Three-nucleon forces and neutron-rich nuclei

Visitorial Tenants Achim Schwenk

CANADA'S NATIONAL LABORATORY FOR PARTICLE AND NUCLEAR PHYSICS

Owned and operated as a joint venture by a consortium of Canadian universities via a contribution through the National Research Council Canada

INT Program on EFT and Many-Body Problems April 6, 2009

supported by

- 02

C

Outline

- 1. EFT and RG for nuclear forces
- 2. Three-nucleon forces in light nuclei
- 3. Three-nucleon forces towards heavier and neutron-rich nuclei
- 4. Three-nucleon forces in nuclear and neutron matter
- 5. Summary

Towards a unified description from light to heavy nuclei and strongly-interacting matter at the extremes

development of EFT and RG for nuclear forces

advances in ab-initio methods and in nuclear matter theory

three-nucleon forces play a central role with new qualitative effects

Outline

1. EFT and RG for nuclear forces

Λ / Resolution dependence of nuclear forces

with high-energy probes: quarks+gluons

cf. scale/scheme dependence of parton distribution functions

Lattice QCD

Effective theory for NN, 3N, many-N interactions and electroweak operators: resolution scale/Λ-dependent

 $H(\Lambda) = T + V_{NN}(\Lambda) + V_{3N}(\Lambda) + V_{4N}(\Lambda) + \dots$

 Λ chiral momenta $Q \sim \lambda^{-1} \sim m_{\pi}$: chiral EFT - typical momenta in nuclei

nucleons interacting via pion exchanges and shorter-range contact interactions

 $Q \ll m_{\pi}$ =140 MeV: pionless EFT Λpionless

large scattering length physics and non-universal corrections

Chiral EFT for nuclear forces

Weinberg, van Kolck, Kaplan, Savage, Wise, Epelbaum, Meissner, Nogga, Machleidt,…

Chiral EFT for nuclear forces

Open questions:

Power counting with singular pion exchanges, tensor parts $\sim 1/r^n$ promotion of contact interactions Nogga, Timmermans, van Kolck (2005)

Delta-full m_{Δ} - $m_N \sim m_{\pi} \rightarrow 3N$ at NLO vs. Delta-less EFT

Counting of 1/m corrections, $m \sim \Lambda^2$ or Λ , could be important for A_v

This talk: 3N forces from N2LO Delta-less chiral EFT

Weinberg, van Kolck, Kaplan, Savage, Wise, Epelbaum, Meissner, Nogga, Machleidt,…

Low-momentum interactions from the Renormalization Group RG evolution to lower resolution/cutoffs

$$
H(\Lambda) = T + V_{NN}(\Lambda) + V_{3N}(\Lambda) + V_{4N}(\Lambda) + \dots
$$

 \approx universal low-momentum interaction V_{low *k*}(Λ), sharp/smooth regulators RG evolution decouples high momenta (short-range repulsion and tensor parts)

Low-momentum interactions from the Renormalization Group RG evolution to lower resolution/cutoffs

$$
H(\Lambda) = T + V_{NN}(\Lambda) + V_{3N}(\Lambda) + V_{4N}(\Lambda) + \dots
$$

 \approx universal low-momentum interaction V_{low *k*}(Λ), sharp/smooth regulators

RG evolution decouples high momenta (short-range repulsion and tensor parts) same RG evolution as in EFT, but without expansion on operators $V_{\text{low }k}(0,0;\Lambda)$ follows contact interaction $c_0(\Lambda)$ at NLO in pionless EFT regime

 \approx universality from different N³LO potentials

RG preserves long range and generates higher-order contact interactions

Weinberg eigenvalue diagnostic

study spectrum of $G_0(z)V|\Psi_{\nu}(z)\rangle = \eta_{\nu}(z)|\Psi_{\nu}(z)\rangle$ at fixed energy z governs convergence $T(z) |\Psi_{\nu}(z)\rangle = (1 + \eta_{\nu}(z) + \eta_{\nu}(z)^2 + ...) V |\Psi_{\nu}(z)\rangle$ can write as Schrödinger equation $(H_0 + \frac{1}{n_v(z)} V) |\Psi_v(z)\rangle = z |\Psi_v(z)\rangle$

high momenta/large cutoffs lead to flipped-potential bound states of $-\lambda V$ for small λ /large $\eta \rightarrow$ strong coupling to high momenta/short range and Born series always nonperturbative

Bogner, AS, Furnstahl, Nogga (2005), Bogner, Furnstahl, Ramanan, AS (2006) Λ [fm⁻¹]

Advantages of low-momentum interactions for nuclei

lower cutoffs need smaller basis

also via similarity RG (SRG) evolution towards band diagonal Bogner, Furnstahl, Perry, Roth, Hergert,… (2007…) connection to EFT?

improved convergence for nuclei Bogner Furnstahl, Maris, Perry, AS, Vary (2008)

 10^3 states for $N_{\text{max}}=2$ vs. 10^7 states for $N_{\text{max}}=10$

Towards evolving 3N interactions

SRG evolution with harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian as generator for bosons in 1d and for chiral 3N interactions

Jurgenson, Furnstahl (2008) and from Eric Jurgenson

This talk: chiral EFT is complete basis, $V_{3N}(\Lambda)$ fits for lower cutoffs

3N interactions required for renormalization

 $V_{NN}(\Lambda)$ defines NN interactions with cutoff-independent NN observables cutoff variation estimates errors due to neglected parts in $H(\Lambda)$

cutoff dependence explains "Tjon line" \rightarrow 3N for renormalization

large scattering lengths drive correlation

Tjon lines in ${}^{16}O \pm 1$ Hagen et al., in prep.

Outline

2. Three-nucleon forces in light nuclei

Chiral EFT 3N interactions

leading $N^2LO \sim (Q/\Lambda)^3$ van Kolck (1994), Epelbaum et al. (2002)

 c_i from πN , consistent with NN $c_1 = -0.9^{+0.2}_{-0.5}$, $c_3 = -4.7^{+1.2}_{-1.0}$, $c_4 = 3.5^{+0.5}_{-0.2}$ Meissner et al.

 c_3,c_4 important for structure, large uncertainties at present

 $V_{3N}(\Lambda)$ based on fits of D,E couplings to A=3,4 for range of cutoffs

chiral EFT is a complete low-mom basis \rightarrow 3N up to truncation errors

D term can be fixed by tritium beta decay Gardestig, Phillips (2006), Gazit et al. (2008) generally improves 3N scattering Gloeckle, Kamada, Witala,…. (2002…)

Subleading chiral EFT 3N interactions

parameter-free N^3LO from Epelbaum; Bernard et al. (2007), Ishikawa, Robilotta (2007)

- rich operator structure (includes spin-orbit interactions)

• 1-loop diagrams with all vertices from $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^{(0)}$

 2π – exchange

 $\begin{array}{rcl}\n\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
\uparrow & \uparrow & \downarrow\n\end{array}$

The calculated corrections simply shift the LECs c_i as follows:

$$
\delta c_1 = \frac{g_A^2 M_\pi}{64\pi F_\pi^2} \sim 0.13 \text{ GeV}^{-1} \qquad \delta c_3 = \frac{3g_A^4 M_\pi}{16\pi F_\pi^2} \sim 2.5 \text{ GeV}^{-1} \qquad \delta c_4 = -\frac{g_A^4 M_\pi}{16\pi F_\pi^2} \sim -0.85 \text{ GeV}^{-1}
$$

 2π -1 π – exchange

$$
\oint_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \oint_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \, dx = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{array} \right] \; + \; \left| \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{array} \right| \; + \; \left| \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{array} \right| \; + \; \left| \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{array} \right| \; + \; \left| \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{array} \right| \; + \; \left| \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{array} \right| \; + \; \left| \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{array} \right| \; + \; \left| \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{array} \right| \; + \; \left| \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{array} \right| \; + \; \left| \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{array} \right| \; + \; \left| \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{
$$

ring diagrams

$$
\begin{array}{ccc} \left(\begin{array}{cc} -\frac{1}{2} & - \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right) & = & \left(\begin{array}{cc} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right) & + & \left| \begin{array}{cc} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right| & + & \left| \begin{array}{cc} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right| & + & \left| \begin{array}{cc} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right| & + & \left| \begin{array}{cc} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right| & + & \left| \begin{array}{cc} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right| & + & \left| \begin{array}{cc} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right| & + & \left| \begin{array}{cc} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right| & + & \left| \begin{array}{cc} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right| & + & \left| \begin{array}{cc} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right| & + & \left| \begin{array}{cc} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right| & + & \left| \begin{array}{cc} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right| & + & \left| \begin{array}{cc} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1
$$

contact-1 π – exchange

contact- 2π – exchange

$$
\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{r}}] = \left| \left\langle \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{r}} \right| + \left| \left\langle \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{r}} \right| \right| + \dots \right]
$$

3N interactions involving NN contacts in progress Epelbaum et al.

Lattice QCD and 3N forces

Low-momentum 3N fits

fit D, E couplings to ${}^{3}H$, ${}^{4}He$ binding energies for range of cutoffs

linear dependences in fits to triton binding

3N interactions perturbative for $\Lambda \lesssim 2 \text{ fm}^{-1}$ Nogga, Bogner, AS (2004)

nonperturbative at larger cutoffs, cf. chiral EFT $\Lambda \approx 3$ fm⁻¹

new 3N fits to ³H binding energy, ⁴He radius Bogner, Furnstahl, Nogga, AS, 0903.3366.

TABLE I: Results for the c_D and c_E couplings fit to E_{3H} = -8.482 MeV and $r_{\text{4He}} = 1.46 - 1.47 \text{ fm}$ for the NN/3N cutoffs used here. For $V_{\text{low }k}$ (SRG) interactions, the 3NF fits lead to $E_{\rm ^{4}He} = -28.22 \dots 28.45 \text{ MeV} (-28.53 \dots 28.71 \text{ MeV}).$

perturbative in A=3,4 for these cutoffs and generally $\Lambda_{3NF} \leq \Lambda$

Low-momentum 3N interactions in light nuclei

Nogga, Bogner, AS (2004)

natural size of individual 3N expectation values ~ 0.1 of NN, consistent with chiral power-counting estimates

long-range c_i -terms attractive in A=3,4 for most cutoffs, but repulsive in nuclear/neutron matter and drive saturation

3N interactions and nuclear structure

highlights importance of 3N forces

crucial for spin-orbit splittings

spin-orbit shell closures $(^{48}Ca, ...)$ see, e.g., Zuker, AS (2006)

Quaglioni, Navratil (2008)

Navratil et al. (2007)

Helium halos

Hyperspherical Harmonics for ⁶He and Coupled-Cluster theory for ⁸He Bacca et al., 0902.1696; see talk by Sonia Bacca.

based on N3LO NN potential and RG, describe weakly-bound nuclei with correct asymptotics

need attractive part of 3N interaction in neutron-rich Helium halos

Long-term goal

EFT/RG: more accurate with higher orders and $\overline{\text{6}_{\text{Li}}}$ cutoff variation estimates theoretical uncertainties

apply to pivotal matrix elements needed to constrain beyond Standard Model physics

isospin-symmetry breaking corrections for superallowed beta decay

new 2008 Towner-Hardy calculation of ISB corrections (~enlarged model space) 3.1 σ shift in world-average Ft, 1.5 σ in V_{ud} largest changes in 20 years

⁶²Ga: 0.04% precise ft value ~lighter A

Miller, AS (2008)

Towner-Hardy formalism violates isospin commutation relations, cannot separate ISB corrections into radial $wf + SM$ space parts

nuclear matrix elements for 0νββ decay, octupole EDM enhancement,… limited by nuclear theory uncertainties

Outline

3. Three-nucleon forces towards heavier and neutron-rich nuclei

Pushing the limits

 $\Delta E_{\rm CGSDT)}^{\rm (40)}$ [MeV]

28

30

 \overline{a}

 -10

 -11 -12

32

6

First ab-initio calculations for heavier systems: Coupled-cluster theory based on $V_{low k}(\Lambda)$ Hagen, Dean, Hjorth-Jensen, Papenbrock, AS (2007) -16 -18

meets and sets benchmarks:

within 10 keV of exact FY for 4He accurate for ${}^{16}O$ and ${}^{40}Ca$

N=8: basis dimension \sim 10⁶³

 $N = 3$

 $N = 4$

 $N = 5d$

22

24

ħω [MeV]

26

 $N = 6$

 $N = 7$

20

 $N = \delta$

18

-380

 -400

 -420

 -440

-460

 -480

 -500

 E_{CCSD} (⁴⁰Ca) [MeV]

< 2 MeV triples corrections in 40Ca extrapolated for CC developments, see talk by Gaute Hagen

Towards 3N interactions in medium-mass nuclei

developed coupled-cluster theory with 3N interactions Hagen et al. (2007) first benchmark for 4He based on low-momentum interactions

show that 0-, 1- and 2-body parts of 3N interaction dominate

2-body part
$$
\leftarrow
$$
 \leftarrow \leftarrow

residual 3N interaction can be neglected: very promising supports that monopole shifts are due to 3N interactions cf. Zuker (2003)

occupied orbits

3N contribution to two-body monopole interaction

take into account 2-body part of 3N interactions to two-body monopoles determines interaction of s with t orbit $\mathcal{V}_{st}^T = \frac{\sum_{J} \mathcal{V}_{stst}^{JT}(2J+1)[1 - (-)^{J+T} \delta_{st}]}{\sum_{J} (2J+1)[1 - (-)^{J+T} \delta_{st}]}$

small changes in monopoles enhanced by number operators

monopoles from $V_{low k}(\Lambda)$ + 2nd order (6hw), cutoff independent in T=1 repulsive contributions from $0⁵$ sd shell3N interactions fit to A=3,4 $V(ab;T=1)$ [MeV] reproduces hierarchy for -0.5 low orbits $(d_{3/2})^2$ with ⁴⁰Ca core? $V_{\text{low k}} N^3$ LO, n_{exp} =4, Λ =1.8–2.8 fm⁻¹ + lowest order 3N, J_{3N} <= 7/2 dominated by c_i-terms -1.5 + one Δ 3N, J_{3N} <= 7/2 $\rightarrow \Delta$ -hole contributions D⊷© USDa $\blacksquare \cdots \blacksquare$ USDb

 -2.5 shell model matrix elements $\overline{d5d5}$ $d5d3$ $d5s1$ $d3d3$ $d3s1$ $s1s1$ for different cores probe 3N interactions Otsuka, Suzuki, Holt, AS, Akaishi, in prep.

3N forces and neutron-rich nuclei evolution to the neutron drip-line: limits of existence and shell structure

3N forces and neutron-rich nuclei evolution to the neutron drip-line: limits of existence and shell structure

neutron-proton interaction stronger, binds $d_{3/2}$ neutrons in fluorine

Is there an EFT argument/counting for the hierarchy of n-body parts of many-body forces? for the SM multipole expansion?

> maybe interesting to understand connection to weak dependence on angle in N-d scattering

Outline

4. Three-nucleon forces in nuclear and neutron matter

Weinberg eigenvalue diagnostic

study spectrum of $G_0(z)V|\Psi_{\nu}(z)\rangle = \eta_{\nu}(z)|\Psi_{\nu}(z)\rangle$ at fixed energy z governs convergence $T(z) |\Psi_{\nu}(z)\rangle = (1 + \eta_{\nu}(z) + \eta_{\nu}(z)^2 + ...) V |\Psi_{\nu}(z)\rangle$ can write as Schrödinger equation $(H_0 + \frac{1}{n\omega(z)}) |\Psi_{\nu}(z)\rangle = z |\Psi_{\nu}(z)\rangle$

high momenta/large cutoffs lead to flipped-potential bound states of $-\lambda V$ for small λ /large $\eta \rightarrow$ strong coupling to high momenta/short range and Born series always nonperturbative

Is nuclear matter perturbative with chiral EFT and RG? conventional Bethe-Brueckner-Goldstone expansion:

no, due to nonpert. cores (flipped-V bound states) and off-diag coupling

conventional G-matrix approach does not solve off-diagonal coupling

Is nuclear matter perturbative with chiral EFT and RG?

conventional Bethe-Brueckner-Goldstone expansion:

no, due to nonpert. cores (flipped-V bound states) and off-diag coupling

start from chiral EFT and RG evolution:

nuclear matter converged at \approx 2nd order, 3N drives saturation

weak cutoff dependence, improved by 3N fits to ⁴He radius

exciting: empirical saturation within theoretical uncertainties

Bogner, AS, Furnstahl, Nogga (2005) and 0903.3366.

Impact of 3N interactions on nuclear matter

3N contributions natural but not small for RG-evolved and "bare" N³LO interactions

long-range c_i-terms repulsive in nuclear matter and drive saturation

Impact of 3N interactions on neutron matter

Towards ab-initio density functional theory

low-momentum interactions key to develop universal density functional

first DFT results with microscopic pairing functional Lesinski, Duguet, Bennaceur, Meyer (2008), see talk by Thomas Lesinski

density matrix expansion Bogner, Furnstahl, Platter (2008), see also Finelli, Kaiser, Weise (2003)

$$
\mathcal{E} = \frac{\tau}{2M} + A[\rho] + B[\rho]\tau + C[\rho]|\nabla \rho|^2 + \cdots
$$

improved DME: use phase space averaging for nuclei Gebremarian, Bogner, Duguet, in prep.

use EFT/RG interactions:

to identify new terms

to quantify errors

to benchmark with ab-initio methods

Outline

5. Summary: impact of three-nucleon forces

Thanks to collaborators

S. Bacca, S. Baroni, J. Braun, K. Hally, K. Hebeler, J. Holt, M. Ku

R.J. Furnstahl, R.J. Perry, S. Ramanan, E. Anderson, E. Jurgenson

MICHIGAN STATE S.K. Bogner, P. Piecuch, M. Wloch

 G.E. Brown, T.T.S. Kuo UNIVERSITY Forschungszentrum Jülich A. Nogga WASHINGTON G.A. Miller D.J. Dean, G. Hagen, T. Papenbrock **OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY B. Friman** \mathbb{R} flas Frankfurt Institute **Q.** L. Tolos

NORDITA C.J. Pethick

TRIUMF

J. Polonyi, A.P. Zuker

WA STATE UNIVERSITY P. Maris, J.P. Vary

SUNIVERSITY M. Hjorth-Jensen

東京大学 T. Otsuka M.T. Suzuki

Summary

development of EFT and RG for nuclear forces

advances in ab-initio methods and in nuclear matter theory

EFT and RG interactions enable a unified description from light to heavy nuclei and matter in astrophysics

three-nucleon forces play a central role spin-orbit splittings and spin-orbit shell closures helium halo nuclei, location of the neutron drip line saturation, symmetry energy, density dependences

individual 3N parts can have interesting dependences c_i-terms attractive in light nuclei, repulsive in bulk matter (scheme dependent)