A(1)$  axial rotation

$$\mathcal{L}_4 = -\bar{m}\,r(\bar{\theta})\,\bar{q}q + \varepsilon\bar{m}\,r^{-1}(\bar{\theta})\,\bar{q}\tau_3\bar{q} + \mathbf{m}_\star\,\sin\bar{\theta}\,r^{-1}(\bar{\theta})\,i\bar{q}\gamma^5q,$$

with

$$\bar{\theta} = 2\varphi - \theta, \qquad m_{\star} = \frac{m_u m_d}{m_u + m_d} = \frac{\bar{m}}{2} \left( 1 - \varepsilon^2 \right)$$

### The QCD Theta Term

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

- $\theta, \varphi \neq 0$  break P and T
- $M \neq 0$  explicitly breaks chiral symmetry
- eliminate  $\theta$  with (anomalous)  $SU_A(2) \times U_A(1)$  axial rotation

$$\mathcal{L}_4 = -\bar{m}\,r(\bar{\theta})\,S_4 + \varepsilon\bar{m}\,r^{-1}(\bar{\theta})\,P_3 + m_\star\,\sin\bar{\theta}\,r^{-1}(\bar{\theta})\,P_4,$$

•  $\bar{\theta}$  and *m* break chiral symmetry in a very specific way

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} -i\bar{q}\gamma^5 \tau q \\ \bar{q}q \end{pmatrix} \qquad P = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{q}\tau q \\ i\bar{q}\gamma^5 q \end{pmatrix}$$

• SO(4) vector • SO(4) vector

## Dimension 6 TV sources

- no dimension 5 operator with quarks/gluons
- several dimension 6 operators

$$\mathcal{L}_{6, \, vvv} = \frac{d_{W}}{6} f^{abc} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} G^{a}_{\alpha\beta} G^{b}_{\mu\rho} G^{c\,\rho}_{\nu} + \dots$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{6, \, qq\varphiv} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{q}_{L} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \left\{ \tilde{\Gamma}^{u} \lambda^{a} G^{a}_{\mu\nu} + \Gamma^{u}_{B} B_{\mu\nu} + \Gamma^{u}_{W} \tau \cdot \mathbf{W}_{\mu\nu} \right\} \frac{\tilde{\varphi}}{v} u_{R} + \dots$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{6, \, qqqq} = \Sigma_{1} \left( \bar{q}^{J}_{L} u_{R} \right) \varepsilon_{JK} \left( \bar{q}^{K}_{L} d_{R} \right) + \Sigma_{8} \left( \bar{q}^{J}_{L} \lambda^{a} u_{R} \right) \varepsilon_{JK} \left( \bar{q}^{K}_{L} \lambda^{a} d_{R} \right)$$

Buchmuller & Wyler '86, Weinberg '89, de Rujula et al. '91, ...

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ ● ● ● ●

•  $\Gamma$  and  $\Sigma$  complex-valued matrices in flavor space

$$d_{W} = \mathcal{O}\left(4\pi \frac{w}{M_{f}^{2}}\right), \ \tilde{\Gamma}^{u,d} = \mathcal{O}\left(4\pi \tilde{\delta}_{u,d} \frac{v\lambda_{u,d}}{M_{f}^{2}}\right), \ \Sigma_{1,8} = \mathcal{O}\left((4\pi)^{2} \frac{\sigma_{1,8}}{M_{f}^{2}}\right)$$

m<sub>π</sub>

M<sub>QCD</sub>

 $\mathsf{M}_{\mathsf{W}}$ 

## Dimension 6 TV sources

• spontaneous symmetry breaking: 
$$\varphi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix}$$

• integrate out heavy stuff (c, b, t, W, Z, Higgs)

• gluon chromo-EDM (gCEDM)

$$\mathcal{L}_{6,\,\mathrm{vvv}} = \frac{d_W}{6} f^{abc} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} G^a_{\alpha\beta} G^b_{\mu\rho} G^{c\,\rho}_{\nu}$$

• quark EDM (qEDM) and chromo-EDM (qCEDM)

$$\mathcal{L}_{6, \, qq\varphi v} = -\frac{1}{2} \,\bar{q} \, i\sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma^5 \left( d_0 + d_3 \tau_3 \right) q F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \,\bar{q} \, i\sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma^5 \left( \tilde{d}_0 + \tilde{d}_3 \tau_3 \right) \lambda^a q \, G^a_{\mu\nu}$$

TV 4-quark operators

$$\mathcal{L}_{6, qqqq} = \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{Im} \Sigma_1 \left( \bar{q} q \, \bar{q} i \gamma^5 q - \bar{q} \boldsymbol{\tau} q \cdot \bar{q} \boldsymbol{\tau} i \gamma^5 q \right) + \dots$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

m<sub>π</sub>

M

## Chiral properties of dimension 6 sources

1. qCEDM & qEDM

$$\mathcal{L}_{qq\varphi v} = -\tilde{d}_0 \tilde{V}_4 + \tilde{d}_3 \tilde{W}_3 - d_0 V_4 + d_3 W_3$$

•  $\tilde{V}$ ,  $\tilde{W}$  and V, W are SO(4) vectors

$$\tilde{W} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -i\bar{q}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma^5\boldsymbol{\tau}\lambda^a q\\ \bar{q}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\lambda^a q \end{pmatrix} G^a_{\mu\nu}, \qquad \tilde{V} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{q}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\boldsymbol{\tau}\lambda^a q\\ i\bar{q}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma^5\lambda^a q \end{pmatrix} G^a_{\mu\nu}.$$

2. gCEDM & TV 4-quark operators

$$\mathcal{L}_{vvv} + \mathcal{L}_{qqqq} = d_W I_W + \operatorname{Im} \Sigma_1 I_{qq}^{(1)} + \operatorname{Im} \Sigma_8 I_{qq}^{(8)}$$

•  $I_W, I_{qq}^{(1)}, I_{qq}^{(8)}$  are chiral invariant ( $\chi I$ )

 $\mathbf{m}_{_{\pi}}$ 

### Dimension 6 TV sources

$$\begin{aligned} d_{0,3} &= \mathcal{O}\left(e\delta\frac{\bar{m}}{M_{f}^{2}}\right), \qquad \tilde{d}_{0,3} &= \mathcal{O}\left(4\pi\tilde{\delta}\frac{\bar{m}}{M_{f}^{2}}\right), \\ d_{w} &= \mathcal{O}\left(4\pi\frac{w}{M_{f}^{2}}\right), \qquad \Sigma_{1,8} &= \mathcal{O}\left((4\pi)^{2}\frac{\sigma}{M_{f}^{2}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

- dimensionless factor  $\delta$ ,  $\tilde{\delta}$ , w and  $\sigma$  depend on details of TV mechanism
- 1. Naturalness

$$\delta = \mathcal{O}(1), \qquad \tilde{\delta} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{g_s}{4\pi}\right), \qquad w = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{g_s^3}{(4\pi)^3}\right), \qquad \sigma = \mathcal{O}(1)$$

- 2. Standard Model
- $M_{f} = M_W$  $\delta \sim e J_{\rm CP} rac{m_{c,s}^2}{M_W^2}$

$$w \sim rac{g_s^3}{(4\pi)^3} J_{
m CP} rac{m_b^2 m_c^2 m_s^2}{M_W^6}$$

M. Pospelov and A. Ritz, '05

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

• suppressed by extra powers of  $M_W$ !

### Dimension 6 TV sources

#### 3. MSSM

- $M_{\mathcal{T}} = \tilde{m} \sim \text{TeV}$
- gluino contribution (under various simplifying assumptions)

$$\tilde{\delta} \sim \frac{g_s}{4\pi} \frac{\alpha_s(\tilde{m})}{4\pi} \operatorname{Im} \frac{X_q}{\tilde{m}} \qquad \qquad \delta \sim \frac{4}{3} e^{\frac{\alpha_s(\tilde{m})}{4\pi}} \operatorname{Im} \frac{X_q}{\tilde{m}} \qquad \qquad w \sim \frac{g_s^3}{(4\pi)^3} \frac{\alpha_s(\tilde{m})}{4\pi} \operatorname{Im} \frac{X_q}{\tilde{m}}$$

T. Ibrahim and P. Nath, '08

- suppressed by α<sub>s</sub>(m̃)
- $\sigma$  not studied much. In most models, extra  $m_q/M_T$  suppression.

Factors  $\delta$ ,  $\tilde{\delta}$ , w,  $\sigma$ 

- · difficult to compare different dim. 6 sources in a way independent of new physics model
- for each source, study relative contributions to different TV observables

### The T-violating Chiral Lagrangian

Expansion in powers of  $Q, m_{\pi}/M_{QCD}$ 

$$\mathcal{L}_{f} = \sum_{f, \Delta_{\theta}} \mathcal{L}_{f, f}^{(\Delta_{\theta})} + \sum_{f, \Delta_{6}} \mathcal{L}_{f, f}^{(\Delta_{6})}$$

•  $\Delta_{\theta,6}$ : count inverse powers of  $M_{QCD}$  in coefficients

$$\Delta_{\theta} = d + 2m + f/2 - 2 \ge 1$$

• 
$$\Delta_6 \ge -1$$

 $A \leq 1$ : perturbative expansion of the amplitudes

$$\mathcal{M} \sim \left(rac{Q}{M_{QCD}}
ight)^{
u}$$
  
 $u = 2L + \sum_{i} \Delta_{i}, \quad M_{QCD} = 2\pi F_{\pi}$ 

- *f* = 0, 2: # of nucleon legs
- d: # of derivatives or photon fields
- m: # of quark mass insertions



▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで



<ロト < 同ト < 回ト < 回ト = 三日 = 三日







· "perturbative pions"

1. LO potential: contact S-wave operator  $(C_0)$ 

2. pion exchange as perturbation:  $Q/M_{NN} \ll 1$ 

<ロト < 同ト < 回ト < 回ト = 三日 = 三日

3.  $\gamma = \sqrt{m_N B}$  only relevant parameter in LO



- "perturbative pions"
- "non-perturbative pions"

1. pion exchange leading effect

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

 $Q/M_{NN} \sim 1$ 

## The T-violating Chiral Lagrangian: ingredients

· pion-nucleon TV interactions

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T},f=2} = -\frac{\bar{g}_0}{F_\pi}\bar{N}\boldsymbol{\pi}\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau}N - \frac{\bar{g}_1}{F_\pi}\pi_3\bar{N}N - \frac{\bar{g}_2}{F_\pi}\pi_3\bar{N}\tau_3N$$

• nucleon-nucleon TV interactions

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T},f=4} = \bar{C}_1 \bar{N} N \partial_\mu (\bar{N} S^\mu N) + \bar{C}_2 \bar{N} \tau N \cdot \mathcal{D}_\mu (\bar{N} \tau S^\mu N)$$

• nucleon-photon TV interactions

-----

$$\mathcal{L}_{T\gamma,f=2} = -2\bar{N}\left(\bar{d}_0 + \bar{d}_1\tau_3\right)S^{\mu}v^{\nu}NF_{\mu\nu}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

	pion-nucleon	photon-nucleon $\times Q^2$	nucleon-nucleon $\times F_{\pi}^2 Q^2$
$\overline{\theta}$ term, qCEDM	1	$Q^2/M_{QCD}^2$	$Q^2/M_{QCD}^2$
gCEDM 4-quark	1	1	1
qEDM	$lpha_{ m em}/\pi$	$Q^2/M_{QCD}^2$	$\alpha_{\rm em}Q^2/\pi M_{QCD}^2$

- chiral-breaking sources TV π-N couplings have lowest chiral index
- 1. pion loops and short-range EDM operators equally important for nucleon EDM
- 2. pion-exchange dominate EDMs of light nuclei

... unless selection rules!

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

	pion-nucleon	photon-nucleon $\times Q^2$	nucleon-nucleon $\times F_{\pi}^2 Q^2$
$\overline{\theta}$ term, qCEDM	1	$Q^2/M_{QCD}^2$	$Q^2/M_{QCD}^2$
gCEDM 4-quark	1	1	1
qEDM	$lpha_{ m em}/\pi$	$Q^2/M_{QCD}^2$	$lpha_{ m em}Q^2/\pi M_{QCD}^2$

- chiral-breaking sources TV π-N couplings have lowest chiral index
- chiral-invariant sources same chiral index for all interactions

- 1. short-range EDM operators dominate nucleon EDM
- 2. one-body effects & pion-exchange at the same level in light nuclei

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

	pion-nucleon	photon-nucleon $\times Q^2$	nucleon-nucleon $\times F_{\pi}^2 Q^2$
$\overline{\theta}$ term, qCEDM	1	$Q^2/M_{QCD}^2$	$Q^2/M_{QCD}^2$
gCEDM 4-quark	1	1	1
qEDM	$lpha_{ m em}/\pi$	$Q^2/M_{QCD}^2$	$lpha_{ m em}Q^2/\pi M_{QCD}^2$

- chiral-breaking sources TV π-N couplings have lowest chiral index
- chiral-invariant sources same chiral index for all interactions
- qEDM long-distance suppressed by  $\alpha_{em}$

1. nucleon and nuclei EDMs dominated by TV currents

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

		$\overline{g}_0$	$\overline{g}_1$	$\bar{g}_2$
$\theta$ term	LO	$\theta$	—	—
	N <sup>2</sup> LO	$\bar{\theta} m_{\pi}^2 / M_{QCD}^2$	$\bar{\theta} \varepsilon m_{\pi}^2 / M_{QCD}^2$	—
qCEDM	LO	$\tilde{\delta}$	$\tilde{\delta}$	_
-	N <sup>2</sup> LO	$\tilde{\delta}m_{\pi}^2/M_{QCD}^2$	$\tilde{\delta} m_\pi^2/M_{QCD}^2$	$\tilde{\delta}m_{\pi}^2/M_{QCD}^2$
ΤV χΙ	LO	w	w	—

 $\bar{\theta}$  term

- only isoscalar  $\overline{g}_0$  at LO
- isovector  $\bar{g}_1$  suppressed by  $m_{\pi}^2/M_{QCD}^2$

qCEDM

•  $\bar{g}_0$  and  $\bar{g}_1$  equally important

TV  $\chi$ I sources

- $\bar{g}_1$  and  $\bar{g}_0$  equally important
- ... but more derivative & short-distance effects equally relevant

important for dEDM!

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### Nucleon EDM. Theta Term



$$\begin{split} J_{ed}^{\mu}(q) &= 2i \left( S \cdot q v^{\mu} - S^{\mu} v \cdot q \right) \left( F_0(\mathbf{q}^2) + \tau_3 F_1(\mathbf{q}^2) \right), \\ F_i(\mathbf{q}^2) &= d_i - S_i' \mathbf{q}^2 + H_i(\mathbf{q}^2), \qquad \mathbf{q}^2 = -q^2. \end{split}$$

#### Leading Order

• F<sub>0</sub> purely determined by short-distance physics. No momentum dependence

$$d_0 = \bar{d}_0^{(3)}, \qquad S_0' = 0$$

*F*<sub>1</sub> sensitive to short-distance & charged pions in the loops ⇒ *g*<sub>0</sub> only relevant *π*-N coupling

$$d_1 = \bar{d}_1^{(3)} + \frac{eg_A \bar{g}_0}{(2\pi F_\pi)^2} \left[ L - \ln \frac{m_\pi^2}{\mu^2} \right], \qquad S_1' = \frac{eg_A \bar{g}_0}{(2\pi F_\pi)^2} \frac{1}{6m_\pi^2},$$

R. Crewther et al., '79, W. Hockings and U. Van Kolck, '05.

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

### Nucleon EDM. Theta Term

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで



#### Next-to-Leading Order

• first non-analytic contribution & momentum dependence to  $F_0(\mathbf{q}^2)$ 

$$d_0 = \bar{d}_0^{(3)} + \frac{eg_A \bar{g}_0}{(2\pi F_\pi)^2} \pi \left[\frac{3m_\pi}{4m_N} - \frac{\delta m_N}{m_\pi}\right] \qquad S_0' = -\frac{eg_A \bar{g}_0}{(2\pi F_\pi)^2} \frac{1}{6m_\pi^2} \pi \frac{\delta m_N}{2m_\pi}$$

• recoil corrections to F<sub>1</sub>

$$d_{1} = \bar{d}_{1}^{(3)} + \frac{eg_{A}\bar{g}_{0}}{(2\pi F_{\pi})^{2}} \left[ L - \ln\frac{m_{\pi}^{2}}{\mu^{2}} + \frac{5\pi}{4}\frac{m_{\pi}}{m_{N}} - \frac{\breve{\delta}m_{\pi}^{2}}{m_{\pi}^{2}} \right],$$
  
$$S_{1}' = \frac{eg_{A}\bar{g}_{0}}{(2\pi F_{\pi})^{2}} \frac{1}{6m_{\pi}^{2}} \left[ 1 - \frac{5\pi}{4}\frac{m_{\pi}}{m_{N}} - \frac{\breve{\delta}m_{\pi}^{2}}{m_{\pi}^{2}} \right]$$

• no new T-odd LEC

## Nucleon EDM. qCEDM

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

• power counting relations between  $\bar{g}_0$ ,  $\bar{d}_{0,1}$  same as for Theta Term,

LO nucleon EDM identical to Theta Term

#### At NLO

isoscalar

$$d_0 = \bar{d}_0^{(1)} + \frac{eg_A \bar{g}_0}{(2\pi F_\pi)^2} \pi \left[ \frac{3m_\pi}{4m_N} \left( 1 + \frac{\bar{g}_1}{3\bar{g}_0} \right) - \frac{\delta m_N}{m_\pi} \right] \qquad S_0' = -\frac{eg_A \bar{g}_0}{(2\pi F_\pi)^2} \frac{1}{6m_\pi^2} \pi \frac{\delta m_N}{2m_\pi}$$

isovector

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= \bar{d}_1^{(1)} + \frac{eg_A \bar{g}_0}{(2\pi F_\pi)^2} \left[ L - \ln \frac{m_\pi^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{5\pi}{4} \frac{m_\pi}{m_N} \left( 1 + \frac{\bar{g}_1}{5\bar{g}_0} \right) - \frac{\check{\delta} m_\pi^2}{m_\pi^2} \right], \\ S_1' &= \frac{eg_A \bar{g}_0}{(2\pi F_\pi)^2} \frac{1}{6m_\pi^2} \left[ 1 - \frac{5\pi}{4} \frac{m_\pi}{m_N} - \frac{\check{\delta} m_\pi^2}{m_\pi^2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

nucleon EDFF cannot distinguish between Theta Term and qCEDM

### Nucleon EDM. Theta Term & qCEDM.

- EDM depends on  $\pi$ -N coupling  $\bar{g}_0$ , and short-distance LECs  $\bar{d}_{0,1}$
- · using non-analytic pieces for estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |d_n| &= |d_0 - d_1| \quad \gtrsim \quad \frac{eg_A \bar{g}_0}{(2\pi F_\pi)^2} \left[ \ln \frac{m_N^2}{m_\pi^2} + \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{m_\pi}{m_N} - \frac{\check{\delta}m_\pi^2}{m_\pi^2} + \pi \frac{\delta m_N}{m_\pi} \right] \\ &\simeq \quad (0.130 + 0.008 - 0.002 + 0.002) \frac{\bar{g}_0}{F_\pi} \ e \, \mathrm{fm} \end{aligned}$$

• at NLO, bound on isoscalar EDM

$$|d_0| \gtrsim \frac{eg_A \bar{g}_0}{(2\pi F_\pi)^2} \pi \left[ \frac{3m_\pi}{4m_N} - \frac{\delta m_N}{m_\pi} \right] \simeq (0.012 - 0.002) \frac{\bar{g}_0}{F_\pi} e \,\mathrm{fm}.$$

•  $S'_{0,1}$  only depends on  $\bar{g}_0$ 

$$S'_{0} = -\frac{eg_{A}\bar{g}_{0}}{12(2\pi F_{\pi})^{2}} \frac{\pi \delta m_{N}}{m_{\pi}^{2}} = -0.3 \cdot 10^{-3} \frac{\bar{g}_{0}}{F_{\pi}} e \,\mathrm{fm}^{3},$$
  

$$S'_{1} = \frac{eg_{A}\bar{g}_{0}}{6(2\pi F_{\pi})^{2}} \frac{1}{m_{\pi}^{2}} \left[ 1 - \frac{5\pi}{4} \frac{m_{\pi}}{m_{N}} - \frac{\check{\delta}m_{\pi}^{2}}{m_{\pi}^{2}} \right] \bar{\theta} = 4.7 \cdot 10^{-3} \frac{\bar{g}_{0}}{F_{\pi}} e \,\mathrm{fm}^{3},$$

・ロト・日本・モート 日 のくぐ

## Nucleon EDM and EDFF. qEDM & TV $\chi I$ sources



#### · EDFF purely short-distance & momentum independent at LO

isoscalar

$$F_0(\mathbf{q}^2) = d_0 = \bar{d}_0^{(n)}, \qquad S_0' = 0$$

isovector

$$F_1(\mathbf{q}^2) = d_1 = \bar{d}_1^{(n)}, \quad S_1' = 0.$$

+ ロ ト 4 課 ト 4 差 ト 4 差 ・ 差 ・ 9 Q (P)

### Nucleon EDM and EDFF. qEDM & TV $\chi$ I sources



- · EDFF purely short-distance & momentum independent at LO
- EDFF acquires momentum dependence at NNLO
  - purely short distance for qEDM
  - with long distance component for TV χI sources

isoscalar

$$d_0 = \bar{d}_0^{(n)} + \bar{\bar{d}}_0^{(n+2)}, \quad S'_0 = \bar{S}'_0^{(n+2)}$$

isovector

$$d_1 = \bar{d}_1^{(n)} + \bar{\bar{d}}_1^{(n+2)}, \quad S_1' = \bar{S}_1'^{(n+2)}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ○臣 - の々で

## Nucleon EDM and EDFF. Sum up

Source	$\overline{ heta}$	qCEDM	qEDM	ΤV χΙ
$M_{\rm QCD} d_n/e$	$\mathcal{O}\left(ar{ heta}rac{m_{\pi}^2}{M_{ ext{QCD}}^2} ight)$	$\mathcal{O}\left( ilde{\delta}rac{m_{\pi}^{2}}{M_{f}^{2}} ight)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(\delta \frac{m_{\pi}^2}{M_f^2}\right)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(w\frac{M_{\rm QCD}^2}{M_T^2}\right)$
$d_p/d_n$	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 ight)$	$\mathcal{O}(1)$	$\mathcal{O}(1)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 ight)$
$m_{\pi}^2 S_1'/d_n$	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 ight)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 ight)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(rac{m_{\pi}^2}{M_{ m QCD}^2} ight)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(rac{m_{\pi}^2}{M_{ m QCD}^2} ight)$
$m_{\pi}^2 S_0'/d_n$	$\mathcal{O}\left(rac{m_{\pi}}{M_{ ext{QCD}}} ight)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(rac{m_{\pi}}{M_{ m QCD}} ight)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m_{\pi}^2}{M_{\rm QCD}^2}\right)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m_{\pi}^2}{M_{\rm QCD}^2}\right)$

• measurement of  $d_n$  and  $d_p$  can be fitted by any source. No signal @ PSI, SNS:

$$ar{ heta} \lesssim 10^{-12}, \qquad rac{ ilde{\delta}, \delta}{M_f^2} \lesssim (10^3 \ {
m TeV})^{-2}, \qquad rac{w}{M_f^2} \lesssim (5 \cdot 10^3 \ {
m TeV})^{-2}$$

- S'<sub>1</sub> come at the same order as d<sub>i</sub>
- $S'_0$  suppressed by  $m_\pi/M_{QCD}$  with respect to  $d_i$
- scale for momentum variation of EDFF set by  $m_{\pi}$
- $S'_{1,0}$  suppressed by  $m_{\pi}^2/M_{QCD}^2$  with respect to  $d_i$

Theta Term & qCEDM

qEDM & TV  $\chi I$ 

EDMs of Light Nuclei. Power Counting

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●



### EDMs of Light Nuclei. Power Counting



- Theta & qCEDM: pion-exchange dominates
- qEDM: contribs. from neutron and proton EDMs dominate
- *χ*I: one-body, pion-exchange & short range equally important.

### EDMs of Light Nuclei. Power Counting



 $\frac{\bar{g}_0}{m_N^2}\frac{Q}{M_{NN}}$ 

 $\frac{\bar{g}_{0,1}}{m_N^2} \frac{Q^2}{M_{NN}^2}$ 

- Theta & qCEDM: pion-exchange dominates
- qEDM: contribs. from neutron and proton EDMs dominate
- $\chi$ I: one-body, pion-exchange & short range equally important.

selection rules! especially for Theta Term

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

## Deuteron EDM and MQM

Spin 1, Isospin 0 particle

$$H_{f} = -2d_{d}\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}\mathbf{S}\cdot\mathbf{E}\mathcal{D} - \frac{\mathcal{M}_{d}}{2}\mathcal{D}_{j}^{\dagger}\mathcal{D}_{i}\nabla^{(i}B^{j)}$$

 $d_d$ : deuteron EDM  $\mathcal{M}_d$ : deuteron magnetic quadrupole moment (MQM).



#### dEDM

• isoscalar  $(\bar{g}_0, \bar{C}_{1,2})$  TV corrections to wavefunction vanish at LO.

#### dMQM

• both isoscalar & isovector corrections contribute

## Deuteron EDM and MQM

Spin 1, Isospin 0 particle

$$H_{f} = -2d_{d}\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{E}\mathcal{D} - \frac{\mathcal{M}_{d}}{2}\mathcal{D}_{j}^{\dagger}\mathcal{D}_{i}\nabla^{(i}B^{j)}$$

 $d_d$ : deuteron EDM  $\mathcal{M}_d$ : deuteron magnetic quadrupole moment (MQM).



#### dEDM

• isoscalar  $(\bar{g}_0, \bar{C}_{1,2})$  TV corrections to wavefunction vanish at LO.

#### dMQM

• both isoscalar & isovector corrections contribute

## Deuteron EDM

#### One-body



TV corrections to wavefunction



· only sensitive to isoscalar nucleon EDM

$$F_D(\mathbf{q}^2) = 2d_0 \frac{4\gamma}{|\mathbf{q}|} \arctan\left(\frac{|\mathbf{q}|}{4\gamma}\right) = 2d_0 \left(1 - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{q}|}{4\gamma}\right)^2 + \ldots\right)$$

• sensitive to **isobreaking**  $\bar{g}_1$ 

$$F_D(\mathbf{q}^2) = -\frac{2}{3}e^{\frac{g_A\bar{g}_1}{m_\pi^2}}\frac{m_N m_\pi}{4\pi F_\pi^2}\frac{1+\xi}{(1+2\xi)^2}\left(1-0.45\left(\frac{|\mathbf{q}|}{4\gamma}\right)^2+\ldots\right), \qquad \xi = \frac{\gamma}{m_\pi}$$

• relative size different for different sources!

## Deuteron EDM. qCEDM

qCEDM: chiral breaking & isospin breaking



$$d_{d} = 2d_{0} - \frac{2}{3}e\frac{g_{A}\bar{g}_{1}}{m_{\pi}^{2}}\frac{m_{N}m_{\pi}}{4\pi F_{\pi}^{2}}\frac{1+\xi}{(1+2\xi)^{2}} = d_{n} + d_{p} - 0.23\frac{\bar{g}_{1}}{F_{\pi}}e \,\mathrm{fm}$$

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\tilde{\delta}}{M_{f}^{2}}\frac{m_{\pi}^{2}}{M_{QCD}}\right) \qquad \qquad \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\tilde{\delta}}{M_{f}^{2}}\frac{M_{QCD}m_{\pi}}{M_{NN}}\right)$$

deuteron EDM enhanced w.r.t. nucleon!

•  $\overline{g}_1$  leading interaction

(

• d<sub>0</sub> suppressed by two powers of M<sub>QCD</sub>

$$rac{d_d}{d_n+d_p} \lesssim 10 \, rac{ar{g}_1}{ar{g}_0}$$

using non-analytic piece of  $d_0$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

### Deuteron EDM. Theta Term & TV $\chi$ I Sources



- $\bar{g}_1 \& d_0$  appear at the same level in the Lagrangian
- dEDM well approximated by  $d_n + d_p$

### Deuteron EDM. Theta Term & TV $\chi$ I Sources



- $\bar{g}_1 \& d_0$  appear at the same level in the Lagrangian
- dEDM well approximated by  $d_n + d_p$

## Deuteron EDM. qEDM

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

qEDM:  $\pi - N$  coupling suppressed by  $\alpha_{em}$ 



$$d_d = 2d_0 - \frac{2}{3}e\frac{g_A\bar{g}_1}{m_\pi^2}\frac{m_Nm_\pi}{4\pi F_\pi^2}\frac{1+\xi}{(1+2\xi)^2} = d_n + d_p - 0.23\frac{\bar{g}_1}{F_\pi}e\,\mathrm{fm}$$
$$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\delta}{M_f^2}\frac{m_\pi^2}{M_{QCD}}\right)$$

• dEDM well approximated by  $d_n + d_p$ 

### Deuteron EDM. Non perturbative results

#### "Hybrid approach"

- realistic potentials for TC interactions (AV18, Reid93, Nijmegen II)
- · EFT potential for TV interactions

ok... if observable not too sensitive to short distance details

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

$$d_d = d_n + d_p - 0.19 \, \frac{\bar{g}_1}{F_\pi} e \, \mathrm{fm} \; ,$$

for AV18, different potentials agree at  $\sim 5\%$ 

in good agreement with perturbative calculation!

1.  $\bar{g}_1$  contrib. agrees at ~ 20%

2. for theta, formally LO pion-exchange terms are small

### Deuteron EDM. Non perturbative results

#### "Hybrid approach"

- realistic potentials for TC interactions (AV18, Reid93, Nijmegen II)
- · EFT potential for TV interactions

ok... if observable not too sensitive to short distance details

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

$$d_d(\bar{\theta}) = d_n + d_p + \left[ -0.19 \, \frac{\bar{g}_1}{F_\pi} + \left( 0.2 - 0.7 \cdot 10^2 \, \beta_1 \right) \cdot 10^{-3} \frac{\bar{g}_0}{F_\pi} \right] \, e \, \text{fm} \, ,$$

for AV18, different potentials agree at  $\sim 5\%$ 

in good agreement with perturbative calculation!

1.  $\bar{g}_1$  contrib. agrees at ~ 20%

2. for theta, formally LO pion-exchange terms are small

## Deuteron EDM. Summary

Source	$\theta$	qCEDM	qEDM	TV $\chi I$
$M_{QCD} d_d / e$	$\mathcal{O}\left(ar{ heta}rac{m_{\pi}^2}{M_{QCD}^2} ight)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(\tilde{\delta} \frac{m_{\pi} M_{QCD}^2}{M_{NN} M_{f}^2}\right)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(\delta rac{m_{\pi}^2}{M_{f}^2} ight)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(w\frac{M_{QCD}^2}{M_{f}^2}\right)$
$d_d/d_n$	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 ight)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(rac{M_{QCD}^2}{m_{\pi}M_{NN}} ight)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 ight)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(1 ight)$

- · deuteron EDM signal can be fitted by any source
- deuteron EDM well approximated by  $d_n + d_p$  for  $\bar{\theta}$ , qEDM and TV  $\chi$ I sources
- only for qCEDM,  $d_d \gg d_n + d_p$

#### qCEDM

· deuteron EDM experiment more sensitive than neutron & proton EDM

$$d_d \lesssim 10^{-16} \ e \ {
m fm} \Longrightarrow { ilde \delta \over M_T^2} \lesssim (3 \cdot 10^4 \ {
m TeV})^{-2}$$

• nucleon and deuteron EDM qualitatively pinpoint qCEDM.

## Deuteron MQM. Chiral Breaking Sources

Corrections to wavefunction



$$m_d \mathcal{M}_d = 2e \frac{g_A \bar{g}_0}{m_\pi^2} \frac{m_N m_\pi}{2\pi F_\pi^2} \left[ (1+\kappa_0) + \frac{\bar{g}_1}{3\bar{g}_0} (1+\kappa_1) \right] \frac{1+\xi}{(1+2\xi)^2},$$

#### qCEDM

- $\bar{g}_0$  and  $\bar{g}_1$  equally important
- dEDM and dMQM comparable

$$\left|\frac{m_d \mathcal{M}_d}{2d_d}\right| = (1+\kappa_1) + \frac{3\bar{g}_0}{\bar{g}_1}(1+\kappa_0)$$

ratio independent of deuteron details!

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

## Deuteron MQM. Chiral Breaking Sources

Corrections to wavefunction



$$m_d \mathcal{M}_d = 2e \frac{g_A \bar{g}_0}{m_\pi^2} \frac{m_N m_\pi}{2\pi F_\pi^2} (1+\kappa_0) \frac{1+\xi}{(1+2\xi)^2},$$

Theta Term

- only  $\bar{g}_0$  contributes
- dMQM bigger than dEDM

$$\left|\frac{m_d \mathcal{M}_d}{d_d}\right| = \frac{2}{3}(1+\kappa_0)\frac{1+\xi}{(1+2\xi)^2} \left(\frac{m_N}{m_\pi}\right)^2 \lesssim 12$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

using non-analytic piece of  $d_0$ .

## Deuteron MQM. qEDM & TV $\chi$ I Sources

Corrections to wavefunction + TV currents



• new two-body low-energy constants

loss of predictive power!

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

• for both sources  $m_d \mathcal{M}_d \lesssim d_d$ 

no useful new info from observation of dMQM

# EDM of <sup>3</sup>He and <sup>3</sup>H

· AV18, EFT potentials for TC interactions

code of I. Stetcu et al., '08

- agreement at the level of 15% for one-body & long-range contribs.
- no agreement for short range contribution  $(\bar{C}_{1,2})$

$$d_{^{3}\text{He}} = 0.88 \, d_{n} - 0.047 \, d_{p} - \left(0.15 \, \frac{\bar{g}_{0}}{F_{\pi}} + 0.28 \, \frac{\bar{g}_{1}}{F_{\pi}} + 0.01 \, F_{\pi}^{3} \bar{C}_{1} - 0.02 \, F_{\pi}^{3} \bar{C}_{2}\right) e \, \text{fm}$$

and

$$d_{^{3}\text{H}} = -0.050 \, d_n + 0.90 \, d_p + \left( 0.15 \, \frac{\bar{g}_0}{F_{\pi}} - 0.28 \, \frac{\bar{g}_1}{F_{\pi}} + 0.01 \, F_{\pi}^3 \bar{C}_1 - 0.02 \, F_{\pi}^3 \bar{C}_2 \right) e \, \text{fm} \, ,$$

for AV18

- for EFT,  $\bar{C}_{1,2}$  contribs. five time bigger
- need fully consistent calculation for  $\chi I$  sources...

... maybe ...

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# EDM of <sup>3</sup>He and <sup>3</sup>H. qCEDM

$$d_{^{3}\text{He}}(\text{qCEDM}) = 0.83 d_{0} - 0.93 d_{1} - \left(0.15 \frac{\bar{g}_{0}}{F_{\pi}} + 0.28 \frac{\bar{g}_{1}}{F_{\pi}}\right) e \text{ fm} ,$$
  

$$d_{^{3}\text{H}}(\text{qCEDM}) = 0.85 d_{0} + 0.95 d_{1} + \left(0.15 \frac{\bar{g}_{0}}{F_{\pi}} - 0.28 \frac{\bar{g}_{1}}{F_{\pi}}\right) e \text{ fm} .$$
  

$$\sim 0.1 \frac{\bar{g}_{0}}{F_{\pi}} e \text{ fm}$$

- · one-body pieces more important than expected by naive power counting
- qualitatively: <sup>3</sup>He and <sup>3</sup>H EDMs significantly different from neutron and proton EDMs
- quantitatively: if nucleon & deuteron observed
- 1.  $d_{^{3}\text{He}} + d_{^{3}\text{H}}$  testable prediction of the theory

$$d_{^{3}\text{He}} + d_{^{3}\text{H}} = 1.68d_0 + 0.02d_1 - 0.56\frac{g_1}{F_{\pi}} e \,\text{fm}$$

2. use  $d_{^{3}\text{He}} - d_{^{3}\text{H}}$  to extract  $\bar{g}_{0}$  & predict other TV observable

deuteron MQM, proton Schiff moment

# EDM of <sup>3</sup>He and <sup>3</sup>H. Theta term

$$\begin{aligned} d_{^{3}\text{He}}(\bar{\theta}) &= 0.83 \, d_{0} - 0.93 \, d_{1} - 0.15 \, \frac{g_{0}}{F_{\pi}} \, e \, \text{fm} \, , \\ d_{^{3}\text{H}}(\bar{\theta}) &= 0.85 \, d_{0} + 0.95 \, d_{1} + 0.15 \, \frac{\bar{g}_{0}}{F_{\pi}} \, e \, \text{fm} \, . \end{aligned}$$

- · one-body piece more important than naive power counting
- $d_{^{3}\text{He}} + d_{^{3}\text{H}}$  well approximated by  $d_{n} + d_{p}$
- $d_{^{3}\text{He}} d_{^{3}\text{H}}$  significantly different from  $2d_{1}$

$$d_{^{3}\text{He}} - d_{^{3}\text{H}} = -0.02d_{0} - 1.88d_{1} - 0.30\frac{g_{0}}{F_{\pi}} e \text{ fm}$$

· one more observable for quantitative prediction

deuteron MQM, proton Schiff moment

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

# EDM of <sup>3</sup>He and <sup>3</sup>H. qEDM & $\chi$ I sources

$$d_{^{3}\text{He}}(\text{qEDM}) = 0.83 d_{0} - 0.93 d_{1} ,$$
  
$$d_{^{3}\text{H}}(\text{qEDM}) = 0.85 d_{0} + 0.95 d_{1} .$$

• no deviation from  $d_n, d_p$ 

$$\begin{aligned} d_{^{3}\text{He}}(\chi \mathbf{I}) &= 0.83 \, d_{0} - 0.93 \, d_{1} - \left(0.15 \, \frac{\bar{g}_{0}}{F_{\pi}} + 0.28 \, \frac{\bar{g}_{1}}{F_{\pi}} + 0.01 \, F_{\pi}^{3} \, \bar{C}_{1} - 0.02 \, F_{\pi}^{3} \, \bar{C}_{2}\right) e \, \text{fm} \,, \\ d_{^{3}\text{H}}(\chi \mathbf{I}) &= 0.85 \, d_{0} + 0.95 \, d_{1} + \left(0.15 \, \frac{\bar{g}_{0}}{F_{\pi}} - 0.28 \, \frac{\bar{g}_{1}}{F_{\pi}} + 0.01 \, F_{\pi}^{3} \, \bar{C}_{1} - 0.02 \, F_{\pi}^{3} \, \bar{C}_{2}\right) e \, \text{fm} \,, \\ &\sim 1.9 \, \frac{\bar{g}_{0}}{F_{\pi}} \, e \, \text{fm} \qquad \text{naive dim. analysis} \end{aligned}$$

- formally, all of the same size
- numerically, one-body contribution dominates

hard to differentiate between qEDM and  $\chi$ I sources!

## Summary & Conclusion

#### EFT approach

- 1. consistent framework to treat one, two and three nucleon TV observables
- 2. qualitative relations between one, two and three nucleon observables, specific to TV source
- 3. particularly promising for qCEDM and Theta Term

identify/exclude them in next generation of experiments?

4. not much hope to distinguish between qEDM and  $\chi I$  sources

other observables? TV observables w/o photons?

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### To-do list

- 1. beyond NDA
- 2. improve calculation
- other observables, deuteron MQM, proton Schiff moment

- compute LECs on the lattice
- · NLO with perturbative pions
- · fully consistent non ptb. calculation
- study atomic EDMs?

▲日▼▲雪▼★雪▼★雪▼ ● ● ●

# **Backup Slides**

## Electromagnetic and T-violating operators

- chiral properties of  $(P_3 + P_4) \otimes (I + T_{34})$
- lowest chiral order  $\Delta = 3$
- $P_3 + P_4$

$$\mathcal{L}_{k,f=2,\text{em}}^{(3)} = c_{1,\text{em}}^{(3)} \frac{1}{D} \left[ \frac{2\pi_3}{F_{\pi}} + \rho \left( 1 - \frac{\pi^2}{F_{\pi}^2} \right) \right] \bar{N} \left( S^{\mu} v^{\nu} - S^{\nu} v^{\mu} \right) N \, eF_{\mu\nu}$$

• 
$$(P_3 + P_4) \otimes T_{34}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\acute{\chi},f=2,\mathrm{em}}^{(3)} = c_{3,\mathrm{em}}^{(3)} \bar{N} \left[ -\frac{2}{F_{\pi}D} \boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot \mathbf{t} - \rho \left( t_3 - \frac{2\pi_3}{F_{\pi}^2 D} \boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot \mathbf{t} \right) \right] \left( S^{\mu} v^{\nu} - S^{\nu} v^{\mu} \right) N \, eF_{\mu\nu}$$
  
+ tensor

• isoscalar and isovector EDM related to pion photo-production.

### Electromagnetic and T-violating operators

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

At the same order  $S_4 \otimes (1 + T_{34})$ 

$$\mathcal{L}_{\chi,f=2,\text{em}}^{(3)} = c_{6,\text{em}}^{(3)} \left( -\frac{2}{F_{\pi}D} \right) \bar{N}\pi \cdot \mathbf{t} \left( S^{\mu}v^{\nu} - S^{\nu}v^{\mu} \right) N \, eF_{\mu\nu}$$

•  $S_4 \otimes T_{34}$ 

• S<sub>4</sub>

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{\&},f=2,\text{em}}^{(3)} = c_{8,\text{em}}^{(3)} \frac{2\pi_3}{F_{\pi}D} \bar{N} \left( S^{\mu} v^{\nu} - S^{\nu} v^{\mu} \right) N \, eF_{\mu\nu} + \text{tensor}$$

- same chiral properties as partners of *𝔅* operator
- pion-photoproduction constrains only  $c_{1, \text{ em}}^{(3)} + c_{6, \text{ em}}^{(3)}$  and  $c_{3, \text{ em}}^{(3)} + c_{8, \text{ em}}^{(3)}$

• but 
$$/\!\!\!T$$
 only depends on  $c_{1, \text{ em}}^{(3)}$  and  $c_{3, \text{ em}}^{(3)}$ 

no T-conserving observable constrains short distance contrib. to nucleon EDM

- true only in  $SU(2) \times SU(2)$
- larger symmetry of  $SU(3) \times SU(3)$  leaves question open

T-even sector

$$\mathcal{L}_{f=4} = -C_0^{3S_1} (N'P^iN)^{\dagger} N'P^iN + \frac{C_2^{3S_1}}{8} \left[ (N'P_iN)^{\dagger} N' \mathbf{D}_{-}^2 P_i N + \text{h.c.} \right] + \dots, \qquad P^i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \sigma_2 \sigma_i \tau_2$$

• enhance  $C_0$  to account for unnaturally large scattering lengths. In PDS scheme

$$C_0^{^3S_1} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{4\pi}{m_N\mu}\right), \qquad \mu \sim Q$$

• iterate  $C_0$  at all orders



▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

T-even sector

$$\mathcal{L}_{f=4} = -C_0^{3S_1} (N'P^iN)^{\dagger} N'P^iN + \frac{C_2^{3S_1}}{8} \left[ (N'P_iN)^{\dagger} N' \mathbf{D}_{-}^2 P_i N + \text{h.c.} \right] + \dots, \qquad P^i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \sigma_2 \sigma_i \tau_2$$

• enhance  $C_0$  to account for unnaturally large scattering lengths. In PDS scheme

$$C_0^{^3S_1} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{4\pi}{m_N\mu}\right), \qquad \mu \sim Q$$

- iterate  $C_0$  at all orders
- operators which connect *S*-waves get enhanced  $C_2^{{}^3S_1} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{4\pi}{m_N\Lambda_{NN}}\frac{1}{\mu^2}\right)$



▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

· treat pion exchange as a perturbation



Perturbative pion approach:

- expansion in  $Q/\Lambda_{NN}$ , with  $Q \in \{|\mathbf{q}|, m_{\pi}, \gamma = \sqrt{m_N B}\}$
- competing with the  $m_{\pi}/M_{QCD}$  of ChPT Lagrangian
  - · successful for deuteron properties at low energies

Kaplan, Savage and Wise, Phys. Rev. C 59, 617 (1999);

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

• problems in  ${}^{3}S_{1}$  scattering lenghts, ptb. series does not converge for  $Q \sim m_{\pi}$ 

Fleming, Mehen, and Stewart, Nucl. Phys. A 677, 313 (2000);

· treat pion exchange as a perturbation



• identify 
$$\Lambda_{NN} = 4\pi F_{\pi}^2/m_N \sim 300$$
 MeV.

Perturbative pion approach:

- expansion in  $Q/\Lambda_{NN}$ , with  $Q \in \{|\mathbf{q}|, m_{\pi}, \gamma = \sqrt{m_N B}\}$
- competing with the  $m_{\pi}/M_{QCD}$  of ChPT Lagrangian
  - successful for deuteron properties at low energies

Kaplan, Savage and Wise, Phys. Rev. C 59, 617 (1999);

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• problems in  ${}^{3}S_{1}$  scattering lenghts, ptb. series does not converge for  $Q \sim m_{\pi}$ 

Fleming, Mehen, and Stewart, Nucl. Phys. A 677, 313 (2000);

T-odd sector

a. four-nucleon T-odd operators

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T},f=4} = C_{1,\mathcal{T}}\bar{N}S \cdot (\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{D}^{\dagger})N \,\bar{N}N + C_{2,\mathcal{T}}\bar{N}\boldsymbol{\tau} \,S \cdot (\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{D}^{\dagger})N \,\cdot \bar{N} \,\boldsymbol{\tau}N.$$

• in the PDS scheme

1. Theta 2. qCEDM 3. qEDM 4. gCEDM  

$$C_{i,f} = \frac{4\pi}{\mu m_N} \overline{\theta} \frac{m_{\pi}^2}{M_{QCD} \Lambda_{NN}^2} = \frac{4\pi}{\mu m_N} \widetilde{\delta} \frac{m_{\pi}^2}{M_f^2 M_{QCD}} = 0 = \frac{4\pi}{\mu m_N} \frac{w}{M_f^2} \Lambda_{NN}$$

b. four-nucleon T-odd currents

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}, \text{ em}, f=4} = C_{1, \mathcal{I}, \text{ em}} \bar{N} (S^{\mu} v^{\nu} - S^{\nu} v^{\mu}) N \bar{N} N F_{\mu\nu}.$$

• in the PDS scheme

1. Theta 2. qCEDM 3. qEDM 4. gCEDM  

$$C_{i,T,em} = \frac{4\pi}{\mu^2 m_N} \bar{\theta} \frac{m_{\pi}^2}{M_{QCD} \Lambda_{NN}^2} = \frac{4\pi}{\mu^2 m_N} \tilde{\delta} \frac{m_{\pi}^2}{M_T^2 M_{QCD}} = \frac{4\pi}{\mu^2 m_N} \delta \frac{m_{\pi}^2}{M_T^2 M_{QCD}} = \frac{4\pi}{\mu^2 m_N} \frac{w}{M_T^2} \Lambda_{NN}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

### Deuteron EDM. Formalism



- crossed blob: insertion of interpolating field  $D^i(x) = N(x)P_i^{^3S_1}N(x)$
- two-point and three-point Green's functions expressed in terms of *irreducible* function

*irreducible*: do not contain  $C_0^{3S_1}$ 

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

• by LSZ formula

$$\langle \mathbf{p}' j | J^{\mu}_{\mathrm{em},\mathcal{T}} | \mathbf{p} i \rangle = i \left[ \frac{\Gamma^{\mu}_{ij} \left( \bar{E}, \bar{E}', \mathbf{q} \right)}{d\Sigma(\bar{E})/dE} \right]_{\bar{E}, \bar{E}' = -B}$$

• two-point function

$$\left. \frac{d\Sigma_{(1)}}{d\bar{E}} \right|_{\bar{E}=-B} = -i \frac{m_N^2}{8\pi\gamma}$$