Researchers eminar

The Rising Researchers Seminar Series is committed to creating an <u>environment which is welcoming and safe</u> for all speakers, attendees, organizers, and other affiliated persons, hereinafter ``participants". It is the responsibility of all participants to foster such an environment.

Participants shall <u>treat each other professionally and respectfully</u>. Participants shall refrain from any inappropriate behaviour, including discriminatory actions and comments based on individual characteristics such as age, race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, marital status, nationality, immigration status, political affiliation, ability status, or educational background. Disruptive or harassing behavior will not be tolerated including but not limited to inappropriate or intimidating language and unwelcome jokes or comments.

<u>Violations of this code of conduct should be reported</u> to any member of the executive committee (see <u>About Us</u> page on the website). The Executive committee may also act of their own accord should they notice violations. Sanctions may range from verbal warning, to ejection from the meeting or, in severe cases, partial or total bans from future meetings.

References: This code of conduct is based heavily on that of the <u>INT</u> and the <u>APS</u>. We are also grateful to Roxanne Springer for valuable discussion and guidance.



MODELING LOW-DENSITY NUCLEAR MATTER WITH NEURAL-NETWORK QUANTUM STATES

BRYCE FORE



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY Argonne National Laboratory is a U.S. Department of Energy laboratory managed by UChicago Argonne, LLC.

Rising Researchers Seminar Series October 15th 2024



OUTLINE

- Nuclear many body problem
 - Many body methods
 - Curse of dimensionality
- Overview of variational Monte Carlo (VMC)
 - Metropolis-Hastings Sampling
 - Parameter optimization
 - Fermionic trial wavefunctions
- Results
 - Nuclei
 - Pure neutron matter
 - Symmetric nuclear matter
 - Self emergence of clustering



THE NUCLEAR MANY-BODY PROBLEM

Many-body Schrödinger equation





THE NUCLEAR MANY BODY METHODS

Configuration-interaction

$$\begin{split} \left| \Psi_{0} \right\rangle &= \sum_{h_{1}, \dots, p_{1}, \dots} c_{h_{1}, \dots}^{p_{1}, \dots} \left| \Phi_{h_{1}, \dots}^{p_{1}, \dots} \right\rangle \\ \left| \Phi_{h_{1}, \dots}^{p_{1}, \dots} \right\rangle &= a_{p_{1}}^{\dagger} \dots a_{h_{1}} \dots \left| \Phi_{0} \right\rangle \end{split}$$

 $|\Phi_0\rangle \qquad |\Phi_{h_2}^{p_1}\rangle$

Quantum Monte Carlo

$$|\Psi_T\rangle = \sum_n c_n |\Psi_n\rangle$$

 $H|\Psi_n\rangle = E_n|\Psi_n\rangle$

$$\lim_{\tau \to \infty} e^{-(H - E_0)\tau} \left| \Psi_T \right\rangle = c_o \left| \Psi_0 \right\rangle$$



CURSE OF DIMENSIONALITY



NEURAL NETWORK QUANTUM STATES

- Artificial neural networks compactly represent complex high-dimensional functions
- Most quantum states of interest have distinctive features and intrinsic structures







SCALING AND COMPUTATIONAL PERFORMANCE

Scaling with system size

Conventional QMC: $O(2^A)$ Neural quantum states: $O(A^5)$ A = Number of particles in system

Scaling with resources 10 Time Walk Time Observables **Time Optimization** 8 Time / sample (ms) Time Total 6 4 -2 0 50 100 150 200 250 300 #GPUs



PIONLESS EFT HAMILTONIAN

Pionless-EFT Hamiltonian

$$H_{LO} = -\sum_{i} \frac{\vec{\nabla}_{i}^{2}}{2m_{N}} + \sum_{i < j} v_{ij} + \sum_{i < j < k} V_{ijk}$$

Two body operators including spin and isospin dependence

$$v_{ij}^{\text{CI}} = \sum_{p=1}^{4} v^p(r_{ij}) O_{ij}^p \qquad O_{ij}^{p=1,4} = (1, \tau_{ij}, \sigma_{ij}, \sigma_{ij}\tau_{ij})$$
$$\sigma_{ij} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j, \ \tau_{ij} = \boldsymbol{\tau}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}_j$$

R. Schiavilla, PRC 103, 054003(2021)



PIONLESS EFT HAMILTONIAN



CONCERNENCE OF U.S. Department of Energy laboratory u.S. Department of Energy laboratory managed by UChicago Argonne, LLC.



VARIATIONAL MONTE CARLO (VMC)

1. Specify a parameterized function to act as the trial wavefunction

$$\Psi_T(R,S;\omega) = e^{U(R,S;\omega)} \Phi(R,S;\omega)$$

2. Use Metropolis-Hastings algorithm to sample trial wavefunction

$$\frac{\langle \Psi_T | O | \Psi_T \rangle}{\langle \Psi_T | \Psi_T \rangle} \approx \frac{1}{N_{conf}} \sum_{\{R,S\}} O_L(R,S)$$

3. Optimize parameters of trial wavefunction to obtain lower energy

$$E_0 \le E_T = \frac{\left\langle \Psi_T \middle| \widehat{H} \middle| \Psi_T \right\rangle}{\left\langle \Psi_T \middle| \Psi_T \right\rangle}$$



METROPOLIS-HASTINGS SAMPLING

Sampling algorithm:

Randomly sample coordinates, R', and spins, S'

$$P_R = \frac{|\Psi_T(R', S)|^2}{|\Psi_T(R, S)|^2} \qquad P_S = \frac{|\Psi_T(R, S')|^2}{|\Psi_T(R, S)|^2}$$

- If P is greater than uniform random variable from 0 to 1, accept new values
- Observables are estimated by taking averages over sampled configurations

$$\frac{\langle \Psi_T | O | \Psi_T \rangle}{\langle \Psi_T | \Psi_T \rangle} = \frac{\sum_S \int dR \ |\Psi_T(R,S)|^2 \ O_L(R,S)}{\sum_S \int dR \ |\Psi_T(R,S)|^2} \approx \frac{1}{N_{conf}} \sum_{\{R,S\}} O_L(R,S)$$
$$O_L = \frac{\langle RS | O | \Psi_T \rangle}{\langle RS | \Psi_T \rangle}$$



STOCHASTIC RECONFIGURATION

Improve trial wavefunction by minimizing energy expectation value

$$E_0 \le E_T = \frac{\left\langle \Psi_T \middle| \widehat{H} \middle| \Psi_T \right\rangle}{\left\langle \Psi_T \middle| \Psi_T \right\rangle}$$

Gradient of energy $(G_i = \frac{dE_T}{d\omega_i})$, supplemented by Quantum Fisher Information S_{ij} $G_i = 2\left(\frac{\langle \partial_i \Psi_T | \hat{H} | \Psi_T \rangle}{\langle \Psi_T | \Psi_T \rangle} - E_T \frac{\langle \partial_i \Psi_T | \Psi_T \rangle}{\langle \Psi_T | \Psi_T \rangle}\right); \quad S_{ij} = \frac{\langle \partial_i \Psi_T | \partial_j \Psi_T \rangle}{\langle \Psi_T | \Psi_T \rangle} - \frac{\langle \partial_i \Psi_T | \Psi_T \rangle \langle \Psi_T | \partial_j \Psi_T \rangle}{\langle \Psi_T | \Psi_T \rangle}$

Parameters at step s are updated as

$$\omega^{S+1} = \omega^S - \eta (S + \Lambda)^{-1} G$$





FERMIONIC TRIAL WAVEFUNCTIONS

$$\Psi_T(X) = e^{U(X)} \Phi(X)$$

$$\Psi(\dots, x_i, \dots, x_j, \dots) = -\Psi(\dots, x_j, \dots, x_i, \dots)$$
$$U(\dots, x_i, \dots, x_j, \dots) = U(\dots, x_j, \dots, x_i, \dots)$$
$$\Phi(\dots, x_i, \dots, x_j, \dots) = -\Phi(\dots, x_j, \dots, x_i, \dots)$$

- Build in fermion antisymmetry for network compactness
- Permutation-invariant Jastrow function improves ansatz flexibility
- Build U and Φ functions from fully connected, deep neural networks





DEEP SET ARCHITECTURE

Generic function independent of particle ordering

$$U(\ldots, x_i, \ldots x_j, \ldots) = U(\ldots, x_j, \ldots x_i, \ldots)$$

 Map configuration for each particle to a latent space, sum results, map to real numbers

$$U(X) = \rho\left(\sum_{i} \vec{\phi}(x_{i})\right) \qquad \qquad \vec{\phi} \colon \mathbb{R}^{5} \to \mathbb{R}^{latent} \\ \rho \colon \mathbb{R}^{latent} \to \mathbb{R}$$

• $\vec{\phi}$ and ρ are represented by neural networks





NEURAL SLATER-JASTROW ANSATZ

- Use Slater determinant to enforce antisymmetry
- Single particle wavefunctions represented by neural networks

$$\Psi_T(X) = e^{U(X)} \Phi(X)$$

$$\Phi(X) = \begin{vmatrix} \phi_1(x_1) & \phi_1(x_2) & \dots & \phi_1(x_n) \\ \phi_2(x_1) & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \\ \phi_n(x_1) & \dots & \phi_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix}$$





NEURAL PFAFFIAN ANSATZ

$$\Psi_T(X) = e^{U(X^*)} \Phi_{\rm pf}(X^*)$$

$$\Phi_{pf}(X) = pf[M]$$

$$M_{ij} = \phi(x_i, x_j) - \phi(x_j, x_i)$$

- Input, X, with backflow preprocessing gives X*
- Slater determinant \rightarrow Pfaffian
- M must be skew symmetric, $A = -A^T$, and square with even size
- Built in pairwise structure
- Pfaffian requires only one neural net, φ, so uses far fewer parameters



NEURAL QUANTUM STATE RESULTS IN NUCLEI





BULK NUCLEAR MATTER SETUP



 Periodic boundary conditions and coordinate system

$$\mathbf{r}_i \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_i = \left\{ \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\mathbf{r}_i\right), \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\mathbf{r}_i\right) \right\}$$

- Potential energy contribution
 from particle images
- Remove Coulomb potential



NEUTRON STAR STRUCTURE



- Mostly neutrons but composition varies with density
- Nuclei in crust are squeezed into uniform matter in core
- Likely neutron superfluid in inner crust and outer core
 - Calculations currently focus on inner crust



PURE NEUTRON MATTER



CODENERGY Argonne National Laboratory is a U.S. Department of Energy laboratory managed by UChicago Argonne, LLC.



TWO-BODY PAIR DISTRIBUTIONS



B. Fore, Phys. Rev. Res. 5, 033062(2023)

CONCEPTION ACCOUNT OF A Conception of Concep



SYMMETRIC NUCLEAR MATTER



CONCEPTION And A Conception of the second se



SELF EMERGING CLUSTERING



Density: 0.01 fm⁻³

Proton
Neutron

B. Fore, arXiv:2407.21207





CLUSTERING: TWO-BODY PAIR DISTRIBUTIONS



B. Fore, arXiv:2407.21207





ASYMMETRIC MATTER ENERGY

- /-

• Fit $E(n_B, 0)$ and $E(n_B, 1/2)$

$$E(n_B, x) = a_0 + a_{2/3} \left(\frac{n_B}{n_0}\right)^{2/3} + a_1 \left(\frac{n_B}{n_0}\right) + a_2 \left(\frac{n_B}{n_0}\right)$$

 Model energy by symmetry energy expansion

$$S(n_B) = E(n_B, 0) - E(n_B, 1/2)$$

$$E(n_B, x) = E(n_B, 1/2) + (1 - 2x)^2 S(n_B)$$





NUCLEAR MATTER PROTON FRACTION



Argonne National Laboratory is a U.S. Department of Energy laboratory managed by UChicago Argonne, LLC. Assumptions:

Charge neutrality

$$n_p = n_e$$

Beta equilibrium

$$\mu_e = \mu_n - \mu_p$$



CONCLUSIONS AND NEXT STEPS

- Conclusions:
 - Favorable scaling with number of fermions
 - Universal and accurate approximations for fermion wavefunctions
 - Scaling to leadership-class computers
 - NQS can model a variety of phases of nuclear matter material
- Next steps:
 - Improved nuclear potential including tensor term
 - Expanding to larger nuclei and larger periodic systems to avoid finite size effects





COLLABORATORS



A. Lovato, A. Tropiano

OHIO UNIVERSITY

J. Kim



M. Hjorth-Jensen





THANK YOU



