# Two-hadron correlations at high energy

Jamal Jalilian-Marian Baruch College, New York NY

INT program on "frontiers in QCD", Oct. 12, 2011

# pp collisions at high $p_t$

Collinear factorization: separation of long and short distances



## Di-jet correlations in pp: pQCD

in pQCD calculations based on collinear factorization, dijets are back-to-back



# QCD: the old paradigm



but bulk of QCD phenomena happens at low Q

# **QCD** in the Regge-Gribov limit

 $\sqrt{S} 
ightarrow \infty \qquad Q^2 \sim const. \qquad x \sim rac{Q^2}{S} 
ightarrow 0$ 









## A hadron at small **x**



### gluon radiation at small x :pQCD

The infrared sensitivity of bremsstrahlung favors the emission of 'soft' (= small-x) gluons



$$\mathrm{d}\mathcal{P} \propto \alpha_s \frac{\mathrm{d}\kappa_z}{k_z} = \alpha_s \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x}$$

The 'price' of an additional gluon:

$$\mathcal{P}(1) \propto \alpha_s \int_x^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}x_1}{x_1} = \alpha_s \ln \frac{1}{x} \qquad n \sim e^{\alpha_s \ln 1/x}$$

# Gluon saturation

Gribov-Levin-Ryskin



## **MV effective Action + RGE**

## **The Classical Field**

saddle point of effective action-> Yang-Mills equations



## **QCD at High Energy: Wilsonian RG**



JIMWLK eq. describes x evolution of observables

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{CGC:QCD at high gluon density} \\ \textbf{effective degrees of freedom: Wilson line V(x_t)} \\ \textbf{CGC observables: } < \textbf{Tr V} \cdots V^{\dagger} > \textbf{with } V(\textbf{x}_t) = \hat{P}e^{ig\int d\textbf{x}^- A_{\textbf{a}}^+ \textbf{t}_{\textbf{a}}} \\ \textbf{A}_{\textbf{a}}^{\mu}(\textbf{x}_t, \textbf{x}^-) \sim \delta^{\mu +} \delta(\textbf{x}^-) \alpha_{\textbf{a}}(\textbf{x}_t) & \alpha^{\textbf{a}}(\textbf{k}_t) = \textbf{g} \rho^{\textbf{a}}(\textbf{k}_t)/\textbf{k}_t^2 \\ \textbf{gluon distribution: } \textbf{x} \textbf{G}(\textbf{x}, \textbf{Q}^2) \sim \int^{\textbf{Q}^2} \frac{d^2\textbf{k}_t}{\textbf{k}_t^2} \phi(\textbf{x}, \textbf{k}_t) & \textbf{with } \phi(\textbf{x}, \textbf{k}_t^2) \sim < \rho_{\textbf{a}}^{\star}(\textbf{k}_t) \rho_{\textbf{a}}(\textbf{k}_t) > \end{array}$ 

#### <u>two main effects:</u>

multiple scatterings

evolution with  $\ln(1/x)$ 

# **Road Map of QCD Phase Space**



# **Applications: DIS**

high gluon density: "multiple scatterings" effective degrees of freedom: Wilson line V (x<sub>t</sub>)

high energy: evolution of n-point corr. with  $\ln (1/x)$ 

$$\sigma_{\gamma^{\star} \mathbf{p}} = \int_{0}^{1} d\mathbf{z} \int d^{2}\mathbf{r_{t}} d^{2}\mathbf{b_{t}} |\Psi(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{r_{t}}, \mathbf{Q}^{2})|^{2} \mathbf{N_{F}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{r_{t}}, \mathbf{b_{t}})$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{rast hadron}} \quad \text{only the 2-pt function contributes}$$

$$\underset{\text{mall-x gluons (A[\rho])}{\text{mall-x gluons (A[\rho])}} \mathbf{N_{F}} \equiv \frac{1}{N_{c}} < \mathbf{Tr}[1 - \mathbf{V}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x_{t}})\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{y_{t}})] >$$

$$\underset{\text{where JIMWLK eqs. determine the x dependence of N_{F}}{\text{mall-x gluons of N_{F}}}$$

#### **Applications:** single inclusive hadron production in pA

$$\frac{d\sigma^{pA \to hX}}{dY \, d^2 P_t \, d^2 b} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{x_F}^1 dx \, \frac{x}{x_F}$$

$$\left\{ f_{q/p}(x, Q^2) \, N_F[\frac{x}{x_F} P_t, b, y] \, D_{h/q}(\frac{x_F}{x}, Q^2) + \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{DHJM} \\ \mathbf{BMTS} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$f_{g/p}(x, Q^2) \, N_A[\frac{x}{x_F} P_t, b, y] \, D_{h/g}(\frac{x_F}{x}, Q^2) \right\}$$

2-point function only: same as in DIS and photon, dilepton production in pA (FG and JJM)

## UNIVERSALITY

## **JIMWLK evolution equation**

$$\frac{d}{d\ln 1/x} \langle O \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \int d^2 x \, d^2 y \, \frac{\delta}{\delta \alpha_x^b} \, \eta_{xy}^{bd} \, \frac{\delta}{\delta \alpha_y^d} \, O \right\rangle$$

$$\eta_{xy}^{bd} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{d^2 z}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{(x-z) \cdot (y-z)}{(x-z)^2 (y-z)^2} \left[ 1 + U_x^{\dagger} U_y - U_x^{\dagger} U_z - U_z^{\dagger} U_y \right]^{bd}$$

## Evolution of the **2-point** function (dipole)

$$egin{aligned} rac{\mathbf{d}}{\mathbf{d}\mathbf{y}} < \mathbf{Tr}\mathbf{V}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{x}}\,\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{y}} > &= -rac{ar{lpha}_{\mathbf{s}}}{2\pi}\int\mathbf{d}^{\mathbf{2}}\mathbf{z}\,rac{(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})^{\mathbf{2}}}{(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{z})^{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{z})^{\mathbf{2}}} imes \ &\left[ <\mathbf{Tr}\mathbf{V}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{x}}\,\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{y}} > -rac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{N_{c}}} <\mathbf{Tr}\mathbf{V}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{x}}\,\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{z}}\,\mathbf{Tr}\mathbf{V}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{z}}\,\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{y}} > 
ight] \end{aligned}$$

Evolution of 2-point function depends on 4-point function

$$rac{\mathbf{d}}{\mathbf{d}\mathbf{y}} < \mathbf{Tr}\mathbf{V}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{x}}\,\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{z}}\,\mathbf{Tr}\mathbf{V}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{z}}\,\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{y}} > \sim < \mathbf{V}^{\mathbf{4}} + \cdots >$$

Infinitely many coupled equations!

Large N<sub>c</sub> :Balitsky-Kovchegov (BK) eq.

$$rac{\mathbf{d}}{\mathbf{d}\mathbf{y}} < \mathrm{Tr} \mathbf{V}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{x}} \, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{y}} > = -rac{ar{lpha}_{\mathbf{s}}}{2\pi} \int \mathbf{d}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{z} \, rac{(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})^{\mathbf{2}}}{(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{z})^{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{z})^{\mathbf{2}}} imes$$

$$\left[ < \mathrm{Tr} \mathbf{V}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{x}} \, \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{y}} > - rac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{N_c}} < \mathrm{Tr} \mathbf{V}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{x}} \, \mathbf{V_z} > < \mathrm{Tr} \mathbf{V}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{z}} \, \mathbf{V_y} > 
ight]$$

all higher point functions are expressed in terms of the dipole

extended scaling region:  $< {f Tr} V_{f x}^\dagger \, V_{f y} > \simeq Fig[(x-y) Q_s^2ig]$ 

#### *IIM, NPA708 (2002) 327*



## CGC at HERA? Extended scaling



Evolution of the **<u>dipole</u>** 



RW, NPA739 (2004) 183

## **Two-hadron correlations**

away-side correlations in dA: forward rapidity

long-range rapidity correlations: the Ridge

di-jet production in DIS

the role of initial conditions

## **Di-hadron kinematics in CGC**



## Di-jet production: pA

JJM and YK, PRD70 (2004)



AK and ML, JHEP (2006), FGV, NPA (2006), CM, NPA (2007) KT, NPA (2010), DMXY, PRD (2011), SXY (2011)

# di-jet production in pA

 $O_2(r, \bar{r}) \equiv TrV_r V_{\bar{r}}^{\dagger}$  dipole  $\longrightarrow$  F2 in DIS, single hadron in pA

$$O_{4}(r,\bar{r}:s) \equiv TrV_{r}^{\dagger}t^{a}V_{\bar{r}}t^{b}[U_{s}]^{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ TrV_{r}^{\dagger}V_{s} TrV_{\bar{r}}V_{s}^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{N_{c}}TrV_{r}^{\dagger}V_{\bar{r}} \right]$$

$$O_{6}(r,\bar{r}:s,\bar{s}) \equiv TrV_{r}V_{\bar{r}}^{\dagger}t^{a}t^{b}[U_{s}U_{\bar{s}}^{\dagger}]^{ba} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ TrV_{r}V_{\bar{r}}^{\dagger}V_{\bar{s}}V_{s}^{\dagger}TrV_{s}V_{\bar{s}}^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{N_{c}}TrV_{r}V_{\bar{r}}^{\dagger} \right]$$
**quadrupole**

## 

# disappearance of back to back jets

#### Recent STAR measurement (arXiv:1008.3989v1):



CGC fit from Albacete + Marquet, PRL (2010) multiple scatterings using running coupling BK solution, de-correlate the hadrons Also by Tuchin, NPA846 (2010)

## JIMWLK: Beyond dipole + large Nc

Recall evolution of O2 is sensitive to O4 only

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dy} \langle O_4(r, \bar{r}:s) \rangle &= -\frac{N_c \,\alpha_s}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^2 z \left\langle 2 \left[ \frac{(r-s)^2}{(r-z)^2(s-z)^2} + \frac{(\bar{r}-s)^2}{(\bar{r}-z)^2(s-z)^2} \right] O_4(r, \bar{r}:s) \right. \\ &- \left. \frac{1}{N_c} \left[ \frac{(r-s)^2}{(r-z)^2(s-z)^2} \,Tr V_r^{\dagger} \, V_z \, Tr V_s^{\dagger} \, V_{\bar{r}} \, Tr V_z^{\dagger} \, V_s \right. \\ &+ \frac{(\bar{r}-s)^2}{(\bar{r}-z)^2(s-z)^2} \,Tr V_r^{\dagger} \, V_s \, Tr V_z^{\dagger} \, V_{\bar{r}} \, Tr \, V_s^{\dagger} \, V_z \right] \right\rangle + \cdots \\ &\left. \frac{d}{dy} \mathbf{S_4}(\mathbf{r}, \bar{\mathbf{r}}:\mathbf{s}) \simeq \frac{d}{dy} \left[ \mathbf{S_2}(\mathbf{s}-\bar{\mathbf{r}}) \, \mathbf{S_2}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{s}) \right] + \mathbf{O}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{N_c^2}}\right) \\ & \text{with} \quad S_4 \equiv \frac{1}{C_A C_F} \left\langle O_4 \right\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 \equiv \frac{1}{C_A} \left\langle O_2 \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

DIS structure functions, single inclusive production in pA probe <u>dipoles</u>



and they evolve differently even at large  $N_c$ 



jjm-yk, PRD70 (2004) 114017, ad-jjm, PRD82 (2010) 074023

## **Evolution of quadrupole from JIMWLK**

$$\begin{split} & \frac{d}{dy} \langle Q(r,\bar{r},\bar{s},s) \rangle \\ = & \frac{N_c \alpha_s}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^2 z \Biggl\{ \left\langle \Biggl[ \frac{(r-\bar{r})^2}{(r-z)^2(\bar{r}-z)^2} + \frac{(r-s)^2}{(r-z)^2(s-z)^2} - \frac{(\bar{r}-s)^2}{(\bar{r}-z)^2(s-z)^2} \Biggr] Q(z,\bar{r},\bar{s},s) S(r,z) \\ & + & \left[ \frac{(r-\bar{r})^2}{(r-z)^2(\bar{r}-z)^2} + \frac{(\bar{r}-\bar{s})^2}{(\bar{r}-z)^2(\bar{s}-z)^2} - \frac{(r-\bar{s})^2}{(r-z)^2(\bar{s}-z)^2} \right] Q(r,z,\bar{s},s) S(z,\bar{r}) \\ & + & \left[ \frac{(\bar{r}-\bar{s})^2}{(\bar{r}-z)^2(\bar{s}-z)^2} + \frac{(s-\bar{s})^2}{(s-z)^2(\bar{s}-z)^2} - \frac{(\bar{r}-\bar{s})^2}{(s-z)^2(\bar{r}-z)^2} \right] Q(r,\bar{r},z,s) S(\bar{s},z) \\ & + & \left[ \frac{(r-s)^2}{(r-z)^2(s-z)^2} + \frac{(s-\bar{s})^2}{(s-z)^2(\bar{s}-z)^2} - \frac{(r-\bar{s})^2}{(r-z)^2(\bar{s}-z)^2} \right] Q(r,\bar{r},\bar{s},z) S(z,s) \\ & - & \left[ \frac{(r-\bar{r})^2}{(r-z)^2(\bar{r}-z)^2} + \frac{(s-\bar{s})^2}{(s-z)^2(\bar{s}-z)^2} - \frac{(\bar{r}-s)^2}{(\bar{r}-z)^2(s-z)^2} + \frac{(\bar{r}-\bar{s})^2}{(\bar{r}-z)^2(\bar{s}-z)^2} \right] Q(r,\bar{r},\bar{s},s) \\ & - & \left[ \frac{(r-\bar{r})^2}{(r-z)^2(\bar{r}-z)^2} + \frac{(s-\bar{s})^2}{(\bar{s}-z)^2(\bar{s}-z)^2} - \frac{(\bar{r}-\bar{s})^2}{(\bar{r}-z)^2(\bar{s}-z)^2} - \frac{(r-\bar{s})^2}{(\bar{r}-z)^2(\bar{s}-z)^2} \right] S(r,s) S(\bar{r},\bar{s}) \\ & - & \left[ \frac{(r-\bar{r})^2}{(r-z)^2(\bar{r}-z)^2} + \frac{(s-\bar{s})^2}{(\bar{s}-z)^2(\bar{s}-z)^2} - \frac{(r-\bar{s})^2}{(\bar{r}-z)^2(\bar{s}-z)^2} - \frac{(\bar{r}-\bar{s})^2}{(\bar{r}-z)^2(\bar{s}-z)^2} \right] S(r,\bar{r}) S(\bar{s},s) \right\rangle \right\} \\ & - & \left[ \frac{d}{dy} Q = \int P_1 \left[ Q S \right] - P_2 \left[ Q \right] + P_3 \left[ S S \right] \qquad \text{with} \qquad P_1 - P_2 + P_3 = 0 \\ \end{array} \right] \end{array}$$

very recent attempts to solve this analytically: EI-DT

**Evolution of quadrupole in the linear region** 

expand all Wilson lines in gA and ignore non-linear terms

O (gA)<sup>2</sup> **BFKL (evolution of a 2-reggeized gluon state)** 

**O (gA)4 — BJKP (evolution of a 4-reggeized gluon state)** 

JIMWLK evolution of n-Wilson lines <u>may</u> contain the BJKP hierarchy as its linear limit (in progress)

## Dijet production poses new challenges to CGC but every challenge can become an opportunity

What is the energy dependence of quadrupoles ?

How large are the N<sub>c</sub> suppressed terms ?

**Evolution of higher point functions depends on lower point functions!** 

**Solve JIMWLK numerically** 

# Di-jet correlations: DIS

 $\gamma^{\star} \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{A}) \to \mathbf{q} \, \bar{\mathbf{q}} \, \mathbf{X}$ 

FG & JJM, PRD67 (2003)



 $\gamma^{\star} \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{A}) \to \mathbf{g} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{X}$ 

JJM & YK, PRD70 (2004) AK & ML, JHEP (2006)



di-jet production in pA and DIS probes quadrupoles

# The Ridge

near-side long-range rapidity correlations

# The Ridge



# The Ridge



late time interactions can not affect long-range rapidity correlations

## **GLASMA**:

gluon fields produced in collision of two sheets of color glass





Lappi+McLerran. NPA772 (2006) 200

Classical solutions are boost invariant



can be solved numerically



## **Two-gluon correlation: dilute region**

DGMV, NPA810 (2008) 91

 $\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{1}, k_{1}$ 

A

 $z_1, k_3$  00000000

0000800

un-integrated gluon distribution  $\phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k_t^2}) \sim < \rho^2 >$ 

#### Independent production of two gluons (*subtracted*):



#### Correlated two-gluon production:



Correlated production is suppressed by  $N_c^2$ 

# Two-gluon production in AA/pp

$$\frac{dN_{2}}{d^{2}p_{\perp}dy_{p}d^{2}q_{\perp}dy_{q}} = \frac{\alpha_{s}^{2}}{16\pi^{10}} \frac{N_{c}^{2}S_{\perp}}{(N_{c}^{2}-1)^{3} p_{\perp}^{2}q_{\perp}^{2}} \times \int d^{2}k_{\perp} \left\{ \Phi_{A}^{2}(y_{p}, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \Phi_{B}(y_{p}, \mathbf{p}_{\perp} - \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \times [\Phi_{B}(y_{q}, \mathbf{q}_{\perp} + \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) + \Phi_{B}(y_{q}, \mathbf{q}_{\perp} - \mathbf{k}_{\perp})] + \Phi_{B}^{2}(y_{q}, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \Phi_{A}(y_{p}, \mathbf{p}_{\perp} - \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \times [\Phi_{A}(y_{q}, \mathbf{q}_{\perp} + \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) + \Phi_{A}(y_{q}, \mathbf{q}_{\perp} - \mathbf{k}_{\perp})] \right\} \times \left[ \Phi_{A}(y_{q}, \mathbf{q}_{\perp} + \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) + \Phi_{A}(y_{q}, \mathbf{q}_{\perp} - \mathbf{k}_{\perp})] \right\} \times \left[ \Phi_{A}(y_{q}, \mathbf{q}_{\perp} + \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) + \Phi_{A}(y_{q}, \mathbf{q}_{\perp} - \mathbf{k}_{\perp})] \right\}$$
UGD
$$ugp$$

## The CMS ridge at LHC





Dumitru et al., PLB697 (2011) 21

# **Evolution of gluon 4-pt function**

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dY} & \langle \alpha_r^a \alpha_{\bar{r}}^b \alpha_s^c \alpha_{\bar{s}}^d \rangle = \frac{g^2 N_c}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^2 z \\ & \left\langle \frac{\alpha_x^a \alpha_{\bar{r}}^b \alpha_s^c \alpha_s^d}{(r-z)^2} + \frac{\alpha_r^a \alpha_z^b \alpha_z^c \alpha_s^d}{(\bar{r}-z)^2} + \frac{\alpha_r^a \alpha_{\bar{r}}^b \alpha_z^c \alpha_s^d}{(\bar{s}-z)^2} + \frac{\alpha_r^a \alpha_{\bar{r}}^b \alpha_s^c \alpha_s^d}{(\bar{s}-z)^2} - 4 \frac{\alpha_r^a \alpha_{\bar{r}}^b \alpha_s^c \alpha_s^d}{z^2} \right\rangle \\ & + \frac{g^2}{\pi} \int \frac{d^2 z}{(2\pi)^2} \\ & \left\langle f^{e\kappa a} f^{f\kappa b} \frac{(r-z) \cdot (\bar{r}-z)}{(r-z)^2 (\bar{r}-z)^2} \left[ \alpha_r^e \alpha_{\bar{r}}^f - \alpha_r^e \alpha_z^f - \alpha_z^e \alpha_{\bar{r}}^f + \alpha_z^e \alpha_z^f \right] \alpha_s^c \alpha_s^d \right. \\ & \left. + f^{e\kappa a} f^{f\kappa c} \frac{(r-z) \cdot (s-z)}{(r-z)^2 (s-z)^2} \left[ \alpha_r^e \alpha_s^f - \alpha_r^e \alpha_z^f - \alpha_z^e \alpha_s^f + \alpha_z^e \alpha_z^f \right] \alpha_{\bar{r}}^b \alpha_s^d \right. \\ & \left. + f^{e\kappa a} f^{f\kappa d} \frac{(r-z) \cdot (\bar{s}-z)}{(r-z)^2 (\bar{s}-z)^2} \left[ \alpha_r^e \alpha_s^f - \alpha_r^e \alpha_z^f - \alpha_z^e \alpha_s^f + \alpha_z^e \alpha_z^f \right] \alpha_{\bar{r}}^b \alpha_s^d \right. \\ & \left. + f^{e\kappa b} f^{f\kappa d} \frac{(\bar{r}-z) \cdot (s-z)}{(\bar{r}-z)^2 (\bar{s}-z)^2} \left[ \alpha_r^e \alpha_s^f - \alpha_r^e \alpha_z^f - \alpha_z^e \alpha_s^f + \alpha_z^e \alpha_z^f \right] \alpha_r^a \alpha_s^d \right. \\ & \left. + f^{e\kappa b} f^{f\kappa d} \frac{(\bar{r}-z) \cdot (s-z)}{(\bar{r}-z)^2 (\bar{s}-z)^2} \left[ \alpha_r^e \alpha_s^f - \alpha_r^e \alpha_z^f - \alpha_z^e \alpha_s^f + \alpha_z^e \alpha_z^f \right] \alpha_r^a \alpha_s^d \right. \\ & \left. + f^{e\kappa b} f^{f\kappa d} \frac{(\bar{r}-z) \cdot (\bar{s}-z)}{(\bar{r}-z)^2 (\bar{s}-z)^2} \left[ \alpha_r^e \alpha_s^f - \alpha_r^e \alpha_z^f - \alpha_z^e \alpha_s^f + \alpha_z^e \alpha_z^f \right] \alpha_r^a \alpha_s^d \right. \\ & \left. + f^{e\kappa c} f^{f\kappa d} \frac{(\bar{r}-z) \cdot (\bar{s}-z)}{(\bar{s}-z)^2 (\bar{s}-z)^2} \left[ \alpha_r^e \alpha_s^f - \alpha_r^e \alpha_z^f - \alpha_z^e \alpha_s^f + \alpha_z^e \alpha_z^f \right] \alpha_r^a \alpha_s^d \right. \\ & \left. + f^{e\kappa c} f^{f\kappa d} \frac{(\bar{s}-z) \cdot (\bar{s}-z)}{(\bar{s}-z)^2 (\bar{s}-z)^2} \left[ \alpha_r^e \alpha_s^f - \alpha_z^e \alpha_z^f - \alpha_z^e \alpha_s^f + \alpha_z^e \alpha_z^f \right] \alpha_r^a \alpha_s^d \right. \end{aligned}$$

Gaussian factorization breaks down! AD-JJM, PRD81:094015 (2010)

## The role of initial conditions

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{McLerran-Venugopalan (93)} \qquad < \mathbf{O}(\rho) > \equiv \int \mathbf{D}[\rho] \, \mathbf{O}(\rho) \, \mathbf{W}[\rho] \\ & \mathbf{W}[\rho] \ \simeq \mathbf{e}^{-\int \mathbf{d}^2 \mathbf{x_t}} \frac{\rho^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x_t}) \rho^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x_t})}{2 \, \mu^2} \qquad \mu^2 \equiv \frac{\mathbf{g}^2 \, \mathbf{A}}{\mathbf{S_\perp}} \end{aligned}$ 

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{y_t}, \mathbf{z_t}) \equiv \frac{\mathbf{I}}{\mathbf{N_c}} < \mathrm{Tr} \, \mathbf{V_y^\dagger} \, \mathbf{V_z} > \sim \, \mathbf{e}^{-\# \, (\mathbf{y_t} - \mathbf{z_t})^2 \, \mathbf{Q_s^2}}$$

how about higher order terms in  $\rho$ ?

$$\mathbf{W}[\rho] \simeq \mathbf{e}^{-\int d^{2}\mathbf{x_{t}} \left[ \frac{\rho^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x_{t}})\rho^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x_{t}})}{2 \mu^{2}} - \frac{d^{\mathbf{abc}} \rho^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x_{t}})\rho^{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x_{t}})\rho^{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x_{t}})}{\kappa_{3}} + \frac{\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{abcd}} \rho^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x_{t}})\rho^{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x_{t}})\rho^{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x_{t}})\rho^{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{x_{t}})}{\kappa_{4}} \right]}{\mathbf{W}[\rho] \simeq \mathbf{e}^{-\int d^{2}\mathbf{x_{t}} \left[ \frac{\rho^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x_{t}})\rho^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x_{t}})}{2 \mu^{2}} - \frac{d^{\mathbf{abc}} \rho^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x_{t}})\rho^{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x_{t}})\rho^{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x_{t}})}{\kappa_{3}} + \frac{\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{abcd}} \rho^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x_{t}})\rho^{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x_{t}})\rho^{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x_{t}})\rho^{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x_{t}})}{\kappa_{4}} \right]}{\mathbf{W}[\rho] \simeq \mathbf{e}^{-\int d^{2}\mathbf{x_{t}} \left[ \frac{\rho^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x_{t}})\rho^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x_{t}})}{2 \mu^{2}} - \frac{d^{\mathbf{abc}} \rho^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x_{t}})\rho^{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x_{t}})}{\kappa_{3}} + \frac{\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{abcd}} \rho^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x_{t}})\rho^{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x_{t}})\rho^{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x_{t}})}{\kappa_{4}} \right]}$$

these higher order terms may make the single inclusive spectra steeper and give <u>leading N<sub>c</sub></u> correlations (ridge) AD-JJM-EP, PRD84 (2011)

## **Two-hadron correlations**

## <u>qualitative</u> agreement with CGC expectations/predictions

A <u>quantitative</u> description of two-hadron correlation requires going beyond dipole approximation

## **Photon-Hadron correlations:dA**

another process to test CGC formalism

- less inclusive than single inclusive particle production
- one less hadron fragmentation function

## theoretically cleaner: 2-point function only

lower rates compared to two hadron production

photons are hard to measure

will help distinguish between different approaches

 $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{p}) \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{q}) \gamma(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{X}$ 



$$\frac{d\sigma^{d\,\mathbf{A}\to\mathbf{h}\,\gamma\,\mathbf{X}}}{d^{2}\mathbf{b}_{t}\,d\mathbf{q}_{t}^{2}\,d\mathbf{k}_{t}^{2}\,d\mathbf{y}_{\gamma}\,d\mathbf{y}_{h}\,d\theta} = \mathbf{a}\,\int_{\mathbf{z}_{\min}}^{1}\,\frac{d\mathbf{z}}{\mathbf{z}^{5}}\,\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{q}/\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{p}},\mathbf{Q}^{2})$$

$$(\mathbf{q}_{t}+\mathbf{z}\tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{t})^{2} \mathbf{p}_{t}(\mathbf{q}_{t}-\mathbf{z}\tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{t})^{2}$$

$$\mathbf{D_{h/q}(z,Q^2)[z^2 + (\frac{q^-}{q^- + zk^-})^2]} \frac{(\tilde{q}_t + zk_t)^2}{(k^- \tilde{q}_t - q^- \tilde{k}_t)^2} \mathbf{N_F(|\tilde{q}_t/z + \tilde{k}_t|)}$$

FG-JJM, PRD66 (2002) 014021 JJM, EPJC61 (2009) 789

Kopeliovich et al., Rezaeian 2010

# pQCD limit



## **Photon-Hadron correlations:dA**



## **Photon-Hadron correlations:dA**

