# Deformed Coordinate-Space HFB: Finite-Temperature Fission Barriers

Junchen Pei

# UTK/ORNL

#### M. Stoitsov, W. Nazarewicz, G.I. Fann, N. Shunck, F.R. Xu

#### J.A. Sheikh , A.K. Kerman

5th ANL/MSU/JINA/INT FRIB Workshop

MSU, Nov. 21, 2008



#### Outline



- Various HFB codes
- HFBAX development
- Benchmarking Calculations
- Pinite-Temperature Fission Barriers of SHE

### Purpose of Coordinate-Space HFB-AX



### Purpose of Coordinate-Space HFB-AX



- Correct treat the surface properties and better convergence: halo structure, deformed halo, hot nuclei
- Provide better input for HFB+QRPA: pygmy resonance
- A general purpose tool for nuclear, atomic systems: Skyrme, Gogny, SLDA
- Large scale calculation utilizing the new generation computer

#### Purpose of Coordinate-Space HFB-AX



- Correct treat the surface properties and better convergence: halo structure, deformed halo, hot nuclei
- Provide better input for HFB+QRPA: pygmy resonance
- A general purpose tool for nuclear, atomic systems: Skyrme, Gogny, SLDA
- Large scale calculation utilizing the new generation computer



### HFB codes based on HO basis expansion

HFB codes based on HO basis (have been strictly tested):

- 2D HFB-THO
  - based on transformed HO basis to improve the description of drip-line nuclei
  - very efficient in calculating the whole mass table

M.V. Stoitsov, J. Dobaczewski, W. Nazarewicz, P. Ring, Comp. Phys. Commun. 167, 43(2005).



- based on 3D Cartesian HO basis
- proper code to calculate the asymmetric and triaxial fission paths
- time-reversal symmetry broken

J. Dobaczewski and P. Olbratowski, Comp. Phys. Commun., 167, 214(2004)

#### Advantages of Coordinate-Space HFB codes

Gauss-type vs exponential asymptotics:

Convergence of HO basis:



240Pu FISSION ISOMER WITH SKM\* FUNCTIONAL

M. Stoitsov, PRC, 2003

plot by N. Nikolov

#### Various Coordinate-Space HFB codes

1D HFBRAD: finite-difference method standard tool for benchmarking others codes at spherical cases

K. Bennaceur, and J. Dobaczewski, Compt. Phys. Comm. 168, 96 (2005).

- 2D HFB-Lattice: B-spline direct diagonalization method useful for studying deformation at drip-lines but very time consuming *E. Terán*, V.E. Oberacker, and A.S. Umar, Phys. Rev. C 67, 064314 (2003).
- 2D HFB-AX: B-spline direct diagonalization method numerical optimized to be as fast as HFODD; parallel; accurate J.C. Pei, M.V. Stoitsov, G. I. Fann, W. Nazarewicz, N. Schunck, and F.R. Xu, arXiv:0807.3036

J.C. Fel, M.V. Stollsov, G. I. Fallil, W. Nazarewicz, N. Schulick, and F.H. X

#### 3D HFB

two-basis method: B. Gall, P.Bonche, J. Dobaczewski, et al. Z. Phys. A 348, 183(1994) canonical basis: N. Tajima, Physical Review C, vol.69, 034305 (2004)

3D Madness-HFB: adaptive multi-resolution wavelets error is controllable; spin-orbit has been implemented 3D HFB is still in progress (succeed for fixed potential recently) G.I. Fann, R.J. Harrison, G. Beylkin, J. Jia, R. Hartman-Baker, W.A. Shelton, and S. Sugiki, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 78, 012018 (2007)

#### **B-Spline techniques**

In HFBAX, the wave functions are discretized on a 2D grid ( $r_{\alpha}$ ,  $z_{\beta}$ ) with the *M*-order B-splines:

$$\psi_{n\Omega^{\pi}q}^{(1,2)}(\rho_{\alpha}, Z_{\beta}) = \sum_{i,j} B_i^M(\rho_{\alpha}) B_j^M(Z_{\beta}) C_{n\Omega^{\pi}q}^{ij(1,2)},$$

where  $C^{ij}$  is the matrix of expansion coefficients;  $\alpha = 1, ..., N_{\rho}$  and  $\beta = 1, ..., N_{z}$ .

The mesh point numbers:  $N_z = N_\rho = \frac{R}{h} + (M - 1) - 2$ , for a box of size *R*, max mesh size *h*, and *M*-order B-Splines.





#### **Operators**

Define matrix from Galerkin method:

$$\mathcal{O}(kl, ij) = \int v(r, z) B_k(r) B_l(z) \mathcal{O} B_l(r) B_j(z) dr dz$$
$$G(kl, ij) = \int v(r, z) B_k(r) B_l(z) B_l(r) B_j(z) dr dz$$

Operators  $[\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}]$  are constructed with boundary conditions:

$$\mathcal{O}^{lphaeta}_{\delta\gamma} = \sum_{ij,kl,i'j'} ilde{B}^{r}_{\delta i'} ilde{B}^{z}_{\gamma j'} G^{i'j',kl} \mathcal{O}_{kl,ij} ilde{B}^{lpha i}_{r} ilde{B}^{eta j}_{z}$$

HFB equation becomes:

$$\sum_{lphaeta} \mathcal{H}^{lphaeta}_{\delta\gamma} \mathit{f}_{lphaeta} = \mathit{g}_{\delta\gamma}$$

We diagonalize the operators (non-symmetric), not actually the Hamiltonian matrix  $\langle \psi_i | H | \psi_j \rangle$  like the basis expansion method.

### **Diagonalization Speedup**

 Instead of diagonalizing the full HFB matrix, it is equivalent to diagonalize half of the matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} (h-\lambda+i\tilde{h})(h-\lambda-i\tilde{h}) & 0 \\ 0 & (-h+\lambda+i\tilde{h})(-h+\lambda-i\tilde{h}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U' \\ V' \end{pmatrix} = \mathsf{E}_{\alpha}^{2} \begin{pmatrix} U' \\ V' \end{pmatrix}$$

 The resulting energy spectrum can be several GeV. We want an energy cut-off in LAPACK. For non-symmetric matrix: Hessenberg form->eigenvalues->eigenvectors (selection cutoff)

### **Broyden method**



The Broyden mixing:

$$\boldsymbol{V}_{in}^{(m+1)} = \boldsymbol{V}_{in}^{(m)} + \mathbf{B}^{(m)} \boldsymbol{F}^{(m)},$$

 $B^{(m)}$  is a matrix that includes several previous iteration information.

Andrzej Baran, et al. Phys. Rev. C 78, 014318 (2008) In HFB-AX,

$$\boldsymbol{V} \equiv \left\{ \rho_{\boldsymbol{q}}, \tau_{\boldsymbol{q}}, \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathsf{J}}_{\boldsymbol{q}}, \tilde{\rho}_{\boldsymbol{q}}, \nabla^2 \rho_{\boldsymbol{q}}, \nabla_{\rho} \rho_{\boldsymbol{q}}, \nabla_{z} \rho_{\boldsymbol{q}} \right\}.$$





| (MeV)               | HFB-AX        | HFBRAD        | HFBTHO              |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|
|                     | <i>h</i> =0.6 | <i>h</i> =0.1 | N <sub>sh</sub> =25 |
| E <sub>tot</sub>    | -1018.795     | -1018.791     | -1018.777           |
| E <sub>C</sub>      | 347.442       | 347.400       | 347.370             |
| $E_{kin}^{p}$       | 830.856       | 830.848       | 830.735             |
| $E_{kin}^{n}$       | 1340.675      | 1340.668      | 1340.458            |
| $E_{pair}^{n}$      | -12.491       | -12.467       | -12.467             |
| $\tilde{E}_{kin}^n$ | 1328.184      | 1328.201      | 1327.991            |
| $\Delta_n$          | 1.2448        | 1.2446        | 1.2447              |
| $\lambda_n$         | -8.0186       | -8.0181       | -8.0168             |

The renormalized kinetic energy  $\tilde{E}_{kin} = E_{kin} + E_{pair}$  is less sensitive to the actual discretization of quasi-particle continuum.

### Ni isotopes

### **Pairing properties**

HFBRAD: J<sub>max</sub>; HFBAX: Ω<sub>max</sub>



Conclusion: Neutron drip-line nuclei with surface pairing require very large angular momentum cutoff.

#### **Densities**





|                | HFB-AX   | HFBTHO   |                         | HFB-AX   | HFBTHO   |
|----------------|----------|----------|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| Etot           | -893.983 | -893.840 | $\tilde{E}_{kin}^n$     | 1365.006 | 1364.878 |
| E <sub>C</sub> | 226.758  | 226.712  | $\Delta_n$              | 0.636    | 0.652    |
| $E_{kin}^{p}$  | 632.115  | 631.882  | $\lambda_n$             | -3.552   | -3.543   |
| $E_{kin}^{n}$  | 1368.206 | 1368.201 | $Q_{20}^p$              | 444.02   | 443.90   |
| Enair          | -3.200   | -3.323   | $Q_{20}^{\overline{n}}$ | 788.32   | 786.63   |





'potential.dat' -10 -20

### **Two-center Potential Test**

The two-center separated potential provides a good test for different numerical methods at very large deformations:

|                |                         |                         |                        |                          | 40               |
|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| $\Omega^{\pi}$ | HO                      | HO                      | B-spline               | Wavelets                 | - 5              |
|                | N <sub>sh</sub> =20     | N <sub>sh</sub> =30     | <i>h</i> =0.6          | -5 -                     | -15              |
| 1/2+           | -22.23916               | -22.240 <mark>08</mark> | -22.24011              | -22.24011                | -25              |
| $1/2^{-}$      | -22.23 <mark>816</mark> | -22.2399 <mark>5</mark> | -22.23998              | -22.23998 []             |                  |
| 1/2+           | -9.43 <mark>145</mark>  | -9.436 <mark>59</mark>  | -9.4366 <mark>3</mark> | –9.43662 ∞               | 40 45            |
| 3/2-           | -9.4 <mark>231</mark> 4 | -9.43 <mark>199</mark>  | -9.4320 <mark>3</mark> | -9.43202 🚆               |                  |
| 3/2+           | -9.4 <mark>256</mark> 1 | -9.430 <mark>78</mark>  | -9.4308 <mark>1</mark> | -9.43080 -               | 15 <sup>20</sup> |
| $1/2^{-}$      | -9.41 <mark>93</mark> 1 | -9.4278 <mark>3</mark>  | -9.42788               | _9.42788 <sup>-</sup> *√ | 15 - 15 - 15     |
| 1/2+           | -8.77 <mark>250</mark>  | -8.7782 <mark>5</mark>  | -8.77828               | -8.77828                 | 2024             |
| 1/2-           | -8.7 <mark>6475</mark>  | -8.7738 <mark>0</mark>  | -8.7738 <mark>4</mark> | -8.77383                 | 6 820            |
| 1/2+           | -1.70727                | -1.72 <mark>405</mark>  | -1.725 <mark>06</mark> | -1.72516                 |                  |
| 1/2-           | -1.49222                | -1.52 <mark>490</mark>  | -1.526 <mark>75</mark> | -1.52693                 |                  |

#### **Fission Pathways**

The HO basis HFB can have several hundreds of KeV differences in the prediction of fission barriers at large deformations.



#### **Atomic calculations**

Superfluid Local Density Approximation(SLDA) for Fermi system description: no spin-orbit coupling Aurel Bulgac, arXiv:cond-mat/0703526

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{r}) = \alpha \frac{\tau_c(\mathbf{r})}{2} + \beta \frac{3(3\pi^2)^{2/3} n^{5/3}(\mathbf{r})}{10} + g_{eff}(\mathbf{r}) |\nu_c(\mathbf{r})|^2 + V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) n(\mathbf{r})$$

where  $g_{eff}(\mathbf{r})$  is renormalized pairing. Similarly the HFB equation with two components: spin up and down,

$$\begin{cases} [h(\mathbf{r}) - \mu] u_k(\mathbf{r}) + \Delta(\mathbf{r}) v_k(\mathbf{r}) = E_k u_k(\mathbf{r}), \\ \Delta^*(\mathbf{r}) u_k(\mathbf{r}) - [h(\mathbf{r}) - \mu] v_k(\mathbf{r}) = E_k v_k(\mathbf{r}), \end{cases}$$

Recently we have implemented that in HFBAX.

G. Bertsch, J. Dobaczewski, w. Nazarewicz, J. Pei, arXiv:0808.1874





# **HFBAX and applications**

# Pinite-Temperature Fission Barriers of SHE

- Interesting experiments
- Calculations and results

#### Important SHE experiments

- Cold-fusion in GSI (<sup>70</sup>Zn+<sup>208</sup>Pb)<sup>278</sup>112→<sup>277</sup>112+n S. Hofmann and G. Münzenberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 7. 733(2000).
- e hot-fusion in Dubna (<sup>48</sup>Ca+<sup>244</sup>Pu)<sup>292</sup>114→<sup>288</sup>114+4/

Y. Oganessian, Pure Appl. Chem. 78, 889(2006).

highly-hot fusion experiment <sup>312</sup>124\*(<sup>74</sup>Ge+<sup>238</sup>U) > 10<sup>-18</sup> s

M. Morjean, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 072701(2008).



### Important SHE experiments

cold-fusion in **GSI** (<sup>70</sup>Zn+<sup>208</sup>Pb)<sup>278</sup>112→<sup>277</sup>112+*n* 

S. Hofmann and G. Münzenberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 72, 733(2000).

2 hot-fusion in Dubna (<sup>48</sup>Ca+<sup>244</sup>Pu)<sup>292</sup>114→<sup>288</sup>114+4n

Y. Oganessian, Pure Appl. Chem. 78, 889(2006).

initial bigstail b

M. Morjean, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 072701(2008). One of the key problems is the survivability of the highly excited compound nuclei. While temperature dependent fission barrier calculations of SHE is still absent.



# **Important SHE experiments**

cold-fusion in **GSI** (<sup>70</sup>Zn+<sup>208</sup>Pb)<sup>278</sup>112→<sup>277</sup>112+*n* 

S. Hofmann and G. Münzenberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 72, 733(2000).

e hot-fusion in Dubna (<sup>48</sup>Ca+<sup>244</sup>Pu)<sup>292</sup>114→<sup>288</sup>114+4n

Y. Oganessian, Pure Appl. Chem. 78, 889(2006).

inighly-hot fusion experiment <sup>312</sup>124\*(<sup>74</sup>Ge+<sup>238</sup>U) > 10<sup>-18</sup> s



M. Morjean, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 072701(2008). One of the key problems is the survivability of the highly excited compound nuclei. While temperature dependent fission barrier calculations of SHE is still absent.

What's the secrets here?

### **FT-HFBAX**

In the case of Finite Temperature, the HFB density matrix  $\rho$  and pairing tensor  $\kappa$  is

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i} [f_i |U_i(\mathbf{r})|^2 + (1 - f_i) |V_i(\mathbf{r})|^2]$$
$$\tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{r}) = -\sum_{i} (1 - 2f_i) V_i(\mathbf{r}) U_i^*(\mathbf{r})$$
$$f_i = \frac{1}{1 + e^{(E_i/kT)}}$$

Also we should modify the kinetic densities  $\tau$ , the spin currents  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}(r)$ . Conventionally the potential energy is obtained by free energy F = E - TS, *S* is the entropy. The SkM\* force is chosen for fission barrier calculations.

J. Pei, W. Nazarewicz, J.A. Sheikh , A.K. Kerman, to be appear.

#### Gas solutions in box

unphysical gas is obatained by solving the Hartree-Fock equation without potential. T. Vertse, A.T. Kruppa, W. Nazarewicz, PRC, 2000



Fortunately the gas wouldn't change the fission barrier calculations.

Finite-Temperature Fission Barriers of SHE  $\circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ$ 

#### Isothermal or isentropic fission



Equivalent at low temperatures in self-consistent calculations: consistent with the relation:  $-P = (\frac{\partial U}{\partial V})_S = (\frac{\partial F}{\partial V})_T$ 

But they are very different in Maro-microscopic calculations:

M. Diebel, K. Albrecht and R.W. Hasse, Nucl. Phys. A355, 66(1981).

#### results



#### results



In experimental analysis, usually we using a damping factor  $\gamma_D$ :

$$B_f = B_{LD} - \delta W e^{-\gamma_D E^*}$$

M.G. Itkis, Yu. Ts. Oganessian and V.I. Zagrebaev, Phys. Rev. C 65, 044602(2002). We can fit that after kT > 0.5, 7.6e<sup> $-E^*/16$ </sup> for <sup>278</sup>112 and 9.6e<sup> $-E^*/34$ </sup> for <sup>292</sup>114.



- A 2D HFB-AX has been developed, it is very accurate, reasonable fast, very useful for describing weakly bound nuclei and elongated nuclear shapes.
- It can easily be extended to describe atomic system by SLDA.
- Interesting results have been obtained using the finite-temperature calculations of SHE fission barriers