## TALENT/INT Course on Nuclear Forces Exercises and Discussion Questions Th2

[Last revised on July 11, 2013 at 11:27:15.]

## Thursday 2: RG 2; 3N forces 2

This is a short exercise set (comparatively :). If you have time after going through these, please go back and work on unfinished problems from previous sets.

- 1. Two minute and discussion questions:
  - (a) Consider an operator written in second quantization using a particular single-particle basis. How would you identify one-body, two-body, three-body, etc. parts?
  - (b) If you evolve a Hamiltonian matrix with the similarity RG (SRG), which of the following do not change  $(\lambda = 1/s^{1/4})$ :
    - i. all phase shifts  $\delta_l(k)$  or only phase shifts  $\delta_l(k)$  for  $k \leq \lambda$ ;
    - ii. determinant of  $H_s$ ; trace of  $H_s$ ; trace of  $H_s^2$ .
  - (c) Explain in terms of decoupling of high and low momenta why SRG evolution generates many-body forces.
  - (d) If you compare band and block diagonal SRG evolution, where is the scheme dependence and where is the scale dependence?
  - (e) According to chiral effective field theory, are there five-body forces? What about 200-body forces? If you answer yes, give an example of each.
  - (f) If we start with the Argonne AV18 NN potential and evolve it to a lower resolution, have we changed the potential? What about many-body forces?
  - (g) What is the dominant change to the momentum-space potential when you evolve to lower resolution? How is this related to running couplings in pionless EFT?
  - (h) Earlier we associate the change in sign from positive to negative of the <sup>1</sup>S<sub>0</sub> phase shift with the large repulsive core of a local NN potential. But SRG evolution makes the core go away, yet the phase shifts are unchanged. How do we interpret why the phase shift changes sign now? If a purely local potential must have a repulsive core to change sign, what can a non-local potential have instead? [Note: this is an example of how changing resolution can change the *interpretation* of physics observables.]
  - (i) To which observables of nuclei do 3N forces contribute? When we say that 3N forces contribute to the ground-state energy, why can't we measure 3N forces?
  - (j) What are sources for the differences in the  $c_i$ 's from the different extractions? Is the range given for the different  $c_i$ 's large or as expected?
  - (k) What would happen to nuclei, if 3N forces were purely attractive (assuming higher-body forces vanish)?

2. An operator O transforms under a unitary transformation  $U_s$  by

$$O_s = U(s)OU(s)^{\dagger}$$
.

- (a) Using problem 2 from Wednesday's exercises as a guide, derive the flow equation for  $O_s$  (that is, the equation for  $dO_s/ds$ ).
- (b) Derive the SRG flow equation for the unitary transformation  $U_s$ . [Hint: start from

$$\eta(s) \equiv \frac{dU(s)}{ds} U^{\dagger}(s) = [G_s, H_s]$$

and remember that  $U^{\dagger}(s)U(s) = 1.$ ]

3. According to the Particle Data Group's article on Quantum Chromodynamcs (QCD) [see http://pdg.lbl.gov/2012/reviews/rpp2012-rev-qcd.pdf]:

"In the framework of perturbative QCD (pQCD), predictions for observables are expressed in terms of the renormalized coupling  $\alpha_s(\mu_R)$ , a function of an (unphysical)

renormalization scale  $\mu_R$ . When one takes  $\mu_R$  close to the scale of the momentum transfer Q in a given process, then  $\alpha_s(\mu_R = Q^2)$  is indicative of the effective strength of the strong interaction in that process.

The coupling satisfies the following renormalization group equation (RGE):

$$\mu_R^2 \frac{d\alpha_s}{d\mu_R^2} = \beta(\alpha_s) = -(b_0 \alpha_s^2 + b_1 \alpha_s^3 + b_2 \alpha_s^4 + \cdots) ,$$

where  $b_0 = (11C_A - 4n_f T_R)/(12\pi) = (33 - 2n_f)/(12\pi)$  is referred to as the 1-loop beta-function coefficient, ... "

- (a) In our SRG formalism, what is the analog of the running coupling (or running couplings)?
- (b) What is the  $\beta$  function?
- (c) What plays the role of  $\mu_R$ ?
- 4. More on the leading  $N^2LO$  3N forces.
  - (a) Are the  $N^2LO$  3N forces isospin symmetric?
  - (b) Show that the  $c_4$  part of the two-pion-exchange N<sup>2</sup>LO 3N forces does not contribute in the T = 3/2 channel (so, e.g., to three neutrons).
  - (c) We saw that the pion couples derivatively to the spin of a single nucleon by  $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{q}$ . We therefore expect that, in the one-pion-exchange 3N force given by the *D*-term, the pion couples to the spin of the two nucleons,  $\mathbf{S} = (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_m + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n)/2$ , where m, n label the two nucleons interacting at the contact interaction. Is this the case?
  - (d) Does the short-range 3N force given by the *E*-term contribute to three neutrons? (Hint: note that this depends on the regulator.)