

The Q^p_{weak} Experiment: A Search for New TeV Scale Physics via a Measurement of the Proton's Weak Charge

Measure: Parity-violating asymmetry in e + p elastic scattering at Q² ~ 0.03 GeV² to ~4% relative accuracy at JLab

Extract: Proton's weak charge $Q_{weak}^{p} \sim 1 - 4 \sin^{2}\theta_{W}$ to get ~0.3% on $\sin^{2}\theta_{W}$ at $Q^{2} \sim 0.03$ GeV²

tests "running of $sin^2\theta_W$ " from M^2_Z to low Q^2 sensitive to new TeV scale physics

W.T.H. van Oers



The Standard Model



 Building blocks are quarks and leptons

point-like, spin 1/2 particles

- Forces mediated by exchange of spin 1 particles:
- Mostly neutral currents (gamma,Z,gluon)
- One charged current (W+-)
- One colored current (gluon)
- The different strengths and characters of these forces

yield a rich phenomenology:

most of the nucleon mass, the elements and their isotopes, fusion in stars, chemistry and life.

The Standard Model: Issues

 Lots of free parameters (masses, mixing angles, and couplings)

How fundamental is that?

- Why 3 generations of leptons and quarks? Begs for an explanation (smells like a periodic table)
- Insufficient CP violation to explain all the matter left over from Big Bang

Or we wouldn't be here.

Doesn't include gravity
Big omission ... gravity determines the structure of our solar
system and galaxy

Belief in a rational universe (that something "ordered the muon") suggests that our SM is only a low order approximation of reality, as Newtonian gravity is a low order approximation of General Relativity.









Courtesy of D.J. Mack



"Running of $sin^2\theta_W$ " in the Electroweak Standard Model

• Electroweak radiative corrections $\rightarrow sin^2\theta_W$ varies with Q



• All "extracted" values of $\sin^2\theta_W \text{ must}$ agree with the Standard Model prediction or <u>new</u> physics is indicated.



LE Experiments: Good News/Bad News

A 1% measurement of a weak-scale quantity, suppressed by an order of magnitude, is sensitive to physics at the scale 3 TeV. This is well above present colliders and complementary to LHC.

Fine print:

- Low energy experiments can't measure Λ or g separately, only Λ /g.
- With no bump to display, enormous burden of proof on experiment and theory.
- If limited by systematic errors, a factor of 2 increase in mass scale requires $2^2 = 4$ reduction in the systematic error. (eg, atomic experiments)
- If limited by statistical errors, a factor of 2 increase in mass scale requires $(2^2)^2 = 16$ improvement in statistical figure of merit. (eg, scattering experiments)

A factor of 2 increase in Λ /g in the scattering sector may happen only once per generation! Nevertheless, JLab can do that and a bit more.

Courtesy of D.J. Mack

Low Energy Weak Neutral Current Standard Model Tests



These three types of experiments are a complementary set for exploring new physics possibilities well below the Z pole.

Weak Charge Phenomenology



Note how the roles of the proton and neutron are become almost reversed (ie, neutron weak charge is dominant, proton weak charge is almost zero!)

This accidental suppression of the proton weak charge in the SM makes it more sensitive to new physics (all other things being equal).

Courtesy of D.J. Mack

Q^p_{weak}: Extract from Parity-Violating Electron Scattering



As $Q^2 \rightarrow 0$



measures Q^p - proton's electric charge

measures Q^p_{weak} - proton's weak charge

$$A = \frac{2M_{NC}}{M_{EM}} = \left[\frac{-G_F}{4\pi\alpha\sqrt{2}}\right] \left[Q^2 Q_{weak}^p + F^p(Q^2,\theta)\right]$$
$$\xrightarrow{Q^2 \to 0} \left[\frac{-G_F}{4\pi\alpha\sqrt{2}}\right] \left[Q^2 Q_{weak}^p + Q^4 B(Q^2)\right]$$
$$\xrightarrow{Q^2 \to 0} \operatorname{Contains} G_{E,M}^{\gamma} \text{ and } G_{E,M}^{Z}$$

 $\mathbf{Q}_{weak}^{p} = 1 - 4\sin^{2}\theta_{w} \sim 0.072$ (at tree level)

Q^p_{weak} is a well-defined experimental observable
Q^p_{weak} has a definite prediction in the electroweak Standard Model

Energy Scale of an "Indirect" Search for New Physics

Parameterize New Physics contributions in electron-quark Lagrangian

$$\mathbf{L}_{\text{e-q}}^{\text{PV}} = \mathbf{L}_{\text{SM}}^{\text{PV}} + \mathbf{L}_{\text{NEW}}^{\text{PV}} = -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{e} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 e \sum_q C_{1q} \overline{q} \gamma^{\mu} q + \frac{g^2}{4\Lambda^2} \overline{e} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 e \sum_q h_V^q \overline{q} \gamma^{\mu} q$$

 A 4% Q^p_{Weak} measurement probes with 95% confidence level for new physics at energy scales to:

$$\frac{\Lambda}{g} \sim \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\sqrt{2}G_F \left| \Delta \mathbf{Q}_W^P \right|}} \approx 2.3 \text{ TeV}$$

- The TeV discovery potential of weak charge measurements will be unmatched until LHC turns on.
- If LHC uncovers new physics, then precision low Q² measurements will be needed to determine charges, coupling constants, etc.

g: coupling constant, Λ : mass scale



Q^p_{weak} & Q^e_{weak} - Complementary Diagnostics for New Physics



Erler, Kurylov, Ramsey-Musolf, PRD 68, 016006 (2003)

- Qweak measurement will provide a stringent stand alone constraint on Lepto-quark based extensions to the SM.
- Q^p_{weak} (semi-leptonic) and E158 (pure leptonic) together make a powerful program to search for and identify new physics.

Model-Independent Constraints

Forget about the predictions of any specific new physics model!

Do the up- and down-quarks have their expected SM weak charges?



Constraints by 12C and APV are nearly parallel (N \sim Z). Proton measurement is needed so weak charges can be separated with interesting errors.

Qw(He) where N = Z could provide an important cross-check on Cs APV.

Figures courtesy of Paul Reimer (ANL)



New Physics Sensitivity of Qw(p)

			\mathbf{CDF}	SLAC	JLab
Qw(p) is sensitive to new		New Physics	or	E158	Q_w^p
	electron-quark interactions	\mathbf{Type}	$\mathbf{LEP2}$	14.5%	4%
	Such as		(TeV)	(TeV)	(TeV)
•	Leptoquarks	Compositeness:	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
•	A new heavy vector (Z')	e-e		11.9	
•	R-parity violating SUSY	e-q			27.5
•	Compositeness	•			
	but not the usual R parity-	Leptoquarks:			
	conserving SUSY (she's very shy and hides in loops).	γ_{up}	"1.5"		3.1
		γ_{down}	"1.5"		4.3
		(for $\lambda = 1$)			
		Z-primes:			
		$E_6 Z_{\chi}$.67	.63	.95
	e Z' p	~	(LEP2)		
		Z_{LR}	.80	.32	.45
			(LEP2)		
		$oldsymbol{E_6} oldsymbol{Z_\psi}$.59		
		·	(CDF)		

Overview of the Q^P_{Weak} Experiment



How it Works: Qweak Apparatus in GEANT4



Courtesy of D.J. Mack



Anticipated Q^p_{Weak} Uncertainties

	$\Delta A_{phys} / A_{phys}$	$\Delta \mathbf{Q}^{p}_{weak} / \mathbf{Q}^{p}_{weak}$
Statistical (2200 hours production) Systematic:	1.8%	2.9%
Hadronic structure uncertainties		1.9%
Beam polarimetry	1.0%	1.6%
Absolute Q ² determination	0.5%	1.1%
Backgrounds	0.5%	0.8%
Helicity-correlated Beam Properties	0.5%	0.8%
Total	2.2%	4.1%

4% error on Q_{W}^{P} corresponds to ~0.3% precision on $sin^{2}\theta_{W}$ at Q^{2} ~ 0.03 GeV²

$$Q_W(p) = [\rho_{NC} + \Delta_e] [1 - 4\sin^2 \hat{\theta}_W(0) + \Delta'_e]$$
$$+ \Box_{WW} + \Box_{ZZ} + \Box_{\gamma Z}.$$

(Erler, Kurylov, Ramsey-Musolf, PRD **68**, 016006 (2003)) $Q_w^p = 0.0716 \pm 0.0006$ theoretically 0.8% error comes from QCD uncertainties in box graphs, etc.

Nucleon Structure Contributions to the Asymmetry



Quadrature sum of expected $\Delta A_{hadronic} = 1.5\%$ and $\Delta A_{axial} = 1.2\%$ errors contribute ~1.9% to error on Q_W^p

The Qweak Apparatus (Calibration Mode Only - Production & Calibration Modes)



Q^p_{Weak} Toroidal Magnet - QTOR



- •8 toroidal coils, 4.5m long along beam
- •Resistive, similar to BLAST magnet
- Pb shielding between coils
- Coil holders & frame all Al
- ∫B·dI ~ 0.7 T-m
- \cdot bends elastic electrons ~ 10°
- current ~ 9500 A

Status: • coils wound in France

• support stand under construction



Inelastic/Elastic Separation in Q^P_{Weak}

View Along Beamline of QP_{Weak} Apparatus - Simulated Events



The Q^p_{Weak} Liquid Hydrogen Target



Helicity Correlated Beam Properties: False Asymmetry Corrections

$$A_{meas} = A_{phys} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2Y} \left(\frac{\partial Y}{\partial P_i} \right) \Delta P_i$$

 $\frac{1}{2V}\left(\frac{\partial Y}{\partial P}\right)$

$$\Delta P = P_{+} - P_{-}$$

Y = Detector yield

(*P* = beam parameter ~energy, position, angle, intensity) Example: $\frac{1}{2V} \left(\frac{\partial Y}{\partial x} \right) \sim 1.0 \% / \text{mm}, \Delta x = 100 \text{ nm}$ $A_{\text{false}} = \frac{1}{2V} \left(\frac{\partial Y}{\partial r} \right) \Delta x \sim 10^{-6} = 1 \text{ ppm}$

Typical goals for run-averaged beam properties

Intensity: $A_{I} = \frac{I_{+} - I_{-}}{I_{-} + I} < 1 \text{ ppm}$ **Position:** $\Delta x, \Delta y < 2 - 20 \text{ nm}$

keep small with feedback and careful setup $\Delta P = P_{+} - P_{-}$

The Q^p_{Weak} Luminosity Monitor

- Luminosity monitor → Symmetric array of 8 quartz Cerenkov detectors instrumented with rad hard PMTs operated in "vacuum photodiode mode" & integrating readout at small θ (~ 0.8°). Low Q², high rates ~29 GHz/octant.
- Expected signal components: 12 GHz e-e Moeller, 11 GHz e-p elastic, EM showers 6 GHz.
- Expected lumi monitor asymmetry << main detector asymmetry.
- Expected lumi monitor statistical error ~ (1/6) main detector statistical error.
- Useful for:
 - Sensitive check on helicity-correlated beam parameter corrections procedure.
 - Regress out target density fluctuations.





Q² Determination Use low beam current (~ few nA) to run in "pulse counting" mode with a tracking system to determine the "light-weighted" Q² distribution.



Region 1 + 2 chambers --> determine value of Q^2

Region 3 chamber --> efficiency map of quartz detectors

Precision Polarimetry



- Present limitations:
 - $I_{Max} \sim 10 \ \mu A$.
 - At higher currents the Fe target depolarizes.
 - Measurement is destructive

Schematic of planned new Hall C

- Plan to upgrading Møller:
 - Measure P_{beam} at 100 μA or higher, quasi-continuously
 - Trick: kicker + strip or wire target (early tests look promising - tested up to 40 μA so far)











e2ePV at 12 GeV

JLab could determine Qw(e) to 2.5% as a search for new physics or the best low energy determination of the weak mixing angle.

- E = 12 GeV
- 4000 hours
- L = 150 cm
- A_{PV} = -40 ppb



Courtesy of D.J. Mack

e2ePV at 12 GeV

- Qw(e) would tightly constrain RPV SUSY (ie tree-level)
- Killer application of improved Qw(e) is to RPC SUSY

(ie, loop-level)

One of few ways to constrain RPC SUSY if it happens to conserve CP (hence SUSY EDM = 0).

Direct associatedproduction of a pair of RPC SUSY particles might not be possible even at LHC.



Contours courtesy of Shufang Su (U. Arizona)

Future of the JLab Weak Charge Program

 Qw(p) finish construction (mid 2007) Run I (8%) (2008?)

(lick wounds following embarrassing confrontation with Mother Nature)

Run II (4%) (Potential 1% Qw(He) as cross-check on Cs APV?)

What do we do when the LHC (or Atomic Physics) turns our world upside down? Will Run II be finished so JLab can respond? What do we do if we see a significant deviation in Run II?

2.5% Qw(p)/Qw(He)

Constrain e-up and e-down quark couplings of ~TeV mass particles discovered by LHC. 2.5% Qw(e) at 12 GeV

Constrain e-e couplings of ~TeV mass particles discovered by LHC.

or

If LHC finds the TeV-scale region is a desert, make world's best measurement of the weak mixing angle at low energy.

Summary

- Completed low energy Standard Model tests are consistent with Standard Model "running of $\text{sin}^2\theta_w$ "

SLAC E158 (running verified at ~ 6σ level) - leptonic

Cs APV (running verified at ~ 4σ level) - semi-leptonic, "d-quark dominated"

• Upcoming Q^{P}_{W} Experiment

- Precision measurement of the proton's weak charge in the simplest system.
- Sensitive search for new physics with CL of 95% at the ~ 2.3 TeV scale.
- Fundamental 10 σ measurement of the running of $sin^2\theta_W$ at low energy.

Currently in process of 3 year construction cycle; goal is to have multiple runs in
2008 - 2009 timeframe

Possible 12 GeV Parity-Violating Moller Experiment at JLAB

 Conceptual design indicates reduction of E158 error by ~5 may be possible at 12 GeV JLAB.
Leptonic

