THE (IN-)DEPENDENCE OF R-MODE DAMPING ON THE MICROPHYSICS







KAI SCHWENZER WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY IN ST. LOUIS

INT SEATTLE, JULY 25.2010

IN COLLABORATION WITH MARK ALFORD AND SIMIN MAHMOODIFAR

ARXIV:1012.4883

COMPACT STARS

-] COMPACT STARS ARE THE DENSEST KNOWN OBJECTS ($\sim M_{\odot}$ @ ~ 10km radius)
- EXOTIC FORMS OF MATTER
 - REQUIRES TO CONNECT OBSERVATIONAL ASPECTS TO MICROSCOPIC PROPERTIES
- MANY MICROSCOPIC ASPECTS OF STRONG INTERACTION ARE PURELY UNDERSTOOD
 - MANY OBSERVABLES ARE RATHER INDIRECT AND INVOLVE MODEL ASSUMPTIONS





X-RAY EMISSION FROM CRAB PULSAR (*1054 A.D)

DISCRIMINATION



DISCRIMINATION

DETAILED PROPERTIES OF A GIVEN COMPACT STAR CAN NEITHER BE OBSERVED

NOR THEORETICALLY PREDICTED

YET IT WOULD BE GREAT IF ONE COULD ANSWER THE QUESTION IF A STAR IS WITHIN A GIVEN CLASS (E.G.: "QUARK MATTER")

FOR STATIC PROPERTIES (E.G. MASS/ RADIUS RELATION) THIS IS HARD ...

I... BUT FOR DYNAMIC PROPERTIES CERTAIN CLASSES OF STARS CAN BEHAVE VERY DIFFERENTLY DUE TO PARAMETRICALLY DIFFERENT MICROPHYSICAL MECHANISMS

PULSAR FREQUENCIES



GRADUALLY SPUN UP BY ACCRETION



AGE DEPENDENCE

WHY DON'T THEY SPIN AS FAST AS THEY COULD?

AGES ARE MOSTLY UNKNOWN, BUT THE SPIN-DOWN AGE COULD GIVE A ROUGH ORDER OF MAGNITUDE ESTIMATE

PULSAR FREQUENCIES AND SPIN-DOWN RATES PRESENT IMPORTANT DIRECT OBSERVABLES AND SHOW A STRIKING AGE-DEPENDENCE

OSCILLATIONS COULD SPIN DOWN STARS DUE TO GRAVITATIONAL RADIATION ...



MANCHESTER, ET. AL. ASTRO-PH/0412641

R-MODE OSCILLATIONS

R-MODE: EIGENMODE OF A ROTATING STAR WHICH IS UNSTABLE AGAINST GRAV. WAVE EMISSION N. ANDERSSON, ASTROPHYS. J. 502 (1998) 708

LARGE AMPLITUDE R-MODE OSCILLATIONS COULD QUICKLY SPIN DOWN A STAR

B. J. OWEN, ET. AL., PHYS. REV. D 58 (1998) 084020

BUT R-MODE GROWTH HAS TO BE STOPPED BY SOME NON-LINEAR DAMPING MECHANISM

--> MARK ALFORDS TALK

SIMPLE POSSIBILITY: NON-LINEAR VISCOUS DAMPING

OTHERWISE, LARGE AMPLITUDE R-MODES COULD BE DESTROYED BY DECAY INTO OTHER MODES L.M. LIN AND W.M. SUEN, MON. NOT. ROY. ASTRON. SOC. 370 (2006) 1295



SIMULATION BY L. LINDBLOM VELOCITY OSCILLATION: $\delta \vec{v} = \alpha R \Omega \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{l} \vec{Y}_{ll}^{B} e^{i\omega t}$ DENSITY OSCILLATION (NLO IN Ω): $\left|\frac{\Delta n}{\bar{n}}\right| \approx \sqrt{\frac{16m}{(m+1)^{5}(2m+3)}} \frac{\alpha A R^{2} \Omega^{2}}{\kappa(\Omega)}$ $\cdot \left(\left(\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{m+1} + \delta \Phi_{0}\right) |Y_{m+1}^{m}(\theta, \phi)| + \cdots\right)$

VISCOUS DAMPING

$$\frac{d\epsilon}{dt}\Big|_{visc} = -\eta \left(\nabla_a v_b + \nabla_b v_a - \frac{2}{3}\delta_{ab}\nabla_c v_c\right)^2 - \zeta \left(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v}\right)^2$$
shear bulk

- SHEAR VISCOSITY DUE TO PARTICLE SCATTERING HAS USUALLY A POWER LAW TEMPERATURE DEPENDENCE $\eta = \tilde{\eta} T^{\sigma}$
- BULK VISCOSITY DUE TO LOCAL DENSITY OSCILLATION ... $n\left(\vec{r},t\right) = \bar{n} + \Delta n\left(\vec{r}\right) \sin\left(\frac{2\pi t}{\tau}\right)$

... WHICH INDUCES A CORRESPONDING CHEMICAL POTENTIAL OSCILLATION

WITH SUSCEPTIBILITIES THAT CHARACTERIZE THE MATTER

ING

$$\mu_{\Delta} = C \frac{\delta n}{\bar{n}} + B \bar{n} \delta x$$

(E.G. $\mu_{\Delta} = \mu_n - \mu_p - \mu_e$)

$$C \equiv \bar{n} \frac{\partial \mu_{\Delta}}{\partial n}$$
 and $B \equiv \frac{1}{\bar{n}} \frac{\partial \mu_{\Delta}}{\partial x}$

BULK VISCOSITY

- STRONG PROCESSES ARE VERY FAST AND LEAD TO AN IMMEDIATE THERMAL EQUILIBRATION WHEREAS WEAK PROCESSES CAN BE SLOW SO THAT CHEMICAL EQUILIBRIUM IS NOT ESTABLISHED
- GENERAL FORM ARISING FROM MICROSCOPIC COMPUTATIONS: $\Gamma^{(\leftrightarrow)} = -\tilde{\Gamma}T^{\delta}\mu_{\Delta} \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{N}\chi_{j}\left(\frac{\mu_{\Delta}^{2}}{T^{2}}\right)^{j}\right) \quad \text{(where } \Gamma^{(\leftrightarrow)} \equiv \Gamma - \Gamma^{(inv)}\text{)}$
- □ NON-LINEAR TERMS IMPORTANT AT LARGE AMPLITUDE --> MARK ALFORDS TALK
- GENERAL ANALYTIC EXPRESSION IN THE SUBTHERMAL LIMIT $\zeta^{<} = \frac{C^{2} \tilde{\Gamma} T^{\delta}}{\omega^{2} + \left(B \tilde{\Gamma} T^{\delta}\right)^{2}}$

 \Box TWO ASYMPTOTIC LIMITS $\omega \leq B \tilde{\Gamma} T^{\delta}$

DAMPING PROCESSES

HADRONIC MATTER: "APR" EOS A. AKMAL, ET. AL., PRC 58 (1998) 1804

SHEAR VISCOSITY FROM LEPTONIC SCATTERING

P.S. SHTERNIN, D.G. YAKOVLEV, PRD 78 (2008) 063006

BULK VISCOSITY FROM WEAK URCA PROCESSES:

 $\Box \quad \text{STANDARD MODIFIED } n + n \to n + p + e + \bar{\nu}_e \ , \ n + p + e \to n + n + \nu_e$ R.F. SAWYER, PLB 233 (1989) 412

□ OR DIRECT AT HIGH DENSITY $n \rightarrow p + e + \bar{\nu}_e$, $p + e \rightarrow n + \nu_e$ P. HAENSEL AND R.SCHAEFFER, PRD 45 (1992) 4708

 $\exists \text{STRANGE QUARK MATTER:} \ p_{par} = \frac{1-c}{4\pi^2} \left(\mu_d^4 + \mu_u^4 + \mu_s^4 \right) - \frac{3m_s^2 \mu_s^2}{4\pi^2} - \mathcal{B} + \frac{\mu_e^4}{12\pi^2} \\ \text{M. ALFORD, ET. AL., APJ 629 (2005) 969} \end{bmatrix}$

SHEAR VISCOSITY FROM QUARK SCATTERING H. HEISELBERG, C.J. PETHICK, PRD 48 (1993) 2916

BULK VISCOISTY FROM NON-LEPTONIC WEAK PROCESSES $s + u \leftrightarrow d + u$ J. MADSEN, PRD 46 (1992) 3290; M. ALFORD, S. MAHMOODIFAR, K. S., J. PHYS. G 37 (2010) 125202

PARAMETERS

□ STRONG INTERACTION PARAMETERS:

,		$\rightarrow A$	В	C
$A \equiv \frac{d\rho}{dr}$	hadronic matter	$m_N \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial n}\right)^{-1}$	$\frac{8S}{n} + \frac{\pi^2}{(4(1-2x)S)^2}$	$4(1-2x)\left(n\frac{\partial S}{\partial n}-\frac{S}{3}\right)$
ENTERS IN R-MODE PROFILE	(hadronic gas)	$\frac{3m_N^2}{\left(3\pi^2n\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}}$	$\frac{4m_N^2}{3(3\pi^2)^{\frac{1}{3}}n^{\frac{4}{3}}}$	$\frac{\left(3\pi^2n\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}}{6m}$
	quark matter (gas: $c = 0$)	$3 + \frac{m_s^2}{(1-c)\mu_q^2}$	$\frac{2\pi^2}{3(1-c)\mu_q^2} \left(1 + \frac{m_s^2}{12(1-c)\mu_q^2}\right)$	$-\frac{m_s^2}{3(1-c)\mu_q}$

BULK VISCOSITY	Weak process	$\tilde{\Gamma} \left[\mathrm{MeV}^{(3-\delta)} ight]$	δ	χ_1	χ_2	χ_3
PARAMETERS:	quark non-leptonic	$6.59 \times 10^{-12} \left(\frac{\mu_q}{300 \text{MeV}} \right)^5$	2	$\frac{1}{4\pi^2}$	0	0
	hadronic direct Urca	$5.24 \times 10^{-15} \left(\frac{x n}{n_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$	4	$\frac{10}{17\pi^2}$	$\frac{1}{17\pi^4}$	0
	hadronic modified Urca	$4.68 \times 10^{-19} \left(\frac{x n}{n_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$	6	$\frac{189}{367\pi^2}$	$\tfrac{21}{367\pi^4}$	$\frac{3}{1835\pi^6}$

SHEAR VISCOSITY
 PARAMETERS:
 (NON-FERMI LIQUID
 ENHANCED SCATTERING)

Strong/EM process	$\tilde{\eta} \left[\mathrm{MeV}^{(3+\sigma)} \right]$	σ
quark scattering	$1.98 \times 10^9 \alpha_s^{-\frac{5}{3}} \left(\frac{\mu_q}{300 \mathrm{MeV}}\right)^{\frac{14}{3}}$	$\frac{5}{3}$
leptonic scattering	$1.40 \times 10^{12} \left(\frac{x n}{n_0}\right)^{\frac{14}{9}}$	$\frac{5}{3}$
nn-scattering	$5.46 \times 10^9 \left(\frac{\rho}{m_N n_0}\right)^{\frac{9}{4}}$	2

STAR MODELS



□ SOLUTIONS OF STATIC TOLMAN-OPPENHEIMER-VOLKOV EQS.

 \square both $1.4M_{\odot}$ and heavy $2M_{\odot}$ star models

P. B. DEMOREST, ET. AL., NATURE 467 (2010) 1081

SLOW ROTATION" EXPANSION IN Ω AND LINEAR MODE ANALYSIS ,L. LINDBLOM, ET. AL., PRL 80 (1998) 4843; PRD 60 (1999) 064006

GENERAL DAMPING TIME EXPRESSIONS

THE DAMPING TIMES FOR GENERAL FORMS OF DENSE MATTER CAN BE WRITTEN IN A SEMI-ANALYTIC FORM WHERE ALL DEPENDENCE ON THE MICROPHYSICS (EQUATION OF STATE, TRANSPORT PROPERTIES) AND THE STAR MODEL (DENSITY PROFILES) IS CONTAINED IN A FEW DIMENSIONLESS CONSTANTS:

 $\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\tau_{G}} &= -\frac{32\pi \left(m-1\right)^{2m}}{\left(\left(2m+1\right)!!\right)^{2}} \left(\frac{m+2}{m+1}\right)^{2m+2} \tilde{J}_{m} GMR^{2m} \Omega^{2m+2} & \tilde{J}_{m} \equiv \frac{1}{MR^{2m}} \int_{0}^{R} \rho(r) r^{2m+2} dr \\ \frac{1}{\tau_{S}} &= \frac{\left(m-1\right)\left(2m+1\right)\tilde{S}_{m}\Lambda_{QCD}^{3+\sigma}R}{\tilde{J}_{m}MT^{\sigma}} & \text{WITH} \\ \frac{1}{\tau_{S}^{6}} \xrightarrow{f \ll 1} \frac{16m}{\left(2m+3\right)\left(m+1\right)^{5}\left(\kappa-m\right)^{2}} \frac{\Lambda_{QCD}^{9-\delta}\tilde{V}_{m}R^{5}\Omega^{2}T^{\delta}}{\Lambda_{EW}^{4}\tilde{J}_{m}M} & \text{WITH} \\ \frac{1}{\tau_{S}^{6}} \xrightarrow{f \gg 1} \frac{16m}{\left(2m+3\right)\left(m+1\right)^{5}} \frac{\Lambda_{EW}^{4}\Lambda_{QCD}^{\delta-1}\tilde{W}_{m}R^{5}\Omega^{4}}{\tilde{J}_{m}MT^{\delta}} & \tilde{W}_{m} \equiv \frac{1}{R^{3}\Lambda_{EW}^{4}\Lambda_{QCD}^{\delta-1}} \int_{R_{i}}^{R_{o}} dr r^{2}A^{2}C^{2}\tilde{\Gamma} \left(\delta\Sigma(r)\right)^{2} \\ \frac{1}{\tau_{S}^{6}} \xrightarrow{f \gg 1} \frac{16m}{\left(2m+3\right)\left(m+1\right)^{5}} \frac{\Lambda_{EW}^{4}\Lambda_{QCD}^{\delta-1}\tilde{W}_{m}R^{5}\Omega^{4}}{\tilde{J}_{m}MT^{\delta}} & \tilde{W}_{m} \equiv \frac{1}{R^{3}\Lambda_{EW}^{4}\Lambda_{QCD}^{\delta-1}} \int_{R_{i}}^{R_{o}} dr r^{2}\frac{A^{2}C^{2}}{\tilde{\Gamma}B^{2}} \left(\delta\Sigma(r)\right)^{2} \\ \square \quad \text{DEPENDENCE ON MACROSCOPIC PARAMETERS EXPLICIT} & \text{R-MODE} \\ \text{DENSITY} \\ \text{FLUCTUATION} & \text{COMPLENCE ON MACROSCOPIC PARAMETERS EXPLICIT} & \text{R-MODE} \\ \end{array}$

INSTABILITY REGIONS

THE BOUNDARY OF THE INSTABILITY REGION IS GIVEN BY:

 $\frac{1}{\tau_G} + \sum_{s} \left(\frac{1}{\tau_S^{(s)}} + \frac{1}{\tau_B^{(s)}} \right) = 0$

SHELL

IN GENERAL COMPLICATED NON-ANALYTIC EQUATION IN T and Ω

YET THE DEPENDENCE OF THE INDIVIDUAL TERMS IS VERY PRONOUNCED SO THAT NEARLY ALWAYS ONE DAMPING TERM CLEARLY DOMINATES

APPROXIMATE ANALYTIC SOLUTION IN THIS REGIME

 \Box CONDITION FOR BOUNDARY SEGMENT: $au_V^{(i)} = | au_G|$

 \Box condition for extrema: $au_V^{(i)} = au_V^{(j)} = | au_G|/2$

SEMI-ANALYTIC RESULTS

GENERAL RESULT FOR MINIMUM OF THE INSTABILITY REGION:



ANALYTIC VS. NUMERIC



- VERY GOOD AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE SEMI-ANALYTIC AND NUMERIC RESULTS
- ANALYTIC EXPRESSIONS COVER THE BASICALLY ENTIRE INSTABILITY BOUNDARY

MASS DEPENDENCE



LARGE MASS STARS ARE SLIGHTLY MORE UNSTABLE

INDISTINGUISHABLE FROM ORDINARY NEUTRON STARS

HYBRID STARS WITH A SMALL QUARK CORE ARE

OTHER ASPECTS



DIRECT URCA HAS A SMALL EFFECT (NOTCH AT THE R.H.S)

HIGHER R-MODES MORE STABLE

CONFRONTING DATA

- LMXB'S FAR INSIDE THE NEUTRON STAR INSTABILITY REGION
- ANALYTIC ANALYSIS: ROBUST STATEMENT!
 - POSSIBLE EXPLANATION: EXOTIC MATTER WHICH PROVIDES ENHANCED DAMPING
 -] STABILITY WINDOW
 -] OTHER OPTIONS:
 - CRUST EFFECTS
 - SUPERFLUIDITY?



CONCLUSION

- SEMI-ANALYTIC EXPRESSIONS FOR THE BOUNDARY OF THE INSTABILITY REGION
- SURPRISINGLY INSENSITIVE TO THE DETAILED MICROPHYSICS FOR A GIVEN FORM OF DENSE MATTER
- I. BUT COULD DISTINGUISH CLEARLY BETWEEN DIFFERENT CLASSES OF DENSE MATTER
- DEFINITE CONCLUSIONS REQUIRE A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF THE DYNAMIC R-MODE ASPECTS (SATURATION, EVOLUTION, ...)