Bosons in a disordered double-well potential: a simple system for understanding the interplay between disorder and interaction

Qi Zhou

Joint Quantum Institute, University of Maryland, US

INT, University of Seattle, Washington, 2011

Experiments on cold atoms in disordered potentials EXPET1 \mathbf{r} t_1 is described by Box 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ a ents on cold atoms in disordered r

 \mathbf{D} . Delli Nature Physics $6.677(2010)$ $\log s$ trapping. Although the very demanding $\log s$ \mathcal{C} atoms, using (1) controlled disorder, (2) negligible interthe ratio of the distribution of the strength (\overline{a}). The site-to-site tunnelling rate tunnelling rate (*J*). The site of the site tunnelling rate (*J*). The site tunnelling rate (*J*). The site of the site of the site The onset of localization corresponds to the crossover to α →1 for "*/J >* 9. 4.0^o Nature Physics 6, 677 (2010) edge *k*mob. Even more important would be the determination 2010 . Potassium-39 has a convenient 29 $\left(\begin{array}{c} 0 & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots \end{array} \right)$

R.Hulet's group \overline{c} at various times. The images times times. The images times times. The images of \overline{c} Phys. Rev. A 82, 033603 (2010) its original shape throughout the oscillation. \mathcal{L} inspection of the density distributions in Fig. 4 reveals Motivation of these experiments:

To understand disorder effects in a many-body system

A particularly interesting question

Interplay between interaction and disorder

Disorder has been known to be important in solids affects both thermodynamic and transport properties

 For non-interacting case: Anderson localization P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. 109, 1492 (1958)

In the presence of interaction: More difficult

The simplest case:

Disordered Bose-Hubbard Model:

$$
H = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} (b_i^{\dagger} b_j + c.c) + \frac{U}{2} \sum_i n_i (n_i - 1) + \sum_i \epsilon_i n_i \quad \epsilon_i \in [-\Delta, \Delta]
$$

M. P. A. Fisher, et al., PRB, 40, 546 (1989)

Superfluid(SF) Bose glass(BG) Mott insulator(MI) $\rho_s = 0, \kappa = 0$ $\rho_s = 0, \kappa \neq 0$ $\rho_s \neq 0, \kappa \neq 0$

Debates on the structure of phase diagram over decades

Whether a direct transition between SF and MI is possible?

Solution from recent Quantum Monte-Carlo simulations

venes between the MI and SF phases because of the theorem $\sqrt{10}$. The transitions $\sqrt{10}$

Besides the proof

 $M_{\rm ODY}$ widily B_1 model at unity filling. In the absence of distribution of distribution A Many striking features on this single phase diagram $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ are presence of disorder allows allow $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

Striking feature 1: disorder enhanced phase coherence

Q1: Why increasing disorder strength can enhance for a compressible, insulation \mathcal{C} phase coherence at large U? counterintuitive

Why the system behaviors completely differently at small and large U?

2 Striking feature 2: interaction enhanced phase coherence

for a compressible, insulation \mathcal{L} Q2: Why interaction can enhance the phase coherence \int in the presence of disorder in the presence of disorder?

Also counterintuitive

Answers to above questions may be known to some experts

A SIMPLE way to understand all these counterintuitive phenomena

TRANSPARENTLY without resorting to numerical simulations?

Striking feature 3: wiggle on the phase diagram

was that the transition is of the Griffiths type. An alternative scenario would claim that the transition point happens at smaller values of ∆ due to subtle interplay What is the origin for this non trivial Q3: What is the origin for this non-trivial α and α is and α and α phases discriments the theorem theorem theorem theorem theorem theorem theorem theorem the theorem theorem theorem theorem the theorem theorem theorem the theorem theorem the theorem the shape (wiggle) of the phase diagram?

A puzzle

 \mathbb{F}_2 is phase diagram of the disordered three dimensional three dimensio $\rm\,E\,X$ neriment $\rm\,B\,$ Demarco (701) Experiment: B.Demarco (2009)

 Ω and Ω phases because of the theorem the theorem Ω $\overline{1,1}$. Why the topology of χ ¹ with the top on χ $\mathbf{1}$, the SF–BG transition $\mathbf{1}$. enormous scale. In this range of parameters, the localized \overline{v} the topology Q4: Why the topology of the is the one possible for the possible for the gap Γ $\mathcal S$ is the vanishing of the vanishing of the gap at the gap at the critical $\mathcal S$ α roturo incrosso Ω as temperature increase? why the topology phase diagram changes

It is not easy to access the underlying physics for above features from sophisticated numerical simulations

Especially for those who don't know how to do Quantum Monte Carlo simulations, like me

Our approach:

Qualitative understandings from a simpler system

Bosons in a "disordered" double well

QZ, S. Das Sarma, PRA 82, 041601(R) (2010)

Even though there is no long-range order

 \triangle A simple system capturing all above features

 \Diamond A minimal model incorporating interaction & disorder

 \Diamond Exactly solvable & Easily computed

 \Diamond Reveal underlying qualitative physics transparently

What do we mean by a "disordered" double well?

Consider an **ENSEMBLE**

$$
-t(b_L^{\dagger}b_R + c.c) + \frac{U}{2}(n_L(n_L - 1) + n_R(n_R - 1)) + \epsilon(n_L - n_R)
$$

At a fixed ϵ , exact diagonalization

$$
H(\epsilon)|\Psi\rangle_n = E_n(\epsilon)|\Psi\rangle_n
$$

$$
|\Psi\rangle_n = \sum_{l=0}^N c_{n,l}|l, N-l\rangle
$$

Interesting results on the coherence between left and right well
and right well
and right well

$$
\underbrace{\left\{\overline{b_L^{\dagger}b_R}\right\}}_{\text{wave function}} = \underbrace{\left\{\overline
$$

 \mathbf{F} shows that for \mathbf{F} is the contours for \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{F} is the contours first bending the contours first bending to \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{F} The only difference: Number of wiggles $t_{\rm eff}$ and the weight of those configurations favoring tunneling in $t_{\rm eff}$ $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ forces on the Griffiths-type scenarios sc is the only difference: Num s , the vanishing of the vanishing of the gap at the gap at the critical s \mathcal{L} + 0, the SF–BG transition line has an infinite slope \mathcal{L} The only difference: Number of wiggles

wiggles arise from the structures in the Γ last paragraph. For a fixed value of *U*, away from the number per site T_{M1} and T_{M2} and T_{M2} \mathbf{D}^{\star} CC and disorder reproduce \mathbf{D}^{\star} CC and disorder reproduce reproduce reproduce reproduce reproduce \mathbf{D}^{\star} CC and disorder reproduce reproduce reproduce reproduce reproduce reproduce reproduce repr a regular gaples of the vicinity of the critical critical entirely of the critical crit point, the gapless phase must necessarily be "glassy", be-Different particle number per site

Interaction smoothes the disordered potential

Two negatives make a positive

the contours of phase coherence at $T \neq 0$

No enhancement of coherence by disorder

From two-site problem to lattice case

Any exactly solvable lattice mode for helping understand disordered systems?

An exactly solvable model in a clean system

1D hard core bosons in a lattice $U\rightarrow\infty$

1 \overline{u} Disorder enhanced coherence is a general feature

