Deterministic Randomness in Relativistic Hydrodynamics

M. Stephanov



with Y. Yin, <u>1712.10305;</u> with X. An, G. Basar and H.-U. Yee, <u>1902.09517</u>, <u>1912.13456</u>, <u>2009.10742</u>;



 Hydrodynamics is an effective theory, i.e., relies on separation of scales.

Assumes local equilibrium and describes evolution of a fluid towards global equilibrium.



This evolution is slow, because of conservation laws.

Is hydrodynamics a deterministic theory?

No. This would violate fluctuation-dissipation theorem.

What is the role of the randomness and how do we describe it?

Critical point: intriguing hints



Equilibrium κ_4 vs T and μ_B :



"intriguing hint" (2015 LRPNS)

Motivation for phase II of BES at RHIC and BEST topical collaboration.

Theory/experiment gap: predictions assume equilibrium, but

Non-equilibrium physics is essential near the critical point.

Challenge: develop hydrodynamics *with fluctuations* capable of describing *non-equilibrium* effects on critical-point signatures.

Also notable:

Fluctuations are the first step to extend hydro to smaller systems.

Stochastic hydrodynamics

9 Hydrodynamics relies on scale separation: $\tau_{expnsn} \gg \tau_{eqlbrtn}$.

Stochastic hydrodynamics

9 Hydrodynamics relies on scale separation: $\tau_{expnsn} \gg \tau_{eqlbrtn}$.

■ Slow variables obey conservation equations ($\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$):

 $\partial_t \psi = -\nabla \cdot \mathsf{Flux}[\psi];$

● Hydrodynamics relies on scale separation: $\tau_{expnsn} \gg \tau_{eqlbrtn}$.

9 Slow variables obey conservation equations ($\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$):

$$\partial_t \psi = -\nabla \cdot \mathsf{Flux}[\psi];$$

• Stochastic variables $\breve{\psi} = (\breve{T}^{i0}, \breve{J}^0)$ are local operators coarse-grained (over "cells" $b: \ell_{\text{mic}} \ll b \ll L$):

$$\partial_t \breve{\psi} = -\nabla \cdot \left(\mathsf{Flux}[\breve{\psi}] + \mathsf{Noise} \right)$$
 (Landau-Lifshitz)

● Hydrodynamics relies on scale separation: $\tau_{expnsn} \gg \tau_{eqlbrtn}$.

Slow variables obey conservation equations ($\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$):

$$\partial_t \psi = -\nabla \cdot \mathsf{Flux}[\psi];$$

• Stochastic variables $\breve{\psi} = (\breve{T}^{i0}, \breve{J}^0)$ are local operators coarse-grained (over "cells" $b: \ell_{\rm mic} \ll b \ll L$):

$$\partial_t \breve{\psi} = -
abla \cdot \left(\mathsf{Flux}[\breve{\psi}] + \mathsf{Noise} \right)$$
 (Landau-Lifshitz)

■ Non-linearities + locality ⇒ UV divergences. In numerical simulations – cutoff dependence.

Deterministic approach

Variables are one- and two-point functions: $\psi = \langle \breve{\psi} \rangle \text{ and } G = \langle \breve{\psi} \breve{\psi} \rangle - \langle \breve{\psi} \rangle \langle \breve{\psi} \rangle - \text{equal-time correlator}$

Nonlinearities lead to dependence of flux on G.

$$\partial_t \psi = -\nabla \cdot \mathsf{Flux}[\psi, G];$$
 (conservation)
 $\partial_t G = \mathsf{L}[G; \psi].$ (relaxation)

Deterministic approach

Variables are one- and two-point functions: $\psi = \langle \breve{\psi} \rangle \text{ and } G = \langle \breve{\psi} \breve{\psi} \rangle - \langle \breve{\psi} \rangle \langle \breve{\psi} \rangle - \text{equal-time correlator}$

Nonlinearities lead to dependence of flux on G.

$$\partial_t \psi = -\nabla \cdot \mathsf{Flux}[\psi, G];$$
 (conservation)
 $\partial_t G = \mathsf{L}[G; \psi].$ (relaxation)

- In Bjorken flow by Akamatsu *et al*, Martinez-Schaefer. For arbitrary relativistic flow – by An *et al* (this talk). Earlier, in *nonrelativistic* context, – by Andreev in 1970s.
- Advantage: equations are deterministic.

"Infinite noise" causes UV renormalization of EOS and transport coefficients – can be taken care of *analytically* (<u>1902.09517</u>)

 Two- and higher-pt functions systematically describe deviations from local equilibrium.

Fluctuation dynamics near CP: Hydro+

Yin, MS, <u>1712.10305</u> Rajagopal et al, <u>1908.08539</u> Du et al, 2004.02719

• Hydro requires $au_{
m expnsn} \gg au_{
m eqlbrtn}$.

"Critical slowing down": $\tau_{eqlbrtn} \sim \xi^3 \rightarrow \infty$.

• $\xi_{max} \sim \tau_{expnsn}^{1/3}$ – magnitude of flucts. determined by dynamics.

Fluctuation dynamics near CP: Hydro+

Yin, MS, <u>1712.10305</u> Rajagopal et al, <u>1908.08539</u> Du et al, 2004.02719

• Hydro requires $\tau_{expnsn} \gg \tau_{eqlbrtn}$. • Critical slowing down": $\tau_{eqlbrtn} \sim \xi^3 \rightarrow \infty$.

• $\xi_{max} \sim \tau_{expnsn}^{1/3}$ – magnitude of flucts. determined by dynamics.

Dynamics near CP requires two main ingredients:

- **●** Critical fluctuations $(\xi \to \infty)$;
- Slow relaxation mode with $au_{
 m eqlbrtn} \sim \xi^3$.

Fluctuation dynamics near CP: Hydro+

Yin, MS, <u>1712.10305</u> Rajagopal et al, <u>1908.08539</u> Du et al, 2004.02719

- Hydro requires $\tau_{expnsn} \gg \tau_{eqlbrtn}$. • "Critical slowing down": $\tau_{eqlbrtn} \sim \xi^3 \rightarrow \infty$.
 - $\xi_{max} \sim \tau_{expnsn}^{1/3}$ magnitude of flucts. determined by dynamics.
- Dynamics near CP requires two main ingredients:
 - **9** Critical fluctuations $(\xi \to \infty)$;
 - ${}_{ }$ Slow relaxation mode with $\tau_{\rm eqlbrtn} \sim \xi^3$.
- Both described by the same object: the two-point function of the slowest hydrodynamic mode $m \equiv (s/n)$, i.e., $\langle \delta m(x_1) \delta m(x_2) \rangle$.

Additional variables in Hydro+

- Hydro+ extends Hydro with new non-hydrodynamic d.o.f..
- At the CP the *slowest* (i.e., most out of equilibrium) new d.o.f. is the 2-pt function $\langle \delta m \delta m \rangle$ of the slowest hydro variable:

$$\phi_{\boldsymbol{Q}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{\Delta \boldsymbol{x}} \left\langle \delta m\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{+}\right) \, \delta m\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{-}\right) \right\rangle \, e^{i \boldsymbol{Q} \cdot \Delta \boldsymbol{x}}$$

where $\boldsymbol{x} = (\boldsymbol{x}_+ + \boldsymbol{x}_-)/2$ and $\Delta \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}_+ - \boldsymbol{x}_-.$

■ Wigner transformed b/c dependence on x (~ L) is slow and relevant $\Delta x \ll L$. Scale separation similar to kinetic theory.



Relaxation of fluctuations towards equilibrium

● As usual, equilibration maximizes entropy $S = \sum_i p_i \log(1/p_i)$:

$$s_{(+)}(\epsilon, n, \phi_{\mathbf{Q}}) = s(\epsilon, n) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{Q}} \left(\log \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{Q}}}{\overline{\phi}_{\mathbf{Q}}} - \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{Q}}}{\overline{\phi}_{\mathbf{Q}}} + 1 \right)$$

Relaxation of fluctuations towards equilibrium

● As usual, equilibration maximizes entropy $S = \sum_i p_i \log(1/p_i)$:

$$s_{(+)}(\epsilon, n, \phi_{\mathbf{Q}}) = s(\epsilon, n) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{Q}} \left(\log \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{Q}}}{\bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{Q}}} - \frac{\phi_{\mathbf{Q}}}{\bar{\phi}_{\mathbf{Q}}} + 1 \right)$$

The equation for ϕ_Q is a relaxation equation with rate

$$\Gamma({\boldsymbol Q})\approx 2DQ^2 \quad \text{for} \quad Q\ll \xi^{-1}, \quad D\sim 1/\xi.$$

- Impact of fluctuations on hydrodynamics:
 - **9** "Renormalization" of bulk viscosity $\zeta \sim 1/\Gamma_{\xi} \sim \xi^3$.
 - In (Non-analytic) frequency dependence of $\zeta(\omega)$ at $\omega \ll \Gamma_{\xi}$.
 "Long-time tails" (Kovtun-Yaffe 2003)
- Impact on observables: "memory" effects

Berdnikov-Rajagopal, Mukherjee-Venugopalan-Yin, ...

An, Basar, Yee, MS, <u>1902.09517,1912.13456</u>

- To embed Hydro+ into a unified theory for critical as well as noncritical fluctuations we develop a general *deterministic* (*hydrokinetic*) formalism.
- Expand stochastic hydro eqs. in $\{\delta m, \delta p, \delta u^{\mu}\} \sim \phi_A$ and then average, using equal-time correlator

$$G_{AB}(x,y) \stackrel{?}{=} \langle \phi_A(x+y/2) \phi_B(x-y/2) \rangle.$$

What is "equal-time" in relativistic hydro?

9 $\langle \phi(x)\phi(x) \rangle$ is singular (cutoff dependent). Renormalization?

Equal time and confluent derivative

We need equal-time correlator G = ⟨φ(t, x₊)φ(t, x₋)⟩.
 But what does "equal time" mean? Requires a frame choice.
 The most natural choice is local u(x) (at x = (x₊ + x₋)/2).

Confluent derivative wrt x at "y-fixed" takes this into account:



• We define *confluent* equal time correlator $\bar{G}_{AB}(x, y)$ and its Wigner transform $W_{AB}(x, q)$

Equal time and confluent derivative

We need equal-time correlator G = ⟨φ(t, x₊)φ(t, x₋)⟩.
 But what does "equal time" mean? Requires a frame choice.
 The most natural choice is local u(x) (at x = (x₊ + x₋)/2).

Confluent derivative wrt x at "y-fixed" takes this into account:



• We define *confluent* equal time correlator $\bar{G}_{AB}(x, y)$ and its Wigner transform $W_{AB}(x, q)$

Sound-sound correlation and phonon kinetic equation

After many *miraculous* cancellations we arrive at "hydro-kinetic" equations for components of W.

The longitudinal components, corresponding to p and u^{μ} fluctuations at $\delta(s/n) = 0$, obey the following eq. $(N_L \equiv W_L/(wc_s|q|))$

$$\underbrace{\left[(u+v) \cdot \bar{\nabla} + f \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \right] N_L}_{\mathcal{L}[N_L] - \text{Liouville op.}} = -\gamma_L q^2 \left(N_L - \frac{T}{\underbrace{c_s |q|}_{N_L^{(0)}}} \right)$$

Sinetic eq. for phonons with $E = c_s |q|$, $v = c_s q/|q|$ ($q \cdot u = 0$)

$$f_{\mu} = \underbrace{-E(a_{\mu} + 2v^{\nu}\omega_{\nu\mu})}_{\text{inertial + Coriolis}} \underbrace{-q^{\nu}\partial_{\perp\mu}u_{\nu}}_{\text{"Hubble"}} - \bar{\nabla}_{\perp\mu}E$$

• $N_L^{(0)}$ is equilibrium Bose-distribution.

Diffusive mode fluctuations

In Fluctuations of $m \equiv s/n$ and transverse components of u^{μ} obey

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\text{entropy-entropy}) & \mathcal{L}[N_{mm}] = -2\Gamma_{\lambda}\left(N_{mm} - \frac{c_p}{n}\right) + \dots \\ (\text{entropy-velosity}) & \mathcal{L}[N_{mi}] = -(\Gamma_{\eta} + \Gamma_{\lambda})N_{mi} + \dots \\ (\text{velocity-velocity}) & \mathcal{L}[N_{ij}] = -2\Gamma_{\eta}\left(N_{ij} - \frac{Tw}{n}\right) + \dots \end{array}$$

- \mathcal{L} is Liouville operator with v = f = 0, i.e., no propagation, but relaxation: $\Gamma_X = \gamma_X q^2$, where $\gamma_\lambda = \lambda/c_p$ and $\gamma_\eta = \eta/w$.
- $I : ... " are terms \sim background grads, mixing <math>N_{mm} \leftrightarrow N_{mi} \leftrightarrow N_{ij}.$
- Near critical point Γ_{λ} is smallest, $\gamma_{\lambda} = \lambda/c_p \sim 1/\xi \rightarrow 0$. N_{mm} equation decouples and matches Hydro+ ($\phi_Q = nN_{mm}$).
 Very nontrivially!
 An, Basar, Yee, MS, <u>1912.13456</u>

Beyond Hydro+

- Hydro+ breaks down when hydro frequency/rate is of order ξ⁻² due to next-to-slowest modes (N_{mi} and N_{ij}).
- The formalism extends Hydro+ to higher frequencies, i.e., shorter hydrodynamic scales (all the way to ξ.)

Fluctuations (N_{mi}) enhance conductivity for small ω .



P Expansion of $\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle$ in fluctuations ϕ contains

$$\langle \phi(x)\phi(x)\rangle = G(x,0) = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} W(x,q).$$

The integral is divergent (in equilibrium $G^{(0)}(x, y) \sim \delta^3(y)$).

Expansion of $\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle$ in fluctuations ϕ contains

$$\langle \phi(x)\phi(x)\rangle = G(x,0) = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} W(x,q).$$

The integral is divergent (in equilibrium $G^{(0)}(x,y) \sim \delta^3(y)$).

Such short-distance singularities can be absorbed into redefinion of EOS (i.e., pressure) and transport coefficients:

$$\langle T^{\mu\nu}(x)\rangle = \epsilon u^{\mu}u^{\nu} + p(\epsilon, n)\Delta^{\mu\nu} + \Pi^{\mu\nu} + \left\{G(x, 0)\right\}$$

= $\epsilon_R u^{\mu}_R u^{\nu} + p_R(\epsilon_R, n_R)\Delta^{\mu\nu}_R + \Pi^{\mu\nu}_R + \left\{\tilde{G}(x, 0)\right\}.$

Constraints of 2nd law, conformality satisfied nontrivially.

Fluctuations in Hydrodynamics

Theory/experiment gap: we have been discussing linearized fluctuations and two-point correlators, but

non-Gaussian fluctuations are sensitive signatures of the critical point

Nonlinearity and multiplicative noise

An et al 2009.10742

Now nonlinearity and multiplicative noise matter even more:

$$\partial_t \check{\psi} = -\nabla \cdot \left(\mathsf{Flux}[\check{\psi}] + \mathsf{Noise} \right), \qquad \langle \mathsf{Noise} \, \mathsf{Noise} \rangle \sim 2Q[\check{\psi}]$$

General multivariable Langevin equation:

$$rac{dec{v}_i}{dt} = F_i[ec{v}] + H_{ij}[ec{v}]\xi_j\,, \quad ext{ambiguous}$$

Fokker-Plank equation (Ito calculus):

$$\partial_t P = \left(-F_i P + \left(Q_{ij}P\right)_{,j}\right)_{,i}, \quad \text{unambiguous}$$

 $(Q \equiv HH^T).$

Different (e.g., Stratonovich) calculus/scheme \equiv different F_i .

Physical (unambiguous) formulation

Equilibrium solution obeys:

Probability flux =
$$F_i P_{eq} - (Q_{ij} P_{eq})_{,j} = (\Omega_{ij} P_{eq})_{,j}$$
,

I.e., $F_i = P_{eq}^{-1} (M_{ij} P_{eq})_{,j}$, where $M \equiv Q + \Omega$.

Define the problem in terms of physical properties

Onsager matrix M and entropy $S \equiv \log P_{eq}$,

rather than $F_i = M_{ij}S_{,j} + M_{ij,j}$ (Ito).

- Small fluctuations are Gaussian
- Introduce expansion parameter: ε.
 Power counting: S" ~ ε⁻¹, so that δv ~ √ε.
 Then G^c_n ≡ (δv_{i1}...δv_{in})^c ~ εⁿ⁻¹.
- In hydrodynamics, small parameter is $(q/\Lambda)^3$: 1/q wavelength of fluctuations $\gg 1/\Lambda$ size of hydro cell (UV cutoff).

Systematically truncate each equation to leading order:

$$\partial_{t} G_{i_{1}i_{2}}^{c} = \left[M_{i_{1}j} \left(S_{,jk} G_{ki_{2}}^{c} + \delta_{ji_{2}} \right) \right]_{\mathrm{P}i_{1}i_{2}} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon),$$

$$\partial_{t} G_{i_{1}i_{2}i_{3}}^{c} = \left[\frac{1}{2} M_{i_{1}j} \left(S_{,jk} G_{ki_{2}i_{3}}^{c} + S_{,jk\ell} G_{ki_{2}}^{c} G_{\ell i_{3}}^{c} \right) + M_{i_{1}j,m} G_{mi_{2}}^{c} \left(S_{,jk} G_{ki_{3}}^{c} + \delta_{ji_{3}} \right) \right]_{\mathrm{P}i_{1}i_{2}i_{3}} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{2}).$$

2

Diagrammatic representation



9 Tree diagrams at leading order in ε .

At higher-orders loops describe feedback of fluctuations (e.g., long-time tails).

Diffusion (nonlinear and stochastic)

The prototype of hydrodynamics:

$$\partial_t \breve{n} = - oldsymbol{
abla} \cdot oldsymbol{J} \,,$$

 $oldsymbol{J} = -\breve{\lambda} oldsymbol{
abla} ec{lpha} + \sqrt{\breve{\lambda}} oldsymbol{\xi} \,,$

 $\check{\lambda} = \lambda(\check{n})$ is conductivity and $\check{\alpha} = \alpha(\check{n})$ is chem. potential (T = 1).

9 Translate: $i, j \rightarrow x, y$,

$$S_{,ij}
ightarrow rac{\delta^2 S}{\delta n_{\boldsymbol{x}} \delta n_{\boldsymbol{y}}} = -lpha'(n_{\boldsymbol{x}}) \delta_{\boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{y}} \quad \text{and} \quad M_{ij}
ightarrow - \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \lambda(n_{\boldsymbol{x}}) \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \delta_{\boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{y}} \,.$$

Generalizing Wigner transform

$$W_n(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{q}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{q}_n) \equiv \int d\boldsymbol{y}_1^3 \dots \int d\boldsymbol{y}_n^3 G_n\left(\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{y}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{y}_n\right)$$
$$\delta^{(3)}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{y}_1 + \dots + \boldsymbol{y}_n}{n}\right) e^{-i(\boldsymbol{q}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{y}_1 + \dots + \boldsymbol{q}_n \cdot \boldsymbol{y}_n)};$$

$$G_n\left(\boldsymbol{x}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{x}_n\right) = \int \frac{d\boldsymbol{q}_1^3}{(2\pi)^3} \ldots \int \frac{d\boldsymbol{q}_n^3}{(2\pi)^3} W_n(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{q}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{q}_n)(2\pi)^3$$
$$\delta^{(3)}\left(\boldsymbol{q}_1+\ldots+\boldsymbol{q}_n\right) e^{i(\boldsymbol{q}_1\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_1+\ldots+\boldsymbol{q}_n\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_n)}.$$

- **Properties similar to the usual** (n = 2) Wigner transform.
- Takes advantage of the scale separation: long-scale x-dependence and short-scale y_n-dependence.
- One wavevector is redundant: $q_1 + \ldots + q_n = 0$.

Equations

٩

$$\partial_t W_2(oldsymbol{q}_1) = - \left[\gamma oldsymbol{q}_1^2 W_2(oldsymbol{q}_2) + \lambda oldsymbol{q}_1 \cdot oldsymbol{q}_2
ight]_{\mathrm{P} oldsymbol{q}_1 oldsymbol{q}_2} \, ,$$

$$\begin{split} \partial_t W_3(\boldsymbol{q}_1, \boldsymbol{q}_2) &= -\left[\frac{1}{2}\gamma \boldsymbol{q}_1^2 W_3(\boldsymbol{q}_2, \boldsymbol{q}_3) \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{2}\gamma' \boldsymbol{q}_1^2 W_2(\boldsymbol{q}_2) W_2(\boldsymbol{q}_3) + \lambda' \boldsymbol{q}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_2 W_2(\boldsymbol{q}_3) \right]_{\mathrm{P} \boldsymbol{q}_1 \boldsymbol{q}_2 \boldsymbol{q}_3} \,, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \partial_t W_4^{\mathsf{c}}(\boldsymbol{q}_1, \boldsymbol{q}_2, \boldsymbol{q}_3) &= -\left[\frac{1}{6}\gamma \boldsymbol{q}_1^2 W_4^{\mathsf{c}}(\boldsymbol{q}_2, \boldsymbol{q}_3, \boldsymbol{q}_4) \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{6}\gamma'' \boldsymbol{q}_1^2 W_2(\boldsymbol{q}_2) W_2(\boldsymbol{q}_3) W_2(\boldsymbol{q}_4) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma' \boldsymbol{q}_1^2 W_2(\boldsymbol{q}_2) W_3(\boldsymbol{q}_3, \boldsymbol{q}_4) \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\lambda' \boldsymbol{q}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_2 W_3(\boldsymbol{q}_3, \boldsymbol{q}_4) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda'' \boldsymbol{q}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_2 W_2(\boldsymbol{q}_3) W_2(\boldsymbol{q}_4) \right]_{\mathrm{P}\boldsymbol{q}_1 \boldsymbol{q}_2 \boldsymbol{q}_3 \boldsymbol{q}_4}, \end{split}$$

$$(\gamma \equiv \lambda \alpha')$$

Map from diagrams:

$$S_{,ij}
ightarrow - lpha', \, S_{,ijk}
ightarrow - lpha'', \, M_{ij}
ightarrow - \lambda oldsymbol{q}_1 \cdot oldsymbol{q}_2, \, M_{ij,k}
ightarrow - \lambda' oldsymbol{q}_1 \cdot oldsymbol{q}_2$$
, , etc...

M. Stephanov

Example: expansion through a critical region

- Two main features:
 - Lag, or "memory".
 - Smaller Q slower evolution. Conservation laws.
- The magnitude of the observed critical point signatures depends on the scale of fluctuations probed.



- *Non-gaussian* fluctuations in *full* relativistic hydrodynamics.
- Connect *fluctuating* hydro with freezeout kinetics and implement in full hydrodynamic code and event generator.
 Compare with experiment.
- First-order transition in fluctuating hydrodynamics?
- Loops, long-time tails, renormalization.
- Connection to path integral (SK) formulation.

More

Separation of scales

$$G(x,y) = \langle \phi(x+y/2) \phi(x-y/2) \rangle$$

depends on x slowly (L), but on y – fast ($\ell_{eq} \sim \sqrt{L} \ll L$).



Similar to separation of scales in QFT in kinetic regime. $(q \gg k)$

Scales

• Hydro cell size *b*: coarse-grain quantum operators over scale $b \gg \ell_{\rm mic}$ to leave only slow modes for which quantum fluctuatuations are negligible compared to thermal, i.e., $\hbar\omega \ll kT$. $\ell_{\rm mic} \sim \ell_{\rm mfp}, c_s/T$.

 $\breve{\psi} = (\,\breve{T}^{i0},\,\breve{J}^{0}\,)$ are *classical* stochastic variables.

- **•** Hydrodynamic gradients scale L: must be $L \gg b$.
- Size of local equibrium cell $\ell_{eq} \equiv \ell_*$: diffusion length in evolution time scale, typically $\tau_{ev} \sim L/c_s$

$$\ell_* \sim \sqrt{\gamma \tau_{\rm ev}} \sim \sqrt{\gamma L/c_s}.$$

9 $b \ll L$ implies the hierarchy:

 $\ell_{\rm mic} \ll b < \ell_* \ll L$ or $T/c_s \gg \Lambda > q_* \gg k$ $(\gamma q_*^2 = c_s k)$



Hydro+ vs Hydro: real-time bulk response

Hydrodynamics breaks down for processes faster than $\Gamma_{\xi} \sim \xi^{-3} \rightarrow \text{Hydro+}$

 $\Delta c_s^{\ 2}(\omega) w/(2\zeta(0) \Gamma_{\xi})$ Stiffness of eos (sound speed) is underestimated in hydro (---): $c_s \rightarrow 0$ at CP, but only modes with $\omega \ll \Gamma_{\xi}$ are critically soft. $\omega/(2\Gamma_{\varepsilon})$ Dissipation during expansion is 0.8 0.6 0.2/(*m*) 2 overestimated in hydro (---): $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$ at CP, but only modes with $\omega \ll \Gamma_{\xi}$ 0.2 experience large ζ . $\omega/(2\Gamma_{\varepsilon})$

Confluent derivative, connection and correlator

Take out dependence of *components* of ϕ due to change of u(x):

 $\Delta x \cdot \bar{\nabla} \phi = \Lambda(\Delta x)\phi(x + \Delta x) - \phi(x)$

Confluent two-point correlator:

$$\bar{G}(x,y) = \Lambda(y/2) \left\langle \phi(x+y/2) \phi(x-y/2) \right\rangle \Lambda(-y/2)^{T}$$

(boost to u(x) – rest frame at midpoint)



$$ar{
abla}_{\mu}ar{G}_{AB} = \partial_{\mu}ar{G}_{AB} - ar{\omega}^{C}_{\mu A}ar{G}_{CB} - ar{\omega}^{C}_{\mu B}ar{G}_{AC} - ar{\omega}^{b}_{\mu a}\,y^{a}rac{\partial}{\partial y^{b}}ar{G}_{AB}\,.$$

Connection $\bar{\omega}$ corresponds to the boost Λ .

Connection $\mathring{\omega}$ makes sure derivative is independent of the choice of local space triad e_a needed to express $y \equiv x_+ - x_-$.

We then define the Wigner transform $W_{AB}(x,q)$ of $\bar{G}_{AB}(x,y)$.

Expansion of $\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle$ contains $\langle \phi(x)\phi(x) \rangle = G(x,0) = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} W(x,q).$

This integral is divergent (equilibrium $G^{(0)}(x,y) \sim \delta^3(y)$).

back

Expansion of
$$\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle$$
 contains $\langle \phi(x)\phi(x) \rangle = G(x,0) = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} W(x,q)$.

This integral is divergent (equilibrium $G^{(0)}(x,y) \sim \delta^3(y)$).



Expansion of
$$\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle$$
 contains $\langle \phi(x)\phi(x) \rangle = G(x,0) = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} W(x,q)$.

This integral is divergent (equilibrium $G^{(0)}(x, y) \sim \delta^3(y)$).



Expansion of
$$\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle$$
 contains $\langle \phi(x)\phi(x) \rangle = G(x,0) = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} W(x,q)$.

This integral is divergent (equilibrium $G^{(0)}(x,y) \sim \delta^3(y)$).



$$\begin{split} \langle T^{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle &= \epsilon u^{\mu} u^{\nu} + p(\epsilon, n) \Delta^{\mu\nu} + \Pi^{\mu\nu} + \left\{ G(x, 0) \right\} \\ &= \epsilon_R u^{\mu}_R u^{\nu} + p_R(\epsilon_R, n_R) \Delta^{\mu\nu}_R + \Pi^{\mu\nu}_R + \left\{ \tilde{G}(x, 0) \right\} \,. \end{split}$$

Renormalized e.o.s. and transport coefficients

Fluctuation corrections to kinetic coefficients are positive.

Corrections to pressure and bulk viscosity vanish for conformal e.o.s.

$$p_R(\epsilon_R, n_R) = p(\epsilon_R, n_R) + \frac{T\Lambda^3}{6\pi^2} \left((1 - c_s^2 - 2\dot{T} + \dot{c}_s) + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \dot{c}_p) \right),$$

$$\begin{split} \eta_{R} &= \eta + \frac{T\Lambda}{30\pi^{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{L}} + \frac{7}{2\gamma_{\eta}} \right), \\ \zeta_{R} &= \zeta + \frac{T\Lambda}{18\pi^{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{L}} (1 - 3\dot{T} + 3\dot{c}_{s})^{2} + \frac{2}{\gamma_{\eta}} \left(1 - \frac{3}{2} (\dot{T} + c_{s}^{2}) \right)^{2} + \frac{9}{4\gamma_{\lambda}} (1 - \dot{c}_{p})^{2} \right), \\ \lambda_{R} &= \lambda + \frac{T^{2}n^{2}\Lambda}{3\pi^{2}w^{2}} \left(\frac{c_{p}T}{(\gamma_{\eta} + \gamma_{\lambda})w} + \frac{c_{s}^{2}}{2\gamma_{L}} \right). \end{split}$$

$$\gamma_{\eta} \equiv \frac{\eta}{w}, \quad \gamma_{\zeta} \equiv \frac{\zeta}{w}, \quad \gamma_{\lambda} \equiv \frac{\kappa}{c_p} = D, \quad \dot{X} \equiv \left(\frac{\partial \log X}{\partial \log s}\right)_m$$