

Lattice QCD (selected topics) Lecture 2

Martha Constantinou



Temple University

July 11, 2023

OUTLINE OF LECTURE 2

★ Just a bit of Renormalization within Lattice QCD

- perturbatively
- non-perturbatively
- **Hadron spectroscopy**
- ★ Hadron structure
- **★ Key points of Lecture 2**



Origin of ultra-violet divergences in field theories is explained by the theory of renormalization. It also gives a prescription on how to systematically remove them so they don't appear in physical quantities.

Schroeder M. Peskin



Origin of ultra-violet divergences in field theories is explained by the theory of renormalization. It also gives a prescription on how to systematically remove them so they don't appear in physical quantities. Schroeder M. Peskin

> "Renormalization is an extensive study, and one can make a career out of it" Robert D. Klauber



Origin of ultra-violet divergences in field theories is explained by the theory of renormalization. It also gives a prescription on how to systematically remove them so they don't appear in physical quantities. Schroeder M. Peskin

> "Renormalization is an extensive study, and one can make a career out of it" Robert D. Klauber

★ An Introduction to Renormalization, the Renormalization Group & OPE

J. Collins https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511622656







★ In QFT we encounter infinities that need to be dealt with, if the theory is describing physical processes;

e.g., calculating scattering amplitudes within QED leads to terms

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \, k \to \infty \,, \qquad \int^{\infty} \frac{dk}{k} = \ln(k) \to \infty$$



★ In QFT we encounter infinities that need to be dealt with, if the theory is describing physical processes;

e.g., calculating scattering amplitudes within QED leads to terms

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \, k \to \infty \,, \qquad \int^{\infty} \frac{dk}{k} = \ln(k) \to \infty$$

★ Infinities have haunted scientists since the 1940s. It took more than 2 decades to provide solutions for QED using perturbative approach! (Feynman, Schwinger, Tomonaga, Nobel prize in 1965)



★ In QFT we encounter infinities that need to be dealt with, if the theory is describing physical processes;

e.g., calculating scattering amplitudes within QED leads to terms

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \, k \to \infty \,, \qquad \int^{\infty} \frac{dk}{k} = \ln(k) \to \infty$$

★ Infinities have haunted scientists since the 1940s. It took more than 2 decades to provide solutions for QED using perturbative approach! (Feynman, Schwinger, Tomonaga, Nobel prize in 1965)

***** t'Hooft proved that the SM is fully renormalizable



★ In QFT we encounter infinities that need to be dealt with, if the theory is describing physical processes;

e.g., calculating scattering amplitudes within QED leads to terms

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \, k \to \infty \,, \qquad \int^{\infty} \frac{dk}{k} = \ln(k) \to \infty$$

★ Infinities have haunted scientists since the 1940s. It took more than 2 decades to provide solutions for QED using perturbative approach! (Feynman, Schwinger, Tomonaga, Nobel prize in 1965)

- **t** Yooft proved that the SM is fully renormalizable
- ★ Nobel prize 1982: Kenneth Wilson received for Lattice Gauge Theory LGT regularize infinities, e.g., in QCD



★ In QFT we encounter infinities that need to be dealt with, if the theory is describing physical processes;

e.g., calculating scattering amplitudes within QED leads to terms

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \, k \to \infty \,, \qquad \int^{\infty} \frac{dk}{k} = \ln(k) \to \infty$$

★ Infinities have haunted scientists since the 1940s. It took more than 2 decades to provide solutions for QED using perturbative approach! (Feynman, Schwinger, Tomonaga, Nobel prize in 1965)

- **t** 'Hooft proved that the SM is fully renormalizable
- ★ Nobel prize 1982: Kenneth Wilson received for Lattice Gauge Theory LGT regularize infinities, e.g., in QCD

A theory is renormalizable if all UV divergence can be canceled with a finite number of counter terms



Renormalization

- ★ Lattice QCD is renormalizable, thus QCD must recover upon continuum limit (removal of regulator)
- \bigstar Lattice regularization has a consequence of that (bare) lattice quantities depend on lattice spacing, α
- + However, physical quantities cannot depend on regulator, thus bare quantities must be tuned with α , so that observables are not affected
- **★** Renormalization:
 - -UV divergences must be removed prior continuum limit
 - -Divergences canceled by adjusting the parameters of the action
 - physical results are expressed via measurable parameters (not via parameters in bare Lagrangian)



Renormalization on the Lattice

★ Lattice perturbation theory was extensively used to renormalize lattice data of matrix elements of operators, and parameters of the QCD Lagrangian in the past

★ In 1995 ideas for non-perturbative renormalization have been implemented [Martinelli et al., Nucl. Phys. B445, 81, arXiv:hep-lat/9411010]

 Currently, non-perturbative renormalization prescriptions are mostly used

★ Lattice perturbation theory is still a useful tool for several reasons



Perturbative Renormalization RI-MOM scheme

- Regularization Independent momentum subtraction (RI-MOM) schemes naturally defined in perturbation theory
- ★ Calculation of Green functions of operators at given off-shell external states with momentum p
- ★ A condition is applied on the Green functions to match them with their tree-level value
- **★** Examples of RI-type conditions:

For operator $\overline{\psi} \Gamma \psi$

For fermion field
$$Z_q^{\text{RI}} = \frac{1}{12} \text{Tr} \left[(S^L)^{-1}(p) S^{\text{tree}}(p) \right] \Big|_{p^2 = \mu^2}$$

$$(Z_q^{\text{RI}})^{-1} Z_{\Gamma}^{\text{RI}} \operatorname{Tr} \left[G_{\Gamma}^L(p) G_{\Gamma}^{\text{tree}}(p) \right] \Big|_{p^2 = \mu^2} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[G_{\Gamma}^{\text{tree}}(p) G_{\Gamma}^{\text{tree}}(p) \right] \Big|_{p^2 = \mu^2}$$

What should we first study in Lattice QCD?



What should we first study in Lattice QCD?

Start from quantities that are (relatively) easy to compute, and can be compared against experimental data

First goals of Lattice QCD Reproduce the low-lying spectrum





Quark propagator





Quark propagator







Extraction of a hadron's mass from its propagator:

★ Two-point correlator (hadron level, Heisenberg picture):

$$C(t) = \sum_{\vec{x}} \left\langle \Omega \right| \chi(\vec{x}, t) \bar{\chi}(\vec{0}, 0) \left| \Omega \right\rangle = \sum_{\vec{x}} \left\langle \Omega \right| e^{-i\vec{\vec{p}}\cdot\vec{x}} e^{\hat{H}t} \chi(\vec{0}, 0) e^{-\hat{H}t} e^{i\vec{\vec{p}}\cdot\vec{x}} \bar{\chi}(\vec{0}, 0) \left| \Omega \right\rangle$$



Extraction of a hadron's mass from its propagator:

★ Two-point correlator (hadron level, Heisenberg picture):

$$C(t) = \sum_{\vec{x}} \left\langle \Omega \right| \chi(\vec{x}, t) \bar{\chi}(\vec{0}, 0) \left| \Omega \right\rangle = \sum_{\vec{x}} \left\langle \Omega \right| e^{-i\vec{\vec{p}}\cdot\vec{x}} e^{\hat{H}t} \chi(\vec{0}, 0) e^{-\hat{H}t} e^{i\vec{\vec{p}}\cdot\vec{x}} \bar{\chi}(\vec{0}, 0) \left| \Omega \right\rangle$$

Insertion of complete set of momentum and energy states:

$$\mathbb{1} = \sum_{\vec{k},n} \frac{1}{2E_n(\vec{k})} |n, \vec{k}\rangle \langle n, \vec{k}|,$$

$$C(t) = \sum_{\vec{x},n,\vec{k}} \frac{|\langle \Omega | \chi(\vec{0},0) | n, \vec{k} \rangle |^2}{2E_n(\vec{k})} e^{-E_n(\vec{k})t} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} = \sum_n \frac{|\langle \Omega | \chi(\vec{0},0) | n, \vec{0} \rangle |^2}{2E_n(\vec{k})} e^{-m_n t}$$



Extraction of a hadron's mass from its propagator:

★ Two-point correlator (hadron level, Heisenberg picture):

$$C(t) = \sum_{\vec{x}} \left\langle \Omega \right| \chi(\vec{x}, t) \bar{\chi}(\vec{0}, 0) \left| \Omega \right\rangle = \sum_{\vec{x}} \left\langle \Omega \right| e^{-i\vec{\vec{p}}\cdot\vec{x}} e^{\hat{H}t} \chi(\vec{0}, 0) e^{-\hat{H}t} e^{i\vec{\vec{p}}\cdot\vec{x}} \bar{\chi}(\vec{0}, 0) \left| \Omega \right\rangle$$

Insertion of complete set of momentum and energy states:

$$\mathbb{1} = \sum_{\vec{k},n} \frac{1}{2E_n(\vec{k})} |n, \vec{k}\rangle \langle n, \vec{k}|,$$

$$C(t) = \sum_{\vec{x},n,\vec{k}} \frac{|\langle \Omega|\chi(\vec{0},0)|n,\vec{k}\,\rangle|^2}{2E_n(\vec{k})} e^{-E_n(\vec{k})t} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} = \sum_n \frac{|\langle \Omega|\chi(\vec{0},0)|n,\vec{0}\,\rangle|^2}{2E_n(\vec{k})} e^{-m_n t}$$

Sum over x gives $\delta(\kappa)$,
 $\mathbf{E}_n(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{m}_n$



Extraction of a hadron's mass from its propagator:

★ Two-point correlator (hadron level, Heisenberg picture):

$$C(t) = \sum_{\vec{x}} \left\langle \Omega \right| \chi(\vec{x}, t) \bar{\chi}(\vec{0}, 0) \left| \Omega \right\rangle = \sum_{\vec{x}} \left\langle \Omega \right| e^{-i\vec{\vec{p}}\cdot\vec{x}} e^{\hat{H}t} \chi(\vec{0}, 0) e^{-\hat{H}t} e^{i\vec{\vec{p}}\cdot\vec{x}} \bar{\chi}(\vec{0}, 0) \left| \Omega \right\rangle$$



$$\mathbb{1} = \sum_{\vec{k},n} \frac{1}{2E_n(\vec{k})} |n, \vec{k}\rangle \langle n, \vec{k}|,$$

$$C(t) = \sum_{\vec{x},n,\vec{k}} \frac{|\langle \Omega|\chi(\vec{0},0)|n,\vec{k}\rangle|^2}{2E_n(\vec{k})} e^{-E_n(\vec{k})t} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} = \sum_n \frac{|\langle \Omega|\chi(\vec{0},0)|n,\vec{0}\rangle|^2}{2E_n(\vec{k})} e^{-m_n t}$$

Sum over x gives $\delta(\mathbf{k})$,
 $\mathbf{E}_n(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{m}_n$

Only terms that have same quantum numbers as χ survive



Extraction of a hadron's mass from its propagator:

★ Two-point correlator (hadron level, Heisenberg picture):

$$C(t) = \sum_{\vec{x}} \left\langle \Omega \right| \chi(\vec{x}, t) \bar{\chi}(\vec{0}, 0) \left| \Omega \right\rangle = \sum_{\vec{x}} \left\langle \Omega \right| e^{-i\hat{\vec{p}}\cdot\vec{x}} e^{\hat{H}t} \chi(\vec{0}, 0) e^{-\hat{H}t} e^{i\hat{\vec{p}}\cdot\vec{x}} \bar{\chi}(\vec{0}, 0) \left| \Omega \right\rangle$$

Insertion of complete set of momentum and energy states:

$$\mathbb{1} = \sum_{\vec{k},n} \frac{1}{2E_n(\vec{k})} |n, \vec{k}\rangle \langle n, \vec{k}|$$

$$C(t) = \sum_{\vec{x},n,\vec{k}} \frac{|\langle \Omega|\chi(\vec{0},0)|n,\vec{k} \rangle|^2}{2E_n(\vec{k})} e^{-E_n(\vec{k})t} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} = \sum_n \frac{|\langle \Omega|\chi(\vec{0},0)|n,\vec{0} \rangle|^2}{2E_n(\vec{k})} e^{-m_n t}$$

Sum over x gives $\delta(\kappa)$,
 $E_n(0) = m_n$
Only terms that have quantum numbers as χ

★ The mass of the hadron appears, for the nth state



same

SUrvive

 \star Overlap with ground state, excitations, other hadron states. Thus:

$$C(t) = \sum_{n'} \frac{1}{2E_n(\vec{k})} |\langle \Omega | \chi(\vec{0}, 0) | (n', \vec{0}) \rangle |^2 e^{-m_{n'}t}$$

$$C(t) = \frac{1}{2m^{H}} |\langle \Omega | \chi(\vec{0},0) | H(\vec{0},0) \rangle|^{2} e^{-m^{H}t}$$



 \star Overlap with ground state, excitations, other hadron states. Thus:

$$C(t) = \sum_{n'} \frac{1}{2E_n(\vec{k})} |\langle \Omega | \chi(\vec{0}, 0) | (n', \vec{0}) \rangle |^2 e^{-m_{n'}t}$$

$$C(t) = \frac{1}{2m^{H}} |\langle \Omega | \chi(\vec{0},0) | H(\vec{0},0) \rangle|^{2} e^{-m^{H}t}$$

mass of ground state



★ Overlap with ground state, excitations, other hadron states. Thus: $C(t) = \sum_{n'} \frac{1}{2E_n(\vec{k})} |\langle \Omega | \chi(\vec{0}, 0) | (n', \vec{0}) \rangle|^2 e^{-m_{n'}t}$

 \star For large enough *t* the exponential for excited states and multihadron states, becomes very small, thus ground-state dominance.

$$C(t) = \log\left(\frac{C(t)}{C(t+1)}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m^{H}}|\langle \Omega | \chi(\vec{0},0) | H(\vec{0},0) \rangle|^{2} e^{-m^{H}t}$$
mass of ground state



a

★ Overlap with ground state, excitations, other hadron states. Thus: $C(t) = \sum_{i} \frac{1}{2E_n(\vec{k})} |\langle \Omega | \chi(\vec{0}, 0) | (n', \vec{0}) \rangle|^2 e^{-m_{n'}t}$



★ Overlap with ground state, excitations, other hadron states. Thus: $C(t) = \sum_{n'} \frac{1}{2E_n(\vec{k})} |\langle \Omega | \chi(\vec{0}, 0) | (n', \vec{0}) \rangle |^2 e^{-m_{n'}t}$





★ Overlap with ground state, excitations, other hadron states. Thus: $C(t) = \sum_{k} \frac{1}{2E_{n}(\vec{k})} |\langle \Omega | \chi(\vec{0},0) | (n',\vec{0}) \rangle|^{2} e^{-m_{n'}t}$





Results MUST be accompanied by uncertainties



- ★ Choose the number of omitted data in each bin (defines # bins)
- ★ Calculate the average over remaining data in each bin

 \bigstar Calculate the average of the bins

Results MUST be accompanied by uncertainties



- ★ Choose the number of omitted data in each bin (defines # bins)
- ★ Calculate the average over remaining data in each bin

 \bigstar Calculate the average of the bins

Results MUST be accompanied by uncertainties



★ Choose the number of omitted data in each bin (defines # bins)

$$N_{\text{data}} = 4, \ N_{\text{omit}} = 1, \ N_{\text{bin}} = 4$$

★ Calculate the average over remaining data in each bin

★ Calculate the average of the bins

Results MUST be accompanied by uncertainties



★ Choose the number of omitted data in each bin (defines # bins)

$$N_{\text{data}} = 4, \ N_{\text{omit}} = 1, \ N_{\text{bin}} = 4$$

★ Calculate the average over remaining data in each bin

$$D_{\rm i} = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{d_j}{N_{\rm data} - N_{\rm omit}}$$

★ Calculate the average of the bins

Results MUST be accompanied by uncertainties



★ Choose the number of omitted data in each bin (defines # bins)

$$N_{\text{data}} = 4, \ N_{\text{omit}} = 1, \ N_{\text{bin}} = 4$$

★ Calculate the average over remaining data in each bin

$$D_{\rm i} = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{d_j}{N_{\rm data} - N_{\rm omit}}$$

★ Calculate the average of the bins

$$\bar{D} = \sum_{i} \frac{D_{i}}{N_{\text{bin}}}$$

Results MUST be accompanied by uncertainties



★ Choose the number of omitted data in each bin (defines # bins)

$$N_{\text{data}} = 4, \ N_{\text{omit}} = 1, \ N_{\text{bin}} = 4$$

★ Calculate the average over remaining data in each bin

$$D_{\rm i} = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{d_j}{N_{\rm data} - N_{\rm omit}}$$

★ Calculate the average of the bins

$$\bar{D} = \sum_{i} \frac{D_{i}}{N_{\text{bin}}}$$

$$d\bar{D} = \sqrt{\sum_{i} (D_i - \bar{D})^2} \sqrt{\frac{N_{\text{bin}} - 1}{N_{\text{bin}}}}$$
Low-lying meson and baryon states





Low-lying meson and baryon states



Lattice results reproduce experimental values











★ QCD + QED effects: mass splitting between, e.g., proton and neutron



Borsanyi et al, Science 347, 14521455 (2015)

T

How to study Hadron Structure



Hadron Characterization

[X. Ji, D. Mueller, A. Radyushkin]



Hadrons structure studied in terms of:

- ★ parton distribution functions (PDFs)
 - Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS)
- ★ generalized distributions (GPDs)

T

Semi-Inclusive Deep Inelastic Scattering (SIDIS)

transverse momentum dependent distributions (TMDs)

Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS)

All Distributions necessary to understand the complexity of internal hadron structure



"Studying GPDs at JLab", S. Stepanyan, GHP 2015



Structure of hadrons explored in high-energy scattering processes









Structure of hadrons explored in high-energy scattering processes





Collisions @ EIC

Due to asymptotic freedom, e.g.



$$\sigma_{\text{DIS}}(x,Q^2) = \sum_i \left[H^i_{\text{DIS}} \otimes f_i \right](x,Q^2)$$

$$[a \otimes b](x) \equiv \int_{x}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} a\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right) b(\xi)$$



Structure of hadrons explored in high-energy scattering processes





Collisions @ EIC

Due to asymptotic freedom, e.g.

$$\sigma_{\text{DIS}}(x,Q^2) = \sum_i \left[H^i_{\text{DIS}} \otimes f_i \right](x,Q^2)$$

$$[a \otimes b](x) \equiv \int_{x}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} a\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right) b(\xi)$$

Perturb. part (process dependent)



0.3

0.25

0.15

 $\stackrel{(7)}{_{\alpha}}_{\alpha}^{2} = 0.2$

 τ decay (N³LO) \mapsto low Q² cont. (N³LO) \mapsto DIS jets (NLO) \mapsto

pp (top, NNLO)

Heavy Quarkonia (NLO) → e⁺e⁻ jets/shapes (NNLO+res) → pp/pp̃ (jets NLO) → EW precision fit (N³LO)→

100

Q [GeV]

1000

 $= \alpha_s(M_Z^2) = 0.1179 \pm 0.0010$

Structure of hadrons explored in high-energy scattering processes





Collisions @ EIC

Due to asymptotic freedom, e.g.



$$\sigma_{\text{DIS}}(x, Q^2) = \sum_{i} \left[H^i_{\text{DIS}} \otimes f_i \right](x, Q^2) \qquad [a \otimes b](x) \equiv \int_x^1 \frac{d\xi}{\xi} a\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right) b(\xi)$$
Perturb. part
(process dependent) Non-Perturb. part
(process "independent")

e for the second second protection of the second second second second second second second second second second



Structure of hadrons explored in high-energy scattering processes





Collisions @ EIC

and the second second

Due to asymptotic freedom, e.g.



$$\sigma_{\text{DIS}}(x, Q^2) = \sum_{i} \left[H^i_{\text{DIS}} \otimes f_i \right](x, Q^2) \qquad [a \otimes b](x) \equiv \int_x^1 \frac{d\xi}{\xi} a\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right) b(\xi)$$
Perturb. part
(process dependent) Non-Perturb. part
(process "independent")









Collisions @ EIC



Non perturb. part provides information on partonic structure of hadrons



Key universal non-perturbative tools for study of hadron structure
 Global fit analyses of DIS data: main source of information for PDFs

Unpolarized Helicity Transversity EIC Fixed Target DIS Collider Di 10^{6} LHeC Collider DIS ixed Target SIDI 105 ixed Target DY 10^4 $Q^2 ({\rm GeV}^2)$ llider DY Jet Productio 10^{3} Fon Producti 10^{-1} 10 xx[Ethier & Nocera, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 70 (2020) 1, arXiv:2001.07722]

Global fits improved: theoretical advances & new data

BUT ambiguities with global fits (limited data)



Key universal non-perturbative tools for study of hadron structure

★ Global fit analyses of DIS data: main source of information for PDFs



Global fits improved: theoretical advances & new data

BUT ambiguities with global fits (limited data)



Key universal non-perturbative tools for study of hadron structure

★ Global fit analyses of DIS data: main source of information for PDFs



Global fits improved: theoretical advances & new data

BUT ambiguities with global fits (limited data)



Key universal non-perturbative tools for study of hadron structure

★ Global fit analyses of DIS data: main source of information for PDFs



Global fits improved: theoretical advances & new data

BUT ambiguities with global fits (limited data)

Calculation from first principle (lattice QCD) can help in the reliable extraction of physical quantities such as the proton spin and mass (related to PDFs)



★ PDFs parameterized in terms of off-forward matrix elements of light-cone operators

$$F_{\Gamma}(x,\xi,q^2) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{ix\lambda} \langle p' | \bar{\psi}(-\lambda n/2) \mathcal{O} \underbrace{\mathcal{P}e^{ig \int_{-\lambda/2}^{\lambda/2} d\alpha n \cdot A(n\alpha)}}_{i} \psi(\lambda n/2) | p \rangle$$

gauge invariance



★ PDFs parameterized in terms of off-forward matrix elements of light-cone operators

$$F_{\Gamma}(x,\xi,q^2) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{ix\lambda} \langle p' | \bar{\psi}(-\lambda n/2) \mathcal{O} \underbrace{\mathcal{P}e^{ig \int_{-\lambda/2}^{\lambda/2} d\alpha n \cdot A(n\alpha)}}_{\text{gauge invariance}} \psi(\lambda n/2) | p \rangle$$

Not accessible on a Euclidean lattice



★ PDFs parameterized in terms of off-forward matrix elements of light-cone operators

$$F_{\Gamma}(x,\xi,q^2) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{ix\lambda} \langle p' | \bar{\psi}(-\lambda n/2) \mathcal{O} \underbrace{\mathscr{P}e^{ig \int_{-\lambda/2}^{\lambda/2} d\alpha n \cdot A(n\alpha)}}_{\text{gauge invariance}} \psi(\lambda n/2) | p \rangle$$

Not accessible on a Euclidean lattice

★ On lattice: moments of PDFs (reconstructed via OPE) $\langle x^{n-1} \rangle = \int_{-1}^{+1} x^{n-1} f(x) dx$

Reconstruction difficult task:

- n>3: operator mixing
- Statistical noise increases with high moments

Moments of PDFs have physical interpretation and may serve as benchmark



★ PDFs parameterized in terms of off-forward matrix elements of light-cone operators

$$F_{\Gamma}(x,\xi,q^2) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{ix\lambda} \langle p' | \bar{\psi}(-\lambda n/2) \mathcal{O} \underbrace{\mathcal{P}e^{ig \int_{-\lambda/2}^{\lambda/2} d\alpha n \cdot A(n\alpha)}}_{\text{gauge invariance}} \psi(\lambda n/2) | p \rangle$$

Not accessible on a Euclidean lattice

★ On lattice: moments of PDFs (reconstructed via OPE) $\langle x^{n-1} \rangle = \int_{-1}^{+1} x^{n-1} f(x) dx$

★ Reconstruction difficult task:

- n>3: operator mixing
- Statistical noise increases with high moments

★ Moments of PDFs have physical interpretation and may serve as benchmark



Hadron Structure investigations are timely





Lattice QCD in synergy with Experiments



Advances of Lattice QCD are timely



Main Pillar of NAS

Assessment report for EIC

Finding 1: An EIC can uniquely address three profound questions about nucleons—neutrons and protons—and how they are assembled to form the nuclei of atoms:

- How does the mass of the nucleon arise?
- How does the spin of the nucleon arise?
- What are the emergent properties of dense systems of gluons?



Advances of Lattice QCD are timely



Main Pillar of NAS

Assessment report for EIC

Finding 1: An EIC can uniquely address three profound questions about nucleons—neutrons and protons—and how they are assembled to form the nuclei of atoms:

- How does the mass of the nucleon arise?
- How does the spin of the nucleon arise?
- What are the emergent properties of dense systems of gluons?





Lattice QCD is featured in the EIC Yellow Report

- 900-page document
- scientist from 151 Institutions

Lattice QCD can provide valuable input in understanding the proton mass and spin decomposition from *first principles*





Hadron Structure From Lattice QCD

















- ★ Separation between source and sink: excited states investigation
- ★ Type of current insertion gives different observable
- Extraction of each contribution has its own challenges
 (statistical and systematic uncertainties)







Particularly interesting for EIC physics

- ★ Separation between source and sink: excited states investigation
- ★ Type of current insertion gives different observable
- Extraction of each contribution has its own challenges
 (statistical and systematic uncertainties)

3pt correlation function

$$G^{\mathcal{H}'\mathcal{J}^{\mu}\mathcal{H}}(x;x_1) = \langle \Omega | \chi_{\mathcal{H}'}(x)\mathcal{J}^{\mu}(x_1)\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{H}} | \Omega \rangle$$

3pt correlation function

$$G^{\mathcal{H}'\mathcal{J}^{\mu}\mathcal{H}}(x;x_1) = \langle \Omega | \chi_{\mathcal{H}'}(x)\mathcal{J}^{\mu}(x_1)\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{H}} | \Omega \rangle$$

Fourier transform Insertion of two set of complete eigenstate

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\vec{x},\vec{x}_{1}} e^{-i\vec{x}\cdot\vec{p}'} G^{\mathcal{H}'\mathcal{J}^{\mu}\mathcal{H}}(\vec{x},t;\vec{x}_{1},t_{1}) e^{-i\vec{x}_{1}\cdot\vec{p}_{1}} &= \sum_{\vec{x},\vec{x}_{1}} e^{-i\vec{x}\cdot\vec{p}'} \left\langle \Omega \left| \chi_{\mathcal{H}'} e^{-\hat{H}t} e^{i\vec{x}\cdot\hat{p}'} e^{\hat{H}t_{1}} \mathcal{J}^{\mu} e^{-\hat{H}t_{1}} e^{i\vec{x}_{1}\cdot\hat{p}'} \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{H}} \right| \Omega \right\rangle e^{-i\vec{x}_{1}\cdot\vec{p}_{1}} &= \sum_{\vec{x},\vec{x}_{1}} \frac{\left\langle \Omega \left| \chi_{\mathcal{H}'} \left| n',\vec{k}' \right\rangle \left\langle \vec{k},n \right| \bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{H}} \right| \Omega \right\rangle}{2\sqrt{E_{n'}(\vec{k'})E_{n}(\vec{k})}} e^{-E_{n'}(\vec{k'})(t-t_{1})} e^{-i\vec{x}\cdot(\vec{p'}-\vec{k'})} \left\langle n',\vec{k'} \right| \mathcal{J}^{\mu} \left| n,\vec{k} \right\rangle e^{-E_{n}(\vec{k})t_{1}} e^{-i\vec{x}_{1}\cdot(\vec{k'}-\vec{k}+\vec{p}_{1})} e^{-i\vec{x}\cdot(\vec{p'}-\vec{k'})} \left\langle n',\vec{k'} \right| \mathcal{J}^{\mu} \left| n,\vec{k} \right\rangle e^{-E_{n}(\vec{k})t_{1}} e^{-i\vec{x}_{1}\cdot(\vec{k'}-\vec{k}+\vec{p}_{1})} e^{-i\vec{x}\cdot(\vec{p'}-\vec{k'})} e^{-i\vec{x}\cdot(\vec{p'}-\vec{k'})} \left\langle n',\vec{k'} \right| \mathcal{J}^{\mu} \left| n,\vec{k} \right\rangle e^{-E_{n}(\vec{k})t_{1}} e^{-i\vec{x}_{1}\cdot(\vec{k'}-\vec{k}+\vec{p}_{1})} e^{-i\vec{x}\cdot(\vec{p'}-\vec{k'})} e^{-i\vec{x}\cdot(\vec{p'}-\vec{k'})} e^{-i\vec{x}\cdot(\vec{p'}-\vec{k'})} e^{-i\vec{x}\cdot(\vec{p'}-\vec{k'})} e^{-i\vec{x}\cdot(\vec{p'}-\vec{k'})} e^{-i\vec{x}\cdot\vec{p'}} e^{-$$

3pt correlation function

$$G^{\mathcal{H}'\mathcal{J}^{\mu}\mathcal{H}}(x;x_1) = \langle \Omega | \chi_{\mathcal{H}'}(x)\mathcal{J}^{\mu}(x_1)\bar{\chi}_{\mathcal{H}} | \Omega \rangle$$

Fourier transform Insertion of two set of complete eigenstate

Hadrons on the Lattice





Hadrons on the Lattice



- $H' \neq H$: transition amplitudes and transition form factors
- H' = H: hadron structure
 - $p_f = p_i$: no momentum transfer (charges)
 - $p_f \neq p_i$: momentum transfer (form factors)



A. Calculation of matrix elements with appropriate currents for the quantities under study (e.g., vector-axial current)

 $C^{2pt} = \langle N | N \rangle \qquad C_{\Gamma}^{3pt} = \langle N | \overline{\psi}(0) \Gamma \psi(0) | N \rangle$



A. Calculation of matrix elements with appropriate currents for the quantities under study (e.g., vector-axial current)

 $C^{2pt} = \langle N | N \rangle \qquad C_{\Gamma}^{3pt} = \langle N | \overline{\psi}(0) \Gamma \psi(0) | N \rangle$

B. Construction of optimized ratios and identify ground state

$$R^{\mu}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Gamma, \vec{q}, t) = \frac{G_{\mathcal{O}}(\Gamma, \vec{q}, t)}{G(\vec{0}, t_f)} \sqrt{\frac{G(-\vec{q}, t_f - t)G(\vec{0}, t)G(\vec{0}, t_f)}{G(\vec{0}, t_f - t)G(-\vec{q}, t)G(-\vec{q}, t_f)}}$$

Multiply analysis techniques available (single- & multi-state, summation)





A. Calculation of matrix elements with appropriate currents for the quantities under study (e.g., vector-axial current)

 $C^{2pt} = \langle N | N \rangle \qquad C_{\Gamma}^{3pt} = \langle N | \overline{\psi}(0) \Gamma \psi(0) | N \rangle$

B. Construction of optimized ratios and identify ground state

$$R^{\mu}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Gamma,\vec{q},t) = \frac{G_{\mathcal{O}}(\Gamma,\vec{q},t)}{G(\vec{0},t_{f})} \sqrt{\frac{G(-\vec{q},t_{f}-t)G(\vec{0},t)G(\vec{0},t_{f})}{G(\vec{0},t_{f}-t)G(-\vec{q},t)G(-\vec{q},t_{f})}} \qquad \underline{\underline{0}}$$

Multiply analysis techniques available (single- & multi-state, summation)

C. Renormalization (usually multiplicative)

$$\Pi^R_{\Gamma} = Z \Pi_{\Gamma}$$



A. Calculation of matrix elements with appropriate currents for the quantities under study (e.g., vector-axial current)

 $C^{2pt} = \langle N | N \rangle \qquad C_{\Gamma}^{3pt} = \langle N | \overline{\psi}(0) \Gamma \psi(0) | N \rangle$

B. Construction of optimized ratios and identify ground state

$$R^{\mu}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Gamma,\vec{q},t) = \frac{G_{\mathcal{O}}(\Gamma,\vec{q},t)}{G(\vec{0},t_{f})} \sqrt{\frac{G(-\vec{q},t_{f}-t)G(\vec{0},t)G(\vec{0},t_{f})}{G(\vec{0},t_{f}-t)G(-\vec{q},t)G(-\vec{q},t_{f})}} \qquad \underline{\underline{G}(-\vec{q},t)G(-\vec{q},t_{f})}$$



Multiply analysis techniques available (single- & multi-state, summation)

C. Renormalization (usually multiplicative)

$$\Pi^R_{\Gamma} = Z \Pi_{\Gamma}$$

D. Kinematic factors based on symmetry properties, e.g.

$$A^3_{\mu} \equiv \bar{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 \frac{\tau^3}{2} \psi \Rightarrow \bar{u}_N(p') \Big[G_A(q^2) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 + G_p(q^2) \frac{q_{\mu} \gamma_5}{2 m_N} \Big] u_N(p)$$

Inherited uncertainties in lattice calculations

Laborious effort to eliminate uncertainties

Statistical errors significantly increase with:

- ★ decrease of pion mass
- increase of momentum transfer between initial-final state
- \star increase of source-sink separation T_{sink}



Inherited uncertainties in lattice calculations

Laborious effort to eliminate uncertainties

Statistical errors significantly increase with:

- decrease of pion mass
- increase of momentum transfer between initial-final state
- \star increase of source-sink separation T_{sink}

Sources of systematic uncertainties:

- cut-off effects (finite lattice spacing)
- finite volume effects
- ★ contamination from other hadron states
- chiral extrapolation for unphysical pion mass
- \star renormalization and mixing



Investigation of systematic uncertainties

On a single ensemble:

- ★ Excited states contamination
- ★ Pion mass (with simulations at physical point)
- ★ Renormalization and mixing

Using multiple ensembles:

- ★ Cut-off effects due to finite lattice spacing
- ★ Finite volume effects
- ★ Pion mass dependence



Investigation of systematic uncertainties

On a single ensemble:

- ★ Excited states contamination
- ★ Pion mass (with simulations at physical point)
- ★ Renormalization and mixing

Using multiple ensembles:

- ★ Cut-off effects due to finite lattice spacing
- ★ Finite volume effects
- ★ Pion mass dependence

Effects reduced in single ensemble with appropriate parameters



Summary of Lecture 2





Key points of Lecture 2

- **★** Renormalization is an indispensable part of lattice calculations
- ★ Well-defined perturbative and non-perturbative renormalization procedures
- ★ Calculation of nucleon and pion mass has been an important starting point for lattice QCD
- ★ Hadron Spectroscopy has advanced tremendously and can provide predictions and input for experiments
- ★ Hadron structure studies are critical for understanding the immensely rich and complex properties of the visible matter



Thank you