# Searching for temporal variation of the fine-structure "constant" in

radio-frequency transitions of Dy



Dmitry Budker

http://socrates.berkeley.edu/~budker



## Fine-Structure Constant $\alpha$

• Dimensionless fundamental constant

• Characterizes the strength of all electromagnetic interactions

• Energy of atomic levels  $\propto m_e c^{2} \cdot \alpha^2 \cdot (1 + k\alpha^2 + ...)$ 

$$\alpha = \frac{e^2}{\hbar c} = \frac{1}{137.035\ 999\ 710\ (96)} \ [0.70\ \text{ppb}]$$

G. Gabrielse et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 030802 (2006)



#### A gross variation

We search for small temporal variation of α

Professor Enrico Fermi



# Are the constants of Nature constant? (A fundamental question)

- Sir A. Eddington
- A. Einstein
- P. A. M. Dirac
- E. Teller

- G. Gamow
- R. H. Dicke

"Fascinating. The siren song of constants has drawn the greatest minds of physics and cosmology." — The Washington Post Book World  $Th \in$ CotstontsOf other stonts

The Numbers that Encode the Deepest Secrets of the Universe

> John D. Barrow Author of The Book of Nathing and Theories of Everything

2006 Templeton Foundation Award



### The constants:

- 1.  $c=3\cdot 10^{10} cm\cdot sec^{-1},$  velocity of light
- 2.  $\hbar = 1.05 \cdot 10^{-27} erg \cdot sec,$  Planck's constant
- 3.  $e = 4.8 \cdot 10^{-10} erg^{1/2} cm^{1/2}$ , elementary charge
- 4.  $m_p = 1.6 \cdot 10^{-24} gram$ , mass of the proton
- 5.  $g = 1.4 \cdot 10^{-49} erg \cdot cm^3$ , Fermi's constant of weak interactions
- 6.  $G = 6.7 \cdot 10^{-8} erg \cdot cm \cdot gram^{-2}$ , constant of gravitation
- 7.  $H = 1.6 \cdot 10^{-18} sec^{-1}$ , Hubble's constant  $(1/H \approx 2 \cdot 10^{10}$  years gives the "age" of the Universe)
- 8.  $\rho = 10^{-31} gram \cdot cm^{-3},$  mean density of mass in the Universe







Dimensionless combinations do not depend on units : 1.  $\alpha = e^2/\hbar c \approx 1/137$ 

2. 
$$\beta = (gm_n^2 c)/\hbar^3 = 9 \cdot 10^{-6}$$

3. 
$$\gamma = (Gm_p^2)/(\hbar c) = 5\cdot 10^{-39}$$

4. 
$$\delta = (H\hbar)/(m_p c^2) = 10^{-42}$$

5. 
$$\epsilon = (G\rho)/H^2 = 2 \cdot 10^{-3}$$

Conventional Wisdom:

 $\alpha$ , β,γ-constant; δ~t<sup>-1</sup>,ε~t<sup>-1</sup>

Dirac's Large Number Hypothesis and variations :

• Gamow (1967):  $\beta, \epsilon = const; \gamma \sim t^{-1}, \delta \sim t^{-1}$ • Gamow (1967):  $\beta, \epsilon = const, \alpha^{-1} \sim \ln(\gamma^{-1}), \gamma \sim t^{-1}, \delta \sim t^{-1}$   $N_1 = \frac{\text{size of Universe}}{\text{classical electron radius}} = \frac{ct}{e^2 / m_e c^2} \approx 10^{40}$ 

 $N_{2} = \frac{\text{Electromagnetic force between } e, p}{\text{Gravitational force between } e, p} = \frac{e^{2}}{Gm_{e}m_{p}} \approx 10^{40}$ 

N = Number of protons in Universe  $\approx \frac{c^3 t}{Gm_p} \approx 10^{80}$ 

Dirac's Large Number Hypothesis:  $N_1 \approx N_2 \approx \sqrt{N} \propto t$ 

# Large variations are out...

#### ... but BIG QUESTIONS are in:

Are there small changes of "constants" over the past 13 Gy or so ?

# Observations

May the "constants" be changing as we speak ?

Laboratory ?

#### Astrophysical searches for $\alpha$ -variation



#### • Astrophysical evidence for smaller $\alpha$ in the past:



J. K. Webb, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 091301 (2001)

• Astrophysical evidence for a smaller  $\alpha$  in the past:

 $\dot{\alpha}/\alpha$  (× 10<sup>-16</sup> /yr)

 $6.4 \pm 1.4$ 

199914 ± 5J. K. Webb, et al. , Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 884 (1999)

2001 7.2 ± 1.8 J. K. Webb, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 091301 (2001)

M. T. Murphy, *et al.*, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **345**, 609 (2003)



2003

Victor V. Flambaum

#### However, other groups see no variation:

(using a different telescope and higher quality but smaller data set)



#### More Controversy: claim for variation of $\mu = m_p / m_e$

PRL 96, 151101 (2006)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 21 APRIL 2006

#### Indication of a Cosmological Variation of the Proton-Electron Mass Ratio Based on Laboratory Measurement and Reanalysis of H<sub>2</sub> Spectra

E. Reinhold,<sup>1</sup> R. Buning,<sup>1</sup> U. Hollenstein,<sup>1,2</sup> A. Ivanchik,<sup>3</sup> P. Petitjean,<sup>4,5</sup> and W. Ubachs<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laser Centre, Vrije Universiteit, De Boelelaan 1081, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands <sup>2</sup>Laboratorium für Physikalische Chemie, ETH Zürich, CH-8093, Zurich, Switzerland <sup>3</sup>Ioffe Physical Technical Institut, Polytekhnicheskaya 26, 194021 Saint Petersburg, Russia <sup>4</sup>Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris–CNRS, 98-bis Boulevard Arago, F-75014 Paris, France <sup>5</sup>LERMA, Observatoire de Paris, 61 avenue de l'Observatoire, F-75014 Paris, France (Received 13 May 2005; revised manuscript received 6 October 2005; published 17 April 2006)

Based on highly accurate laboratory measurements of Lyman bands of H<sub>2</sub> and an updated representation of the structure of the ground  $X^{1}\Sigma_{\rho}^{+}$  and excited  $B^{1}\Sigma_{\mu}^{+}$  and  $C^{1}\Pi_{\mu}$  states, a new set of sensitivity coefficients K<sub>i</sub> is derived for all lines in the H<sub>2</sub> spectrum, representing the dependence of their transition wavelengths on a possible variation of the proton-electron mass ratio  $\mu = m_p/m_e$ . Included are local perturbation effects between B and C levels and adiabatic corrections. The new wavelengths and K<sub>i</sub> factors are used to compare with a recent set of highly accurate H<sub>2</sub> spectral lines observed in the Q 0347-383 and Q 0405-443 quasars, yielding a fractional change in the mass ratio of  $\Delta \mu/\mu = (2.4 \pm 0.6) \times$  $10^{-5}$  for a weighted fit and  $\Delta \mu/\mu = (2.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}$  for an unweighted fit. This result indicates, at a  $3.5\sigma$  confidence level, that  $\mu$  could have decreased in the past 12 Gyr.

These measurements are based on the different dependences of molecular energies on  $\mu$ :

- $\propto m_{\rho} \alpha^2$ • Electronic  $\infty$
- Vibrational
- Rotational

$$m_e \alpha^2 \sqrt{\frac{m_e}{m_p}} \propto m_e \alpha^2 \frac{m_e}{m_p}$$

#### More **Controversy**: limit on variation of $\mu = \frac{m_p}{m_e}$

#### Enhanced sensitivity to time-variation of $m_p/m_e$ in the inversion spectrum of ammonia

V. V. Flambaum<sup>1,2</sup> and M. G. Kozlov<sup>3,1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Physics, University of New South Wales, Sydney, 2052 Australia <sup>2</sup>Institute for Advanced Study, Massey University (Albany Campus), Private Bag 102904, North Shore MSC Auckland, New Zealand and <sup>3</sup>Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, 188300, Russia (Dated: April 19, 2007)

We calculate the sensitivity of the inversion spectrum of ammonia to possible time-variation of the ratio of the proton mass to the electron mass,  $\mu = m_p/m_e$ . For the inversion transition  $(\lambda \approx 1.25 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  the relative frequency shift is significantly enhanced:  $\delta \omega / \omega = -4.46 \, \delta \mu / \mu$ . This enhancement allows one to increase sensitivity to the time-variation of  $\mu$  using NH<sub>3</sub> spectra for high redshift objects. We use published data on microwave spectra of the object B0218+357 to place the limit  $\delta \mu / \mu = (0.6 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-6}$  at redshift z = 0.6847; this limit is several times better than the limits obtained by different methods and may be significantly improved. Assuming linear time dependence we obtain  $\dot{\mu} / \mu = (-1 \pm 3) \times 10^{-16} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ .

#### arXiv.org > astro-ph > arXiv:0704.2301

# Laboratory Searches

- Looking for *present-day* variation [e.g.,  $\dot{\alpha}(t = now)$ ]
- Level of present interest: 1/10<sup>5</sup> per 10 Gy
- Which is 1/10<sup>15</sup> per year (assuming linear variation)
- This is about where best atomic clocks are today
- Clock laboratories search for variation of constants
- (We do not rely on fancy clock, but still would like to have one)

#### • Laboratory limits (1σ):

Year	$ \dot{lpha}/lpha ~( imes 10^{-15}/{ m yr})$	Method	Ref.
2007	< 1.5	$Hg^+$ optical vs. $Yb^+$ optical <sup>†</sup>	T. Fortier <i>et al.</i> , Phys. Rev. Lett, <b>98</b> , 070801 (2007)
2004	< 2.2	$Yb^+$ optical vs. $Hg^+$ optical <sup>†</sup>	E. Peik et al., Phys. Rev. Lett, 93, 170801 (2004)
2004	< 3.8	H (1S-2S) vs. $Hg^+$ optical <sup>†</sup>	M. Fischer <i>et al.</i> , Phys. Rev. Lett, <b>92</b> , 230802 (2004)
2003	< 1.2	$Hg^+$ optical vs. Cs fountain <sup>††</sup>	S. Bize <i>et al.</i> , Phys. Rev. Lett, <b>90</b> , 150802 (2003)
2003	< 1.6	Rb fountain vs. Cs fountain <sup><math>\dagger</math>†</sup>	H. Marion <i>et al.</i> , Phys. Rev. Lett, <b>90</b> , 150801 (2003)
1995	$\leq 37$	H-maser vs. Hg <sup>+</sup> hyperfine <sup>††</sup>	J. D. Prestage <i>et al.</i> , Phys. Rev. Lett, <b>74</b> , 3511 (1995)

<sup>†</sup>Combined with results from Hg<sup>+</sup> optical vs. Cs fountain comparison <sup>††</sup>Sensitive to other fundamental constants



Rapid progress with trapped single ions and femtosecond frequency combs !



Jason E. Stalnaker

#### Precision Atomic Spectroscopy for Improved Limits on Variation of the Fine Structure Constant and Local Position Invariance

T. M. Fortier,<sup>1,2</sup> N. Ashby,<sup>2</sup> J. C. Bergquist,<sup>2</sup> M. J. Delaney,<sup>2,\*</sup> S. A. Diddams,<sup>2,†</sup> T. P. Heavner,<sup>2</sup> L. Hollberg,<sup>2</sup> W. M. Itano,<sup>2</sup> S. R. Jefferts,<sup>2</sup> K. Kim,<sup>2,‡</sup> F. Levi,<sup>2,§</sup> L. Lorini,<sup>2</sup> W. H. Oskay,<sup>2</sup> T. E. Parker,<sup>2</sup> J. Shirley,<sup>2</sup> and J. E. Stalnaker<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>P-23 Physics Division MS H803, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545, USA <sup>2</sup>Time and Frequency Division MS 847, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Boulder, Colorado 80305, USA (Received 5 September 2006; published 16 February 2007)

We report tests of local position invariance and the variation of fundamental constants from measurements of the frequency ratio of the 282-nm <sup>199</sup>Hg<sup>+</sup> optical clock transition to the ground state hyperfine splitting in <sup>133</sup>Cs. Analysis of the frequency ratio of the two clocks, extending over 6 yr at NIST, is used to place a limit on its fractional variation of  $<5.8 \times 10^{-6}$  per change in normalized solar gravitational potential. The same frequency ratio is also used to obtain 20-fold improvement over previous limits on the fractional variation of the fine structure constant of  $|\frac{\dot{\alpha}}{\alpha}| < 1.3 \times 10^{-16}$  yr<sup>-1</sup>, assuming invariance of other fundamental constants. Comparisons of our results with those previously reported for the absolute optical frequency measurements in H and <sup>171</sup>Yb<sup>+</sup> vs other <sup>133</sup>Cs standards yield a coupled constraint of  $-1.5 \times 10^{-15} < \frac{\dot{\alpha}}{\alpha} < 0.4 \times 10^{-15}$  yr<sup>-1</sup> and  $-2.7 \times 10^{-15} < \frac{d}{dt} \ln \frac{\mu_{\alpha}}{\mu_{a}} < 8.6 \times 10^{-15}$  yr<sup>-1</sup>.



#### Towards a sensitive search for variation of the fine-structure constant using radio-frequency E1 transitions in atomic dysprosium

A. T. Nguyen\*

Department of Physics, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720-7300, USA

D. Budker<sup>†</sup>

Department of Physics, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720-7300, USA and Nuclear Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

S. K. Lamoreaux<sup>‡</sup> and J. R. Torgerson<sup>§</sup>

University of California, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Physics Division, P-23, MS-H803, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545, USA (Received 28 August 2003; published 12 February 2004)

It has been proposed that the radio-frequency electric-dipole (*E*1) transition between two nearly degenerate opposite-parity states in atomic dysprosium should be highly sensitive to possible temporal variation of the fine-structure constant ( $\alpha$ , V, A, Dzuba, V, V, Flambaum, and J, K, Webb, Phys. Rev. A **59**, 230 (1999)) We analyze here an experimental realization of the proposed search in progress in our laboratory, which involves monitoring the *E*1 transition frequency over a period of time using direct frequency counting techniques. We estimate that a statistical sensitivity of  $|\dot{\alpha}/\alpha| \sim 10^{-18}/\text{yr}$  may be achieved and discuss possible systematic effects that may limit such a measurement.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.69.022105

PACS number(s): 06.20.Jr, 32.30.Bv



#### a-Variation in Atomic Dysprosium

• Two nearly degenerate states in dysprosium (Dy, Z=66) are highly sensitive to  $\alpha$ -variation:



Dzuba, Flambaum, Kozlov, et al

## A and B States

- Opposite parity
- $\Delta E \sim 3-1000 \text{ MHz}$
- $\Rightarrow$  E1 transition connecting the states can be driven with rf electric field
- ⇒ small enough to allow accurate direct counting of transition frequency
- $\Rightarrow$  relaxed requirements on reference clock ( $\Delta \upsilon / \upsilon$ )



Transition linewidth ~ 20 kHz

• Counting rate ~ 10<sup>9</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>

$$\Rightarrow Sensitivity: \delta v \sim \frac{0.6}{T^{1/2}} Hz s^{1/2}$$

After an hour of data taking,  $\delta v \sim$  10 mHz which allows for a sensitivity of

 $|\dot{\alpha}/\alpha| \sim 5 \times 10^{-18} / \text{yr} \parallel$ 





# rf Transition and Detection



# The experiment evolved from a **parity nonconservation** search in Dy

PHYSICAL REVIEW A

VOLUME 56, NUMBER 5

NOVEMBER 1997

#### Search for parity nonconservation in atomic dysprosium

A. T. Nguyen,<sup>1</sup> D. Budker,<sup>1,2</sup> D. DeMille,<sup>1,\*</sup> and M. Zolotorev<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Physics Department, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720-7300 <sup>2</sup>Nuclear Science Division, E. O. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720 <sup>3</sup>Center for Beam Physics, E. O. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720 (Received 2 June 1997)

Results of a search for parity nonconservation (PNC) in a pair of nearly degenerate opposite-parity states in atomic dysprosium are reported. The sensitivity to PNC mixing is enhanced in this system by the small energy separation between these levels, which can be crossed by applying an external magnetic field. The metastable odd-parity sublevel of the nearly crossed pair is first populated. A rapidly oscillating electric field is applied to mix this level with its even-parity partner. By observing time-resolved quantum beats between these sublevels, we look for interference between the Stark-induced mixing and the much smaller PNC mixing. To guard against possible systematic effects, reversals of the signs of the electric field, the magnetic field, and the decrossing of the sublevels are employed. We report a value of  $H_w = [2.3 \pm 2.9 \text{ (statistical)} \pm 0.7 \text{(systematic)}]$  Hz for the magnitude of the weak-interaction matrix element. A detailed discussion is given of the apparatus, data analysis, and systematic effects. [S1050-2947(97)02111-2]







## Apparatus



# **Interaction Region**











#### Dr. A.-T. Nguyen, UCB $\rightarrow$ LANL



#### Arman Cingöz



#### Amplitude Modulation:



# rf Frequency Modulation



Fixed Frequency Technique





Ratio  $(1^{st}/2^{nd}) = const.(v - v_0)$ 

## **Systematic Effects**

Systematic shifts	Estimated size (Hz)
ac Stark <sup>a</sup>	$\sim$ (0.1-30)
Doppler effect	< 0.2
Room temp. black-body radiation	≲0.1
Oven black-body radiation	≤0.02
dc Stark <sup>a</sup>	$\sim (10^{-4} - 10^{-2})$
collisional effects	$(1-10) \times 10^{-4}$
Millman effect	$\leq 5 \times 10^{-4}$
Quadrupole moment	$\leq 10^{-5}$
Zeeman shift in stray $B$ field	$\leq 10^{-5}$

<sup>a</sup>Transition dependent.

A.- T. Nguyen *et al.* PRA Phys. Rev. A **69**, 022105 (2004)

• However, it is not the size but the stability of these effects that is important

 $\Rightarrow$  preliminary analysis shows that systematic effects can be controlled to

a level corresponding to  $|\alpha/\alpha| \sim 5 \ge 10^{-18}$  /yr

# **Powerful Check for Systematics**

Since Dy has many isotopes (some with hfs), more than one rf transition frequency can be measured

For example, two transition frequencies can be simultaneously measured:



 $\omega_1 + \omega_2 \Rightarrow$  insensitive to  $\alpha$  variation

 $\omega_1 - \omega_2 \Rightarrow \alpha$  variation is twice as large

# **Collisional Effects**

• Collisions with residual background atoms perturbs a radiating (absorbing) Dy atom

 $\Rightarrow$  lineshape broadening and shift

• Collisional effects in high-vacuum (10<sup>-6</sup> Torr) have rarely been measured

• Simple estimate:

 $\sigma \sim 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^2$  $n \simeq 3 \times 10^{10} \text{ molecules/cm}^3 \text{ at } 1 \mu \text{Torr}$  $v \simeq 4 \times 10^4 \text{ cm/s}$ 

 $\Rightarrow \delta v \sim (2\pi)^{-1} n \sigma v = 2 Hz$ 





# **Collisional Shifts**

Gas	Shift Coefficients (Hz/ $\mu$ Torr)		
	3.1-MHz	235-MHz	
H <sub>2</sub>	-0.09 (8)	-0.02 (4)	
He	-1.27 (6)	+1.25 (3)	
Ne	-0.02 (6)	-0.01 (3)	
N <sub>2</sub>	+1.72 (7)	-1.71 (5)	
<i>O</i> <sub>2</sub>	< 5	-1.97 (30)	
Ar	+2.14 (11)	-2.21 (7)	
Kr	+2.78 (9)	-2.78 (7)	
Xe	+2.75 (10)	-2.74 (7)	

Conclusion:

#### ⇒ collisional effects are consistent with those found in 1-Torr measurements

PHYSICAL REVIEW A 72, 063409 (2005)

Collisional perturbation of radio-frequency E1 transitions in an atomic beam of dysprosium

A. Cingöz,<sup>1,\*</sup> A. -T. Nguyen,<sup>1,2</sup> D. Budker,<sup>1,3,†</sup> S. K. Lamoreaux,<sup>2</sup> Alain Lapierre,<sup>1</sup> and J. R. Torgerson<sup>2</sup>







#### **Results**



**Result:** Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 040801 (2007)

#### Limit on the Temporal Variation of the Fine-Structure Constant Using Atomic Dysprosium

A. Cingöz,<sup>1</sup> A. Lapierre,<sup>1</sup> A.-T. Nguyen,<sup>2</sup> N. Leefer,<sup>1</sup> D. Budker,<sup>1,3</sup> S. K. Lamoreaux,<sup>2,\*</sup> and J. R. Torgerson<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720-7300, USA <sup>2</sup>Los Alamos National Laboratory, Physics Division, P-23, MS-H803, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545, USA <sup>3</sup>Nuclear Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA (Dated: August 30, 2006)

 $\alpha/\alpha = (-2.9 \pm 2.6_{mostly syst}) \times 10^{-15} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ 

Independent of other fundamental constants













## The Future

