Neutron-Antineutron Oscillations: Can Current Experimental Limits Be Improved?

M. Snow Indiana University/IUCF INT-07-01

what is it? why is it interesting? (B-L violation) Phenomenology Limits from underground detectors Possibility of UCN Cold neutron beams

Thanks for slides: Yuri Kamyshkov, Tony Mann, Albert Young, Yasuhiro Masuda, Peter Boeni



Such systems are interferometers, sensitive to small effects. Neutron is a long-lived neutral particle ($q_n < 10^{-21}e$) with a distinct antiparticle and so can oscillate. No oscillations have been seen yet.

Need interaction beyond the Standard Model that violates Baryon number (B) by 2 units. Why should such an interaction exist?

Is B the Source of a Field Like Q?

Conservation of electric charge is closely connected with U(1) gauge symmetry/masslessness of photon/ 1/r form of EM potential.

$$V_{EM} = Q / r$$

If same idea worked for B, we expect conservation of "baryonic" charge to be associated with new long-range force coupled to B. So where is the new long-range force coupled to B?

$$V_B = B / r$$

Experimental tests of equivalence principle for gravity (Eot-Wash, etc.) use masses with different B/M ratios, see no effects. This give strong constraint on any such new long-range force coupled to B.

B conservation in SM is Approximate

From SM point of view, both <u>B and L conservation are "accidental"</u> global symmetries: given SU(3)⊗SU(2)⊗U(1) gauge theory and matter content, no dimension-4 term in Lagrangian violates B or L. No special reason why SM extensions should conserve B.

Operator of dimension D+4 is nonrenormalizable term which must be divided by mass scale M^D. Need suppression by large mass scale so that perturbative corrections are small

No evidence that B is locally conserved like Q: where is the macroscopic B force? (EotWash etc. do not see it in EP tests).

Nonperturbative EW gauge field fluctuations called sphalerons, present in SM, VIOLATE <u>both B and L, but conserve B-L</u>. Rate is completely negligible in our vacuum, but should be faster than expansion rate at the electroweak phase transition in early universe.

Two Important Classes of B Violation

In violation of baryon number the conservation of angular momentum requires that spin of nucleon should be transferred to another fermion (lepton or baryon):

> That leads to the selection rule: $\Delta B = \pm \Delta L$ or $|\Delta(B-L)| = 0, 2$

• In Standard Model

 $\Delta(B-L) = 0 \text{ or } \Delta B = + \Delta L \text{ (e.g. nucleon } \rightarrow \text{ antilepton)}$

• Second possibility of $|\Delta(B-L)| = 2$ also allows transitions with $\Delta B = -\Delta L$ (nucleon \rightarrow lepton), $|\Delta B| = 2$, and $|\Delta L| = 2$

Conservation or violation of (B-L) determines the mechanism of baryon instability.

Universe is B Asymmetric out to ~10 Mpc



In the lab we make equal amounts of matter and antimatter But the universe has B asymmetry: $\eta = (N_B - N_{antiB}) / N_{\gamma} \sim 10^{-10}$ Why?

One Possibility: B conservation+ "Initial Condition" $\eta(t\sim 0)=10^{-10}$

But now we believe in inflationary period in early universe to solve horizon, flatness, etc. problems in cosmology. Inflation asserts that the "size" of the universe increased exponentially at constant energy density:

$R(t) \propto \exp(3Ht)$

Inflation needs ~70 Hubble times with universe at \sim constant E density -> for conserved B charge, B(t=0)~exp(200)*B(t=after inflation).

But if B(t=after inflation)~ 10^{-10} , B(t=after inflation-7H⁻¹)~1, so <u>rapidly-</u> <u>changing E density</u> of matter with B soon dominates.

->B conservation + η =10⁻¹⁰ <u>destroys inflation.</u> [A. D. Dolgov, Physics Reports 222,309 (1992).]

Sakharov conditions for Baryon Asymmetry in Big Bang starting from B(t=after inflation)=0

A.D. Sakharov, JETP Lett. 5, 24-27, 1967

Baryon number violation

- Not allowed in SM in perturbation theory.
- Permitted in nonperturbative tunneling processes in SM at EW phase transition, but these conserve B-L

Departure from thermal equilibrium

- Expansion of Universe
- Phase transitions
- Should not be a problem

<u>T violation</u>

 SM CP/T violation from CKM phase seems to be orders of magnitude too small to explain observed B asymmetry – we seem to need new physics here. Impact of B-L-conserving SM interaction on B asymmetry

Sphaeleron mechanism in Standard Model lead to violation of lepton and baryon number ('t Hooft, 1976)

• "On anomalous electroweak baryon-number non-conservation in the early universe" (Kuzmin, Rubakov, Shaposhnikov, 1985)

Sphaelerons conserve (B-L) but violate (B+L). Rate of (B+L)-violating processes at T > TeV exceeds the Universe expansion rate. If $B=L\neq 0$ is set at GUT scale due to B-L conserving process, B asymmetry can be wiped out by (B+L)-violating process

<u>Thus, for the explanation of universe B asymmetry, B-L-violating</u> <u>mechanisms (leptogenesis, NNbar, some nucleon decay modes...) seem</u> <u>to be required</u>

"Proton decay is not a prediction of baryogenesis" [Yanagida'02]

Does Universe have a (B–L) asymmetry as well?

• In our laboratory samples (B–L) = #protons + #neutrons – #electrons

(B–L)≠0

• However, in the Universe most of the leptons exist as (yet undetected) relic neutrino and antineutrino radiation (similar to CMBR) and conservation of (B–L) on the scale of the whole Universe is still an open question

• We will be unable to infer possible universal (B-L) asymmetry until we can detect relic neutrinos

Experimental searches for B Violation: Nucleon Decay and Neutron-Antineutron Oscillations

Mode	Nucleon decay	N-Nbar oscillations
Effect on B and L	$\Delta B=1, \Delta L=1,$ others $\Delta (B-L)=0,2,$	$\Delta B=2, \Delta L=0,$ $\Delta (B-L)=2$
Effective operator	$L = \frac{g}{M^2} Q Q Q L$	$L = \frac{g}{M^5} Q Q Q Q Q Q$
Mass scale probed	~GUT	>~EW



2003, M. Shiozawa 28th International Cosmic Ray Conference

Future p-decay sensitivity

J. Wilkes, 25 Feb '05 at Neutrino Telescopes



Some $|\Delta(B-L)|=2$ nucleon decay modes (PDG'06+)

(B−L)≠0 modes	Limit at 90% CL	S/B	Experiment'year
$n \rightarrow e^{-}\pi$	>6.5×10 ³¹ yr	0/1.6	IMB'88
$n \to \mu^- K^+$	>5.7×10 ³¹ yr	0/2.8	Fréjus'91
$p \rightarrow e^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+}$	>3.0×10 ³¹ yr	1/2.5	Fréjus'91
$n \to \mu^- \pi^+ \pi^0$	>3.4×10 ³¹ yr	0/0.78	Fréjus'91
$p \rightarrow e^{-}\pi^{+}K^{+}$	>7.5×10 ³¹ yr	81/127	IMB3'99
$p \to \mu^- \pi^+ K^+$	>2.45×10 ³² yr	3/4	IMB3'99
$n \rightarrow v \gamma$	>2.8×10 ³¹ yr	163/145	IMB3'99
$n \rightarrow v \gamma \gamma$	>2.19×10 ³² yr	5/7.5	IMB3'99
$p \rightarrow vve^+$	>1.7×10 ³¹ yr	152/153.7	IMB3'99
$p \rightarrow \nu \nu \mu^+$	>2.1×10 ³¹ yr	7/11.23	Fréjus'91
$n \rightarrow e^+ e^- v$	>2.57×10 ³² yr	5/7.5	IMB3'99
$n \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \nu$	>7.9×10 ³¹ yr	100/145	IMB3'99
$n \rightarrow \nu \nu \overline{\nu}$	>1.9×10 ²⁹ yr	686.8/656	SNO'04
$n \rightarrow \nu \nu \overline{\nu}$	>5.8×10 ²⁹ yr	0/0.82*	KamLAND'06
$n \to \overline{n} bound$	>7.2×10 ³¹ yr	4/4.5	Soudan-II'02

*) accidental background

Theories with $n \leftrightarrow \overline{n}$, no B-L=0 nucleon decay

• Connection with neutrino mass physics via seesaw mechanism

K. Babu and R. Mohapatra, PLB 518 (2001) 269 B. Dutta, Y. Mimura, R. Mohapatra, PRL 96 (2006) 061801

• Connection to low quantum gravity scale ideas

G. Dvali and G. Gabadadze, PLB 460 (1999) 47 S. Nussinov and R. Shrock, PRL 88 (2002) 171601 C. Bambi et al., hep-ph/0606321

• Baryogenesis models at low-energy scale

A. Dolgov et al., hep-ph/0605263 K. Babu et al., hep-ph/0606144 Neutron-Antineutron Oscillations: Formalism

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} n \\ \overline{n} \end{pmatrix} \text{ n-nbar state vector}$$

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} E_n & \alpha \\ \alpha & E_{\overline{n}} \end{pmatrix} \text{ Hamiltonian of n-nbar system}$$

$$E_n = m_n + \frac{p^2}{2m_n} + U_n \text{ ; } E_{\overline{n}} = m_{\overline{n}} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{\overline{n}}} + U_{\overline{n}}$$

Note :

- α real (assuming T)
- $m_n = m_{\overline{n}}$ (assuming CPT)
- $U_n \neq U_{\overline{n}}$ in matter and in external B $[\mu(\overline{n}) = -\mu(n)$ from CPT]

Neutron-Antineutron transition probability

For
$$H = \begin{pmatrix} E + V & \alpha \\ \alpha & E - V \end{pmatrix}$$
 $P_{n \to \overline{n}}(t) = \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2 + V^2} \times \sin^2 \left[\frac{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + V^2}}{\hbar} t \right]$

where V is the potential difference for neutron and anti-neutron. Present limit on $\alpha \le 10^{-23} eV$

In practice $V \gg \alpha$ for any realistic experiment due to external magnetic fields

For
$$\left[\frac{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + V^2}}{\hbar}t\right] <<1 ("quasifree condition") $P_{n \to \bar{n}} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\hbar} \times t\right)^2 = \left(\frac{t}{\tau_{n\bar{n}}}\right)^2$$$

How to Search for N-Nbar Oscillations

Figure of merit for probability: N=total # of free neutrons observed MT^2 T= observation time per neutron while in "quasifree" condition

When neutrons are in matter or in nucleus, n-nbar potential difference is large->quasifree observation time is short
B field must be suppressed to maintain quasifree condition due to opposite magnetic moments for neutron and antineutron

(1) n-nbar transitions in nuclei in underground detectors(2) Cold and Ultracold neutrons



Nucleus $A \rightarrow A^* + \overline{n}$



Suppression of $n \rightarrow nbar$ in intranuclear transitions from n-nbar potential difference in nuclear matter

Neutrons in nuclei are "quasifree" for
$$\Delta t \sim \frac{1}{E_{binding}} \sim \frac{1}{10 \, MeV} \sim 10^{-22} \, s$$

and experience quasifree condition $N = \frac{1}{\Delta t}$ times per second,
transition rate is $P_A = \left(\frac{\alpha t}{\tau_{n\bar{n}}}\right)^2 \times \left(\frac{1}{\Delta t}\right)$

Intranuclear transition lifetime: $\tau_{A} = \frac{\tau_{n\bar{n}}^{2}}{\Delta t} = R \times \tau_{n\bar{n}}^{2}, \ R \sim \frac{1}{\Delta t} \sim 10^{22} s^{-1}$

Calculations for ¹⁶O, ²D, ⁵⁶Fe, ⁴⁰Ar by Dover et al, Alberico et al, Kopeliovich et al. are consistent, give order of magnitude greater suppression Thus, e.g. Soudan - 2 limit $\tau_{Fe} > 7.2 \times 10^{31} yr$ corresponds to $\tau_{n\bar{n}} > 1.3 \times 10^8 s$

Example: Soudan 2

- Soudan 2 is located in the Soudan mine in Northern Minnesota under 2100 mwe overburden.
- Data-taking: April 1989 to June 2001.
- Analyzed exposure is 5.56 fiducial kiloton-years



Conclusion(Tony Mann, NNbar conference, 2002):

Limit for nnbar oscillations in iron (90% confidence):

 $T_A(\text{Fe}) > 7.2 \times 10^{31} \text{ years}$

Corresponding limit on oscillation time (assuming $T_R = 1.4 \times 10^{23}$ s)

 $\tau_{nn} > 1.3 \times 10^8$ seconds.

<u>Background</u> arising from multiprong interactions of atmospheric neutrinos is observed: ~ 0.7 v evts/kty.

SNO and SuperK can achieve $\tau_{nn} > (4 - 5) \times 10^8$ sec. Harder to make discovery in presence of neutrino background

Extending search limit sensitivity beyond $\tau_{nn} = 10^9$ secs requires a different approach.

SNO's Advantages

- Though much smaller than Super-K, SNO has advantage of conventional proton decay experiments for neutron oscillations:
 - Background. Smaller inherent background from cosmic rays and atmospheric neutrinos.
 - Target material. Deuterium has smaller correction factor than O¹⁶ and thus favors neutron oscillation processes.

Nucleus	Model 1	Model 2
² H	0.25 x 10 ²³ s ⁻¹	0.24 x 10 ²³ s ⁻¹
¹⁶ O	1.20 x 10 ²³ s ⁻¹	0.80 x 10 ²³ s ⁻¹
⁵⁶ Fe	1.13 x 10 ²³ s ⁻¹	1.69 x 10 ²³ s ⁻¹

³ C.B. Dover et al., Phys. Rev. D27, 1090 (1983).

Neutron Oscillation Limits

- SNO offers 1kton of D₂O
- Low atmospheric rate
 - $-~\sim 100~\nu_{\mu}$ events / year
- Clean signature?
 - ${}^{2}H$: multi-pion rings
 - ¹⁶O : neutron followers
- Energy calibration
 - Use muons through-going and stopped.



$$\tau_{\rm SNO} = (1-2 \ {\rm x} \ 10^8 \ {\rm s}) \ t^{1/2}$$





Unique features of KamLAND detector:

- Large mass: 1,000 ton of Liquid Scintillator (~ CH₂)
- Low detection threshold: < 1 MeV
- Good energy resolution ~ 7%/ $\sqrt{E(MeV)}$
- Position reconstruction accuracy in x,y,z: ~ 15 cm
- Low background: 2700 mwe; buffer shield; veto-shield; Rn shield; LS purification for U, Th < 10⁻¹⁶ g/g

These features allow observation of a sequence of nuclear de-excitation states produces by a disappearance of nucleon. SIGNATURES OF NUCLEON DISAPPEARANCE IN LARGE UNDERGROUND DETECTORS. Edwin Kolbe and YK Phys.Rev.D67:076007, 2003

 $^{12}C \rightarrow n(in \ s_{1/2} \ state \ disappeared) + {}^{11}C^*(excited)$



 $^{11}C^* \rightarrow de - excitation particles$ + $\hat{a} - decay$ of daughter nucleus

Search for the sequence of events (≥3 hits) correlated in space and time

2 neutrons out of 6 in ${}^{12}C$ are is $s_{\frac{1}{2}}$ state

Soudan - 2 limit:
$$\tau_{Fe} > 7.2 \times 10^{31}$$
 years
 $S/B = 4/4.5$

Future potential limits expected from SNO and Super-K

SNO:
$$\tau_D \sim 4.8 \times 10^{32}$$
 years
Super - K: $\tau_O \sim 7.5 \times 10^{32}$ years

Since sensitivity of SNO, Super-K, and future large underground detectors will be limited by atmospheric neutrino background (as demonstrated by Soudan-2 experiment), it will be possible to set a new limit, but difficult to make a discovery!

Why is it such hard work to get slow neutrons (or antineutrons)?

E=0



р

n

Neutrons are bound in nuclei, need several MeV for liberation. We want E~kT~25 meV (room temperature) or less

How to slow down a heavy neutral particle with $M_n = M_p$? Lots of collisions...

 $[1/2]^{N}=(1 \text{ MeV})/(25 \text{ meV})$ for N collisions

Neutrons are unstable when free->they can't be accumulated easily



Source and Neutron Moderator



Figure 7. A cavity-type cold moderator. Thermal neutrons (green) enter the 25-K liquid hydrogen from the 300-K D₂O moderator. There, they collide numerous times, losing energy at each collision (less green), and come into equilibrium with the hydrogen at 25 K (blue). The reentrant cavity acts as a hohlraum, allowing neutrons to rattle around within, promoting thermal equilibration but permitting cold neutrons to emerge efficiently into the neutron beams. The diagram exaggerates the thickness of the L-H₂ layer in relation to the diameter of the cavity and omits the necessary plumbing arrangements.





NIST Cold Neutron Guide Hall

Reactor makes neutrons, cooled to ~20K by liquid hydrogen



Neutron mirrors ("guides") conduct the neutrons ~100 meters with small losses.

Inside the ILL Reactor



Neutron guides at ILL (top view)



Best free neutron search at ILL/Grenoble reactor by Heidelberg-ILL-Padova-Pavia Collaboration



The conceptual scheme of antineutron detector



$$\overline{n} + A \rightarrow \langle 5 \rangle \ pions \quad (1.8 \text{ GeV})$$
Annihilation target: ~100µ thick Carbon film
$$\sigma_{\text{annihilation}} \sim 4 \text{ Kb} \qquad \sigma_{\text{nC capture}} \sim 4 \text{ mb}$$
Detector of Heidelberg -ILL-Padova-Pavia Experiment @ILL 1991



N-bar annihilation vertex precisely defined by absorption in thin carbon foil ->background suppression

$$\tau_{n\overline{n}} \geq 8.6 \times 10^7 \ sec$$

= 1 unit of sensitivity



Fig. 1. (a) Experimental apparatus showing the "quasi free" neutron propagation length with the divergent guide, the target and the detection system. (b) Cross sectional view of the detector.

Neutron Reflector Using MultilayersGraded multilayers operate on the principle of Bragg reflection
alternating layers of low and high index of refraction
 $n\lambda = 2d \sin\theta$ d = bilayer thickness

Wide range of bilayer thickness provides broadband reflectance

We need to make a large elliptical reflector. Neutrons only bounce once-> reflectivity not crucial



concept of neutron supermirrors: Swiss Neutronics



Ni/Ti supermirrors – high `m' : Swiss Neutronics



reflectivity simulation: SimulReflec V1.60, F. Ott, http://www-llb.cea.fr/prism/programs/simulreflec/simulreflec.html, 2005

Supermirror Neutron Optics: Elliptical Focusing Guides



Fig. 1. Parameters for the (a) parabolic and (b) elliptic focusing guide in the x-plane.

Muhlbauer et. al., Physica B 385, 1247 (2006).



Fig. 3. Neutron intensity as measured and calculated versus distance from the exit of the guide. Clearly seen is the point of maximum intensity near $F_2 = 80 \,\mathrm{mm}$.

Under development for neutron scattering spectrometers

Can be used to increase fraction of neutrons delivered from cold source (cold source at one focus, nbar detector at other focus)

Why Focusing Reflector?

In a simple geometry without focusing with neutron velocity v_n , flux F, detector of area A, and distance source-detector L:

$$N_n \propto F \cdot d\Omega = F \cdot \frac{A}{L^2}; \quad \langle t^2 \rangle \approx \frac{L^2}{v_n^2}; \quad \text{and} \quad F \propto v_n$$

Sensitivity = $N \cdot \langle t^2 \rangle \propto F \cdot \frac{A}{v_n^2} \propto \sqrt{T_n} \cdot \frac{A}{T_n} = \frac{A}{\sqrt{T_n}} \quad \leftarrow \text{does not depend on L}$

With focusing reflector: fraction of neutron flux within the fixed solid angle is intercepted by single reflection and directed to the detector.

Searches with cold neutrons: looking for a reactor...

- possible to increase sensitivity to transition probability by $\sim 1{,}000$

 $\tau_{bound} > 10^{35}$ years; $\tau_{free} > 10^{10}$ sec

- need close access of focusing reflector to cold neutron source at high flux reactor
- use existing research reactor facilities? No luck so far (NIST? FRM?)







- → Gravitational defocusing effect on cold neutrons for horizontal beam layout
- \rightarrow Vertical beam layout preserves all the cold spectrum and allows max path length

For vertical layout with focusing : Sensitivity $\propto \frac{L^2}{T^{3/2}}$

Scheme of N-Nbar search experiment at DUSEL

- Dedicated small-power TRIGA research reactor with cold neutron moderator $\rightarrow v_n \sim 1000$ m/s
- Vertical shaft ~1000 m deep with diameter ~ 6 m at DUSEL
- Large vacuum tube, focusing reflector, Earth magnetic field compensation system
- Detector (similar to ILL N-Nbar detector) at the bottom of the shaft (no new technologies)





May 5, 2006 SUNY Stony Brook workshop



Search for neutron \rightarrow antineutron transitions at DUSEL

N-Nbar proto-collaboration

D. Baxter², W. Bugg⁸, Y. Efremenko⁸, A. Fomin⁷, T. Gabriel⁸, K. Ganezer¹, T. Handler⁸, T. Ito³, Y. Kamyshkov⁸, A. Kharitonov⁷, A. Kozlov⁸, M. Leuschner², C-Y. Liu², V. Mityukhlyaev⁷, R. Mohapatra⁴, P. Mumm⁶, A. Serebrov⁷, G. Shmelev⁷, W. M. Snow², S. Spanier⁸, A. Young⁵, C.West⁸, A. Young⁵, B. Wehring⁵, A. Zakharov⁷

¹ California State University, Domingues Hills
 ² Indiana University
 ³ Los Alamos National Laboratory
 ⁴ University of Maryland
 ⁵ North Carolina State University
 ⁶ NRC/NIST
 ⁷ St. Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Russia
 ⁸ University of Tennessee

(Contact address: Yuri Kamyshkov <kamyshkov@utk.edu>)

(continued)

2.6.2 Recommendation

The Program Advisory Committee finds this proposal of significant scientific merit, and endorses consideration as a long-range possibility for DUSEL. For the Early Implementation Program, the PAC recommends the engineering and feasibility studies needed to develop a full proposal and technical design in approximately 5 years. In particular, the PAC agrees with the proponents that serious infrastructure questions must be addressed: identifying a suitable vertical shaft (or costing the construction of a new one), engineering km-long magnetic shielding to the level of nanotesla, vacuum to 10⁻⁴ Pa, and numerous additional considerations related to locating a 3MW research reactor on the surface at Homestake. Issues like safety, licensing, security, and backgrounds to other experiments need to be considered.

Annular core, TRIGA reactor for N-Nbar search experiment



Annular core TRIGA reactor 3.4 MW with convective cooling, vertical channel, and large cold moderator. Unperturbed thermal flux in the vertical channel 3E+13 n/cm²/s

Cold moderator has been placed in vertical arrangement before:

PNPI WWR-M reactor : 18 MW reactor, Vertical cold source in core 20K Liquid hydrogen moderator

Courtesy of W. Whittemore (General Atomics) Neutron source needed: small power 3.4 MW TRIGA reactor

TRIGA Reactor picture courtesy of General Atomics

Fig. 17. Dry central cavity in annular core

Vertical Cold Neutron Source (PNPI)

Delivered to new Australian research reactor, 18 MW power



Magnetic Shielding for n-4He Parity-odd Neutron Spin Rotation Experiment. B~1nT using 3 passive shields

ILL experiment achieved sufficient shielding over 1m diameter, 100 m beam





POLARIZED ³He for Neutron Polarimetry

Need to measure B over nnbar flight path. Use neutrons as magnetometers. Polarize/analyze neutron beam using 3He



Large neutron phase space acceptance Polarizer/analyzer pair can measure B using neutron spin rotation

NIST, Indiana, Hamilton, Wisconsin

NSF CAREER→DEFG0203ER46093

Typical detector for "ILL type experiment"

Task is to reconstruct vertex, total energy (1.8 GeV), total momentum (0 GeV)



Three protons, two charged pions, and two neutral pions.

"Ultracold" Neutrons (UCN)

For very low energies (E_k -<V> negative, <V>~300 neV), matter forms a potential barrier for neutrons.



The ILL reactor UCN Turbine



"Superthermal" Process for Making UCN

R. Golub and J. M. Pendlebury, Phys. Lett, A53, 133 (1975)

• Cold neutrons lose almost all their energy in one collision, becoming UCN.



- Rate of UCN energy gain is suppressed by cooling the moderator to low temperatures.
- Dissipative process->neutron phase space density is increased

Example: UCN production in helium



R. Golub and J.M. PendleburyPhys. Lett. **53A** (1975),Phys. Lett. **62A** (1977)

- 1.03 meV (11 K) neutrons downscatter by emission of phonon in liquid helium at 0.5 K
- Upscattering suppressed: Boltzmann factor e^{-E/kT} is small if T<<11K

Possible apparatus for the "neutrons in the bottle" experiment



Possible UCN N-N Bar Experiment @PULSTAR/NC State



The geometry:



Preliminary results for base case (det eff = 1):

• A possible base case: NCState geometry, 4 cm thick SD2, 18 cm guides, .050s SD2 lifetime, a UCN energy cutoff of 430 neV initally

Primary flux: 6.0×10^7 (below 305 neV) Box loading efficiency: 20-32%Best case: diffuse walls, specular floor 3.5×10^{9} discovery pot. 325 s avg. residency

• Straightforward gains:

Source thickness x2 (see Serebrov's geom): x 2 Source lifetime \rightarrow .075s +10% Running time: 4 years ("real")

• Speculative gains:

Multiphonon: x1.5(?) Coherent amplification: x2 (?) Solid Oxygen: x5 (?)

 $5.5 - 7 \times 10^9$

Various diffuse regions, wall potentials, same "best" case as above

Possible Future UCN Sources (M. Snow, from NNbar Workshop 2002)

- source/moderator/density/mode/fill rate/timing
- type (UCN/cc) (UCN/s)
- PSI D2 1E+3 3s/600s 1E+7 >2006
- Munich D2 7E+4 CW <3E+7 >2006
- LANL D2 2E+2 1s/10s 2E+5 2003
- NSCU D2 2E+3 CW 1E+7 >2005
- KEK 4He 2E+5 CW 5E+7 >2008

Under development for other experiments (neutron EDM, neutron decay, neutron gravity tests,...)

NNbar search could be attractive possibility for future UCN facility

$n \rightarrow \overline{n}$ Search Sensitivity

Soudan II limit \approx Grenoble limit = 1 unit (1 u) of sensitivity

Method	Present limit	Possible future limit	Possible sensitivity increase factor
Intranuclear (in N-decay expts)	$7.2 \cdot 10^{31} \text{ yr} = 1 \text{u}$ Soudan II	7.5 $\cdot 10^{32}$ yr (Super-K) 4.8 $\cdot 10^{32}$ yr (SNO)	× 16 <mark>u (*)</mark>
Geo-chemical (ORNL)	none	$4 \cdot 10^8 \div 1 \cdot 10^9 s$ (Tc in Sn ore)	× 20÷100 u (*)
UCN trap (6×10 ⁷ ucn/sec)	none	$\sim 1 \cdot 10^9 \mathrm{s}$	× 100 u (**)
Cold horizontal beam	$8.6 \cdot 10^7 \text{ s} = 1 \text{u}$ @ILL/Grenoble	$> 3 \cdot 10^9 $ s (e.g. HFIR@ORNL)	× 1,000 u (***)
Cold Vertcal beam	none	> 3.10 ⁹ s (TRIGA 3.4 MW)	× 1,000 u (***)

New physics beyond the SM can be discovered by NNbar Oscillation Search

If discovered:

B violation, strong hint for direction of new physics beyond SM

may help to provide understanding of matter-antimatter asymmetry

If NOT discovered:

in combination with new nucleon decay experiments, can help set a new limit on the stability of matter Search for Baryon and Lepton Number Violations Workshop Sept. 20-22, 2007, LBL http://inpa.lbl.gov/blnv/blnv.htm

```
EW SM sphaleron transition and violation of (B-L)
role of (B-L) violation in baryogenesis and cosmology
role of (B-L) violation in models of leptogenesis
relation of (B-L) with Left-Right Symmetry violation
violation of global quantum numbers in extra-dimensional models
status of (B-L) violation in proton decay search and models
review of proposed PDK search experiments for (B-L) violation
(B-L) violating nucleon decays (n-->3nu, others)
n-nbar oscillations models and expectations for oscillation time
n-nbar future experimental plans
Majorana neutrino searches and neutrinoless double beta decay
sterile neutrinos
mirror matter search (n -> n')
search for tau decays with (B-L) violation
search for (B-L) violation in hyperon decays
search for mirror matter at LHC and ILC
searches of B, L, and B-L violation at LHC and ILC
```

Conclusions

B is probably not conserved

Nucleon decay and n-nbar oscillations complementary ways to search for B violation. Many theories predict one or the other but not both

Can improve limits on $\Delta B=2$ from nucleon decay detectors by ~X5, atmospheric neutrinos already producing background, free neutron n-nbar oscillation search can possess much lower backgrounds

Free neutron searches for n-nbar transition probability can be improved 2-3 orders of magnitude, require either UCN or access to cold n source

Discovery would be very important, no discovery still helps set new limit on stability of matter

B-L Workshop: Sept. 20-22, 2007, LBL/Berkeley

Observable $N-\bar{N}$ Oscillation in High Scale Seesaw Models

Bhaskar Dutta,¹ Yukihiro Mimura,¹ and R. N. Mohapatra² ¹Department of Physics, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843-4242, USA ²Department of Physics and Center for String and Particle Theory, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA (Received 26 October 2005; published 14 February 2006)

We discuss a realistic high scale $(v_{B-L} \sim 10^{12} \text{ GeV})$ supersymmetric seesaw model based on the gauge group $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times SU(4)_c$ where neutron-antineutron oscillation can be in the observable range. This is contrary to the naive dimensional arguments which say that $\tau_{N-\bar{N}} \propto v_{B-L}^5$ and should therefore be unobservable for seesaw scale $v_{B-L} \ge 10^5$ GeV. Two reasons for this enhancement are (i) accidental symmetries which keep some of the diquark Higgs masses at the weak scale and (ii) a new supersymmetric contribution from a lower dimensional operator. The net result is that $\tau_{N-\bar{N}} \propto v_{B-L}^2 v_{wk}^3$ rather than v_{B-L}^5 . The model also can explain the origin of matter via the leptogenesis mechanism and predicts light diquark states which can be produced at LHC.

In the Supersymmetric Pati-Salam type model violation of local (B–L) symmetry with Δ L=2 gives masses to heavy right-handed neutrinos generating regular neutrino masses via seesaw mechanism. Same mechanism with Δ B=2 determines the operator for N-Nbar transition. This operator was shown to have very weak power dependence on the seesaw scale, i.e. $1/M^{2}_{seesaw}$ rather than $1/M^{5}_{seesaw}$ as in naive dimensional arguments. That makes N-Nbar observable within the reach of present experimental techniques. The model also predicts light diquark states that can be produced at LHC.



18 October 2001

PHYSICS LETTERS B

Physics Letters B 518 (2001) 269-275

www.elsevier.com/locate/npe

Observable neutron-antineutron oscillations in seesaw models of neutrino mass

K.S. Babu^a, R.N. Mohapatra^b

^a Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK 74078, USA
 ^b Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

Received 12 August 2001; accepted 27 August 2001 Editor: M. Cvetič

Abstract

We show that in a large class of supersymmetric models with spontaneously broken B-L symmetry, neutron-antineutron oscillations occur at an observable level even though the scale of B-L breaking is very high, $v_{B-L} \sim 2 \times 10^{16}$ GeV, as suggested by gauge coupling unification and neutrino masses. We illustrate this phenomenon in the context of a recently proposed class of seesaw models that solves the strong CP problem and the SUSY phase problem using parity symmetry. We obtain an *upper* limit on $N-\overline{N}$ oscillation time in these models, $\tau_{N-\overline{N}} \leq 10^9 - 10^{10}$ s. This suggests that a modest improvement in the current limit on $\tau_{N-\overline{N}}$ of 0.86×10^8 s will either lead to the discovery of $N-\overline{N}$ oscillations, or will considerably restrict the allowed parameter space of an interesting class of neutrino mass models. © 2001 Published by Elsevier Science B.V.

For wide class of L-R and super-symmetric models predicted n-nbar upper limit is within a reach of new n-nbar search experiments! If not seen, n-nbar should restrict a wide class of SUSY models. UMD-PP-06-004 OSU-HEP-06-02

Post-Sphaleron Baryogenesis

K.S. Babu¹, R.N. Mohapatra² and S. Nasri²

¹ Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74078, USA ² Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

Abstract

We present a new mechanism for generating the baryon asymmetry of the universe directly in the decay of a singlet scalar field S_r with a weak scale mass and a high dimensional baryon number violating coupling. Unlike most currently popular models, this mechanism, which becomes effective after the electroweak phase transition, does not rely on the sphalerons for inducing a nonzero baryon number. CP asymmetry in S_r decay arises through loop diagrams involving the exchange of W^{\pm} gauge bosons, and is suppressed by light quark masses, leading naturally to a value of $\eta_B \sim 10^{-10}$. We show that the simplest realization of this mechanism, which uses a six quark $\Delta B = 2$ operator, predicts colored scalars accessible to the LHC, and neutron–antineutron oscillation within reach of the next generation experiments.

New baryogenesis models with mechanisms that are experimentally testable

Baryon asymmetry of universe is generated at the temperatures below E-W transitions via new color scalars with masses 0.1-1 TeV that might be observable at LHC and lead to the neutron-antineutron oscillations within reach of the next generation experiments.

New baryogenesis models with mechanisms that are experimentally testable

Baryogenesis by R-parity violating top quark decays and neutron-antineutron oscillations 31 May 2006 A.D. Dolgov^{a,b,c}, F.R. Urban^{a,b,d} ^a INFN, via Saragat 1, 44100, Ferrara, Italy ^b University of Ferrara. Department of Physics. via Saraaat 1. 44100, Ferrara, Italy ^c ITEP, Bol. Cheremushkinskaya 25, 117218. Moscow, Russia ^d School of Physics and Astronomy, CAPT. University on Nottingham. University Park. Nottingham NG7 2RD, United Kingdom

Abstract

Generation of the cosmological baryon asymmetry in SUSY based model with broken R-parity and low scale gravity is considered. The model allows for a long-life time or even stable proton and observable neutron-antineutron oscillations.

Generation of the cosmological baryon asymmetry in SUSY based model with broken R-parity and low scale gravity is considered. The model allows for a long-life time or even stable proton and observable neutron-antineutron oscillations.

arXiv:hep-ph/0605263 v2

Low quantum gravity scale models



5 August 1999

PHYSICS LETTERS B

.

Physics Letters B 460 (1999) 47-57

Proton decay is strongly suppressed in this model, but n-nbar should occur since n_R has no gauge charges

Non-conservation of global charges in the Brane Universe and baryogenesis

Gia Dvali^{1, 2}, Gregory Gabadadze³

Department of Physics, New York University, New York, NY 10003, USA



Fig. 1. Creation of baby branes.

Fig. 2. Flux tube holding the baby brane with a local charge.

Low quantum gravity scale models

In this model where global charges are violated by Black Holes the rates for proton-decay, neutronantineutron oscillations, and lepton-violating rare decays are suppressed to below experimental bounds even for large extra dimensions with TeV-scale gravity. Neutron-antineutron oscillations and anomalous decays of muons, τ -leptons, and K and B-mesons open a promising possibility to observe TeV gravity effects with a minor increase of existing experimental accuracy.

30 Jun 2006

 1

arXiv:hep-ph/0606321

A Black Hole Conjecture and Rare Decays in Theories with Low Scale Gravity

C. Bambi^{*a,b,**}, A.D. Dolgov^{*a,b,c,*†} and K. Freese^{*d,*‡}

 ^aIstituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Ferrara, I-44100 Ferrara, Italy
 ^bDipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Ferrara, I-44100 Ferrara, Italy
 ^cInstitute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, 113259, Moscow, Russia
 ^dMichigan Center for Theoretical Physics, Physics Dept., University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109

Abstract

In models with large extra dimensions, where the fundamental gravity scale can be in the electroweak range, gravitational effects in particle physics may be noticeable even at relatively low energies. In this paper, we perform simple estimates of the decays of elementary particles with a black hole intermediate state. Since black holes are believed to violate global symmetries, particle decays can violate lepton and baryon numbers. Whereas previous literature has claimed incompatibility between these rates (e.g. p-decay) and existing experimental bounds, we find suppressed baryon and leptonviolating rates due to a new conjecture about the nature of the virtual black holes. We assume here that black holes lighter than the (effective) Planck mass must have zero electric and color charge and zero angular momentum – this statement is true in classical general relativity and we make the conjecture that it holds in quantum gravity as well. If true, the rates for proton-decay, neutron-antineutron oscillations, and lepton-violating rare decays are suppressed to below experimental bounds even for large extra dimensions with TeV-scale gravity. Neutron-antineutron oscillations and anomalous decays of muons, τ -leptons, and K and B-mesons open a promising possibility to observe TeV gravity effects with a minor increase of existing experimental accuracy.



Figure 5: $(n - \bar{n})$ -oscillation with supersymmetric particles. In this case the observation of the phenomenon may be accessible to future experiments.
n-*n* Oscillations in Models with Large Extra Dimensions

Shmuel Nussinov¹ and Robert Shrock²

¹Sackler Faculty of Science, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel ²C.N. Yang Institute for Theoretical Physics, State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York 11794 (Received 27 December 2001; published 12 April 2002)

We analyze $n-\bar{n}$ oscillations in generic models with large extra dimensions in which standard-model fields propagate and fermion wave functions have strong localization. We find that in these models $n-\bar{n}$ oscillations might occur at levels not too far below the current limit.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.171601

PACS numbers: 11.10.Kk, 11.30.Fs, 14.20.Dh

Quarks and leptons belong to different branes separated by an extra-dimension; proton decay is strongly suppressed, n-nbar is NOT since quarks and anti-quarks belong to the same brane.

VOLUME 93, NUMBER 20

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 12 NOVEMBER 2004

Gravitational Baryogenesis

Hooman Davoudiasl, Ryuichiro Kitano, Graham D. Kribs, Hitoshi Murayama,^{*} and Paul J. Steinhardt[†] School of Natural Sciences, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, New Jersey 08540, USA (Received 4 May 2004; published 10 November 2004)

We show that a gravitational interaction between the derivative of the Ricci scalar curvature and the baryon-number current dynamically breaks *CPT* in an expanding Universe and, combined with baryon-number-violating interactions, can drive the Universe towards an equilibrium baryon asymmetry that is observationally acceptable.

Effective D = 7 operators can generate n-nbar transitions in such model.