2 Kinetics Lmpp I T ~ 1 novth So  $(\Delta y)^2 \sim \Delta t (l_m f_p)^2$ Define the momentum diffusion (ay) = 2D at Dy coefficient Dy~ Lmfp-Sa  $\Delta p = -(e_{t}p)D_{3}\frac{\partial u^{x}}{\partial y}$ i.e.  $D_3 = \frac{\gamma}{e_{t,t}}$ 7 ~ (etp) Vth So (e+p)/n . v+L and find Ptyp 7 Ptyp

High temperature QCD (Abover Deconfinement) Ptyp And as T2 Ò So - T<sup>3</sup> - Z<sup>2</sup> - Z<sup>2</sup> 2

For Hydro need: Imp <<1 the system is expanding like mad More importan  $u^{\prime} = (u^{\circ}, \vec{u}) = (\chi)$ After t: fime a Z t t  $\sim \frac{P_2}{E} \sim V^2$ F. Fluid Velocity = u<sup>2</sup> Lo

Pictures Z/+= const 2 Expansion tate:  $\frac{1 \, \mathrm{d} V}{V \, \mathrm{d} t} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{u^2}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{u^2}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{u^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{u^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{u^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{u^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{u^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{u^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{u^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{u^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{u^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{u^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{u^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{u^2}}$ t 2=0 So we have : Coll (K1 or  $\frac{1}{c_s^2(e_{tp})t} \ll 1$ to  $v_{4L}^2 \sim c_c^2$ Using (etp) = ST  $\frac{1}{C^2} \frac{\gamma}{S} \frac{1}{ET} \ll 1$ T~300 MeV C8~ JI To~ Ifm

Now  $\left(\frac{\gamma/s}{\delta \cdot 3}\right) \left(\frac{1f_m}{T}\right) \left(\frac{300 \,\text{MeV}}{T}\right) \ll 1$ 0.36 9000l evidence īs herp ĩs hydro at RHIC See Next Slides

#### From Krishna's Talk



### Hydro and Energy loss:







- 1. Characterize energy density with ellipse
  - Elliptic Shape gives elliptic flow

$$v_2 = \langle \cos 2\phi_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle$$

- 2. Around almond shape are *fluctuations* 
  - Triangular Shape gives  $v_3$  (Alver)

$$v_3 = \langle \cos 3(\phi_{\mathbf{p}} - \Psi_3) \rangle$$



- 1. Characterize energy density with ellipse
  - Elliptic Shape gives elliptic flow

$$v_2 = \langle \cos 2\phi_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle$$

- 2. Around almond shape are *fluctuations* 
  - Triangular Shape gives  $v_3$

$$v_3 = \langle \cos 3(\phi_{\mathbf{p}} - \Psi_3) \rangle$$



- 1. Characterize energy density with ellipse
  - Elliptic Shape gives elliptic flow

$$v_2 = \langle \cos 2\phi_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle$$

- 2. Around almond shape are *fluctuations* 
  - Triangular Shape gives  $v_3$

$$v_3 = \langle \cos 3(\phi_{\mathbf{p}} - \Psi_3) \rangle$$

## Determining the Shear Viscosity of QGP with Flow:



- 1. Characterize energy density with ellipse
  - Elliptic Shape gives elliptic flow

$$v_2 = \langle \cos 2\phi_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle$$

- 2. Around almond shape are *fluctuations* 
  - Triangular Shape gives  $v_3$

$$v_3 = \langle \cos 3(\phi_{\mathbf{p}} - \Psi_3) \rangle$$



- 1. Characterize energy density with ellipse
  - Elliptic Shape gives elliptic flow

$$v_2 = \langle \cos 2\phi_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle$$

- 2. Around almond shape are *fluctuations* 
  - Triangular Shape gives  $v_3$  (Alver)

$$v_3 = \langle \cos 3(\phi_{\mathbf{p}} - \Psi_3) \rangle$$

3. Hot-spots give *correlated* higher harmonics

$$v_n = \langle \cos n(\phi_{\mathbf{p}} - \Psi_n) \rangle$$

3+1 E by E viscous hydro simulations by Schenke et al



Higher harmonics are damped most by viscosity

# Hydro Working



### Phenix flow data



# Hydro Working:

## (schenke, luzum)

1. Centrality dependence of  $v_2$  and  $v_3$ 

 $\sim (\ell_{\rm mfp}/L)$ 

- 2. Relative strength of  $v_2$  and  $v_3$
- 3.  $p_T$  dependence of viscous corrections

$$\sim (\ell_{\rm mfp}/L) \frac{p_T}{T}$$

## Phenix flow data



# Hydro Working:

### (schenke, luzum)

1. Centrality dependence of  $v_2$  and  $v_3$ 

 $\sim (\ell_{\rm mfp}/L)$ 

- 2. Relative strength of  $v_2$  and  $v_3$
- 3.  $p_T$  dependence of viscous corrections

$$\sim (\ell_{\rm mfp}/L) \frac{p_T}{T}$$

#### Phenix flow data



# Hydro Working:

## (schenke, luzum)

1. Centrality dependence of  $v_2$  and  $v_3$ 

 $\sim (\ell_{\rm mfp}/L)$ 

- 2. Relative strength of  $v_2$  and  $v_3$
- 3.  $p_T$  dependence of viscous corrections

$$\sim (\ell_{\rm mfp}/L) \frac{p_T}{T}$$

# Hydro Working

Why I believe that there's hydro at RHIC (and why you should too):

- $\checkmark$  Ideal hydro works kind-of (not for today)
- $\checkmark$  Viscous corrections systematically capture deviations of data from ideal hydro

Makes the bounds  $1/4\pi < \eta/s < 4/4\pi$  kind of convincing

Calculating Shear Viscosity @ Kinetics:  $(\partial_t + v_p \partial_r) f = -C(f)$ Then  $= \int \left[ \int b_{k} - b b_{k} \left[ \int f f^{k} \left( J + f^{k} \right) \left( J + f^{k} \right) - f^{k} f^{k} \left( I + f^{k} \right) \right] \right]$ C[t]  $= \int \frac{d^3}{(2\pi)}$  $(2) \Gamma_{pk} \rightarrow p'k' = |M|^2 e.g. |M|^2 = 8g^4 C_A^2 \frac{s^2}{t^2}$ k

Near Equilibrium: temperature variation and flow ui(x), T(x) = To + ST(x) U(x) and T(x) obey hydro  $(b) f_p = f_e(\vec{p}) + Sf$  $f_e = \frac{1}{e^{(E_p - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{u}(x))/T(x)} - 1} \sim n_p + n_p (1 + n_p) \left[ \vec{p} \cdot \vec{u} + E_p ST(x) - 1 - 1 \right]$ 2) We want to know Sf - first viscous correct · Proportional Strains (d'ui) others (ignore forno  $Sf(p) = n_p (1+n) \chi_p$ Sf(p) = ng(1+np) pipi <2, u; > X(p) Now  $T'' = pS'' - \eta \langle \vartheta' u \vartheta \rangle = \int_{p}^{p} f_{e} + Sf$  $\gamma = 2 \int n_p(1+n_p) X(p)$ 15

Now  $f_{g} = f_{e} + Sf$  $\begin{bmatrix} \partial_t + v_p \partial_t \end{bmatrix} f_e = C \begin{bmatrix} f_e + Sf \end{bmatrix}$  $C[f_e] = 0$ Work: Hydro V O Use Eom to wrete time derivs as spatial derivs 2 Thermodynamic identities 3 Detailed Balance npnk (1+np) (i+nk) Pt >p'k' = P, nk' (1+n)(1+nk) Pk -> p'k' Find an equation for X  $(\dots) \partial_{i} u' + \frac{p' p^{2} \langle \partial_{i} u_{j} \rangle}{2TE} = - \int \int p_{k \rightarrow p'k'} \frac{n_{e} n_{e} (i + n_{p'}) (i + n_{k'})}{k p' k'} \frac{k p' k'}{\left[ x_{p}^{2} + x_{p'} - x_{p'} - x_{p'} \right]}$ Responsible for Bulk Viscosity General Structure: A matrix eqn for x =  $\frac{p'p^{\circ}(\partial_{i}u_{j})}{2T} = C_{pp} \chi_{p}$ Numerically invert matrix eqn determine Xp

QCD Scattering Hadronic Phase: 90 dE TT Mostly known experimentally - pretty robust 7 computed by Prakash et al ~ 1992

High temperature : The So do  $\swarrow g^4 C_1^2 \leftarrow coulomb scattering$ dr dr gaσ<sub>tr</sub> ~ (dσ (1-cosΘ) dΩ 5 × g<sup>4</sup>C<sup>2</sup> log Omin ~ g<sup>4</sup>C<sup>2</sup> log T A mp So shear viscosity has the form (more next time) 2 = 1 F(MD/T) Computed by AMY (Arnold moore Vol 5 or 2 9 To depends on how regulates -- uncerte Coulomb log depends on how to get the scale uncertain  $\frac{7}{5} = 0.22 \quad \left(\frac{0.5}{\alpha_c}\right)^2$ 



$$0.36 \left(\frac{\eta/s}{0.3}\right) \left(\frac{1\,\mathrm{fm}}{\tau_o}\right) \left(\frac{300\,\mathrm{MeV}}{T_o}\right) \ll 1$$

Now interpret soft coulomb scattering i -> gives rise to a random walk diffusion in Mom space 19 2 t V2 a Sf Approx  $\chi_{p} = \chi_{p} + \tilde{q} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \tilde{p}} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{q}^{\dagger} \tilde{q}^{\dagger} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \tilde{p}}$ momentum diffusion egn = q 2 n (I+np) 2 x 2 soft 2p 2p, transverse momentum diffusion coefficient

Here 9 mean G <9-G length > per  $= \int [m]^2 n_k(I+n_k) \times q^2$ 92 n (ltn g sot 2  $\frac{q^{\prime}C_{r}^{2}T_{log}(T)}{12\pi}$ 0.92 TS gsoft

Comments So if compling is too strong sach that
the Debye sector is non perturbative - at least
we can parametrize @ one number
Get if from experiment? · Ads?