



## Weighting Neutrinos

National Nuclear Physics Summer School

July 29th 2016

Joseph A. Formaggio MIT

Neutrino mass measurements have a Long history in physics, predating the Standard Model itself.

80 a. -), Tentativo di una teoria dei raggi B

ruda incidente eikz

 $\frac{\lambda = \frac{\pi}{m0^2} = 1,8 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}}{m0^2}$ 

(36)

#### LA MASSA DEL NEUTRINO.

probabilitir di transizione (32) determina tra l'altro la forma o continuo dei raggi β. Discuteremo qui come la forma di questo spettro opende dalla massa di quiete del neutrino, in modo da poter determinare questa massa da un confronto con la forma sperimentale dello spettro stesso. La massa  $\mu$  interviene in (32) tra l'altro nel fattore  $p_{g}^{i}/v_{g}$ . La dipendenza della forma della curva di distribuzione dell'energia dà µ, è marcata specialmente in vicinanza della energia massima E<sub>n</sub> dei raggi β. Si riconosce facilmente che la curva di distribuzione per energie E prossime al valore massimo E<sub>s</sub>, si comporta, a meno di un fattore indipendente da E, come

### $\frac{p_{\alpha}^{s}}{\mu_{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{\ell^{2}} \left( \mu \ell^{s} + E_{\alpha} - E \right) \sqrt{(E_{\alpha} - E)^{s} + 2 \mu \ell^{\alpha} \left( E_{\alpha} - E \right)}$

Nella fig. 1 la fine della curva di distribuzione è rappresentata per  $\mu=0,$ e per un valore piccolo e uno grande di µ. La maggiore somiglianza con le

$$\frac{1}{c^3}(\mu c^s + E_o - E) \sqrt{(E_o - E)^s + 2 \mu c^s (E_o - E)^s}$$

piccolo e uno grande di µ. La maggiore somiglianza con a



We have learned one Ehing in Ehis Eime.

"Grande" is ruled out.

And now, so is "Zero".

$$\frac{1}{c^3}(\mu c^s + E_o - E) \sqrt{(E_o - E)^s + 2 \mu c^s (E_o - E)^s}$$

piccolo e uno grande di µ. La maggiore somiglianza con a



We have learned one Ehing in Ehis Eime.

"Grande" is ruled out.

And now, so is "Zero".

### First Evidence

A Crack in the Standard Model

# Neutrino Oscillations

- From Monday's Lecture you learned that oscillations are described by a 3 x 3 matrix(the Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata-Pontecorvo, or MNSP mixing matrix):
- However, the picture simplifies if one of the mixing angles is small...

Bruno Pontecorvo

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\theta_{23} & \sin\theta_{23} \\ 0 & -\sin\theta_{23} & \cos\theta_{23} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_{13} & 0 & e^{-i\delta_{CP}}\sin\theta_{13} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -e^{i\delta_{CP}}\sin\theta_{13} & 0 & \cos\theta_{13} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_{12} & \sin\theta_{12} & 0 \\ -\sin\theta_{12} & \cos\theta_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\alpha/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\alpha/2+i\beta} \end{pmatrix}$$
  
atmospheric,  
long baseline reactor, accelerator solar, KamLAND  $0\nu\beta\beta$ 

 Depends only on two fundamental parameter
and two experimental parameters (for a given neutrino species).

$$\mathcal{P}_{\rm surv} = 1 - \sin^2 2\theta \sin^2 \left(\frac{\Delta m^2}{4E_\nu}L\right)$$



Oscillations are fundamentally a QUANTUM phenomena.

It is useful to cast it in such a framework. For example, one could cast it in the language of Quantum Field Theory



Oscillations are fundamentally a QUANTUM phenomena.

It is useful to cast it in such a framework. For example, one could cast it in the language of Quantum Field Theory



Thus the matrix element picks up a propagating term that depends on the neutrino mass and coupling

 $i\mathcal{M} \propto$ 

Matrix element



Thus the matrix element picks up a propagating term that depends on the neutrino mass and coupling



Matrix element



Energy conservation



Thus the matrix element picks up a propagating term that depends on the neutrino mass and coupling

 $i \mathcal{M} \propto$ 

 $\int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{i p_0 (t_D - t_P) + i \vec{p} \cdot (\vec{x}_D - \vec{x}_P)} \\ U_{\alpha,i} U^*_{\beta,i} \bar{u}_{\alpha,P} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma^5) \\ \frac{i(\not p + m_i)}{p_0^2 - \vec{p}^2 - m_i^2 + i\epsilon} \\ (1 + \gamma^5) \gamma_\nu u_{\alpha,D}$ 

Matrix element Energy conservation Couplings, production wave function Fermion propagator Detection wave function



Use the Grimus-Stockinger theorem to simplify the propagator term...

Let  $\psi(\vec{p})$  be a three times continuously differentiable function on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , such that  $\psi$  itself and all its first and second derivatives decrease at least like  $1/|\vec{p}|^2$  for  $|\vec{p}| \to \infty$ . Then, for any real number A > 0,

$$\int d^3p \, \frac{\psi(\vec{p}) \, e^{i\vec{p}\vec{L}}}{A - \vec{p}^2 + i\epsilon} \xrightarrow{|\vec{L}| \to \infty} -\frac{2\pi^2}{L} \psi(\sqrt{A}\frac{\vec{L}}{L}) e^{i\sqrt{A}L} + \mathcal{O}(L^{-\frac{3}{2}}).$$



Sum over all contributing terms (i.e. all mass states!)

Result takes on the more familiar form...

$$i\mathcal{M} \propto \sum_{i} U_{\alpha i} U_{\beta i}^{*} e^{i\sqrt{E^2 - m_i^2}L}$$

Phase shift due to masses

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 \propto \sum_{i,j} U_{\alpha i} U^*_{\beta j} U^*_{\alpha i} U_{\beta j} e^{i(\sqrt{E^2 - m_i^2} - \sqrt{E^2 - m_j^2})L}$$

Oscillation probability



OR... you can cast things in terms of Pauli matrices (equivalent to quantum optics)

$$\mathcal{H} = \left(p + \frac{m_1^2 + m_2^2}{4p} + \frac{V_C}{2} + V_N\right)\mathcal{I} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}V_C - \omega\cos 2\theta & \omega\sin 2\theta\\\omega\sin 2\theta & \omega\cos 2\theta - V_C\end{array}\right)$$
$$\omega \equiv (m_2^2 - m_1^2)/2p$$

$$\mathbb{H} = \mathcal{A}\sigma_x + \mathcal{B}\sigma_y + \mathcal{C}\sigma_z + \mathcal{D}\mathbb{I} = r_0\mathcal{I} + \frac{\vec{r}\cdot\vec{\sigma}}{2}$$

Here, σ represents the Pauli matrices and r is a vector that points along a Poincare sphere

OR... you can cast things in terms of Pauli matrices (equivalent to quantum optics)

Oscillations then cast as a property that Pauli matrices do not commute



With oscillations firmly in place, we at least understand that the neutrino has a mass

> As such, oscillation measurements place a lower limit on the neutrino mass scale.



$$\sin^2\left(2\theta_{13}\right) = 0.093 \pm 0.008$$

#### **Reactor & Long Baseline**

 $\sin^2 (2\theta_{12}) = 0.846 \pm 0.021$  $\Delta m_{21}^2 = (7.53 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$ 

#### Solar

 $\sin^2 (2\theta_{23}) = 0.999^{+0.001}_{-0.018}$  $\Delta m_{32}^2 = 0.00244 \pm 0.00006 \text{ eV}^2$ 

#### **Atmospheric**

With oscillations firmly in place, we at least understand that the neutrino has a mass

As such, oscillation measurements place a lower limit on the neutrino mass scale.



### 2015 Nobel Prize in Physics







Arthur B. McDonald (Sudbury Neutrino Observatory) Takaaki Kajita (Super-Kamiokande)



### Measuring Neutrino Masses

Oscillations now make a prediction upon other measurements.



$$M = \sum_{i}^{n_{\nu}} m_{\nu,i}$$

#### **Cosmological Measurements**

$$\langle m_{\beta\beta}^2 \rangle = |\sum_{i}^{n_{\nu}} U_{ei}^2 m_{\nu,i} |^2$$

#### **0v**ββ Measurements

$$\langle m_{\beta} \rangle^2 = \sum_{i}^{n_{\nu}} \mid U_{ei} \mid^2 m_{\nu,i}^2$$

#### **Beta Decay Measurements**

- The neutrino mass scale remains one of the essential "unknowns" of the Standard Model.
- Knowledge of neutrino masses can have a significant impact on many different arenas, including cosmology, the mass hierarchy, sterile neutrinos, and even relic neutrino detection.



17



- The neutrino mass scale remains one of the essential "unknowns" of the Standard Model.
- Knowledge of neutrino masses can have a significant impact on many different arenas, including cosmology, the mass hierarchy, sterile neutrinos, and even relic neutrino detection.





- The neutrino mass scale remains one of the essential "unknowns" of the Standard Model.
- Knowledge of neutrino masses can have a significant impact on many different arenas, including cosmology, the mass hierarchy, sterile neutrinos, and even relic neutrino detection.

m, > 2 eV (eV scale, current) Neutrinos ruled out as dark matter

m, > 0.2 eV (degeneracy scale) Impact on cosmology and Ονββ reach



- The neutrino mass scale remains one of the essential "unknowns" of the Standard Model.
- Knowledge of neutrino masses can have a significant impact on many different arenas, including cosmology, the mass hierarchy, sterile neutrinos, and even relic neutrino detection.



m, > 0.2 eV (degeneracy scale) Impact on cosmology and Ονββ reach

<u>m, > 0.05 eV (inverted hierarchy)</u> Resolve hierarchy if null result



- The neutrino mass scale remains one of the essential "unknowns" of the Standard Model.
- Knowledge of neutrino masses can have a significant impact on many different arenas, including cosmology, the mass hierarchy, sterile neutrinos, and even relic neutrino detection.



m, > 0.01 eV (normal hierarchy) Oscillation limit; possible CvB detection



$$M = \sum_{i}^{n_{\nu}} m_{\nu,i}$$

### **Cosmological Measurements**



The Era of Precision Cosmology

Cosmology has had a similar trajectory as neutrino physics, from inception to present day

The Strategy (a naive view)



The Strategy (a naive view)



Neutrinos come to affect the power spectrum, particularly at small distance scales

The Strategy (a naive view)

WMAP Temperature Map



**CMB** Polarization

20





CMB Polarization

# Neutrino Physics & Cosmology

• Two primary cosmology measurements that link directly to neutrino physics:

(1) Number of neutrino species

(2) sum of neutrino masses

Both large scale structure (LSS) and CMB anisotropies (CMB), particularly CMB gravitational lensing, can be used to measure these quantities.



$$\Omega_R h^2 = \left[1 + N_{\text{eff}} \frac{7}{8} \left(\frac{4}{11}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}\right] \Omega \gamma h^2$$

$$\Omega_{\nu} = \frac{\rho_{\nu}}{\rho_{\text{critical}}} = \frac{\sum_{i}^{n_{\nu}} m_{\nu,i}}{\rho_{\text{critical}}}$$



### Planck Satellite:

Launched May 14th, 2009




## PLANCK Results

 The basic PLANCK analysis looks at 6 main cosmological parameters. Neutrino masses are added as extensions to that model.

 Most conservative data combinations see no evidence for neutrino masses.

 Certainly tension exists with certain parameters (SZ clusters, Hubble constant, BICEP2) that alter the fits or in some cases favor finite masses.



## PLANCK Results

 The basic PLANCK analysis looks at 6 main cosmological parameters. Neutrino masses are added as extensions to that model.

 Most conservative data combinations see no evidence for neutrino masses.

 Certainly tension exists with certain parameters (SZ clusters, Hubble constant, BICEP2) that alter the fits or in some cases favor finite masses.





## Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay

$$\langle m_{\beta\beta}^2 \rangle = |\sum_{i}^{n_{\nu}} U_{ei}^2 m_{\nu,i}|^2$$

#### **0vββ Measurements**



 $(N,Z) \to (N-2,Z+2) + e^- + e^ \Delta L = 2$ 

# What would a positive signal mean?

A lot, actually, since the Standard Model conserves B-L.

- Demonstrate that neutrinos are Majorana fermions.
- Shed Light on the neutrino mechanism
- Probe into the causes for the matter anti-matter asymmetry in the universe

# Simple in principle...

- Clean Signature Sum of electrons is at a single energy
- Know where to look
  Occurs at endpoint of the allowed decay, wellseparated from bulk ββνν.
- Particle detection

(we know how to detect electrons well)



# ...but not in practice

- Background Suppression The key to success in all these experiments is background suppression
- Isotope Abundance
   Often trading high Q value
   for poor abundance
- Rarity of Process
   Rarest process (yet) to be measured.



 $^{76}\text{Ge}$  example, but similar sensitivities for other  $0\nu\beta\beta$  isotopes.

### Background free

$$\left[\mathbf{T}_{1/2}^{0\nu}\right] \propto \varepsilon ff \cdot I_{abundance} \cdot Source Mass \cdot Time$$

## Background limited

$$\left[T_{1/2}^{0\nu}\right] \propto \varepsilon ff \cdot I_{abundance} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{Source Mass \cdot Time}{Bkg \cdot \Delta E}}$$





$$\langle m_{\beta} \rangle^2 = \sum_{i}^{n_{\nu}} \mid U_{ei} \mid^2 m_{\nu,i}^2$$

#### **Beta Decay Measurements**

## Direct Probes



## Beta Decay

A kinematic determination of the neutrino mass No model dependence on cosmology or nature of mass

## Direct Probes



Beta Decay

A kinematic determination of the neutrino mass No model dependence on cosmology or nature of mass

• The phase space of the decay (i.e. how many different states can occupy a particular momentum).

The phase space of the decay (i.e. how many different states can occupy a particular momentum).

Transition	Δι	Parity change?
Superallowed	0, <u>+</u> I	No
Allowed	0, <u>+</u> I	No
I <sup>st</sup> Forbidden	0, <u>+</u> I	Yes
Unique I <sup>st</sup> Forbidden	<u>+</u> 2	Yes
2nd Forbidden	<u>+</u> 2	No
3rd Forbidden	<u>+</u> 3	Yes

The phase space of the decay
 (i.e. how many different states
 can occupy a particular
 momentum).

Transition	Δι	Parity change?
Superallowed	0, <u>+</u> I	No
Allowed	0, <u>+</u> I	No
I <sup>st</sup> Forbidden	0, <u>+</u> I	Yes
Unique I <sup>st</sup> Forbidden	<u>+</u> 2	Yes
2nd Forbidden	<u>+</u> 2	No
3rd Forbidden	<u>+</u> 3	Yes

**Fermi Function**  $\frac{dN}{dE} = C \times |M|^2 F(Z,E) p_e(E+m_e^2)(E_0-E) \sum_i |U_{ei}|^2 \sqrt{(E_0-E)^2 - m_i^2}$ Phase space Matrix Element

- The phase space of the decay (i.e. how many different states can occupy a particular momentum).
- Corrections due to the
   Coulomb field, or Fermi function.

Transition	Δι	Parity change?
Superallowed	0, <u>+</u> I	No
Allowed	0, <u>+</u> I	No
I <sup>st</sup> Forbidden	0, <u>+</u> I	Yes
Unique I <sup>st</sup> Forbidden	<u>+</u> 2	Yes
2nd Forbidden	<u>+</u> 2	No
3rd Forbidden	<u>+</u> 3	Yes

**Fermi Function**  $\frac{dN}{dE} = C \times |M|^2 F(Z,E) p_e(E+m_e^2)(E_0-E) \sum_i |U_{ei}|^2 \sqrt{(E_0-E)^2 - m_i^2}$ Phase space Matrix Element

- The phase space of the decay (i.e. how many different states can occupy a particular momentum).
- Corrections due to the
   Coulomb field, or Fermi function.
- The matrix element related to the initial and final states of the decay.

Transition	ΔΙ	Parity change?
Superallowed	0, <u>+</u> I	No
Allowed	0, <u>+</u> I	No
I <sup>st</sup> Forbidden	0, <u>+</u> I	Yes
Unique I <sup>st</sup> Forbidden	<u>+</u> 2	Yes
2nd Forbidden	<u>+</u> 2	No
3rd Forbidden	<u>+</u> 3	Yes

**Fermi Function**  $\frac{dN}{dE} = C \times |M|^2 F(Z,E) p_e(E+m_e^2)(E_0-E) \sum_i |U_{ei}|^2 \sqrt{(E_0-E)^2 - m_i^2}$ Phase space Matrix Element

# Fermi's Golden Rule



$$d\Gamma_{\beta} \simeq \int \frac{d\boldsymbol{p}_e}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d\boldsymbol{p}_{\nu}}{(2\pi)^3} |\mathcal{H}_{fi}|^2 2\pi \delta(E_0 - E_e - E_{\nu})$$

$$|\mathcal{H}_{fi}|^2 \simeq F(Z, E_e) \frac{G_F^2}{2} |V_{ud}|^2 |M_{fi}|^2$$

$$d\Gamma_{\beta} = F(Z, E_e) \frac{G_F^2 |V_{ud}|^2}{2\pi^3} |M_{fi}|^2 p_e E_e (E_0 - E_e) \sqrt{(E_0 - E_e)^2 - m_{\nu}^2}$$

 $-\epsilon$ 

Fermi's Golden Rule

The effect of neutrino mass is almost entirely an effect due to phase space.



$$d\Gamma_{\beta} \simeq \int \frac{d\boldsymbol{p}_e}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d\boldsymbol{p}_{\nu}}{(2\pi)^3} |\mathcal{H}_{fi}|^2 2\pi \delta(E_0 - E_e - E_{\nu})$$

$$|\mathcal{H}_{fi}|^2 \simeq F(Z, E_e) \frac{G_F^2}{2} |V_{ud}|^2 |M_{fi}|^2$$

$$d\Gamma_{\beta} = F(Z, E_e) \frac{G_F^2 |V_{ud}|^2}{2\pi^3} |M_{fi}|^2 p_e E_e (E_0 - E_e) \sqrt{(E_0 - E_e)^2 - m_{\nu}^2}$$

# The TV2 Magnetic Spectrometer

- Bergkvist constructs first tritium source experiment in Stockholm.
- Double focusing spectrometer; first to fully tackle energy resolution, energy loss and final states coherently.
- Achieved best limit of the time (m, < 55 eV).</li>





Fig. 20. Kurie plots of data from runs I-III. The data exhibited have been subjected to a very slight correction for distortion in the measured spectrum. The theoretical curves have been fitted to the data in the way discussed in connection with fig. 18.

Nuclear Physics B39 (1972) 317-370. North-Holland Publishing Company

A HIGH-LUMINOSITY, HIGH-RESOLUTION STUDY OF THE END-POINT BEHAVIOUR OF THE TRITIUM β-SPECTRUM (I). BASIC EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE AND ANALYSIS WITH REGARD TO NEUTRINO MASS AND NEUTRINO DEGENERACY

Karl-Erik BERGKVIST Research Institute for Physics, and University of Stockholm, Stockholm, Sweden



Fig. 3. Basic components of electrostatic-magnetic spectrometer employed in the present investigation of the end-point region of the tritium  $\beta$ -spectrum.

## Los Alamos

- Robertson, Bowles,
   Wilkerson and others at
   Los Alamos devise the first
   gaseous tritium source
   experiment to circumvent
   earlier issues seen with
   solid state sources.
- Their limit of 27 eV rules out a previous signal for neutrino mass. Sets stage for caseous sources in fut



experimental results



36



# Mainz & Troilsk



## Current Techniques

#### Spectroscopy (KATRIN)

Magnetic Adiabatic Collimation with Electrostatic Filtering

State-of-the-Art technique



 $T_2 \rightarrow (T \cdot {}^{3}He^+) + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$ 

Calorimetry (HOLMES, ECHO & NUMECS)

Technique highly advanced.

New experiment(s) planned to reach ~eV scale.



 $^{163}\text{Ho} + e^- \rightarrow ~^{163}\text{Dy}^* + \nu_e$ 

#### Frequency (Project 8)

Radio-frequency spectroscopy for beta decay

R&D phase (new results)



 $^{3}\mathrm{H} \rightarrow ~^{3}\mathrm{He}^{+} + \mathrm{e}^{-} + \bar{\nu}_{e}$ 

# MAC-E Filler Technique

KATRIN



 $T_2 \rightarrow (T \cdot {}^3\text{He}^+) + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$ 

#### **Spectroscopic: MAC-E Filter**





adiabatic transformation of e- momentum

Inhomogeneous magnetic guiding field. Retarding potential acts as high-pass filter High energy resolution (△E/E = Bmin/Bmax = 0.93 eV)





Adiabatic transport ensures high retention of phase space for decay  $\frac{\Delta E}{E} = \frac{B_{\min}}{B_{\max}} \to 0.93 \text{ eV}$ 

Energy resolution scales as the ratio of minimum / maximum fields



Adiabatic transport ensures high retention of phase space for decay  $\frac{\Delta E}{E}=\frac{B_{\min}}{B_{\max}}\to 0.93~{\rm eV}$ 

Energy resolution scales as the ratio of minimum / maximum fields



...and the arrival.

E BORNE

1000





All components of the experiment, including the source, now on site and being commissioned.

Main spectrometer commissioned and provides more precise spectrometer of its kind.

# Projected Sensitivity





Neutrino Mass Goals
Discovery: 350 meV (at $5\sigma$ )
Sensitivity: 200 meV (at 90% C.L.)

 $0.01 \text{ eV}^2$ 

Data taking to commence in 2016.

## Can we push further?

- Can direct measurements push to the inverted ordering scale?
- To do so, they must have better scaling law.







## New kid on the block: Electron Capture



New Lind on the block: Electron Capture



New Lind on the block: Electron Capture

# Advantages & Challenges

#### Calorimetry



 $^{163}\text{Ho} + e^- \rightarrow ^{183}\text{Dy}^* + \nu_e$ 

**Challenges:** 



**Source Activity** 

N<sub>ev</sub> > 10<sup>14</sup> to reach sub-eV level

- Advantages:
  - Source = detector
  - No backscattering
  - No molecular final state effects.
  - Self-calibrating

#### **Detector Response**

ΔE<sub>FWHM</sub> < 10 eV <sub>Trisetime</sub> < 1 μs

• Experimental Challenges:

Fast rise times to avoid pile-up effects.

Good energy resolution & linearity

#### Sufficient isotope production

# Advantages & Challenges

#### Calorimetry



 $+ \nu_e$ 

 $^{163}\text{Ho} + e^- \rightarrow ^{183}\text{Dy}^*$ 

**Challenges:** 



**Source Activity** 

N<sub>ev</sub> > 10<sup>14</sup> to reach sub-eV level

- Advantages:
  - Source = detector
  - No backscattering
  - No molecular final state effects.
  - Self-calibrating

#### **Detector Response**

ΔE<sub>FWHM</sub> < 10 eV <sub>Trisetime</sub> < 1 μs

• Experimental Challenges:

Fast rise times to avoid pile-up effects.

Good energy resolution & linearity

#### Sufficient isotope production

## Their Predecessor

MARE

#### Calorimetry





MARE provides the first  $\beta$  decay measurement of <sup>187</sup>Re using calorimetry



# The ECHo Experiment



Metallic Magnetic Calorimeters


# The HOLMES Experiment

### **Technologies:**



### **Superconducting Resonators**



**Transition Edge Sensors** 





transition edge sensors / MKIDs







### NuMECS (USA)

#### transition edge sensors

## Project 8

Coherent radiation emitted can be collected and used to measure the energy of the electron in nondestructively.



Frequency Approach

 ${}^{3}\mathrm{H} \rightarrow {}^{3}\mathrm{He}^{+} + e^{-} + \bar{\nu}_{e}$ 



I. I. Rabi

- Use cyclotron frequency to extract electron energy.
- Non-destructive measurement of electron energy.

B field -





A. L. Schawlow

 $\omega(\gamma) = \frac{\omega_0}{\gamma} = \frac{eB}{K + m_e}$ 

B. Monreal and JAF, Phys. Rev D80:051301

 $T_2$  gas

# Unique Advantages

- Source = Detector (no need to extract the electrons from the tritium)
- Frequency Measurement (can pin electron energies to well-known frequency standards)
- Full Spectrum Sampling (full spectrum measured at once, large leverage for stability and statistics)



### Simulation of beta (frequency) spectrum



## The Apparatus





Photo of apparatus

Cyclotron frequency coupled directly to standard waveguide at 26 GHz, located inside bore of NMR 1 Tesla magnet.

Magnetic bottle allows for trapping of electron within cell for measurement.

## Project 8's "Event Zero"



Cyclotron Radiation Emission Spectroscopy (CRES) for single relativistic electrons now experimentally demonstrated.

## Project 8's "Event Zero"



#### Exhibits all predicted characteristics:

- Onset frequency
- Energy loss due to cyclotron radiation

- Quantum jumps due to inelastic scattering



- The quest for neutrino mass has a long and very rich history, filled with remarkable people possessing remarkable ingenuity.
- We are by no means done. Oscillations provide a prediction that can and should be tested.
- Frontiers in beta decay, neutrinoless double beta decay and cosmology can now all feed into this remarkable measurement.



Thank you for your attention