Ab-Initio Calculations across the Nuclear Chart: Interactions and Methods

Heiko Hergert

Institut für Kernphysik, TU Darmstadt



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Overview

- Unitarily Transformed Interactions
- Hartree-Fock and Perturbation Theory
- Pairing in the UCOM Framework
 - Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov & Projection
 - Quasiparticle RPA
- Conclusions

Nuclear Structure



Nuclear Structure



- chiral interactions: consistent NN & 3N interaction derived within χEFT
- traditional NN-interactions: Argonne V18, CD Bonn,...
- reproduce experimental NN phaseshifts with high precision
- induce strong short-range central & tensor correlations

Nuclear Structure

Exact / Approx. Many-Body Methods

- 'exact' solution of the many-body problem for light and intermediate masses (GFMC, NCSM, CC,...)
- controlled approximations for heavier nuclei (HF & MBPT,...)
- rely on restricted model spaces of tractable size
- not suitable for the description of short-range correlations





- adapt realistic potential to the available model space
 - tame short-range correlations
 - improve convergence behavior
- conserve experimentally constrained properties (phase shifts)
 - generate new realistic interaction
- provide consistent effective interaction & effective operators
- unitary transformations most convenient

Unitarily Transformed Interactions

Unitary Correlation Operator Method (UCOM)

Deuteron: Manifestation of Correlations

Realistic Deuteron Solution



Deuteron: Manifestation of Correlations

Realistic Deuteron Solution



short-range repulsion supresses wavefunction at small distances *r* **central correlations**

Deuteron: Manifestation of Correlations

Realistic Deuteron Solution



short-range repulsion supresses wavefunction at small distances *r* **central correlations** tensor interaction generates D-wave admixture in the ground state tensor correlations

Unitary Correlation Operator Method

explicit ansatz for the correlation operator motivated by the **physics of short-range central and tensor correlations**

Central Correlator C_r

 radial distance-dependent shift in the relative coordinate of a nucleon pair

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{g}_r &= rac{1}{2} ig[s(\mathbf{r}) \; \mathbf{q}_r + \mathbf{q}_r \; s(\mathbf{r}) ig] \ \mathbf{q}_r &= rac{1}{2} ig[rac{ec{r}}{\mathbf{r}} \cdot ec{\mathbf{q}} + ec{\mathbf{q}} \cdot rac{ec{r}}{\mathbf{r}} ig] \end{aligned}$$

Tensor Correlator C_{Ω}

 angular shift depending on the orientation of spin and relative coordinate of a nucleon pair

$$egin{aligned} &\mathbf{g}_\Omega = rac{3}{2} artheta(\mathbf{r}) ig[(ec{\sigma}_1 \!\cdot ec{\mathbf{q}}_\Omega) (ec{\sigma}_2 \!\cdot ec{\mathbf{r}}) + (ec{\mathbf{r}} \!\leftrightarrow \!ec{\mathbf{q}}_\Omega) ig] \ & ec{\mathbf{q}}_\Omega = ec{\mathbf{q}} - rac{ec{\mathbf{r}}}{ec{\mathbf{r}}} \, \mathbf{q}_r \end{aligned}$$

• s(r) and $\vartheta(r)$ optimized for given initial potential









Correlated Interaction: $V_{\rm UCOM}$



Correlated Interaction: $V_{\rm UCOM}$



Unitarily Transformed Interactions

Similarity Renormalization Group (SRG)

Similarity Renormalization Group

unitary transformation of the Hamiltonian to a band-diagonal form with respect to a given uncorrelated many-body basis

Flow Equation for Hamiltonian

evolution equation for Hamiltonian

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}(ar{lpha}) = \mathrm{C}^{\dagger}(ar{lpha}) \, \mathrm{H}\, \mathrm{C}(ar{lpha}) \hspace{0.5cm}
ightarrow \hspace{0.5cm} rac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}ar{lpha}} \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}(ar{lpha}) = ig[\eta(ar{lpha}), \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}(ar{lpha})ig]$$

 dynamical generator defined as commutator with the operator in whose eigenbasis H shall be diagonalized

$$\eta(\bar{lpha}) = \left[\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{int}}, \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}(\bar{lpha})
ight] \stackrel{\mathrm{2B}}{=} rac{1}{2\mu} ig[ec{\mathrm{q}}^2, \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}(ar{lpha}) ig]$$

[Bogner et al., PRC75 061001(R) (2007); Hergert & Roth, PRC75 051001(R) (2007)]

Similarity Renormalization Group

unitary transformation of the Hamiltonian to a band-diagonal form with respect to a given uncorrelated many-body basis

Flow Equation for Hamiltonian

evolution equation for Hamiltonian

$$\widetilde{\operatorname{H}}(ar{lpha}) = \operatorname{C}^\dagger(ar{lpha}) \operatorname{H}\operatorname{C}(ar{lpha}) \hspace{0.5cm}
ightarrow \hspace{0.5cm} \begin{array}{c} rac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}ar{lpha}} \widetilde{\operatorname{H}}(ar{lpha}) = ig[\eta(ar{lpha}), \widetilde{\operatorname{H}}(ar{lpha})ig] \end{array}$$

 dynamical generator defined as commutator with the operator in whose eigenbasis H shall be diagonalized

$$\eta(\bar{\alpha}) = \left[\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{int}}, \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}(\bar{\alpha})\right] \stackrel{2\mathrm{B}}{=} \frac{1}{2\mu} \left[\vec{\mathrm{q}}^2, \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}(\bar{\sigma})\right]$$

 $\eta(0)$ has the same structure as the UCOM generators g_r and g_{Ω}

[Bogner et al., PRC75 061001(R) (2007); Hergert & Rou.





























■ **Tjon line**: *E*(⁴He) vs. *E*(³H) for phase-shift equivalent NN-interactions

Tjon Line



■ **Tjon line**: *E*(⁴He) vs. *E*(³H) for phase-shift equivalent NN-interactions

Tjon Line



Tjon line: E(⁴He) vs. E(³H) for phase-shift equivalent NNinteractions

• use $\bar{\alpha}$ to

- control contributions of net 3N force
- provide theoretical "error" estimates

Tjon Line



Tjon line: $E(^{4}\text{He})$ vs. $E(^{3}\text{H})$ for phase-shift equivalent NN-

- control contributions of net
- provide theoretical "error"

minimal net **3N** interaction use $V_{\rm UCOM}$ with $\bar{\alpha} = 0.04 \text{ fm}^4$
Applications

Hartree-Fock: UCOM vs. SRG



Hartree-Fock: UCOM vs. SRG



HF: V_{UCOM}+3N Contact Interaction









- second order MBPT correction to radii is small
- $\bar{\alpha}$ -dependence of HF+MBPT energy is reduced notably



- second order MBPT correction to radii is small
- $\bar{\alpha}$ -dependence of HF+MBPT energy is reduced notably





- main 3N energy contributions from contractions w. r. t. ground state
- residual 3N interaction gives small contributions in MBPT

justification for density-dependent two-body interaction

V_{UCOM} as a Pairing Force: Sn Isotopes



- **stability** of gaps for wide range of $\bar{\alpha}$: stable ${}^{1}S_{0}$ matrix elements
- residual reduction of gaps through contributions from higher partial waves

V_{UCOM} as a Pairing Force: Sn Isotopes



- **stability** of gaps for wide range of $\bar{\alpha}$: stable ${}^{1}S_{0}$ matrix elements
- residual reduction of gaps through contributions from higher partial waves
- $m X \sim 50\%$ smaller than SLy4 + $V_{
 m low-k}$ study by Lesinski & Duguet (arXiv: 0809.2895)

$V_{\rm UCOM}$ vs. $V_{ m SRG}$

D1S + V_{UCOM}

$D1S + V_{SRG}$



$V_{ m UCOM}$ vs. $V_{ m SRG}$

$D1S + V_{UCOM}$

$D1S + V_{SRG}$



$V_{ m UCOM}$ vs. $V_{ m SRG}$

$D1S + V_{UCOM}$

$D1S + V_{SRG}$



Fully Self-Consistent HFB+PNP

- use V_{UCOM} in both interaction channels
 - include all partial waves in the pairing channel
- consistent treatment of all two-body terms: NN and Coulomb interaction, intrinsic kinetic energy
 - crucial for beyond mean-field methods like particle-number projection
- reduce 3N contact force to density-dependent two-body interaction
- variation after particle-number projection (PNP)

HFB+PNP: Sn Isotopes



HFB+PNP: Sn Isotopes



Iow level density (general feature of soft NN interactions)
 3N interaction compresses single-particle spectra
 VAP includes dynamical pairing correlations

Non-Central Interactions





 $(\bar{\alpha} [\,\mathrm{fm}^4], C_{3N} [\,\mathrm{GeV}\,\mathrm{fm}^6]) = (0.04, 0.0)$



 $(\bar{\alpha} [\,\mathrm{fm}^4], C_{3N} [\,\mathrm{GeV}\,\mathrm{fm}^6]) = (0.04, 0.0)$



 $(\bar{\alpha} [\,\mathrm{fm}^4], C_{3N} [\,\mathrm{GeV}\,\mathrm{fm}^6]) = (0.04, 0.0) = (0.04, 1.2)$



<i>E</i> [MeV]	TRK [%]	$N_{ m neut}[\%]$
12.86	~ 9	20.2
15.03	\sim 14	52.2
15.43	~ 27	24.7

$$(\bar{\alpha} [\,\mathrm{fm}^4], C_{3N} [\,\mathrm{GeV}\,\mathrm{fm}^6]) = (0.04, 0.0) = (0.04, 1.2)$$



<i>E</i> [MeV]	TRK [%]	$N_{ m neut}$ [%]
12.86	~ 9	20.2
15.03	\sim 14	52.2
15.43	~ 27	24.7
6.86	~ 5	94.7
$\overline{\nu 2 s_{1/2}}$	$ ightarrow u 2 p_{3/2}$	36.0
$ u 1 d_{3/2}$	$ ightarrow u 2 p_{1/2}$	17.6
$ u 2 s_{1/2} $	$ ightarrow u 2 p_{1/2}$	10.6

 $(\bar{\alpha} [\,\mathrm{fm}^4], C_{3N} [\,\mathrm{GeV}\,\mathrm{fm}^6]) = (0.04, 0.0) = (0.04, 1.2)$

Pygmy Dipole Resonance: significantly enhanced collectivity in QRPA



<i>E</i> [MeV]	TRK [%]	$N_{ m neut}$ [%]
12.86	~ 9	20.2
15.03	~ 14	52.2
15.43	~ 27	24.7
6.86	~ 5	94.7
$ u 2 s_{1/2}$	$ ightarrow u 2 p_{3/2}$	36.0
$ u 1 d_{3/2}$	$ ightarrow u 2 p_{1/2}$	17.6
$ u 2 s_{1/2}$	$ ightarrow u 2 p_{1/2}$	10.6

 $(\bar{\alpha} [\,\mathrm{fm}^4], C_{3N} [\,\mathrm{GeV}\,\mathrm{fm}^6]) = (0.04, 0.0) = (0.04, 1.2) \dots (0.05, 1.2)$

Pygmy Dipole Resonance: significantly enhanced collectivity in QRPA

Conclusions

Status

- fully consistent framework for HF(B), PNP, like-particle & chargeexchange (Q)RPA, SRPA
- inclusion of (regularized) 3N contact interaction / densitydependent interaction

Outlook & Challenges

- chiral NN and 3N interactions
- UCOM/SRG for 3N interaction
- beyond mean-field methods: projection, GCM, higher (Q)RPAs

density-dependent interactions?



Thanks to my collaborators:

- R. Roth, P. Papakonstantinou, A. Günther, S. Reinhardt Institut für Kernphysik, TU Darmstadt
- T. Neff, H. Feldmeier

Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschung (GSI)

Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft **DFG** The second secon



Scheme – Landes-Offensive zur Entwicklung Wissenschaftlichökonomischer Exzellenz

Supplements

HFB Theory Overview

Bogoliubov Transformation

$$egin{aligned} eta_k^\dagger &= \sum_q U_{qk} \mathrm{c}_q^\dagger + V_{qk} \mathrm{c}_q \ eta_k &= \sum_q U_{qk}^* \mathrm{c}_q + V_{qk}^* \mathrm{c}_q^\dagger \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\{\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k},\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k'}\} \stackrel{!}{=} \{\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}^{\dagger},\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k'}^{\dagger}\} \stackrel{!}{=} \mathbf{0} \\ \{\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k},\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k'}^{\dagger}\} \stackrel{!}{=} \delta_{kk'}$$

HFB Densities & Fields

$$egin{aligned} &
ho_{kk'} \equiv ig\langle \Psi ig| \, \mathbf{c}_{k'}^\dagger \mathbf{c}_k \, ig| \Psi ig
angle = (V^*V^T)_{kk'} \ &\kappa_{kk'} \equiv ig\langle \Psi ig| \, \mathbf{c}_{k'} \mathbf{c}_k \, ig| \Psi ig
angle = (V^*U^T)_{kk'} \ &\Gamma_{kk'} = \sum_{qq'} igg(rac{2}{A} ar{\mathbf{t}}_{\mathrm{rel}} + ar{\mathbf{v}} igg)_{kq',k'q}
ho_{qq'} \ &\Delta_{kk'} = \sum_{qq'} igg(rac{2}{A} ar{\mathbf{t}}_{\mathrm{rel}} + ar{\mathbf{v}} igg)_{kk',qq'} \kappa_{qq'} \end{aligned}$$

HFB Theory Overview

Bogoliubov Transformation

$$egin{aligned} eta_k^\dagger &= \sum_q U_{qk} \mathrm{c}_q^\dagger + V_{qk} \mathrm{c}_q \ eta_k &= \sum_q U_{qk}^* \mathrm{c}_q + V_{qk}^* \mathrm{c}_q^\dagger \end{aligned}$$

where

$$egin{aligned} &\left\{eta_k,eta_{k'}
ight\} \stackrel{!}{=} \left\{eta_k^\dagger,eta_{k'}^\dagger
ight\} \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \ &\left\{eta_k,eta_{k'}^\dagger
ight\} \stackrel{!}{=} \delta_{kk'} \end{aligned}$$

HFB Densities & Fields

$$egin{aligned} &
ho_{kk'} \equiv ig\langle \Psi ig| \, \mathbf{c}_{k'}^{\dagger} \mathbf{c}_{k} \, ig| \Psi ig
angle = (V^{*}V^{T})_{kk'} \ &\kappa_{kk'} \equiv ig\langle \Psi ig| \, \mathbf{c}_{k'} \mathbf{c}_{k} \, ig| \Psi ig
angle = (V^{*}U^{T})_{kk'} \ &\Gamma_{kk'} = \sum_{qq'} \left(rac{2}{A} ar{\mathbf{t}}_{\mathrm{rel}} + ar{\mathbf{v}}
ight)_{kq',k'q}
ho_{qq'} \ &\Delta_{kk'} = \sum_{qq'} \left(rac{2}{A} ar{\mathbf{t}}_{\mathrm{rel}} + ar{\mathbf{v}}
ight)_{kk',qq'} \kappa_{qq'} \end{aligned}$$

Energy

$$E[
ho,\kappa,\kappa^*] = rac{ig\langle\Psiigert\,\mathrm{H}igert\Psiig
angle}{ig\langle\Psiigert\Psiig
angle} \equiv rac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{tr}\;\Gamma
ho - \mathrm{tr}\;\Delta\kappa^*
ight)$$

HFB Equations

$$\left(\mathcal{H}-\lambda\mathcal{N}
ight)egin{pmatrix}U\V\end{pmatrix}\equiv egin{pmatrix}\Gamma-\lambda&\Delta\-\Delta^*&-\Gamma^*+\lambda\end{pmatrix}egin{pmatrix}U\V\end{pmatrix}=Eegin{pmatrix}U\V\end{pmatrix}$$

Projected Energy

$$E(N_0) = rac{ig\langle \Psi igert \operatorname{HP}_{N_0} igert \Psi ig
angle}{ig\langle \Psi igert \operatorname{P}_{N_0} igert \Psi ig
angle} = rac{1}{2\pi ig\langle \operatorname{P}_{N_0} ig
angle} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi ig\langle \Psi igert \operatorname{He}^{i\phi(\mathrm{N}-N_0)} igert \Psi ig
angle$$

Variation of Projected Energy

$$egin{aligned} \delta E(N_0) &= rac{1}{2\pi ig\langle \mathbf{P}_{N_0} ig
angle} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \;ig\langle e^{i\phi(\mathbf{N}-N_0)} ig
angle \left\{ \delta ig\langle \mathbf{H} ig
angle_{\phi} - \left(E(N_0) - ig\langle \mathbf{H} ig
angle_{\phi}
ight) \delta \log ig\langle e^{i\phi\mathbf{N}} ig
angle
ight\} \ & & \langle \mathbf{H} ig
angle_{\phi} \equiv ig\langle \mathbf{H} e^{i\phi\mathbf{N}} ig
angle / ig\langle e^{i\phi\mathbf{N}} ig
angle \end{aligned}$$

Variation of Projected Energy

$$egin{aligned} \delta E(N_0) &= rac{1}{2\pi ig\langle \mathrm{P}_{N_0} ig
angle} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \;ig\langle e^{i\phi(\mathrm{N}-N_0)} ig
angle \left\{ \delta ig\langle \mathrm{H} ig
angle_{\phi} - \left(E(N_0) - ig\langle \mathrm{H} ig
angle_{\phi}
ight) \delta \log ig\langle e^{i\phi\mathrm{N}} ig
angle
ight\} \ & \left\langle \mathrm{H} ig
angle_{\phi} \equiv ig\langle \mathrm{H} e^{i\phi\mathrm{N}} ig
angle / ig\langle e^{i\phi\mathrm{N}} ig
angle \end{aligned}$$

Structure of HFB equations is preserved!

managable computational effort for variation after projection (VAP)

 implement with care: subtle cancellations between divergences of direct, exchange, and pairing terms

Variation of Projected Energy

$$egin{aligned} \delta E(N_0) &= rac{1}{2\pi ig\langle \mathrm{P}_{N_0} ig
angle} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \;ig\langle e^{i\phi(\mathrm{N}-N_0)} ig
angle \left\{ \delta ig\langle \mathrm{H} ig
angle_{\phi} - \left(E(N_0) - ig\langle \mathrm{H} ig
angle_{\phi}
ight) \delta \log ig\langle e^{i\phi\mathrm{N}} ig
angle
ight\} \ & \langle \mathrm{H} ig
angle_{\phi} \equiv ig\langle \mathrm{H} e^{i\phi\mathrm{N}} ig
angle / ig\langle e^{i\phi\mathrm{N}} ig
angle \end{aligned}$$

Structure of HFB equations is preserved!

managable computational effort for variation after projection (VAP)

 implement with care: subtle cancellations between divergences of direct, exchange, and pairing terms

X density-dependent interaction:

complex transition density has **poles** (serious problem for projection methods, GCM, ...)

^{CSF} Duguet, Lacroix, Bender et al., **arXiv**:0809.2041, 0809.2045, 0809.2049

Canonical Single-Particle Spectra



Quasiparticle RPA

• equations-of-motion method: assume Q_k^{\dagger} generates exact excited state from exact ground state of H:

$$ig|k
angle = \mathrm{Q}_k^\dagger ig|0
angle \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{Q}_k^\dagger = ig|kig
angle 0ig| \, + \sum_{i,j\perp k,0} C_{ij} ig|iig
angle jig|$$

• reformulate Schrödinger equation, project on $\delta \mathbf{Q}_{k}^{\dagger} | \mathbf{0} \rangle$:

$$ig\langle 0 ig| \left[egin{smallmatrix} \delta \mathbf{Q}_{m{k}}, \left[\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{Q}_{m{k}}^{\dagger}
ight]
ight] ig| 0 ig
angle = \hbar oldsymbol{\omega}_{m{k}} ig\langle 0 ig| \left[egin{smallmatrix} \delta \mathbf{Q}_{m{k}}, \mathbf{Q}_{m{k}}^{\dagger}
ight] ig| 0 ig
angle$$
Quasiparticle RPA

• equations-of-motion method: assume Q_k^{\dagger} generates exact excited state from exact ground state of H:

$$ig|k
angle = \mathrm{Q}_k^\daggerig|0
angle \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{Q}_k^\dagger = ig|kig
angle 0ig| \, + \sum_{i,j\perp k,0} C_{ij}ig|iig
angle jig|$$

• reformulate Schrödinger equation, project on $\delta \mathbf{Q}_{k}^{\dagger} | \mathbf{0} \rangle$:

$$ig \langle 0 ig | \left[\delta \mathrm{Q}_k, \left[\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Q}_k^\dagger
ight]
ight] \left| 0
ight
angle = \hbar oldsymbol{\omega}_k ig \langle 0 ig | \left[\delta \mathrm{Q}_k, \mathrm{Q}_k^\dagger
ight] \left| 0
ight
angle$$

• approximate Q_k^{\dagger} (phonon operator) in canonical basis:

$$\mathrm{Q}_k^\dagger = \sum_{\mu < \mu'} \left(X_{\mu\mu'}^k lpha_\mu^\dagger lpha_{\mu'}^\dagger - Y_{\mu\mu'}^k lpha_\mu lpha_{\mu'}
ight)$$

• let $|0\rangle = |HFB\rangle$ (quasi-boson aproximation) and obtain:

$$egin{pmatrix} A & B \ B^* & A^* \end{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} X^k \ Y^k \end{pmatrix} = \hbar \omega_k egin{pmatrix} 1 & \ & -1 \end{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} X^k \ Y^k \end{pmatrix}$$

H. Hergert – Institut für Kernphysik, TU Darmstadt – INT Program on "Effective Field Theories and the Many-Body Problem", 30/04/2009