What can X-ray observations

tell us about:

The role of Gravitational Waves

in Low Mass X-ray Binaries

Brynmor Haskell

Astronomical Institute "Anton Pannekoek"



UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM



UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

### Low Mass X-ray Binaries



- Mass is stripped from the donor
- Forms a disc and spirals in
- Interacts with the magnetic field
- Transfers angular momentum to the central NS, spinning it up



UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM



(Chakrabarty et al 2003, Patruno 2010)

- LMXB spin distribution points to a mechanism that halts the spin-up before the break up limit.
- GWs!: "mountains", unstable modes, magnetic deformations.. (Papaloizou & Pringle 1978, Wagoner 1984, Bildsten 1998)



UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

### GWs from LMXBs



(Chakrabarty et al 2003, Patruno 2010)

- LMXB spin distribution points to a mechanism that halts the spin-up before the break up limit.
- GWs!: "mountains", unstable modes, magnetic deformations.. (Papaloizou & Pringle 1978, Wagoner 1984, Bildsten 1998)



UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM



- Interaction at magnetospheric radius
- Accretion torque: spin up

Magnetic torques and propeller: spin down



UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM







#### Neutron star mountains



Emission at  $\omega = 2\Omega$ 





Theoretical upper limit  $\epsilon pprox 10^{-6}$  (Haskell, Jones, Andersson 2006)



### Neutron star mountains-II

### Mountains from 'wavy' capture layers in crust



Deep crustal heating 'consistent' with cooling observations from X-ray transients.



UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

### Magnetic mountains



Magnetic field distorted by the accretion flow

Possibility of confining a 'mountain'

(Payne & Melatos 2004, Melatos and Payne 2005)





# <u>The strange case of XTE J1814-338</u> (& SAX J1808.4-3658)



### Gravitational waves?

#### Crustal mountains.

Ushomirsky, Cutler & Bildsten (2000)

Not enough heat deposited in the crust



$$Q_{22} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{35} R_6^4 \left(\frac{\delta T_q}{10^5 \text{K}}\right) \left(\frac{Q}{30 \text{MeV}}\right)^3 \text{ g cm}^2$$

(To balance accretion one would need  $Q_{22} \approx 10^{37}$  )











### Gravitational waves?

Magnetic mountains.

Cutler (2002), Melatos & Payne (2005)

B field too weak. Spin-down too strong?

Requires strong internal toroidal (or surface higher multipole) component of the order  $B \approx 10^{12}$ G





UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

### Gravitational waves?



Would need strong direct URCA with no superfluidity



UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM



Assume a propeller phase with Rc=Rm [Haskell & Patruno (2011)]

- The simple model of Andersson et al. 2005 gives spin equilibrium at approximately the mean accretion rate (Rm=0.8 Rc)
- Rm/Rc=0.75 XTE JI8I4, Rm/Rc=0.75-0.84 SAX JI808



UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

#### Spin equilibrium?



Correlation between B and L weak

Many systems may be close to spin equilibrium as set by the disc/magnetosphere interaction

[Patruno, Haskell & D'Angelo (in preparation)]



UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

### <u>r-mode instability</u>

(Animation by Ben Owen)



Rotating observer



Potating observer

Inertial observer

r-mode generically unstable to GW emission

Emission at 
$$\omega pprox rac{4}{3} \Omega$$

Viscosity damps the mode except in a window of temperatures and frequencies



UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

### r-mode instability window - I





UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

### <u>r-mode instability window - l</u>







UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

### r-mode instability window - II





UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

#### r-mode instability window - II







**Mutual Friction** 

## Multifluid hydrodynamics

$$\partial_t \rho_{\mathbf{x}} + \nabla_i (\rho_{\mathbf{x}} v_{\mathbf{x}}^i) = 0$$

 $D_i^j$ 

 $(\partial_t + v_{\mathbf{x}}^j \nabla_j)(v_i^{\mathbf{x}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{x}} w_i^{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}}) + \nabla_i (\tilde{\mu}_{\mathbf{x}} + \Phi) + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{x}} w_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}}^j \nabla_i v_j^{\mathbf{x}} = f_i^{\mathbf{x}} / \rho_{\mathbf{x}} + \nabla_j D_i^j$ 

#### Dissipative terms (bulk viscosity, shear viscosity, etc..)

$$f_i^{\mathbf{x}} = 2\rho_{\mathbf{n}} \mathcal{B}' \epsilon_{ijk} \Omega^j w_{\mathbf{xy}}^k + 2\rho_{\mathbf{n}} \mathcal{B} \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{\Omega}^j \epsilon^{klm} \Omega_l w_m^{\mathbf{xy}}$$



UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

## Mutual friction



- Superfluids rotate by forming quantised vortices
- Vortex density determines spin :

vortices must move out to spin down the fluid!

Vortices could be strongly pinned in the crust



FREE:  $\epsilon^{ijk}\hat{k}_i(v_k^{\mathrm{v}}-v_k^{\mathrm{n}}) + \mathcal{R}(v_c^i-v_{\mathrm{v}}^i) = 0$ 



UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

### <u>r-mode instability window - III</u>

[Haskell et al. (in preparation) - Ho, Andersson & Haskell (2011)]



UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

#### r-mode instability window - III



[Haskell et al. (in preparation) - Ho, Andersson & Haskell (2011)]



UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

### <u>Conclusions</u>

We have a problem with the r-mode. Can a system be inside the instability window?

Need to model the accretion torque.

Are some AMXPs emitting GWs? Precise timing and cooling measurements can guide us..(while we wait for GW measurements...)

Important input for choosing targets for GW observations: persistent sources best targets?