

Heaven and Earth: Nuclear Astrophysics in the Multimessenger Era **UC RIVERSIDE** 2023 National Nuclear Physics Summer School





J. Piekarewicz





Lectures will attempt to provide an overall personal picture of the emergent field of multi-messenger astronomy from a nuclear physics perspective







Please ask questions!

Neutron Stars: The Role of Nuclear Science

- Neutron stars are the remnants of massive stellar explosions (CCSN) Bound by gravity – NOT by the strong force! Satisfy the TOV equations: Transition from Newtonian Gravity to Einstein Gravity
- Only Physics that the TOV equation is sensitive to: Equation of State
- Increase from 0.7 to 2 Msun transfers ownership to Nuclear Physics! 0







Status before GW170817

Many nuclear models that account for the properties of finite nuclei yield enormous variations in the prediction of neutron-star radii and maximum mass

Only observational constraint in the form of two neutron stars with a mass in the vicinity of $2M_{sun}$



Gravity vs Degeneracy Pressure



Why are not all death stars black holes? What supports death stars against gravitational collapse?

Sirius A and B







Scaling the equations: dealing with astronomical numbers

 $\frac{dM}{dr} = 4\pi r^2 \rho(r) = 4\pi r^2 \frac{\mathcal{E}(r)}{c^2}$

Relativistic free Fermi Gas

$$r = R_0 x; M = M_0 m$$
$$P = \mathcal{E}_0 p; \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0 \varepsilon$$







Gravitational Energy:

NR free Fermi Gas:



UR free Fermi Gas:

Chandrasekhar Mass:



 $\frac{E_{FG}^{(UR)}(M,R)}{Mc^2} = K_{UR} \left(\frac{M^{1/3}}{R}\right)$

 $M_{\rm ch} = 6.88 \, M_{\odot} = 4(1.72 \, M_{\odot})$



Neutron Stars are NOT Newtonian Stars! $\frac{v^2}{c^2} = \frac{2GM}{c^2R} = \frac{R_s(M)}{R} \approx 1/2$

Transition from Newtonian Gravity to Einstein Gravity is essential!



Neutron stars also collapse (black holes) but by a very different mechanism than WDs The hydrostatic configuration becomes unstable against small oscillations ...

$$\frac{dM}{dr} = 4\pi r^2 \mathcal{E}(r)$$

$$\frac{dP}{dr} = -G \frac{\mathcal{E}(r)M(r)}{r^2} \left[1 + \frac{P(r)}{\mathcal{E}(r)} \right]$$

$$\left[1 + \frac{4\pi r^3 P(r)}{M(r)} \right] \left[1 - \frac{2GM(r)}{r} \right]^{-1}$$

Need an EOS: $P = P(\mathcal{E})$ relation

Nuclear Physics Critical

Micro-macro connection



The Liquid Drop Model

Bethe-Weizsäcker Mass Formula (circa 1935-36)

- Solution $R = r_0 A^{1/3}$ Nuclear forces saturate equilibrium density
- Nuclei penalized for developing a surface
- Nuclei penalized by Coulomb repulsion
- Nuclei penalized for isospin imbalance $(N \neq Z)$
 - $B(Z,N) = -a_v A + a_s A^{2/3} + a_c Z^2 / A^{1/3} + a_a (N-Z)^2 / A + \dots$ + shell corrections (2, 8, 20, 28, 50, 82, 126, ...)

$$a_v \simeq 16.0, \ a_s \simeq 17.2, \ a_c \simeq 0.7, \ a_a \simeq 23.3$$
 (in M

Neutron stars are gravitationally bound!





leV)

Why is there a neutron drip line?



$$S(\rho_0) \approx \left(E_{\rm PNM} - E_{\rm SNM} \right) (\rho_0) =$$
$$P_{\rm PNM} \approx \frac{1}{3} L \rho_0 \text{ (Pressure of PN)}$$





The Equation of State of Neutron-Rich Matter

- The EOS of asymmetric matter: $\alpha = (N-Z)/A$; $x = (\rho \rho_0)/(3\rho_0)$; T = 0 $\rho_0 \simeq 0.15 \text{ fm-3} - \text{saturation density} \leftrightarrow \text{nuclear density}$ $\mathcal{E}(\rho,\alpha) \simeq \mathcal{E}_0(\rho) + \alpha^2 \mathcal{S}(\rho) \simeq \left(\epsilon_0 + \frac{1}{2}K_0 x^2\right) + \left(J + Lx + \frac{1}{2}K_{\rm sym} x^2\right) \alpha^2$
- Symmetric nuclear matter saturates:
- $\epsilon_0 \simeq -16 \text{ MeV} \text{binding energy per nucleon} \leftrightarrow \text{nuclear masses}$
- $\sim K_0 \simeq 230 \text{ MeV} \text{nuclear incompressibility} \leftrightarrow \text{nuclear "breathing" mode}$
- Density dependence of symmetry poorly constrained: Ö \bigcirc J \simeq 30 MeV − symmetry energy \leftrightarrow masses of neutron-rich nuclei ○ L \simeq ? — symmetry slope \leftrightarrow neutron skin (R_n-R_p) of heavy nuclei ?









 $S(\rho_0) \approx (E_{\rm PNM} - E_{\rm SNM})($ $P_{\rm PNM} \approx \frac{1}{2} L \rho_0 \ ({\rm Pressure of PNM})$



e-Scattering at Stanford Our most accurate picture of the nuclear charge distribution

Robert Hofstadter (February 5, 1915 - November 17, 1990)





Diffraction – Hofstadter, Nobel (1961)

570



Fig. 5. This figure shows the elastic and inelastic curves corresponding to the scattering of 420-MeV electrons by "C. The *solid circles*, representing experimental points, show the elastic-scattering behavior while the *solid squares* show the inelastic-scattering curve for the 4.43-MeV level in carbon. The *solid line* through the elastic data shows the type of fit that can be calculated by phase-shift theory for the model of carbon shown in Fig. 8.



Diffractive electron scattering on nuclei and the resulting charge density distributions, images of spherical nuclei

"Symmetrized Fermi" Form Factor



Experimental Form Factor is the Fourier transform of the Density

 $F_{\rm SF}(q) \rightarrow \frac{\cos(qc+\delta)}{qc}e^{-\pi qa}$



Diffractive Oscillations ("c") modulated by an exponential falloff ("πa")





Parity Violating Electron Scattering Laboratory Constraints on the EOS



- Laboratory experiments constrain the EOS of pure neutron matter around saturation density: P_{PNM}=L
- Although a fundamental parameter of the EOS, L is not a physical observable — yet is strongly correlated to one: the neutron-rich skin of a heavy nucleus such as ²⁰⁸Pb
- Parity-violating elastic electron scattering is the cleanest experimental tool to measure the neutron radius of lead (PREX, PREX-II, and MREX)

The Quest for L=P_{PNM}

Neutrons

Protons

Neutrons





The neutron skin thickness of ²⁰⁸Pb (Z=82, N=126)





Heroic effort from our experimental colleagues



χEFT(2013) Skins(Sn) QMC $\alpha_{\rm D}({\rm RPA})$



χEFT(2013) Skins(Sn) QMC $\alpha_{\rm D}({\rm RPA})$

200

PREX: L is BIG!

 (106 ± 37) MeV 50 150 100

L(MeV)

0

 (38.29 ± 4.66)

30

55 50 45 35 40 J(MeV)





Heaven and Earth Laboratory Constraints on the EOS





The slope of the symmetry energy L controls both the neutron skin of heavy nuclei as well as the radius of (low mass) neutron stars — objects that differ in size by 18 orders of magnitude!



The Cosmic Distance Ladder

Succession of methods to determine the distances to celestial objects. Each rung of the ladder provides information that can be used to determine the distances at the next higher rung.



The EOS Density Ladder

Each rung on the ladder relies on other methods for measuring the **EOS** that are often piggybacking on a neighboring one.



