Motivation for a Neutron-Antineutron Oscillation Search in the Sudbury Neutrino Observatory

Drew Anthony Fustin

Center for Experimental Nuclear Physics and Astrophysics University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195

> Department of Physics and Astronomy Drake University, Des Moines, Iowa 50311

> > August 19, 2003

Abstract

Grand unified theories generally predict the existence of baryon number nonconservation, manifesting itself in processes like proton decay and neutron-antineutron $(n - \overline{n})$ oscillation. Experimental nonobservation of proton decay has led to the development of several experimental searches for $n - \overline{n}$ oscillations. To date, this phenomenon has never been detected, setting an experimental lower limit on the $n - \overline{n}$ oscillation time ($\tau_{n\overline{n}}$) as $\tau_{n\overline{n}} > 1.3 \times 10^8 s$ at 90% C.L. (according to Soudan 2). The Sudbury Neutrino Observatory (SNO), a heavy water Čerenkov detector, can be used to increase the lower limit on $\tau_{n\overline{n}}$ if an experimental search is developed. It can be seen that such an experiment in SNO could result in $\tau_{n\overline{n}} > 1.96 \times 10^8 s$ at 90% C.L., a sizable improvement over the current limit. As more data becomes available from SNO, this limit can be increased.

Outline

- Motivation from Grand Unified Theories
- Sudbury Neutrino Observatory
- Nuclear Suppression
- Annihilation Signature
- Annihilation Detection
- Major Backgrounds
- Expected Results
- Conclusion

Motivation from Grand Unified Theories

- Grand Unified Theories (GUTs) predict existence of baryon number (B) nonconserving processes
 o Proton decay [Δ(B-L)=0]
 - Neutron-antineutron $(n \overline{n})$ oscillations $[\Delta(B L) = 2]$
- Standard SU(5) model predicts proton decay
- Nonobservation of proton decay has led to searches for $n-\overline{n}$ oscillations
- Supersymmetric $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times SU(4)_c$ model predicts $n-\overline{n}$ oscillations
- If n-n̄ oscillations are found and proton decay is not, then it would select GUTs like those based on SU(2)_L × SU(2)_R × SU(4)_c and reject GUTs based on SU(5)
- Sudbury Neutrino Observatory can be used to search for $n-\overline{n}$ oscillations

Sudbury Neutrino Observatory

- Located near Sudbury, Ontario
 - $\circ 2070 \text{ m}$ below ground
- Heavy water Čerenkov radiation detector
 - o 12 m diameter acrylic sphere holds heavy water
 - 17.8 m diameter geodesic sphere holds 9456
 photomultiplier tubes (PMTs)
 - Acrylic sphere surrounded by light water to shield from radiation
- PMTs detect ring pattern created by intersection of Čerenkov cone with sphere
- SNO is equipped with software designed to fit vertices to events (vertex fitters) and to fit rings to PMT hit patterns (ring fitters)

Sudbury Neutrino Observatory



Run: 11539 GTID: 1087346



Sudbury Neutrino Observatory

source: SNO Collaboration, J. Boger et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 449, 172 (2000).

Nuclear Suppression

 Since antineutrons feel a different nuclear potential than do neutrons, *n*−*n* oscillations in nuclei are suppressed

$$T=\tau_{n\bar{n}}^2T_R$$

T is nuclear annihilation lifetime $au_{n\overline{n}}$ is free space $n-\overline{n}$ oscillation time T_R is nuclear suppression factor

- T_R for deuterium is $2.48 \times 10^{22} s^{-1}$
- T_R for oxygen is $1.0 \times 10^{23} s^{-1}$
- For $\tau_{n\bar{n}}$ of $10^8 s$, T is of the order $10^{39} s$

• When a neutron oscillates into an antineutron in the nucleus, it quickly annihilates

o Produces multiple pions

- Charged pions can be seen by Čerenkov radiation
- Neutral pions cannot, but quickly decay into two photons which Compton scatter off of electrons
- Average charged pion multiplicity is 3
- Average neutral pion multiplicity is 2



source: S. Biller, J.A. Formaggio, and C.E. Okada, Nucleon Decay Prospects in the Sudbury Neutrino Observatory, SNO Internal Document, 2002.

| | Theory | | | Experiment | |
|----------------------------------|--------|-------|----------|--------------|---------------|
| Channel | I = 0 | I=1 | Combined | CERN | BNL |
| $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ | 0.02 | 0.0 | 0.01 | 0.37 ± 0.3 | 0.32 ± 0.04 |
| $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0}$ | 0.04 | 0.6 | 0.32 | 6.9 ± 0.35 | 7.3 ± 0.9 |
| $2\pi^{+}2\pi^{-}$ | 9.1 | 3.0 | 6.1 | 6.9 ± 0.6 | 5.8 ± 0.3 |
| $2\pi^{+}2\pi^{-}\pi^{0}$ | 26.8 | 19.8 | 23.3 | 19.6 ± 0.7 | 18.7 ± 0.9 |
| $3\pi^{+}3\pi^{-}$ | 13.8 | 3.56 | 8.7 | 2.1 ± 0.2 | 1.9 ± 0.2 |
| $3\pi^+3\pi^-\pi^0$ | 4.38 | 0.61 | 2.5 | 1.9 ± 0.2 | 1.6 ± 0.2 |
| $n\pi^{0}, n > 1$ | 7.7 | 15.7 | 11.7 | 4.1 ± 0.4 | 3.3 ± 0.2 |
| $\pi^+\pi^-n\pi^0, n > 1$ | 25.1 | 39.8 | 32.5 | 35.8 ± 0.8 | 34.5 ± 1.2 |
| $2\pi^+ 2\pi^- n\pi^0$, $n > 1$ | 12.8 | 17.4 | 15.2 | 20.8 ± 0.7 | 21.3 ± 1.1 |
| $3\pi^+ 3\pi^- n\pi^0$, $n > 1$ | 0.03 | 0.014 | 0.022 | 0.3 ± 0.1 | 0.3 ± 0.1 |
| $\%$ of secondary πs | 29.2 | 31.3 | 30.3 | 33 | |

source: Y. Lu and R.D. Amado, hep-ph/9504362.

- Total energy of event should be 2 GeV
 - Energy of event can be reconstructed by measuring width of Čerenkov rings
- Total momentum of event should be 0
 - Momentum of event can be reconstructed by knowing
 the energy of the event and the direction in which the
 particle propagated
 - Direction is found by using a vertex fitter and comparing the vertex with the ring position

Annihilation Detection

- Need a multi-ring fitter
- All existing ring fitters find only single rings
- Either adjust existing fitter to find multiple rings or make new fitter designed to find multiple rings
- Existing fitters assume single electron produces ring
 Find most likely vertex and direction for hit PMTs
- Could make a new fitter that uses pattern recognition techniques e.g. Hough transform

Annihilation Detection

- Hough transform for rings can be used
 - If points on a ring of radius *r* have circles of radius *r* plotted around them, these circles will intersect at the
 center of the ring
 - Each point in Hough space (congruent to image space)
 will receive a "vote" for each time a circle passes
 through it
 - Peak in Hough space (point receiving most votes)
 corresponds to the center of a possible ring
- If Hough transform is used, parameters must be set to maximize efficiency
 - o Number of votes corresponding to positive ring fit
 - o Radius step size
 - o Angular separation of different peaks

Annihilation Detection



Major Backgrounds

- Backgrounds consist of two events occurring in SNO
 Deep inelastic scattering of muons off of nuclei
 Atmospheric neutrinos
- Events occurring after muon events can be removed from the data sample to remove deep inelastic scattering events
- Number of atmospheric neutrino events contributing to background can be reduced by setting ring multiplicity and energy limits

Expected Results

• To determine nuclear annihilation lifetime, the following equation is used

$$T = \frac{\mathcal{E}N_0 t}{N}$$

 \mathcal{E} is the detection efficiency N_0 is the total number of neutrons in SNO t is the time over which the experiment is run N is the number of $n-\overline{n}$ oscillation events

• Assume \mathcal{E} is 100 %, t is 306.4 days (live time of first

phase of SNO experiment), N_0 is 3.0133×10^{32}

- Assumed no events and no backgrounds will be seen, resulting in a lower limit on T set by making an upper limit on N of 2.44 using Feldman-Cousins statistics
- Gives $T > 6.534 \times 10^{38} s$

Expected Results

• T_R is found by calculating weighted average of T_R for

deuterium and T_R for oxygen

 $T_R = 8.496 \times 10^{22} s^{-1}$

• This results in $\tau_{n\bar{n}} > 1.96 \times 10^8 s$

Conclusion

- Using SNO to search for $n \overline{n}$ oscillations can increase the current lower limit of $\tau_{n\overline{n}} > 1.3 \times 10^8 s$ set by Soudan 2
- SNO will be able to increase this limit by an amount comparable to the amount Super-Kamiokande can increase it, even though Super-Kamiokande has a larger number of neutrons
 - This is because of T_R for deuterium is considerably smaller than T_R for oxygen

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation's Research Experience for Undergraduates at the University of Washington. The author is particularly grateful to Dr. Peter Doe, Dr. Joseph Formaggio, and Kathryn Miknaitis for their continued support and infinite patience in answering a multitude of questions. Their educational guidance will forever be appreciated.