## Molecular Dynamics Simulations of Supported Pt Nanoclusters

Jeffrey Moore

## A Brief Outline

**<u><b>Elntroduction and Background**</u> **¤ Constructing a Physical Model <u></u>**Details of the Simulation **E** Results and Conclusions **<u>■Summary and Acknowledgements</u>** 

# What is Nanocatalysis?

**The application of nanoscale materials for increasing catalytic activity.** 

**Increase surface area of catalyst.** 

**Objectives:** 

- **100% selectivity**
- • **extremely high activity**
- **low energy consumption**
- **long lifetime.**

**Applications:** 

- **Catalytic converters**
- **Petroleum reformation**
- **Gasification of biomass for biofuels**



Nexteria Gasification System at Kniger Products Tissue Milt in New Westminster B.C.

## Previous Investigation of Nanoclusters

- **Many properties of nanocatalysts not very well understood.**
- **X-ray absorption spectroscopy experiments have found unusual characteristics of supported nanoclusters.**
- • **DFT/MD calculations employed to investigate behavior of nanoclusters**
- **Dynamic methods are ideal to probe the complex, dynamical nature of nanoscale catalytic processes**

**Dynamic structure in supported Pt nanoclusters: Real-time density functional theory and x-ray spectroscopy simulations**  F. Vila, J. J. Rehr, J. Kas, R. G. Nuzzo, A.I. Frenkel

**Operando Effects on the Structure and**  Dynamics of Pt<sub>n</sub>Sn<sub>m</sub>/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> from Ab Initio **Molecular Dynamics and X-ray absorption Spectra** 

Fernando D. Vila, John J. Rehr, Shelly D. Kelly, Simon R. Bare

# The Goal

**DFT/MD calculations are extremely effective for these systems** 

**But, they are extremely computationally intensive:** 

**2 x 104 cpu h required for a time evolution of only 8.5 ps!** 

**The objective of this simulation is to employ a model potential to replicate the results of the ab-initio DFT/MD calculations at a fraction of the time cost, with the ability to probe the dynamics of long time evolutions.** 

#### 3DMD CPU times for an evolution of 50 ps (in seconds) vs. system size.



# Constructing a Physical Model

 $\blacksquare$  A look at our system

**<u></u>**Potentials

**<u></u>**Thermodynamics

# The System

# **Pt<sub>10</sub> cluster supported by**   $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> **monolayer surface**



#### The Cluster: A Sutton-Chen Potential

$$
U_{Tot} = \varepsilon \sum_{i} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} V(r_{ij}) - c \sqrt{Q_i} \right]
$$

**Model for the Pt<sub>10</sub> nanocluster, an approximate manybody potential.** 

**The Sutton-Chen potential was designed specifically for transition metals and their alloys.**

#### **For Platinum:**

- $\varepsilon = 1.9835 \times 10^{-2} eV$  $a = 3.92 \text{ Å}$
- $c = 34.408$
- $n=10$

$$
m=8
$$

$$
V(r_{ij}) = \left(\frac{a}{r_{ij}}\right)^n
$$

$$
Q_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \left( \frac{a}{r_{ij}} \right)^m
$$



#### The Surface: A Harmonic Potential

$$
U_H = \frac{1}{2}k r^2 \qquad k = 6.0 \frac{eV}{\AA^2}
$$

The  $\gamma$  -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> surface is **a monolayer of atoms oscillating about fixed positions.** 

**These oscillations are described by a simple harmonic potential.** 



Cluster-Surface Interaction: A Lennard-Jones Potential

$$
U_{LJ} = 4\varepsilon \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^{12} - \left( \frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^{6} \right]
$$

Pt-O: Pt-Al:  $\varepsilon = 0.228 \, eV$  $\varepsilon = 0.044 \, eV$  $\sigma = 1.866 \,\AA$  $\sigma = 2.42 \text{ Å}$ 

**The parameters for the Pt-O and Pt-Al interactions were obtained by reverse-engineering radial distribution functions of ab-initio calculations.** 



#### Thermodynamics of the Nanocluster

**The thermal properties of nanoparticles differ substantially from those of macroscopic condensed matter.** 

**The mean energy of the system is not sharply defined, but rather fluctuates according to the number of atoms in the system, due to finite size effects.** 



## Details of the Simulation

 $\square$  Velocity-verlet integration scheme **<u></u>** Velocity-scaling thermostat ¤Nosé-Hoover thermostat **<u></u>**D Visualization

## Velocity-Verlet Algorithm

**The velocity-verlet integration scheme is derived from a Taylor's expansion of Newton's Laws of motion.** 

**It can be shown that the algorithm produces an error of**  $O(h^3)$ **.** 

$$
r(t+h) = r(t) + h v(t) + \frac{1}{2}h^2 \frac{F(t)}{m}
$$
  

$$
v(t+h) = v(t) + \frac{1}{2}h \frac{F(t) + F(t+h)}{m}
$$
  

$$
F_{Cluster} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(U_{SC} + U_{LJ})
$$
  

$$
F_{Surface} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(U_H + U_{LJ})
$$

## **Thermostats**

**Velocity-scaling: scale the velocities by a factor** λ**.** 

**The frequency at which the rescaling occurs is ad-hoc. Our system thermalizes every 200 fs.** 

**The Nosé-Hoover thermostat introduces a virtual variable**  ζ **into the equations of motion.** 

**The Nosé-Hoover thermostat is the preferred method for constant temperature MD simulations.** 

$$
K = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i}^{N} m_i v_i^2
$$
  

$$
K = \frac{1}{2} N_{DoF} k_B T_{inst}
$$

$$
\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{T_0}{T_{inst}}}
$$

$$
Q = N_{DoF} k_B T_0 \tau^2
$$

$$
\xi = \frac{2K \cdot N_{DoF} k_B T_0}{Q}
$$

# Visualization



## Results and Conclusions

- **E** Radial distribution functions
- **<u></u>ODebye-Waller factors**
- **<u><b>E**Energy and temperature fluctuations</u>
- **<u></u>**Diffusion coefficients
- **<u></u>OStructural disorder**

# Pt-Pt Radial Distribution Functions

 $g(r) = 4\pi r^2 \rho dr$ 

**Can see 1st, 2nd, 3rd , shells at low temperatures.** 

**Broadening of RDF is a sign of increasing disorder.** 



# Pt-O RDFs

**The Pt-O RDFs give an indication of where the Pt atoms are with respect to the Alumina surface.** 

**The first peak of the system are the surfacebound platinum atoms.** 



Pt-O

# Debye-Waller Factor



**The DW factor generalizes the thermal motion of the atoms in the cluster.** 

**The DW factor is directly proportional**  to the  $\sigma^2$  obtained **from the first shell of the RDF.** 



## DW Factors vs. Temperature



## Energy Fluctuations: Velocity-Scaling

**A look at the probability distribution of the kinetic energy of the system reveals fluctuations proportional to (3N)-1/2 as predicted by theory.** 

**The sharp peak demonstrates the tendency of the velocity-scaling thermostat to bias the expected energy for a given temperature.** 



# Energy Fluctuations: Nosé-Hoover

**The fluctuations of the Nosé-Hoover thermostat give correct mean temperatures, but have fluctuations slightly greater than predicted by theory.** 

**However, the system is no longer biased to be strictly in thermodynamic equilibrium at low temperatures.** 



# The Diffusion Coefficient

**The diffusion coefficient describes the motion of the atoms within the often atoms exchange relative positions.** 

**The diffusion coefficient also gives an indication of how an adsorbed nanoparticle migrates to another site on a surface.** 

**The Green-Kubo method uses the particle velocity autocorrelation function to calculate the coefficient.** 

$$
D = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{6t} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}^{N} |r_i(t) - r_i(0)|^2 \right)
$$

Or equivalently:

$$
D = \frac{1}{3} \int_{t=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}^{N} v_i(0) \cdot v_i(t) \right] dt
$$



# Velocity Autocorrelation Function

**The velocity autocorrelation function gives an indication of the timeframe in which an atom loses "memory" of its initial velocity as the system is perturbed by other forces.** 

**Higher temperatures predictably cause the atom to lose its information faster.** 



# Pt10 Diffusion Coefficients

**The diffusion coefficients plotted against temperature obey two different power laws as seen in the Arrhenius plot below.** 

**The slope of a linear fit corresponds to an activation energy. The blue slope (higher temperatures) corresponds to an activation energy of ~0.2 eV, and the slope in red corresponds to ~0.05 eV.** 

**0.2 eV is the approximate amount of energy needed to break the bond of a Pt-O pair.** 



# Structural Disorder

**Above shows a typical Pt-Pt pair trajectory with respect to time. The high frequency oscillations are due to atomic vibration, while the low frequency oscillations are due to structural disorder.** 

**Below is a power spectrum of the trajectory with the high and low frequency components separated. Although the vibrational component of the disorder roughly doubles with a doubling of temperature, the increase in structural disorder is substantial.** 



## Summary

- We have employed a molecular dynamics simulation program to investigate the behavior of Pt10 nanoclusters supported on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.
- A carefully-chosen model potential can produce results comparable to ab-initio DFT/MD calculations, with the added opportunity of exploring dynamics at much longer time evolutions.
- $\blacksquare$  The calculated results may indicate high temperature cluster-surface interactions are effecting the physical and chemical behavior of the cluster (increased disorder, diffusion, etc.)

## Acknowledgements

This effort would not be possible without the outstanding mentorship of

Dr. Fernando D. Vila

and

Dr. John J. Rehr.

I would like to thank the directors of the REU program, Alejandro Garcia and Deep Gupta, as well as the INT staff for their time and support.

I would also like to thank the NSF for their funding.

Thank you.

# Energy Fluctuations in Time

**A look at the structure of the Nose-Hoover thermostat reveals a low-frequency periodic oscillation of energy about thermodynamic equilibrium.** 

**DFT/MD calculations cannot capture these long-period energy fluctuations. This is another advantage of using a model potential.** 



# Thermodynamics (cont.)

**The energy fluctuations of the system arise from the probability of an atom interacting with the heat bath (i.e. the Alumina support).** 

**The probability is related to the entropy of the system, which depends on the number of distinguishable configurations of the nanocluster, Ω.** 

 $P(E,T) \approx \Omega(E)e^{-\beta E} = e^{-\beta F(E,T)}$  $k \ln \Omega(E) = S(E)$  $F(E,T) = E - T S(E)$  $S(E) \approx 3Nk \ln\left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)$  $\sigma_{\rm E}^2 = k \left| \frac{\partial^2 S(E)}{\partial E^2} \right|^{-1}$  $\sigma_{\rm E} \approx \frac{E}{\sqrt{3N}}$   $E = \frac{3}{2} NkT$ 

# Nosé-Hoover Thermostat

**The Nosé-Hoover thermostat introduces a virtual variable** ζ **into the equations of motion.** 

**The Nosé-Hoover thermostat is the preferred method for constant temperature MD simulations.** 

 $Q = N_{DoF} k_B T_0 \tau^2$  $\xi = \frac{2K - N_{DoF} k_B T_0}{O}$  $r(t+h) = r(t) + h v(t) + \frac{1}{2}h^2\left(\frac{F(t)}{m} - \zeta(t) v(t)\right)$  $v(t+\frac{h}{2}) = v(t) + \frac{1}{2}h\left(\frac{F(t)}{m} - \zeta(t) v(t)\right)$  $\zeta(t+h) = \zeta(t) + \frac{h}{2Q}\Big(K(t) + K\Big(t + \frac{h}{2}\Big) - 2N_{DoF}k_B T_0\Big)$  $v(t+h) = \frac{2}{2+h\,\zeta(t+h)} \left(v(t+\frac{h}{2}) + \frac{h}{2}\frac{F(t+h)}{m}\right)$