Numerical Instabilities Associated with Block Spinning Non-Integer Numbers of Sites

Yuzhi Liu

yuzhi-liu@uiowa.edu

Department of Physics and Astronomy The University of Iowa with Y. Meurice and M. B. Oktay



Outline

- Introduction and Motivation
- Linear Analysis
- Window of Stability
- Improvement and Results
- Further Plan



$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{N} (\frac{c}{4})^m \sum_{p_N, \dots, p_{m+1}} (\sum_{p_m, \dots, p_1} \phi_{(p_N, \dots, p_m)})^2 .$$

- D : Dimension of the system.
- ϕ : Scalar field in the configuration space.
- $\frac{c}{4} = b^{-2-D}$: Control the decay of the interaction.
- b^D : Number of sites blocked in each RG iteration.
- a : Lattice Spacing.
- $\Lambda: {\rm UV}$ cutoff.



$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{N} (\frac{c}{4})^m \sum_{p_N, \dots, p_{m+1}} (\sum_{p_m, \dots, p_1} \phi_{(p_N, \dots, p_m)})^2 .$$

Block Spin:

Lattice Spacing $a \rightarrow ba$ UV cutoff $\Lambda \rightarrow \frac{\Lambda}{b}$



$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{N} (\frac{c}{4})^m \sum_{p_N, \dots, p_{m+1}} (\sum_{p_m, \dots, p_1} \phi_{(p_N, \dots, p_m)})^2 .$$

Block Spin:

Lattice Spacing $a \rightarrow ba$ UV cutoff $\Lambda \rightarrow \frac{\Lambda}{b}$

The blocking parameter *b* can be continuous in the momentum space.



Choices of initial measure:

Ising Measure: $W_0(\phi) = \delta(\phi^2 - 1)$

Landau-Ginsburg measure: $W_0(\phi) = exp(-\frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 - \lambda\phi^4)$.

Block Spin transformation:

$$W_{n+1}(\phi) = C_{n+1} e^{\frac{\beta}{2} (\frac{c}{4})^{n+1} \phi^2} \int d\phi' W_n(\frac{(\phi - \phi')}{2}) W_n(\frac{(\phi + \phi')}{2})$$

Fourier transform of the measure:

$$W_n(\phi) = \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} e^{ik\phi} R_n(k)$$



Proof Recursion formula of the measure: R(k)

$$R_{n+1}(k) = C_{n+1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta \frac{\partial^2}{\partial k^2}} \left(R_n(\sqrt{\frac{c}{4}} k) \right)^2$$



Proof Recursion formula of the measure: R(k)

$$R_{n+1}(k) = C_{n+1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta \frac{\partial^2}{\partial k^2}} \left(R_n(b^{-\frac{2+D}{2}} k) \right)^{b^D}$$



Recursion formula of the measure: R(k)

$$R_{n+1}(k) = C_{n+1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta \frac{\partial^2}{\partial k^2}} \left(R_n(b^{-\frac{2+D}{2}} k) \right)^{b^D}$$

Ponchiski's equation:

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = DV + (1 - \frac{D}{2})\phi \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} - (\frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi})^2 + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \phi^2}$$

HM \implies Polchinski's Equation $\implies \gamma_{WP}$
 $b \rightarrow 1$



HM with Ising measure($b^D = 2$):

 $\gamma = 1.2991 {\color{red}4} 0730159$

WP:

 $\gamma = 1.2991 {\color{red}2} 3547761$

 $\gamma_{WP} \neq \gamma_{b^D=2}$

The difference is in the 5th digit.



• Critical exponent γ for integer b^D :





• Critical exponent γ for integer b^D :





• Critical temperature for integer b^D :





• Fixed point Polynomial for integer b^D :







Unnormalized recursion:

$$R_{n+1}(k) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta \frac{\partial^2}{\partial k^2}} \left(R_n(\sqrt{\frac{c}{4}} k) \right)^{b^D}$$



Unnormalized recursion:

$$R_{n+1}(k) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta \frac{\partial^2}{\partial k^2}} \left(R_n(\sqrt{\frac{c}{4}} k) \right)^{b^D}$$

Truncation:

$$R_n(k) = a_{n,0} + a_{n,1}k^2 + a_{n,2}k^4 + \dots + a_{n,l_{max}}k^{2l_{max}}$$

or equivalently,

$$R_n(u) = a_{n,0} + a_{n,1}u + a_{n,2}u^2 + \ldots + a_{n,l_{max}}u^{l_{max}}$$
The University of lowa

Small ζ expansion:

$$b^D = 2 + \zeta$$

 $\frac{c}{4} = b^{-2-D} \approx \frac{c_0}{4} (1 - \frac{5}{6}\zeta)$



Small ζ expansion:

$$b^D = 2 + \zeta$$

 $\frac{c}{4} = b^{-2-D} \approx \frac{c_0}{4} (1 - \frac{5}{6}\zeta)$

Fixed point equation:

$$RG_{\zeta=0}[R_0^*] = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta_c \frac{\partial^2}{\partial k^2}} \left(R_0^*(\sqrt{\frac{c_0}{4}} \ k) \right)^2 = R_0^*$$

$$RG_{\zeta}[R_0^* + \zeta R_1^*] = R_0^* + \zeta R_1^*$$



Fixed point equation:

$$RG_{\zeta=0}[R_0^*] = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta_c \frac{\partial^2}{\partial k^2}} \left(R_0^*(\sqrt{\frac{c_0}{4}} \ k) \right)^2 = R_0^*$$

$$RG_{\zeta}[R_0^* + \zeta R_1^*] = R_0^* + \zeta R_1^*$$

Linearization:

$$R_0^* + \delta R' = RG_{\zeta=0}[R_0^* + \delta R] = R_0^* + L[\delta R]$$

$$\frac{RG_{\zeta}[R_0^*] - R_0^*}{\zeta} \xrightarrow{\zeta \to 0} L[G]$$

where $L \approx e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta_c \frac{\partial^2}{\partial k^2}} [2R^*]$ is the transfer matrix



Transfer Matrix:



First order fixed point equation:

$$L[R_1^* + G] = R_1^*$$



First order fixed point equation:



 v_n : Right eigenvectors of the stability matrix L.



First order fixed point equation:



 v_n : Right eigenvectors of the stability matrix L.

$$\lambda_n \alpha_n + \lambda_n \beta_n = \alpha_n$$
$$\Rightarrow \alpha_n = \frac{\beta_n \lambda_n}{1 - \lambda_n}$$



Window of Stability

$$G = -\frac{5}{6}k^2\frac{\partial R_0^*}{\partial k^2} + \frac{1}{2}R_0^*\ln R_0^* = \sum \beta_n v_n$$

We need β_n to be stable when we increase the polynomial order. However, it is only stable for some finite lmax.

$$\beta_n = \frac{\langle v_L | G \rangle}{\langle v_L | v_R \rangle}$$



Window of Stability

$$G = -\frac{5}{6}k^2\frac{\partial R_0^*}{\partial k^2} + \frac{1}{2}R_0^*\ln R_0^* = \sum \beta_n v_n$$

We need β_n to be stable when we increase the polynomial order. However, it is only stable for some finite lmax.

$$\beta_n = \frac{\langle v_L | G \rangle}{\langle v_L | v_R \rangle}$$





Improvement and Results

• Results for noninteger b^D :





Improvement and Results

• Results for noninteger b^D :





Improvement and Results

• Results for noninteger b^D :





Further Plan

- Develop technique to do large b^D calculation.
- Try to observe the log-periodic corrections.
- Apply two lattice matching to the O(N) models.



Thank You!



Thank You!



Thank You!

