### Introductory remarks - to second day

Hard exclusive processes with a future ep/eA collider

Mark Strikman

Joint INT/JLab/BNL Workshop



Now a Ring-Ring Design, using Polarized Electron Injection and "Stacking" in a Storage Ring (as presented at QCDFP/EIC2006 Workshop at BNL, July 2006)

# Lower Energy option = LE

#### eRHIC Ring-Ring Design



Existing RHIC ring for polarized p and un-polarized A Luminosity assumes at least 2 A-A experiments on (limiting the luminosity) *Electrons and positrons easily possible* One IR, can be built in short time scale

EnergyE	[GeV]	10	250	10	100
$k = \epsilon y / \epsilon_X$		0.18	1	0.18	1
Κσ=σγ/σχ		0.43	0.43	0.43	0.43
$\varepsilon_n$ (io n)	[πmm mrad]		15.0		6.0
Emittancs &x	[nm.rad]	54.0	9.4	54.0	9.4
Emittancs &y	[nm.rad]	9.7	9.4	9.7	9.4
βx*	[m]	0.19	1.08	0.19	1.08
βу*	[m]	0.19	0.2	0.19	0.2
ξx		0.042	0.0095	0.033	0.0095
ξу		0.1	0.0041	0.08	0.0041
Particles/Bunch	6 - 1	1.40E+11	1.4 1E+11	1.38E+11	1.43E+09
Luminosity £	$[cm^{-2}s^{-1}]$		1.0E+33		10E+31

Source: eRHIC Zero<sup>th</sup> Design Report http://www.bnl.gov/eic

Table 2.4.2- 2 Parameters for higher luminosity--high electron beam energy.

Two Higher Energy options = HE

### eRHIC Linac-Ring Design

If community support is wide

- A design that allows up to four IRs
- 10->20 GeV upgrade trivial
- Clear advantages for element free regions in Interaction regions

Parameters	ERL	ion ring		
		р	Au	
C, m	1022 or 3833	3833		
E, GeV	5-10 2-20	250	100/u	
n <sub>b</sub>		360		
N <sub>b</sub>	1·10 <sup>11</sup>	<b>2·10</b> <sup>11</sup>	2.109	
I, A	0.45	0.45		
E <sub>rmsn</sub> μm	<50	3-9		
β*, cm	~100	20		
٤	~1	0.024		
L, cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>		1.1034	$1.10^{32}$	



Use existing p and A beam ring Add linac to the complex Assumes 2 A-A experiments on, limiting the estimated luminosity (in reality this may not be true, and lumi can be increased) Two direction - moderate x > 0.05 and pushing to as small x as possible

 $x_{min} \sim Q^2_{min} / W^2 = Q^2_{min} / 4E_e E_p$ 

# $I/x_{min}$ linear in maximal $E_{e,}E_{p}$

Potential advantage of collider (<u>if collision region and detector are designed</u> <u>properly</u>) - detection of fast particles (nucleons, mesons, ...) in the nucleon/ nucleus fragmentation region.

Small x region - physics of large longitudinal distances

 $l_{coh}(x) = (1 \div 2)/m_N x \Longrightarrow l_{coh}(x = 10^{-2}) = 10 \div 20 \, fm$ 

probably beyond the range of lattice QCD in the next 15 years

Small x - in NLO interplay of quark and gluon GPDs Need to measure both. Even more complicated that in DIS Example: difference in the t-dependence of quark and gluon QPD

B<sub>DVCS</sub> (x=10<sup>-3</sup>, Q<sup>2</sup>=8 GeV<sup>2</sup>)≈6 GeV<sup>-2</sup>

B<sub>gluon gpd</sub> (x=10<sup>-3</sup>, Q<sup>2</sup>=3÷8 GeV<sup>2</sup>)≈3.5÷4.5 GeV<sup>-2</sup> from J/ψ electro/photo production

A.Freund and M.Mcdermott:  $\sigma_{DVCS}$  is difference of term due to quark and gluon gpd's with second term reducing  $\sigma_{DVCS}$  by nearly a factor of 2

 $\Delta = B_{\text{quark gpd}} - B_{\text{gluon gpd}} \longrightarrow B_{\text{DVCS gpd}} - B_{\text{gluon gpd}} = 2 \Delta$ 

Crucial to have high precision measurements of t -dependence for a wide range of hard processes

Need to explore relative merits of different options from the angle of

- x, Q<sup>2</sup> range covered
- Acceptance in the forward region accurate measurement of t -dependence for diagonal case measurement of non-diagonal processes  $\gamma^* + p \rightarrow \gamma(M) + \Delta^+(N^*)$  $\gamma^* + \vec{p} \rightarrow K^+ + \vec{\Lambda}$

for small x  $I(N^*)=1/2$  - baryon spectroscopy; chiral dynamics for gpd's



- Particle ID in the current fragmentation region
- Tagged neutrons for neutron gpd's

At what x,  $Q^2$  LE option with higher lumi wins over HE? Optimizing energies of the runs - gains in the L/T separation Nuclear gpds and exclusive processes Nuclear pdf  $\approx$  A × (nucleon pdf) for 0.4 > x > 0.02 with 10% accuracy  $\downarrow$  ? Nuclear gpd  $\approx$  A × (nucleon gpd) for 0.4 > x > 0.02 with 10% accuracy  $\downarrow$  ≡Color transparency Amplitude( $\gamma^* + A \rightarrow \gamma(V) + A$ ) = Amplitude( $\gamma^* + A \rightarrow \gamma(V) + A$ )F<sub>A</sub>(t) Amplitude( $\gamma^* + A \rightarrow \gamma(V) + A'$ [nucleus breakup]) = 0<sub>1</sub>t=0  $\neq$  0 due to  $\downarrow$   $\neq$  0 due to  $\downarrow$   $\downarrow$   $\downarrow$  0 due to

Deviations from CT due to HT effects - x~0.05 optimal as expansion effects are small exam Ear beavy nuclei can probe very sr

effects are small example of HT diagram leading to shadowing For heavy nuclei can probe very small  $\sigma_{eff} \sim 5$  mb

Another strategy - A -dependence of break up (good for all x)  $\sigma(\gamma^* + A \to \gamma(V) + A'[nucleus breakup]) \propto A^n \quad \text{CT: n=I, large } \sigma_{\text{eff}} \quad n=I/3$ 



EMC type effects

The leading twist prediction is

Factor of > 2 suppression of cross sections at x< 0.005 for large Q<sup>2</sup> for light mesons and for onium production for all Q. (Diagonal DVCS is very difficult for such x - Guzey talk)

Data with nuclei are crucial for determining the Q range which could be used to extract gpd's in scattering off nuleons