

## Brian Tiburzi (University of Maryland)

# When Perturbation Theory Fails...





## When Perturbation Theory Fails...

SU(3) chiral perturbation theory?Charm quark in HQET, NRQCD?

Sector Extrapolations of lattice QCD data?

Solution? Not this talk... Literal interpretation of title

Non-Perturbative Examples

Toy model

Hadrons in uniform electromagnetic fields

Hyperons in SU(2) chiral perturbation theory



 $\frac{1}{4} \ll 1$ 

 $\frac{1}{2} \ll 1$ 

Rocks may fall without warning causing serious injury or death.

IF IN DOUBS STAY AWAY



Toy model:  $0 < x \ll 1$  $F(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-s}}{1+sx} ds$ 

Cannot series expand about O



Stay back from the edge.





T.

Hazardous Cliff! The ground may break off without warning and you could be seriously injured or killed.

Stay back from the edge.

Include more terms: limits to smaller x

Make better for larger x: dropping terms

Asymptotic expansions: intuitively opposite





N=1

 $x \sim 1/N$ 





N=1

 $x \sim 1/N$ 





 $x \sim 1/N$ 



Asymptotic expansions: zero radius of convergence = intuitively opposite

## Where have I seen this behavior?



## Where have I seen this behavior?



When Perturbation Theory Fails...

#### Hadrons in uniform electromagnetic fields

Schwinger (1951), ..., Tiburzi (2008) Green's functions exist in closed form for uniform EM fields

Output Use to study non-perturbative effects



$$\left(-D_{\mu}D_{\mu}+m_{\pi}^{2}\right)D(x,0)=\delta^{4}(x)$$



 $\otimes$ 

Scalar case

$$A_{\mu} = (-Bx_2, 0, 0, 0)$$

Solution

$$D(x',x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} ds \int \frac{d\tilde{k}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\tilde{k}\cdot(x'-x)} \left\langle x_2' - \frac{k_1}{eB}, s \mid x_2 - \frac{k_1}{eB}, 0 \right\rangle e^{-sE_{\perp}^2/2}$$

#### Harmonic oscillator propagator

$$\langle X', s | X, 0 \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{eB}{2\pi \sinh eBs}} \exp\left\{-\frac{eB}{2\sinh eBs} \left[\left(X'^2 + X^2\right)\cosh eBs - 2X'X\right]\right\}$$

#### Hadrons in uniform electromagnetic fields

Chiral perturbation theory in strong QED

$$\mathcal{L}=rac{f^2}{8}\langle D_\mu \varSigma^\dagger D_\mu \varSigma
angle -rac{\lambda}{2}\langle m_Q \bigl( \varSigma^\dagger + \varSigma \bigr) 
angle$$

 $D_{\mu}\Sigma = \partial_{\mu}\Sigma + ieA_{\mu}[Q, \Sigma]$ 





Power counting



 $eB/m_{\pi}^2 \sim 1$ 



#### Calculate the neutral pion energy in magnetic field



Hadrons in uniform electromagnetic fields
Chiral perturbation theory in strong QED

Neutral pion energy

$$m_{\text{eff},\pi^0}^2 = m_{\pi}^2 \left[ 1 + \frac{2e|B|}{(4\pi f)^2} \mathcal{I}\left(\frac{m_{\pi}^2}{e|B|}\right) \right]$$

Closed form

$$\mathcal{I}(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{s^2} e^{-xs} \left(\frac{s}{\sinh s} - 1\right) = x \left(1 - \log \frac{x}{2}\right) + 2\log \Gamma\left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right) - \log 2\pi$$

Why? Background field lattice QCD computations in this regime

$$m_{\rm eff}(B) = m - \frac{1}{2} 4\pi \beta_M B^2 + \mathcal{O}(B^4)$$

Closed torus can leak no flux  $\exp(ieBA)$   $\epsilon$ 

 $e B = \frac{2\pi n}{L^2}$ 

 $eB/m_{\pi}^2 \sim 1$ 

# Hadrons in uniform electromagnetic fields Chiral perturbation theory in strong QED

Neutral pion energy

$$m_{\text{eff},\pi^0}^2 = m_\pi^2 \left[ 1 + \frac{2e|B|}{(4\pi f)^2} \mathcal{I}\left(\frac{m_\pi^2}{e|B|}\right) \right] \qquad e \ B \ll m_\pi^2$$

$$E_{\pi^0}(\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{0}) = m_{\pi} + \frac{m_{\pi}^3}{(4\pi f)^2} \left[ -\frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{eB}{m_{\pi}^2}\right)^2 + \frac{7}{180} \left(\frac{eB}{m_{\pi}^2}\right)^4 - \frac{31}{630} \left(\frac{eB}{m_{\pi}^2}\right)^6 + \cdots \right]$$

"Do it anyway" (Physicist)



$$m_{\rm eff}(B) = m - \frac{1}{2} 4\pi \beta_M B^2 + \mathcal{O}(B^4)$$

$$\beta_M^{\pi^0} = \frac{\alpha_{\rm f.s.}}{3(4\pi f)^2 m_\pi}$$



#### Hadrons in uniform electromagnetic fields

Chiral perturbation theory in strong QED

$$\xi = \frac{e|B|}{\sqrt{6}m_{\pi}^2}$$

#### Neutral pion energy



#### intuitively obvious

#### asymptotically opposite

WARNING

🕱 When Perturbation Theory Fails...

Hadrons in uniform electromagnetic fields
Chiral perturbation theory in strong QED

#### Neutral pion energy



#### Hadrons in uniform electromagnetic fields

Chiral perturbation theory in strong QED

### IDLE AMUSEMENT

0

 $f_{\pi}^2 m_{\pi}^2 = 4 \langle \bar{q} q \rangle m$ 

Electric Field: analytic continuation

 $\Gamma_{\pi^0} = -\Im \mathfrak{m}(m_{\mathrm{eff},\pi^0}^2)/m_{\pi}$ 

Schwinger mechanism

 $f_{\pi}^2(B) m_{\pi,\text{eff}}^2(B) = 4 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle_B m$ 

$$\Gamma_n = \Gamma \Big[ \pi^0 \xrightarrow{E} \pi^0 (\pi^+ \pi^-)^n \Big] = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{2e|E|m_\pi}{(4\pi f)^2} \exp\left(-\frac{n\pi m_\pi^2}{e|E|}\right)$$

#### Charged pions

#### Nucleon

In strong magnetic fields, proton beta decays to neutron

Tiburzi and Walker-Loud (2008) Jiang, Tiburzi, and Walker-Loud (2009)

Motivation SU(3) Heavy baryon chiral perturbation theory

"Do it anyway" (Physicist)



 $m_\eta/M_B \sim 1/2$ 



 $\delta M_N(\mu = \Lambda_{\chi})/M_N = -39\%$  $\delta M_{\Lambda}(\mu = \Lambda_{\chi})/M_{\Lambda} = -67\%$  $\delta M_{\Sigma}(\mu = \Lambda_{\chi})/M_{\Sigma} = -89\%$  $\delta M_{\Xi}(\mu = \Lambda_{\chi})/M_{\Xi} = -98\%$ 

Kaon, eta contributions large & increase with strangeness  $m_s \sim \Lambda_{QCD}$  ? SU(3) expansion precarious

# Hyperons in SU(2) Chiral Perturbation Theory Schematic SU(3) Expansion of Sigma Mass: $M_{\Sigma} = M^{SU(3)} + am_{K}^{2} + bm_{K}^{3} + \dots$ Large Kaon contributions $m_K^2 = rac{1}{2}m_\pi^2 + rac{1}{2}m_{\eta_s}^2$ $m_{\eta_s} = 672\,{ m MeV}$ Reorganize! $m_{\pi}^2/m_{n_s}^2 = 0.04 \ll 1$ $M_{\Sigma} = M^{SU(3)} + a'm_{\eta_s}^2 + a''m_{\pi}^2 + b'm_{\eta_s}^3 + b''m_{\eta_s}m_{\pi}^2 + b'''m_{\pi}^3 \left(\frac{m_{\pi}}{m}\right) + .$ $M_{\Sigma} = M_{\Sigma}^{SU(2)} + \alpha m_{\pi}^2 + \beta m_{\pi}^3 + \dots$ Expansion of Sigma Mass about the SU(2) chiral limit

 $m_u, m_d \ll m_s \sim \Lambda_{QCD}$ 



 $M = M^{SU(2)} + \alpha m_{\pi}^{2} + \beta m_{\pi}^{3} + \beta' F(m_{\pi}, \delta)$ 



Trend opposite SU(3): greater strangeness, better convergence  $g_A = 1.25, \ g_{\Sigma\Sigma} = 0.78, \ g_{\Xi\Xi} = 0.24$  $m_{\pi}/\Lambda_{\chi} \quad m_{\pi}/M_S \quad g_{\Delta N} = 1.48, \ g_{\Sigma^*\Sigma} = 0.76, \ g_{\Xi^*\Xi} = 0.69$ 





SU(2) Perturbative Expansion can FAIL!

I) Perturbative expansion about SU(2) limit "Duh!" (Maryland Colleague)  $m_{\pi}/\Lambda_{\chi}$  need lattice QCD II) Perturbative SU(2) expansion of SU(3) ! Kaon thresholds... can study non-perturbatively



 $250\,\mathrm{MeV}$ 



SU(2) Perturbative Expansion can FAIL! Kaon production cannot be described in SU(2)

 $m_u, m_d \ll m_s \ll \Lambda_{QCD}$ 

II) Perturbative SU(2) expansion of SU(3) !
"Exact Solution" SU(3) is theory SU(2) is asymptotically describing





Do SU(2) expansions of hyperon masses break down because of KN thresholds?



$$\mathcal{F}(m_K^2, -\delta_{BB'}) = \mathcal{F}^{(0)} + m_\pi^2 \, \mathcal{F}^{(2)} + m_\pi^4 \, \mathcal{F}^{(4)} + \dots$$

 $\varepsilon_{N\Sigma} = 0.05$ 

#### $\varepsilon_{N\Sigma^*} = 0.24$





"Do it anyway" (Physicist)

$$arepsilon_{BB'}=rac{rac{1}{2}m_\pi^2}{rac{1}{2}m_{\eta_s}^2-\delta_{BB'}^2}$$

# $\delta_{N\Sigma^*}=0.45\,{\rm GeV}$























# $\delta_{N\Sigma^*}=0.45\,{\rm GeV}$





SHOREBREAK INTELS BREAK CHALLOW INTER ERICHS NAURES COLLD OCCUR, EVEN IN SMALL SUR

DANGEROUS

IF IN DOUBT, DON'T GO OUT

YOU COULD BE SWEPT AWAY ROM SHORE AND COULD DROWN IF IN DOUBT, DON'T GO OUT



Falling Rocks! If you proceed, be alert! Rocks may fall without warning causing serious injury or death.

IF IN DOUBS STAY AWAY



STATE OF HAWAI



Hazardous Cliff! The ground may break off without warning and you could be seriously injured or killed.

Stay back from the edge.



When perturbation theory fails, we probably try to use it anyway

Asymptotic expansions: intuitively opposite

Including more terms limits to smaller range

Make better for parameters by dropping terms (limited control)

 $eB/m_{\pi}^2 \sim 1$ 

 $\varepsilon_{BB'} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}m_{\pi}^2}{\frac{1}{2}m_{n_e}^2 - \delta_{BB'}^2}$ 

## Neutral pion in electric field: B -> iE

